

DOI:10.1002/ejic.201300656



Copper(II)-imida-salen Complexes Encapsulated into NaY **Zeolite for Oxidations Reactions**

Iwona Kuźniarska-Biernacka,*^[a] M. Alice Carvalho,^[a] Soren B. Rasmussen,^[b,c] Miguel A. Bañares,^[b] Krzysztof Biernacki,^[d] Alexandre L. Magalhães,^[d] Anabela G. Rolo,^[e] António M. Fonseca,^[a] and Isabel C. Neves^[a]

Keywords: Heterogeneous catalysis / Zeolites / Copper / Oxidation / Schiff bases

The oxidation of phenol, cychohexanol and hydroquinone has been screened in the presence of copper(II) complexes with the Schiff-base salen ligand, 1,5-bis[(E)-5-chloro-2hydroxybenzylideneamino]-1H-imidazole-4-carbonitrile, and encapsulated into NaY zeolite by using two different methods. The new heterogeneous catalysts were characterized by SEM, XRD, FTIR, EPR and Raman spectroscopy as well as by chemical analysis. The structures of the copper(II) complexes

Introduction

Phenols are toxic and poorly biodegradable pollutants found in the wastewaters of a variety of industrial activities. The effective removal of phenolic pollutants from wastewater is a challenge of great importance and interest. Various methods for treating industrial wastewater containing phenols have been widely reported.^[1] Among these, chemical oxidation is economically attractive due to the low consumption of chemicals and the production of intermediate products that are less toxic and more biodegradable. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), in which catalytic wet hydrogen peroxide oxidation (CWPO) appears to be economical and also efficient under mild conditions,^[2,3] are highly interesting. The selective oxidation of alcohols is also one of the most important organic transformations in chemical synthesis. The oxidation of cyclohexanol to cyclohexanone is a significant step in the syntheses of adipic acid

E-mail: Iwona@quimica.uminho.pt

were proposed on the basis of theoretical studies (DFT). The catalytic activities of the encapsulated copper(II) complexes in NaY were compared with their homogeneous counterparts. The results show higher or similar substrate conversion when compared with the free complex in all the reactions tested. After their use in catalytic reaction, these catalysts were found to be reusable without loss of activity.

and caprolactam, of which about 2.5 and 2 billion kilograms, respectively, are produced annually. These intermediates are important in the manufacture of nylon 6 and nylon 66 and also as plasticizers and food additives.^[4,5] To produce less byproducts and develop more environmentally friendly methods to oxidize cyclohexanol, a variety of metal catalysts have been investigated,^[6] including precious metals.^[7] In comparison with these metal/metal oxides, transition-metal complexes are much less expensive.

The development of new heterogeneous catalysts has become very important for eco-friendly industrial processes. These materials have been developed with the objective of performing reactions under mild conditions and without producing hazardous wastes. These new catalysts can easily be separated from the reaction media and reused, and they are quite stable when compared with the corresponding homogeneous counterparts because catalyst deactivation pathways are hindered by local site isolation of the complexes inside the solid supports.^[8,9]

To improve the efficiency of these heterogeneous catalysts, the zeolite structure offers an ideal support for the encapsulation of metal complexes.^[9-14] Zeolites are solid inorganic crystalline materials comprised of silicon, aluminium and oxygen in a three-dimensional structure with microporous channels and cages on a nano- and sub-nanometre scale of strictly regular dimensions.^[10] Therefore only active components with an appropriate size and shape can be incorporated into highly porous zeolites. However, their mechanical stability could be advantageous during catalyst recycling and reuse.^[11,12] These catalysts are of interest for

[[]a] Departamento de Química, Centro de Química, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

http://www.quimica.uminho.pt/

[[]b] Catalytic Spectroscopy Laboratory, Institute of Catalysis and Petrochemistry, CSIC 28049 Madrid, Spain

[[]c] Centre of Catalytic and Sustainable Chemistry, DTU Chemistry, Technical University of Denmark,

²⁸⁰⁰ Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark [d] REQUIMTE, Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Centro de Física, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal [e] Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho,

Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal



their applications in the oxidation of alkanes, alkenes and alcohols $^{\left[13-15\right] }$

In this work we demonstrate the catalytic potential of copper(II) Schiff-base complexes encapsulated in NaY zeolite for the oxidation of phenol and cyclohexanol. Schiff bases, especially the salen type, are a widely known class of ligands.^[16,17] The copper(II) complexes described in this study are based on the new salen-type ligand containing an imidazole bridge (Scheme 1). To the best of our knowledge this is a unique example of the use of this salen-type ligand.



Scheme 1. Molecular structure of H₂imida-salen.

The motivation for the use of this imidazole bridge is its strongly electron-donating nature, which increases the stability of the complex. The presence of this unit may also improve the catalytic activity as it is known that electrondonating substituents on the phenolic units of a Schiff base (salen, N₂O₂ type) increase its catalytic activity, especially in the oxidation of organic molecules. The catalytic properties of the Cu^{II} complex have been studied under homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions in the presence of *tert*butyl hydroperoxide (*t*BuOOH) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) as the oxygen sources.

Results and Discussion

Characterization and DFT Studies of the Free Complex and the Heterogeneous Catalysts

The heterogeneous catalysts were obtained by two methods, in situ complex synthesis and the flexible ligand approach (designated methods A and B, respectively), whereas the free metal complex was prepared by the standard method (see the Exp. Sect.). SEM micrographs of the NaY zeolite and the zeolites encapsulating copper(II)-imidasalen complex prepared by the two methods are presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1. SEM micrograph of A) NaY (5000×), B) CuL@NaY_B and C) CuL'@NaY_A (10000×).

Figure 1 (A) represents a morphology typical of the faujasite zeolite. Analysis of the micrographs of NaY and the heterogeneous catalysts shows that there are no changes in the zeolite morphology or structure upon encapsulation

of the complex.^[13,18] It appears from Figure 1 that Soxhlet extraction was efficient and the surface is completely washed out, which indicates the complete removal of uncoordinated ligands and the remaining metal complexes are physically adsorbed on to the external surface of the zeolite.^[19] Furthermore, it is revealed from our SEM analysis that the average particle size before and after encapsulation of the complexes remains unchanged, which also suggests that encapsulation has not led to the destruction of the zeolite morphology.

The powder X-ray diffractograms of NaY and the heterogeneous catalysts are shown in Figure 2. These samples display the expected patterns of hydrated NaY zeolites and no diffraction lines assigned to any new phase were detected,^[20] which indicates that the encapsulated Cu^{II} complexes are highly dispersed in the zeolite structure. Moreover, the zeolite framework has not undergone any significant structural change during metal exchange and encapsulation of the complex by the different methods and thus the crystallinity of the zeolite NaY is well preserved, with both heterogeneous catalysts showing over 80% crystallinity.



Figure 2. PXRD patterns of 1) NaY, 2) CuL'@NaYA and 3) CuL@NaYB.

The results of the elemental analysis are summarized in Table 1. For NaY and the heterogeneous catalysts, the Si/ Al ratio was 2.80 as determined by XRD and 2.88 as measured by FTIR,^[21,22] which indicates the absence of dealumination during the encapsulation processes. The encapsulation methods employed lead to similar copper loading. The C/N ratio for CuL'@NaYA is triple that obtained for the free complex. This suggests that some ligand destruction takes place during the complexation and that different complex species are formed. The elemental analysis results for CuL'@NaYA suggest that N-N bond cleavage of the ligand molecule occurs to afford the corresponding bidentate Schiff base. Literature data show that the hydrazone N-N bond can be cleaved in the presence of acetate anions;^[23] it was suggested that the presence of the acetate ion plays an important role in this bond cleavage reaction.



Furthermore, it was shown that the reaction is accelerated by the use of polar solvents such as dmf and thf. The literature data^[23] support the proposed structure of the complex with bidentate Schiff base ligands. For the complex with a bidentate Schiff-base ligand present in a M/L' ratio of 1:2, in which L' is the ligand after cleavage, the calculated Cu/ N ratio is 2.25 and the C/N ratio is 6.00. These values are different than those found for CuL'@NaYA in which C/N is 9.20 and Cu/N is 1.80. This suggests that all copper species are coordinated and that two thf molecules are present. However, the C/N ratio of CuL@NaY_B is close to that of the free complex and suggests that the ligand structure is not affected by diffusion/complexation. The amount of copper obtained by elemental analysis indicates the presence of different copper species. The highest Cu/N ratio (1.86) observed suggests the presence of a fraction of copper noncoordinated to the imida-salen ligand (0.06 wt.-%). It was found that a part of this copper could be located in the framework at sites that are inaccessible by the ligand.^[24] The coordinated copper species present the same sphere of coordination as the free complex with a 1:1 M/L stoichiometry. The presence of different copper species could have an effect on the catalytic behaviour of the catalysts.

Table 1. Elemental data analysis of the free complex and the heterogeneous catalysts.

| | Elemental analysis [%] | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------|------|------|
| | Cu ^[a] | $C^{[a]}$ | N ^[a] | C/N | Cu/N |
| [Cu(imida-salen)] | 11.43 ^[b] | 46.99 | 15.25 | 3.08 | 0.75 |
| CuL'@NaYA | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 9.20 | 1.80 |
| CuL@NaY _B | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 3.43 | 1.86 |

[a] Obtained from bulk analyses. [b] Determined by using the cuprizone method (spectrophotometric method).

The free complex, heterogeneous catalysts and the NaY zeolite were characterized by FTIR spectroscopy. The FTIR spectrum of the free complex, [Cu(imida-salen)], shows that the v(C=N) band at 1618 cm⁻¹ is shifted to a lower frequency by 12 cm⁻¹ compared with the imida-salen ligand (1606 cm⁻¹). This indicates that the ligand is coordinated to the metal ion through the nitrogen donor atom of the azomethine group.^[25] Also, the characteristic band at 2222 cm⁻¹ associated with the C=N vibration^[26,27] is identified in the FTIR spectrum of the ligand. This band was found in the complex at the same frequency, which suggests no interaction between the $C \equiv N$ group and the coordinated metal. The shift of the v(C-O) band to a higher energy by 25 cm⁻¹ in the complex spectrum (1386 cm⁻¹) suggests that the copper(II) is also bound through the oxygen atoms of the phenol groups. Further conclusive evidence of the coordination of the ligand to copper(II) is shown by the appearance of the weak low-frequency band at 549 cm⁻¹ assigned to copper-nitrogen stretching vibrations.^[28] The band due to the Cu-O vibration usually appears at lower energies ($<400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), outside of the measured range.

The FTIR spectrum of the NaY zeolite displays a very intense broad band at around 3450 cm^{-1} with a poorly resolved shoulder at around 3600 cm^{-1} , which can be attributed to the hydroxy groups in supercages and in sodalite

cages, respectively.^[29,30] In the mid-IR region, the spectrum shows a band at 1640 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the $\delta(H_2O)$ mode of absorbed water.^[31] The band at around 1020 cm⁻¹ is usually attributed to the asymmetric stretching of the Al-O-Si chain of zeolite, and the symmetric stretching and bending bands of the Al–O–Si framework of zeolite appear at around 730 and 510 cm⁻¹, respectively.^[32] The FTIR spectra of the heterogeneous catalysts are dominated by strong bands attributed to the zeolite structure. No shifts or broadening of the zeolite vibrational bands are observed upon encapsulation of the complex. This provides further evidence for the zeolite structure remaining unchanged after encapsulation of the complex. The intensities of peaks due to the encapsulated complexes are weak because of their low loading in the zeolite structure, in agreement with the XRD analysis.

Additional structural information can be obtained by EPR and Raman spectroscopy. The powder EPR spectra of NaY, the free complex and the heterogeneous catalysts are shown in Figure 3 and the spin Hamiltonian parameters of the heterogeneous catalysts are presented in Table 2.



Figure 3. EPR spectra of 1) NaY, 2) CuL'@NaY_A, 3) CuL@NaY_B and 4) [Cu(imida-salen)].

Table 2. EPR spectral data of the free complex [Cu(imida-salen)], CuL'@NaY_A and CuL@NaY_B.

| Sample | g_\perp | g_{\parallel} |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| [Cu(imida-salen)] | 2.077 | 2.103 |
| CuL'@NaY _A | 2.043 | 2.082 |
| CuL@NaY _B | 2.087 | 2.108 |

All samples were cooled to -196 °C prior to data acquisition to eliminate the effects of motional broadening that might appear at room temperature. It was shown that these spectra are typical of magnetically diluted samples dominated by high-spin copper(II) contributions,^[33] which implies that the Cu^{II} complexes are dispersed within the zeolite supercages, acting as a diamagnetic matrix.



The spectra of the heterogeneous catalysts are different to those of NaY and the free complex. The spectral parameters of the samples reveal that $g_{\parallel} > g_{\perp}$, characteristic of an axially extended octahedral geometry. The EPR spectrum of the free complex is characterized by a signal at g =2.077 and no hyperfine coupling due to copper was observed, probably due to nearest-neighbour molecular interactions.^[34] In the EPR spectrum of CuL'@NaYA, the signal is lower and negligible hyperfine features were observed. These results suggest that all the copper species are coordinated and the resulting complex has a different coordination sphere to that of the free complex, in agreement with elemental analysis. However, the EPR spectrum of CuL@NaY_B shows visible hyperfine features in the lower magnetic field region of the spectrum from the splitting of the g_{\parallel} line, characteristic of a copper nucleus with I =3/2.^[33,34] In our previous studies, we observed identical EPR results for the copper(II)-methyladenine complex entrapped in NaBEA zeolite.^[35] Likewise, for the CuL@NaY_B catalyst, the EPR results indicate the presence of different copper species dispersed in the diamagnetic host, which suggests the existence of a fraction of uncomplexed copper.[34-36]

The Raman spectra of NaY and the heterogeneous catalysts are shown in Figure 4. The spectra of the samples show intense fluorescence, typically an order of magnitude more intense than the Raman signal. NaY and the heterogeneous catalysts exhibit a Raman band at 503 cm⁻¹, attributed to the motion of the oxygen atom in a plane perpendicular to the T–O–T bonds in the zeolite structure.^[37] The Raman spectrum of CuL'@NaY_A shows higher fluorescence and the bands of this complex are rather faint, being suffocated by this phenomenon. However, the spectrum of CuL@NaY_B shows bands at 1453, 1516 and 1586 cm⁻¹. Similar bands were also observed in the FTIR spectrum of



Figure 4. Raman spectra of 1) NaY, 2) CuL@NaY_B and 3) CuL'@NaY_A.

the free complex at 1452, 1515 and 1587 cm⁻¹, which shows that the structure of the complex in CuL@NaY_B is equivalent to that of the free complex.

Different forms of the copper(II) complexes were considered in the DFT calculations. Figure 5 and Table 3 show the most relevant characteristics of their geometries (I–V), including the linear dimensions for each one, estimated from the electronic isodensity surface of 0.005 electrons Bohr⁻³. The dimensions of these molecules are crucial for understanding the processes of encapsulation of the coordinated Cu^{II} complexes. The NaY zeolite structure contains uniform-sized pores and cages,^[9] and therefore only active components with an appropriate size and shape can be incorporated. The diameter of the copper(II) complex with the imida-salen ligand is too large to effectively pass through the free aperture of the zeolite supercages (ca. 7.4 Å), but is small enough to be confined in the larger cavities (internal diameter ca. 13 Å).^[38] On the other hand, the tetradentate imida-salen ligand, due to its flexibility, can easily diffuse into the zeolite and form complexes with previously exchanged metal atoms inside the supercages, as in the case of $CuL(a)NaY_B$.

The lengths of all forms of the copper(II) complexes are comparable, but there are noticeable differences in their widths and heights. These differences are the result of different geometries around the metal ion. All forms, except **II**, show planar geometry (omitting the solvent molecules).

The dimensions of the four-coordinate complexes with bidentate ligands (II and III) suggest easier diffusion into and out of the zeolite. Among the four forms mentioned above, IV is slightly more distorted than the others. The pseudo-octahedral geometries of structures IV and V (Figure 5) are completed by two Schiff-base molecules (bidentate) and two thf molecules (monodentate) with axial coordination. These forms are in agreement with the results of the elemental analysis found for CuL'@NaY_A.

The form **II** shows a distorted tetrahedral geometry around the metal ion. The most relevant geometrical parameters of the optimized structures are presented in Table 3 (the atom numbering is as shown in Figure 5).

Morokuma and co-workers^[39–41] defined the stabilization energy as the difference between the energy of the global system and the total energy of the separate components: $\Delta E = E_{\text{complex}} - \Sigma E_{\text{component}}$. The different stabilities obtained for the complexes are presented in Table 4. The most stable structure is that of form I with a four-donor ligand. The stabilization energy is comparable for *cis* and *trans* isomers for both coordination environments (six- and four-coordinate forms). The results show that the *cis* isomers are more stable than the corresponding *trans* isomers and that the six-coordinate complexes are more stable than the four-coordinate complexes with two-donor ligands.

The stability of the optimized forms can also be confirmed by the hardness parameter (η). The hardness can be calculated by DFT, in general, by using simple orbital theory, which allows the hardness to be computed as the energy difference between the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) and the highest-occupied molecular or-





Figure 5. Optimized structures I–V for possible forms of the studied copper(II) complex determined by DFT calculations at the B3LYP/ 6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The boxes indicate the molecular dimensions, size and volume, as estimated from the electronic isodensity surfaces of $0.005 \ e/a_o^3$.

Table 3. Optimized values of the most relevant geometrical parameters of the forms $I\!-\!V^{[a]}$ of the studied copper(II) complexes.

| Ι | II | III | IV | V |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 1.887 | 1.854 | 1.847 | 1.886 | 1.877 |
| 1.875 | 1.854 | 1.848 | 1.886 | 1.877 |
| 1.959 | 1.875 | 1.903 | 1.902 | 1.926 |
| 1.958 | 1.875 | 1.903 | 1.903 | 1.927 |
| | | | | |
| 91.76 | 93.56 | 179.97 | 87.93 | 179.99 |
| 83.87 | 99.35 | 179.82 | 95.78 | 180.00 |
| 91.04 | 90.37 | 88.88 | 88.31 | 88.54 |
| 93.34 | 90.37 | 88.82 | 88.14 | 88.54 |
| 177.20 | 151.64 | 91.15 | 174.78 | 91.46 |
| 174.90 | 151.63 | 91.14 | 175.24 | 91.46 |
| | | | | |
| 0.00 | -39.17 | -0.13 | -4.59 | 0.01 |
| | I 1.887 1.875 1.959 1.958 91.76 83.87 91.04 93.34 177.20 174.90 0.00 | I II 1.887 1.854 1.875 1.854 1.959 1.875 1.958 1.875 1.958 1.875 91.76 93.56 93.37 99.35 91.04 90.37 93.34 90.37 177.20 151.64 174.90 151.63 0.00 -39.17 | I II III 1.887 1.854 1.847 1.875 1.854 1.848 1.959 1.875 1.903 1.958 1.875 1.903 1.958 1.875 1.903 91.76 93.56 179.97 83.87 99.35 179.82 91.04 90.37 88.88 93.34 90.37 88.82 177.20 151.64 91.15 174.90 151.63 91.14 0.00 -39.17 -0.13 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |

[a] The numbering of the complex species is as indicated in Figure 5. [b] Atom numbering is as indicated in Figure 5.

Table 4. Energy stabilization (ΔE) and molecular hardness (η) of the forms I–V^[a] of the studied copper(II) complexes.

| | Ι | II | III | IV | V |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\Delta E [eV]$ | -34.32 | -30.26 | -30.20 | -30.74 | -30.72 |
| η [eV] | 1.51 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.87 |

[a] The numbering of the complex species is as indicated in Figure 5.

bital (HOMO), $\eta = (E_{\rm LUMO} - E_{\rm HOMO})/2.^{[42]}$ Higher values of η suggest lower molecular reactivity and hence higher stability. The values depicted in Table 4 confirm that the most stable and less reactive is the form I with a four-donor ligand.

The molecular hardness (Table 4), molecule dimensions and elemental analyses suggest that the structure of the complex encapsulated by method A, $CuL'@NaY_A$, is sixcoordinate with two thf molecules coordinated in the axial



FULL PAPER

positions, whereas in the case of $CuL@NaY_B$, the molecular structure of the free complex is retained in the zeolite matrix.

Catalytic Behaviour of the Catalysts

Oxidation of Cyclohexanol

The heterogeneous catalysts were evaluated for the oxidation of cyclohexanol (CyOL) to cyclohexanone (CyONE) in the presence of tBuOOH as the oxygen source. The extent of oxidation of cyclohexanol is negligible in the absence of the heterogeneous catalysts, which confirms that the oxidation is indeed catalytic in nature under the experimental conditions. The zeolite NaY is also catalytically inactive and Cu-NaY shows a minor conversion (3.6%). Thus, the copper complexes encapsulated in the zeolite play a decisive role. The catalysis results presented in Table 5 show that both heterogeneous catalysts as well as the free complex display comparable activities in cyclohexanol oxidation. Similar conversions of cyclohexanol with the homogeneous catalyst and CuL@NaY_B (after 48 h) were observed probably because the encapsulation method only slightly affects the accessibility of the active centre.^[43] However, CuL'@ NaY_A shows the same substrate conversion as the homogeneous catalyst after 24 h of reaction. The ligand in the CuL'@NaYA catalyst induces changes in the electron density of copper, which, in turn, probably modifies the catalytic properties of the metal centre. Consequently, the choice of stable ligand is of crucial importance in the heterogenization of catalytic metal complexes.

In our previous studies, a series of transition-metal complexes with 1-(2-pyridylazo)-2-naphthol (pan) and a Mn^{III} complex with the 1,3-ditolyltriazene ligand were encapsulated into NaY by the flexible ligand method and tested as catalysts in the oxidation of cyclohexanol^[14,44] in the presence of *t*BuOOH. Cobalt(II) and nickel(II) complexes with pan and a manganese(III) complex with triazenido ligands show lower substrate conversions than the catalysts studied in this work. CuL'@NaY_A as catalyst shows higher cyclohexanol conversion and CuL@NaY_B and Cu(pan)@Y lead to the same conversion. Most probably, the catalytically active species in CuL'@NaY_A are easily accessible by the substrate and oxidizing reagents due to the lability of thf.

Indirect comparison of the activities of previously reported solid catalysts with the catalysts presented here in the oxidation of cyclohexanol in the presence of tBuOOH

shows that the copper-containing catalysts (this study) show lower activity than cobalt and copper nitrogen-donor complexes (ethylenediamine or pyridine) immobilized on bentonite.^[43,45] On the other hand, the heterogeneous catalysts prepared show similar activity to supported cobalt oxide^[43] and [Cu(salen)] and [Cu(acac)] complexes immobilized on bentonite^[45] and higher activity than chromium silica-supported catalysts ($C = 40 \, \%$),^[46] zirconium-doped manganese oxide ($C = 13-18 \, \%$)^[47] and cobalt–salen-type complexes immobilized on bentonite.^[43]

The recyclability of the heterogeneous catalysts was also evaluated. After the catalyst had been used, it was recovered by filtration, washed several times with EtOH and acetonitrile (acn), subsequently dried at 100 °C and then reused. The catalysts could be used twice without significant change in their catalytic activity.

Oxidation of Phenol

In contrast to the oxidation of cyclohexanol, in which the predominant product is cyclohexanone with only a trace amount of 2-cyclohexen-1-one detected under specific conditions,^[48] the oxidation of phenol can lead to a variety of products.^[49,50] It was shown that solvent properties (polarity, hydrophobicity and size) affect the reaction rate^[51] and that acetonitrile leads to the best results (maximum phenol conversion). Under the experimental conditions employed in this study (solvent: acn, oxygen source: *t*BuOOH), the main phenol oxidation product was catechol (CAT). A trace amount of hydroquinone (HQ) was detected when the reaction was performed in the heterogeneous phase for more than 24 h (Table 6). The NaY zeolite did not exhibit catalytic activity in the oxidation of phenol under the applied experimental conditions. The oxidation reaction leads to higher substrate conversion in the heterogeneous phase. This result confirms the above-mentioned hypothesis that the preparation by the flexible ligand method allows access to the active sites. Similarly to the oxidation of cyclohexanol, the CuL'@NaYA catalyst shows higher substrate conversion than under homogeneous conditions. However, the cyclohexanol conversion in the presence of CuL'@NaYA was only marginally higher than under homogeneous conditions. However, these results cannot be directly compared. There are many examples in the literature^[52–55] confirming the trend observed in our study, that phenol conversion is higher under heterogeneous conditions. This may be due to active-site separation in heterogeneous catalysts, whereas the free Cu^{II} complexes have tendencies to form dimers.^[56]

Table 5. Selective oxidation of cyclohexanol to cyclohexanone in the presence of tBuOOH as oxygen source.

| Entry | Catalyst | <i>t</i> ^[a] [h] | C ^[b,c] [%] | $S_{\text{CyONE}}^{[b,d]}$ [%] | $\eta_{\text{CyONE}}^{[b,e]}$ [%] | TON ^[f] |
|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | [Cu(imida-salen)][g] | 5 | 50 | 100 | 50 | 3085 |
| 2 | CuL'@NaYA | 24 | 51 | 100 | 51 | 2083 |
| 3 | CuL@NaY _B | 48 | 46 | 100 | 46 | 1301 |

[a] Reaction time at which the substrate conversion starts to become constant. [b] Determined by GC against an internal standard. [c] Cyclohexanol conversion (*C*) calculated as: $C = \{[A_{(CyOL)}/A_{(PhCl)}]_{t=0h}[A_{(CyOL)}/A_{(PhCl)}]_{t=xh}\}100/[A_{(CyOL)}/A_{(PhCl)}]_{t=0h}$, in which *A* is the chromatographic peak area. [d] Product selectivity (*S*) calculated as: $S = A_{(product)}100/[A_{(product)} + A_{(other reaction products)}]$, in which *A* is the chromatographic peak area. [e] Product yield (η) calculated as: $\eta = CS/100$. [f] Turnover number (TON) calculated as: TON = Cn_{CyOL}/n_{Cu} . [g] (Cu loading = 9.4×10^{-4} mmol).



| Table 6. Results for the oxidation | of phenol | l catalysed by | encapsulated a | and free | copper(II) | complexes |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|

| | | Products | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | CA | ΑT |] | HQ | |
| Entry | Catalysts | <i>t</i> ^[a] [h] | $C^{[b,c]}$ [%] | S ^[b,d] [%] | $\eta^{\mathrm{[b,e]}}$ [%] | S ^[b,d] [%] | $\eta^{[\mathrm{b},\mathrm{e}]}$ [%] | TON ^[f] |
| 1 | [Cu(imida-salen)][g] | 4 | 27 | 100 | 27 | ND | ND | 287 |
| 2 | CuL'@NaY _A | 48 | 46 | 99 | 45 | 1 | >1 | 324 |
| 3 | CuL@NaY _B | 48 | 34 | 98 | 33 | 2 | >1 | 166 |

[a] Reaction time at which the substrate conversion starts to become constant. [b] Determined by GC against an internal standard. [c] Phenol conversion (*C*) calculated as: $C = \{[A_{(PhOH)}/A_{(PhCI)}]_{t=0h}[A_{(PhOH)}/A_{(PhCI)}]_{t=xh}\}100/[A_{(PhOH)}/A_{(PhCI)}]_{t=0h}$, in which *A* is the chromatographic peak area. [d] Product selectivity (*S*) calculated as: $S = A_{(product)}100/[A_{(product)} + A_{(other reaction products)}]$, in which *A* is the chromatographic peak area. [e] Product yield (η) calculated as: $\eta = CS/100$. [f] Turnover number (TON) calculated as: TON = Cn_{PhOH}/n_{Cu} . [g] Cu loading = 9.4×10^{-4} mmol.

The catalytic properties of the copper(II) Schiff-base complexes encapsulated into zeolites in the oxidation of phenol have been studied previously by others.^[32,51,52,54,57,58] All the heterogeneous catalysts studied are active towards phenol oxidation and lead to catechol formation as the main product. A comparison of these catalysts with those presented in this study shows that CuL@NaY_B exhibits slightly higher phenol conversion than copper(II) complexes with substituted salen ligands^[51,59] when acn was used as solvent, whereas the CuL'@NaYA catalyst shows activity close to copper(II) complexes with tridentate Schiff bases.[52,58]

The recyclability of the heterogeneous catalysts was also evaluated. After the catalyst had been used it was recovered by filtration, washed several times with EtOH and acn, subsequently dried at 100 °C and then reused. The catalysts could be used twice without significant change in their catalytic activity.

Oxidation of Hydroquinone

The oxidation of hydroquinone to benzoquinone (BzQ) is being extensively investigated with the dual objectives of removing phenolic waste from industrial effluents and achieving useful products. Metal complexes have been found to efficiently catalyse this reaction.^[1,60–63] The catalysts evaluated in this study also show high catalytic activity in this reaction (Table 7). Both heterogeneous catalysts show similar substrate conversion and this is in accord with literature data,^[62] which suggest that four-coordinate Cu^{II} complexes of the N₂O₂ type show the highest catalytic activity in the oxidation of hydroquinone.

Table 7. Hydroquinone decomposition.

| Entry | Catalyst | $t^{[a]}[h]$ | C ^[b,c] [%] | TON ^[d] |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | [Cu(imida-salen)] ^[e] | 5 | 80 | 5.74 |
| 2 | CuL'@NaY _A | 48 | 84 | 3.99 |
| 3 | CuL@NaY _B | 48 | 86 | 2.83 |

[a] Reaction time at which the substrate conversion starts to become constant. [b] Determined by UV/Vis at $\lambda = 288$ nm. [c] HQ conversion (*C*) calculated as: $C = \{[A_{(HQ)}]_{t=0h} - [A_{(HQ)}]_{t=xh}\} \times 100/$ $[A_{(HQ)}]_{t=0h}$, in which *A* is the absorbance. [d] Turnover number (TON) calculated as: TON = Cn_{HQ}/n_{Cu} . [e] Cu loading = 9.4×10^{-4} mmol.

Benzoquinone is the main oxidation product with a selectivity always higher than 80%. The lack of literature data for hydroquinone conversion may be due to the fact that this strongly depends on metal loading.^[63]

For all the heterogeneous catalysts studied, after the last catalytic cycle, the IR spectra of the zeolite host showed no significant changes, which suggests that no structural changes took place on the zeolite host during the catalytic reaction. The leaching test was carried out according to the recommendation of Arends and Sheldon^[64] and no reaction progress was observed after isolation of the catalyst. This confirms the high activity of the catalysts in the second reaction cycle.

Conclusions

Heterogeneous catalysts based on copper(II) complexes with the 1,5-bis[(E)-5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzylideneamino]-1H-imidazole-4-carbonitrile (H₂imida-salen) ligand have been synthesised and encapsulated into NaY zeolite by using two different methods (A and B). The catalysts obtained by these methods are different. In CuL@NaY_B, the imida-salen ligand retains its integrity and the complex has the same coordination sphere as the free complex. In $CuL'@NaY_A$, the ligand is cleaved and the copper(II) complex encapsulated into the zeolite is different to the free complex. These catalysts are environmentally benign in the liquid-phase oxidation of cyclohexanol, phenol and hydroquinone in the presence of different oxygen sources. Both heterogeneous catalysts show high conversions in the oxidation of hydroquinone, and moderate conversion in the oxidation of phenol and cyclohexanol under optimized reaction conditions. The high selectivity towards catechol formation (ca. 98%) in the hydroxylation of phenol was observed for all catalysts.

Experimental Section

Materials and Reagents: NaY zeolite (CBV100, Si/Al ratio = 2.83) in powder form was obtained from Zeolyst International. The powder was calcined at 500 °C during 8 h under a stream of dry air prior to use. All chemicals and solvents were reagent-grade and purchased from Aldrich: cuprizone, 2-hydroxyphenylaldehyde, copper(II) acetate monohydrate [Cu(CH₃COO)₂·H₂O], tetra-hydrofuran (thf), acetonitrile (acn), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (dmf), dichloromethane (dcm), ethanol (EtOH), diethyl ether, *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide solution (5.0–6.0 M) in decane (*t*BuOOH), hydrogen



peroxide solution (30 wt.-% in water), chlorobenzene (PhCl), styrene, phenol (PhOH), catechol (CAT), cyclohexanol (CyOL) and hydroquinone (HQ). Trifluoroacetic acid was purchased from ACROS. The potassium bromide used for the FTIR pellet preparation was from Merck, spectroscopic-grade.

1,5-Bis[(E)-5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzylideneamino]-1H-imidazole-4-carbonitrile Ligand and Free Complex: 5-Chloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.85 g, 5.32 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (0.40 mL, 5.32 mmol) were added to a suspension of 1,5-diamino-4-cyanoimidazole^[65] (0.33 g, 2.66 mmol) in ethanol, whilst stirring, at room temperature. When TLC indicated the absence of the starting material, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C for 10 min. The yellow solid was filtered under vacuum and washed with cold EtOH and diethyl ether. The product was identified as 1.5-bis[(E)-5-chloro-2hydroxybenzylideneamino]-1H-imidazole-4-carbonitrile (H₂imidasalen, 0.88 g, 2.19 mmol, 82%; Scheme 1), m.p. 295–296 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $[D_6]DMSO$): $\delta = 11.34$ (s, 1 H, HO_A), 10.84 (s, 1 H, HO_B), 9.31 (s, 1 H, C=H_A), 9.19 (s, 1 H, C=H_B), 8.49 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.86 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, Ho''), 7.79 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, Ho), 7.47 (dd, J = 2.8; 8.8 Hz, 1 H, Hp'), 7.47 (dd, J = 2.8; 8.8 Hz, 1 H, Hp), 7.02 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, Hm'), 7.01 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, Hm''') ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ = 161.08 (Ca), 158.57 (C-o'''_A), 157.25 (C-o'_B), 155.34 (C-b), 143.56 (C-5), 134.31 (С-р'_А), 133.58 (С-р_В), 133.03 (С-2), 127.48 (С-о''_А), 126.05 (С-о_в), 123.49, 123.39 (С-т''_А, С-т_в), 122.00 (С-i'_А), 119.88 (Сi_B), 118.89, 118.66 (C-m'_A, C-m'''_A), 115.45 (CN), 101.11 (C-4) ppm. C₁₈H₁₁Cl₂N₅O₂ (400.22): calcd. C 54.02, H 2.77, Cl 17.72, N 17.50; found C 54.10, H 2.81, N 17.76.

The free complex, [Cu(imida-salen)], was synthesized in thf. Cu^{II} acetate monohydrate (97.6 mg, 0.49 mmol) and the Schiff-base ligand (198.6 mg, 0.50 mmol) were dissolved in thf (190 mL), the solution was heated at reflux for 2 h and a brown solid was separated by filtration. Elemental analysis of the [Cu(imida-salen)] complex confirmed the purity of the compound obtained and proved that the Schiff-base complex was formed with a metal/ligand molar ratio of 1:1. [Cu(imida-salen)]-thf was formed as a brown solid in a yield of 85%. C₂₂H₁₇Cl₂CuN₅O₃ (533.86): C 49.50, H 3.21, N 13.12, Cu 11.90; found C 48.99, H 2.88, N 13.55, Cu 11.43.

Encapsulation of [Cu^{II}imida-salen] into NaY: The procedures for the preparation of the zeolite-based catalysts have been described elsewhere.^[44]

Method A: In this method only one step is involved. A solution of the imida-salen ligand (136.0 mg, 0.34 mmol) and copper(II) acetate monohydrate (62.0 mg, 0.31 mmol) in thf (100 mL) was added to a suspension of NaY zeolite (2.0 g in 100 mL of thf) under constant stirring for 48 h. The solid was filtered and Soxhlet extracted with thf, EtOH and dcm until the eluent became colourless. The new catalyst, CuL'@NaY_A, in which L' is a derivative of the imidasalen ligand, was dried in an oven at 60 °C overnight under reduced pressure.

Method B: In this method, the in situ synthesis involves two steps. In the first step, cation exchange in NaY zeolite was performed with an aqueous solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate (0.0640 g, 0.32 mmol per 2.0 g of NaY, previously dried at 150 °C for 12 h). The resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature and a pale-blue suspension was obtained. The solid was filtered and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h and finally dried in vacuo for 2 h. The copper-exchanged (Cu-NaY) zeolite (1.80 g) was suspended in a solution of imida-salen (103 mg, 0.27 mmol) in thf (65 mL). The resulting solid was filtered and washed with deionised water and EtOH, and then dried at 60 °C under reduced pressure

overnight. The solid was then Soxhlet extracted with thf, EtOH and dcm until the eluent became colourless. The new catalyst $CuL@NaY_B$, in which L is the imida-salen ligand, was dried in the oven at 60 °C overnight under reduced pressure.

Characterisation Methods: NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Unity Plus spectrometer at an operating frequency of 300 MHz for ¹H NMR and 75.4 MHz for ¹³C NMR or with a Bruker Avance III 400 spectrometer at an operating frequency of 400 MHz for ¹H NMR and 100.6 MHz for ¹³C NMR using the solvent peak as an internal reference at 25 °C. Powder EPR spectra of the free and heterogeneous catalysts were collected with a Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer at -196 °C using a finger Dewar inserted into a Bruker standard ER4102ST cavity. The microwave frequency was around 9.42 GHz. Before acquisition of the EPR spectra, the samples were dried overnight at 120 °C. Unpolarized Raman spectra were collected at room temperature with excitation radiation in the visible range. Emission lines of 488 and 514.5 nm from an argon laser were used. The silicon transverse optical (TO) mode at 521 cm⁻¹ was used as calibration frequency reference. A prism monochromator was used to avoid the incidence of argon laser plasma lines on the sample. The experiments were performed by using a Jobin Yvon T64000 spectrometer with an optical microanalysis system and a CCD detector. The spectral resolution was approximately 1 cm⁻¹ within a backscattering geometry scattering configuration. A $100 \times$ objective was employed to focus the laser light. To avoid sample damage the incident power was kept under 4 mW with a spot diameter of approximately 1 µm. To verify the reproducibility of the experiments several measurements were carried out at the same point with different time exposure to certify that the experimental conditions did not alter the physical properties of the samples. In addition, Raman spectra were collected from different regions of each sample to verify their homogeneity. Elemental analysis (C, H, N) were carried out with a Leco CHNS-932 analyser. HRMS were obtained with a GV AutoSpec spectrometer using *m*-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) as matrix. The copper in the free complex was analysed by a spectrophotometric method. The copper loading in the zeolitic samples was evaluated by the SMEWW 3120 method using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) with an ICP-AES Horiba Jobin Yvon Ultima instrument at the Laboratório de Análises of the Instituto Superior Técnico, Portugal. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns (PXRD) were recorded with a Philips Analytical X-ray PW1710 BASED diffractometer system. The solid samples were exposed to $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation at room temperature in the 2θ range of 5–65°. The relative crystallinity of the heterogeneous catalysts was estimated by comparing the intensities of their peaks with those of NaY used as a standard sample (100% crystalline). The total intensities of the six peaks assigned to [331], [511], [440], [533], [642] and [555] reflections were used for comparison by the ASTM D-3906-80 method. The unit cell parameters (a_0) were calculated from the [533], [642] and [555] reflection peak positions using quartz as an internal standard by the ASTM D-3942-80 method. FTIR spectra were obtained in the range of 500-4000 cm⁻¹ with a BOMEM MB10 spectrophotometer by using KBr pellets; the materials were mixed in a 1:150 ratio with KBr. All spectra were collected at room temperature with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 32 scans. The electronic UV/Vis absorption spectra of the free compounds were collected in the range 200-600 nm in dmf, dcm and EtOH with a Shimadzu UV/2501PC spectrophotometer using quartz cells at room temperature. The GC-FID chromatograms were obtained with a SRI 8610C chromatograph equipped with a CP-Sil 8C capillary column. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas. The reaction products were identified by GC-MS using a Varian 4000 Performance chromatograph.



Computational Details: The geometries of all the possible species assumed by the copper(II) complexes were characterized by a quantum mechanical method based on DFT. The Becke's B3LYP threeparameter exchange-correlation hybrid functional with non-local correlation corrections provided by Lee, Yang, and Parr was used.^[66-69] The double-zeta Pople basis set 6-31G(d,p) was employed, which ensures a superior electronic description by adding polarization functions of the p-, d- and, especially, f-type for all hydrogen, non-hydrogen and metal atoms, respectively. Full geometry optimizations of the molecular systems were performed in the gas phase without any symmetry restrictions. The geometry optimizations were always started with the metal ion out of the plane defined by the two oxygen and two nitrogen donor atoms to avoid metastable structures. The vibrational frequencies of all studied complexes were calculated at the same DFT level by employing a harmonic model and considering the molecules to be isolated in the gas phase. No imaginary frequencies were obtained, which confirms that the molecular structures were optimized to local minima on the potential energy surface.

All geometry optimizations as well as energy and frequency calculations were performed by using the Gaussian 09 package of programs.^[70] Graphical representations of the optimized structures and molecular orbitals were produced with the MOLEKEL 4.3^[71] and Gauss-View 5.0 molecular visualization programs.^[72]

Catalytic Oxidations: All catalysts were dehydrated in vacuo for 2 h at 120 °C prior to their use in the catalytic reactions. All blank experiments were performed under the same conditions as the catalysed reactions.

Oxidation of Cyclohexanol: The reaction was carried out in acetonitrile (4.0 mL) at 40 °C (±5 °C) under constant stirring with cyclohexanol (0.6 mL, 5.8 mmol, substrate), chlorobenzene (0.6 mL, 5.9 mmol, internal standard) and the heterogeneous catalyst (0.10 g). The oxygen source, tBuOOH (2.0 mL of 5.5 м in decane solution), was progressively added to the reaction medium at a rate of 0.1 mL min⁻¹. The progress of the reaction was monitored as a function of time by withdrawing portions of the sample at fixed time intervals and analysing them by gas chromatography. The identities of the products were confirmed by comparison with authentic samples or by GC-MS. The products were quantitatively determined by using an internal standard. At the end of each run, the heterogeneous catalysts were sequentially extracted/centrifuged with ethanol $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and acn $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, and then dried in an oven at 100 °C overnight before the new catalytic cycle or characterization. The catalytic activity of the homogeneous counterpart, the [Cu(imida-salen)] complex, was also tested.

Oxidation of Phenol: The reaction was carried out in acetonitrile (6.0 mL) at 70 °C (\pm 5 °C) under constant stirring with phenol (0.09 g, 1.0 mmol, substrate), chlorobenzene (0.11 g, 1.0 mmol, internal standard) and the heterogeneous catalyst (0.10 g). The oxygen source, *t*BuOOH (0.2 mL of 5.5 M in decane solution), was progressively added to the reaction medium at a rate of 0.02 mLmin⁻¹. The reaction products were analysed and identified as mentioned above. The catalytic activity of the free complex under homogeneous catalysts were sequentially extracted/centrifuged with ethanol (3×10 mL) and acetonitrile (2×10 mL), and then dried in an oven at 100 °C overnight before the new catalytic cycle or characterization.

Oxidation of Hydroquinone: The reaction was carried out in distilled water (8.5 mL) under constant stirring with hydroquinone [1.5 mL of an aqueous solution of hydroquinone, $c = 3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ m (6.75 × 10⁻³ mmol)], the heterogeneous catalyst (0.10 g) and 30%

 H_2O_2 as the oxygen source. During the experiments, aliquots (0.3 mL) were removed from the solution with a hypodermic syringe, filtered through 0.2 µm syringe filters and the absorbance was measured at $\lambda = 288$ nm using a quartz cuvette (l = 0.1 cm). At the end of each run, the heterogeneous catalysts were sequentially extracted/centrifuged with EOH (3×10 mL) and acn (2×10 mL), and then dried in an oven at 100 °C overnight and characterized.

Acknowledgments

I. K. B. thanks the Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) for a contract under the program Ciência 2007. The authors are grateful to Dr. A. S. Azevedo for collecting the powder diffraction data. The authors thank the FCT and the European Fund for Regional Development (FEDER, COMPETE-QREN-EU) for financial support to the Research Centre (CQ/UM PEst-C/QUI/UI0686/2011, FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022716) and to the financial support from the NMR Portuguese Network (PT NMR, Bruker Avance III 400, Univ. of Minho).

- X.-G. Meng, J. Zhu, J. Yan, J.-Q. Xie, X.-M. Kou, X.-F. Kuang, L.-F. Yu, X.-C. Zeng, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2006, 81, 2–7.
- [2] O. P. Pestunova, O. L. Ogorodnikova, V. N. Parmon, Chem. Sustainable Dev. 2003, 11, 227–232.
- [3] S. Caudo, G. Centi, Ch. Genovese, S. Perathoner, *Appl. Catal.* B 2007, 70, 437–446.
- [4] S. Martínez-Méndez, Y. Henríquez, O. Domínguez, L. D'Ornelas, H. Krentzien, J. Mol. Catal. A 2006, 252, 226–234.
- [5] A. Castellan, J. C. J. Bart, S. Cavallaro, *Catal. Today* 1991, 9, 285–299.
- [6] a) G. B. Shulpin, M. G. Matthes, V. B. Romakh, M. I. F. Barbosa, J. L. T. Aoyagi, D. Mandelli, *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 2143–2152; b) M. Jhansi, L. Kishore, K. A. Anand, A. Kumar, *Catal. Commun.* 2008, 10, 285–290; c) S. K. Jana, Y. Kubota, T. Tatsumi, *J. Catal.* 2008, 255, 40–47.
- [7] a) I. Manninger, Z. Paál, P. Tétényi, J. Catal. 1977, 48, 442–444; b) T. Szilágyi, A. Sárkány, J. Mink, P. Tétényi, J. Catal. 1980, 66, 191–199.
- [8] D. J. Cole-Hamilton, R. P. Tooze, Catalyst Separation, Recovery and Recycling: Chemistry and Process Design, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [9] P. Barbaro, F. Liguori, *Heterogenized Homogeneous Catalysts* for Fine Chemicals Production: Materials and Processes, Springer, Dordrecht, 2010.
- [10] A. Corma, H. Garcia, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 6, 1143-1164.
- [11] M. Choi, Z. Wu, E. Iglesia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9129– 9137.
- [12] I. Kuźniarska-Biernacka, O. Rodrigues, M. A. Carvalho, P. Parpot, K. Biernacki, A. L. Magalhães, A. M. Fonseca, I. C. Neves, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, 2768–2776.
- [13] N. Nunes, R. Amaro, F. Costa, E. Rombi, M. A. Carvalho, I. C. Neves, A. M. Fonseca, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 12, 1682–1689.
- [14] I. Kuźniarska-Biernacka, K. Biernacki, A. L. Magalhães, A. M. Fonseca, I. C. Neves, J. Catal. 2011, 278, 102–110.
- [15] P. Khemthong, W. Klysubun, S. Prayoonpokarach, J. Wittayakun, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 121, 131–137.
- [16] K. C. Gupta, A. Kumar Sutar, C.-C. Lin, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 1926–1946.
- [17] C. E. Song, S. Lee, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 3495–3524.
- [18] P. Parpot, C. Teixeira, A. M. Almeida, C. Ribeiro, I. C. Neves, A. M. Fonseca, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2009, 117, 297–303.
- [19] K. K. Bania, R. C. Deka, J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 14295– 14310.



- [20] T. M. Salama, A. H. Ahmed, Z. M. El-Bahy, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2006, 89, 251–259.
- [21] W. Lutz, C. H. Ruscher, D. Heidemann, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2002, 55, 193–202.
- [22] G. F. Ghesti, J. L. de Macedo, V. C. I. Parente, J. A. Dias, S. C. L. Dias, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2007, 100, 27– 34.
- [23] I. Nakamura, N. Shiraiwa, R. Kanazawa, M. Terada, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4198–4200.
- [24] H. Figueiredo, B. Silva, M. M. M. Raposo, A. M. Fonseca, I. C. Neves, C. Quintelas, T. Tavares, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2008, 109, 163–171.
- [25] A. Vogt, S. Wołowiec, R. L. Prasad, A. Gupta, J. Skarżewski, *Polyhedron* **1998**, *17*, 1231–1240.
- [26] a) J. V. Bakboord, M. J. Cook, E. Hamuryudan, J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines 2000, 4, 510–517; b) A. Yahay-Zadeh, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 39, 1649–1651.
- [27] R. M. Silverstein, F. X. Webster, Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 6th ed. Wiley, New York, 1996.
- [28] K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra in Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Part II. Application in Coordination, Organometallic and Bioinorganic Chemistry, 5th ed., Wiley, New York, 1997.
- [29] S. Morin, P. Ayrault, N. S. Gnep, M. Guisnet, *Appl. Catal. A* 1998, 166, 281–292.
- [30] K. Tanaka, C.-K. Choo, Y. Komatsu, K. Hamaguchi, M. Yamaki, T. Itoh, T. Nishigaya, R. Nakata, K. Morimoto, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 2501–2508.
- [31] C. Sedlmair, B. Gil, K. Seshan, A. Jentys, J. A. Lercher, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2003, *5*, 1897–1905.
- [32] B. Dutta, S. Jana, R. Bera, P. K. Saha, S. Koner, *Appl. Catal.* A 2007, 318, 89–94.
- [33] P. J. Carl, S. Larsen, J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 6568-6575.
- [34] S. Seelan, A. K. Sinha, Appl. Catal. A 2003, 238, 201–209.
- [35] C. Teixeira, P. Pescarmona, M. A. Carvalho, A. M. Fonseca, I. C. Neves, *New J. Chem.* 2008, 32, 2263–2269.
- [36] S. Seelan, A. K. Sinha, D. Srinivas, S. Sivasanker, J. Mol. Catal. A 2000, 157, 163–171.
- [37] B. Silva, H. Figueiredo, V. P. Santos, M. F. R. Pereira, J. L. Figueiredo, A. E. Lewandowska, M. A. Banãres, I. C. Neves, T. Tavares, J. Hazard. Mater. 2011, 192, 545–553.
- [38] a) R. I. Kureshy, N. H. Khan, S. H. R. Abdi, I. Ahmad, S. Singh, R. V. Jasra, *J. Catal.* 2004, 221, 234–240; b) Q.-H. Fan, Y.-M. Li, A. S. C. Chan, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, *102*, 3385–3466.
- [39] K. Morokuma, J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 55, 1236–1244.
- [40] K. Kitaura, K. Morokuma, Int. Quantum Chem. 1976, 10, 325–340.
- [41] H. Umeyama, K. Morokuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1316–1332.
- [42] R. G. Pearson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1986, 83, 8440– 8441.
- [43] J. Taghavimoghaddam, G. P. Knowles, A. L. Chaffee, J. Mol. Catal. A 2012, 358, 79–88.
- [44] I. Kuźniarska-Biernacka, A. M. Fonseca, I. C. Neves, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2013, 394, 591–597.
- [45] M. Alizadeh, F. Farzaneh, M. Ghandi, J. Mol. Catal. A 2003, 194, 283–287.
- [46] M. L. Parentis, N. A. Bonini, E. E. Gonzo, *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* 2002, 76, 243–248.
- [47] R. Jothiramalingam, B. Viswanathan, T. K. Varadarajan, J. Mol. Catal. A 2006, 252, 49–55.
- [48] X. Liu, C. M. Friend, Langmuir 2010, 26, 16552-16557.

- [49] D. Duprez, F. Delanoë, J. Barbier Jr, P. Isnard, G. Blanchard, *Catal. Today* 1996, 29, 317–322.
- [50] E. A. Karakhanov, A. L. Maximov, Y. S. Kardasheva, V. A. Skorkin, S. V. Kardashev, E. A. Ivanova, E. Lurie-Luke, J. A. Seeley, S. L. Cron, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2010, 49, 4607–4613.
- [51] M. R. Maurya, S. J. J. Titinchi, S. Chand, Appl. Catal. A 2002, 228, 177–187.
- [52] M. R. Maurya, A. K. Chandrakar, S. Chand, J. Mol. Catal. A 2007, 263, 227–237.
- [53] M. R. Maurya, S. J. J. Titinchi, S. Chand, J. Mol. Catal. A 2003, 193, 165–176.
- [54] P. K. Saha, B. Dutta, S. Jana, R. Bera, S. Saha, K. Okamoto, S. Koner, *Polyhedron* 2007, 26, 563–571.
- [55] B. P. Nethravathi, K. N. Mahendra, J. Porous Mater. 2010, 17, 107–113.
- [56] T. H. Bennur, D. Srinivas, P. Ratnasamy, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2001**, *48*, 111–118, and references cited therein.
- [57] H. S. Abbo, S. J. J. Titinchi, *Top. Catal.* **2010**, *53*, 254–264.
- [58] M. R. Maurya, C. Haldary, S. Behlz, N. Kamathamy, F. Avecilla, J. Coord. Chem. 2011, 64, 2995–3011.
- [59] K. C. Gupta, A. K. Sutar, Polym. Adv. Technol. 2008, 19, 186– 200.
- [60] Y. Wu, L.-G. Qiu, W. Wang, Z.-Q. Li, T. Xu, Z.-Y. Wu, X. Jiang, Trans. Met. Chem. 2009, 34, 263–268.
- [61] M. R. Maurya, S. Sikarwar, J. Mol. Catal. A 2007, 263, 175– 185.
- [62] I. A. Owsik, B. N. Kolarz, Catal. Today 2004, 91-92, 199-204.
- [63] A. Jakubiak, I. A. Owsik, B. N. Kolarz, *React. Funct. Polym.* 2005, 65, 161–167.
- [64] I. W. C. E. Arends, R. A. Sheldon, *Appl. Catal. A* 2001, 212, 175–187.
- [65] M. J. Alves, B. L. Booth, A. P. Freitas, M. F. Proença, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 913–917.
- [66] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- [67] A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- [68] C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- [69] P. J. Stevens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623–11627.
- [70] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, revision A.1, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- [71] P. Flükiger, H. P. Lüthi, S. Portmann, J. Weber, *MOLEKEL* 4.3, *Molecular Visualization Software*, Swiss Center for Scientific Computing, Manno, Switzerland, 2000.
- [72] R. Dennington, T. Keith, J. Millam, *GaussView*, version 5, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2009.

Received: May 23, 2013 Published Online: September 11, 2013