
This article was downloaded by: [York University Libraries]

On: 29 December 2014, At: 08:16

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office:

Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcar20

# Two Unusual Carbohydrate Reactions: Nucleophilic Ring Opening of an Anhydrosugar and Reductive Elimination with Co-occurring Hydrogenation

Karsten Krohn <sup>a</sup> , Ishtiaq Ahmed <sup>a</sup> & Mohammed Al Sahli <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany Published online: 07 Aug 2008.

To cite this article: Karsten Krohn, Ishtiaq Ahmed & Mohammed Al Sahli (2008) Two Unusual Carbohydrate Reactions: Nucleophilic Ring Opening of an Anhydrosugar and Reductive Elimination with Co-occurring Hydrogenation, Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 27:6, 379-387, DOI: 10.1080/07328300802272389

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328300802272389

#### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 27:379–387, 2008 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0732-8303 print 1532-2327 online DOI: 10.1080/07328300802272389



# Two Unusual Carbohydrate Reactions: Nucleophilic Ring Opening of an Anhydrosugar and Reductive Elimination with Co-occurring Hydrogenation

Karsten Krohn, Ishtiaa Ahmed, and Mohammed Al Sahli

Department of Chemistry, University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany

Treatment of the 1,6-anhydrosugar epoxide **5** with a cyano-Gilman cuprate [(CuCN (6 eq.), MeLi (12 eq.)] surprisingly led to the open chain rearranged (2S,3R)-1,2-dihydroxy-3,6-dimethylheptan-4-one (**7**), structurally confirmed by conversion to the corresponding diacetate **8**. Another unusual reaction was found by hydrogenation of the 2-tosyl-1-bromosugar **11**, leading in one operation to the twofold deoxygenated chiral pyran derivative **14**. This procedure might prove to be useful in the rapid deoxygenation of sugar derivatives.

**Keywords** Cyano cuprates, Epoxide opening, 1,6-Anhydro sugars, Hydrogenation, Deoxygenation

#### INTRODUCTION

In connection with our ongoing investigation on the use of carbohydrates as building blocks for complex natural products, <sup>[1,2]</sup> we investigated further reactions of anhydrosugar derivatives. In the course of these transformations, by serendipity, we observed two unusual transformations that are mechanistically interesting and may be of general use with related substrates.

Received April 28, 2008; accepted June 13, 2008.

Address correspondence to Karsten Krohn, Department of Chemistry, University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany. E-mail: k.krohn@uni-paderborn.de

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Epoxide Opening**

Aiming at new chiral functionalized methyl-branched stereotriads as building blocks for macrolides, the opening of sugar epoxides with methyl cuprates was one of the key reactions. Thus, in the first of the unusual reactions described here, we investigated the reaction of epoxide **5** with the cyano-Gilman cuprate or Lipshutz "higher-order" methyl cyanocuprate. The epoxide **5** was prepared using a known sequence starting with levoglucosan (1)<sup>[4]</sup> via the bis-tosylate **2** and the galacto epoxide **3** (Sch. 1). [5,6] The opening of the epoxide **3** was achieved by reaction with methylmagnesium bromide at  $-44^{\circ}$ C to  $0^{\circ}$ C, catalyzed with a small amount of CuI, to afford the methylated alcohol **4** regioselectively. [5] The key epoxide **5** was then generated nearly quantitatively by base (NaH) catalyzed ring closure. [7,8]

To arrive at the dimethyl anhydro sugar **6**, a useful macrolide building block, <sup>[8]</sup> the epoxide **5** was first reacted with the Gilman cuprate Me<sub>2</sub>CuLi (generated from MeLi and CuI) as the methyl nucleophile and the expected methyl adduct **6** was formed stereo- and regioselectively and isolated in 67% yield. <sup>[5,8,9]</sup> In a second reaction, the conversion with the cyano-Gilman cuprate was also tested since these cuprates have been shown to have unusual reactivity toward sugar epoxides. <sup>[1,2]</sup> Surprisingly, in addition to the expected compound **6** (31%), a very polar ring opened product was also formed as the major product and identified as the ketone **7** in 67% yield (Sch. 1). In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **7**, the signal for a tertiary carbon atom at C-1 at 101 ppm is shifted to 48 ppm. Furthermore, a signal for a new quaternary carbon atom for C-3 at 215 ppm was typical for a carbonyl group and also signals at 51 ppm for a secondary carbon at C-2 and at 22.4 ppm and 22.5 ppm for two primary carbons at C-1 could be detected. To further

**Scheme 1:** a) TsCl (2.6 eq.), pyridine/acetone (1:1, 2 h, 71%); b) NaOMe (2 eq.), MeOH, 4 h, 96%; c) MeMgCl (4 eq.), Cul (kat.), THF,  $-44^{\circ}$ C to  $0^{\circ}$ C 72%; d) NaH, THF,  $0^{\circ}$ C, 4 h, RT, 96%; e) Cul (5 eq), MeLi (10 eq.), Et<sub>2</sub>O/THF,  $-76^{\circ}$ C to  $20^{\circ}$ C, 12 h, 67%; f) CuCN (6 eq.), MeLi (12 eq.), Et<sub>2</sub>O/THF,  $-78^{\circ}$ C to  $0^{\circ}$ C, 2 h  $20^{\circ}$ C: **6**, 63%, **7**, 31%; g) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyridine, DMAP, 71%.

confirm the unusual structure, the diol was acetylated. However, under the action of the basic dimethylaminopyridine, the methyl group in the  $\alpha$ -position to the carbonyl was epimerized to a 1:1 mixture of the diacetates 8. However, the gross structure of 7 was confirmed by all spectroscopic data, in particular the mass spectra and the typical downfield shift of the signals for the acetylated hydrogens by ca. 1 ppm in the  $^1$ H NMR spectrum.

The mechanism of the reaction is not entirely clear. However, reaction of the cyclic acetal, activated by the mild cuprate Lewis acid, with a methyl nucleophile may be the first step. This could be followed by rearrangement of the epoxide to a ketone,  $\beta$ -elimination with opening of the pyran ring to an enone, and Michael addition to the enone to yield the dimethylated product 7.

## **Hydrogenative Deoxygenation**

In the second part, we describe a new variation to remove two heteroatoms (originally sugar oxygen atoms) from sugar derivatives in one easily performed hydrogenative step. The reaction was found in an attempt to synthesize naturally occurring pyrans such as **9**, isolated together with a number of stereoisomers by Reátegui et al.<sup>[10]</sup> from the fungus *Ophioceras venezuelense* (Sch. 2). In our synthetic scheme, we planned to use the same intermediate **3**, which should provide the 4-deoxy sugar **10** under reductive conditions. In the remaining steps, the three oxygen functions at C-1, C-2, and C-5 had to be removed.

The first step of reduction of the epoxide in **3** was described by Černý et al., who employed sodium borohydride in dimethoxyethane and boron trifluoride catalysis. <sup>[11]</sup> This last procedure provided the deoxygenation product **10** in 92% yield. Opening of the anhydro bridge with hydrogen bromide in acetic acid gave a mixture of the bromo acetate **11** and the dibromide **12** in 45% and 34% yield, respectively. <sup>[12]</sup> We attempted several methods for the reductive

**Scheme 2:** a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, 50°C, 92%; b) 33% HBr in CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, (CH<sub>3</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O, 24 h 20°C, 6 h reflux: **11**: 45%; **12**: 34%; c) Raney nickel, H<sub>2</sub>, EtOH, RT, 30 min, 64%.

removal of the bromides in **11** and **12**. Whereas the dibromide reacted to form a complex mixture of different products not yet fully analyzed, the Raney nickel-catalyzed hydrogenation in ethanol of the monobromide **11** rapidly led to one single isolable product. Surprisingly, not only the anomeric bromine was reduced, but also the neighboring tosylate group. Normally, carbohydrate tosylates are not easily reduced by hydrogenation. We assume that the anomeric bromine plays an active part in this transformation. Related to the sodium iodide-mediated elimination of 1,2-bis-tosylates, the attack of hydrogen on the bromine atom may induce elimination to the intermediate olefin **13** that is hydrogenated to the pyran **14**. The process may as well proceed via radical intermediates, not shown in Scheme 2. The reaction might prove useful in the rapid and easy 1,2-deoxygenation of sugar derivatives, in particular for generation of chiral tetrahydropyran derivatives.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **General**

Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated TLC plates (silica gel). Melting points were measured with a Gallenkamp apparatus and are not corrected. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 at the following frequencies:  $500.13~\rm MHz~(^{1}H)$  and  $125.76~\rm MHz~(^{13}C)$ . Chemical shifts of  $^{1}H$  and  $^{13}C~\rm NMR$  spectra are reported in ppm downfield from TMS as an internal standard. Optical rotations were measured at  $25^{\circ}C~\rm cm$  a Perkin-Elmer Polarimeter 241. Mass spectra were recorded using a Finnigan MAT 8430 spectrometer in the electron-impact mode at  $70~\rm eV$  and chemical ionization, and are reported as m/z values and relative abundances. The infrared spectra were recorded using a FT-IR Spectrometer Nicolet  $510~\rm P.$ 

# **4-Methyl-1,6:2,3-dianhydro-**β-D-mannopyranose (5)

The epoxide was generated from the known hydroxy to sylate 4<sup>[5]</sup> in a modification using sodium hydride instead of MeONa. A solution of 4 (7.00 g, 21.4 mmol) in THF (300 mL) was treated at 0°C with NaH (60% in oil, 1.7 g, 31.1 mmol) in THF (60 mL). The mixture was stirred 3 h at 20°C. For workup, an aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the product was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 30 mL), the organic phase was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The epoxide was purified by chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/EtOAc 7:3 as the eluent to afford **5** as an oil (3.03 g, 19.5 mmol, 96%). [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = -23.2° (c = 1.43, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), (ref. [5] -24.6° (c = 1.57, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.20 (d,  $J_{7,4}$  = 7.4 Hz, 3H, 7-H), 2.00 (m, 1H, 4-H), 2.76 (dd,  $J_{3,2}$  = 4.0 Hz,  $J_{3,4}$  = 0.6 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.26 (m, 1H, 2-H), 3.55–3.60 (m, 2H, 5-H, 6-H<sub>a</sub>), 4.00 (m, 1H, 6-H<sub>b</sub>), 5.54 (d,  $J_{1,2}=3.2$  Hz, 1H, 1-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 16.7 (q, C-7), 34.6 (d, C-4), 51.6 (d, C-2), 53.9 (d, C-3), 68.7 (t, C-6), 73.7 (d, C-5), 98.3 (d, C-1). MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 142 (56) [M<sup>+</sup>], 101 (55), 98 (44), 97 (12), 83 (65), 73 (50), 71 (70), 57 (100), 55 (98), 47 (60), 43 (57), 39 (40). IR (Film):  $\tilde{v}=2962$  (s, C-H), 2957 (s, C-H), 2889 (s, C-H), 2360 (w, O-C-O), 1458 (s, C-H), 1381 (s, C-H), 1170 (s, C-H), 1127, (s, C-O), 1034 (s, C-O), 1003 (s, C-O), 998 (s, C-O).

## 2,4-Dimethyl-1,6-anhydro-β-D-glucopyranose (6)

A suspension of CuI (5.3 g, 28 mmol) in dry THF (25 mL) was treated at  $-10^{\circ}$ C under argon with methyl lithium (40 mL, 1.6 M, 55 mmol). After stirring this mixture for 10 min at 0°C, the epoxide 5 (1.0 g, 7 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. After 40 min at 0°C, stirring was continued at 20°C for 12 h. The solution was diluted with diethyl ether (50 mL) and quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (50 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 10 mL), the combined organic phases dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (elution petroleum ether/EtOAc 7:3) to afford 6 as a colorless oil (690 mg, 4.34 mmol, 67%).  $[\alpha]_D = -63.3^{\circ} \ (c = 1.13, \text{ CHCl}_3), \text{ (ref. [5] } -62.4^{\circ} \ (c = 0.82, \text{ CHCl}_3)).$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.06 (d,  $J_{8.2} = 6.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 8-H), 1.20 (d,  $J_{7,4} = 7.6 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 7-H), 1.74 (m, 2H, 2-H, 4-H), 2.65 (brs, 1H, OH), 3.34 (s, 1H, 3-H), 3.72 (dd,  $J_{6a,5} = 5.2 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{6a,6b} = 6.9 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, 6-H), 4.15 (d,  $J_{6b,6a} = 6.9 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, 6-H), 4.26 (m, 1H, 5-H), 5.23 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 13.2 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, 1-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 16.3 (q, C-8), 18.8 (q, C-7), 41.0 (d, C-4), 43.0 (d, C-2), 68.5 (t, C-6), 75.4 (d, C-3), 78.0 (d, C-5), 105.0 (d, C-1). IR (Film):  $\tilde{v} = 3505$  (brs, O-H), 2960 (m, C-H), 2918 (m, C-H), 2898 (m, C-H), 1503 (w, C-H), 1377 (m, C-H), 1268 (s, C-H), 1170 (s, C-O), 1093 (s, C-O), 1063 (s, C-O).

# (2S,3R)-1,2-Dihydroxy-3,6-dimethylheptan-4-one (7)

In a two-neck flask, CuCN (1.88 g, 21 mmol, 6 eq.) was suspended under argon in dry diethyl ether (50 mL). The mixture was cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and treated with methyl lithium (1.6 M in diethyl ether, 26.2 mL, 42 mmol, 12 eq.) and stirred for 15 min at 0°C. The clear solution was then cooled to  $-78^{\circ}$ C and a solution of the epoxide **5** (0.50 g, 3.5 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) was added by means of a syringe. The reaction vessel was maintained for 1 h at this temperature and then warmed to 20°C. The starting material was converted after 2 h (TLC monitoring) and the reaction was quenched by addition of water (5 mL) and aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (25 mL). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL), the combined organic phases

dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was separated by column chromatography on silica gel (elution with petroleum ether/EtOAc 7:3) to afford 7 (63%) as the major product together with 31% of the cyclic dimethylanhydro sugar 6 (31%), both as colorless oils.

 $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -26.5^{\circ} \quad (c = 0.87, \quad {\rm MeOH}). \quad {}^{1}{\rm H} \quad {\rm NMR} \quad (500 \, {\rm MHz}, \quad {\rm CDCl_3}): \quad 0.86 \\ ({\rm d}, \quad J_{8,6} = 6.9 \, {\rm Hz}, \quad 3{\rm H}, \quad 8{\rm -H}), \quad 0.88 \quad ({\rm d}, \quad J_{7,6} = 6.9 \, {\rm Hz}, \quad 3{\rm H}, \quad 7{\rm -H}), \quad 1.03 \\ ({\rm d}, \quad J_{9,3} = 15.4 \, {\rm Hz}, \quad 3{\rm H}, \quad 9{\rm -H}), \quad 2.09 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad 1{\rm H}, \quad 6{\rm -H}), \quad 2.35 \quad ({\rm d}, \quad J_{5,6} = 6.8 \, {\rm Hz}, \quad 2{\rm H}, \\ 5{\rm -H}), \quad 2.71 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad 1{\rm H}, \quad 3{\rm -H}), \quad 3.50 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad 1{\rm H}, \quad 1{\rm a-H}), \quad 3.64 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad 1{\rm H}, \quad 2{\rm -H}), \quad 3.77 \quad ({\rm br}, \quad 1{\rm H}, \\ 1{\rm b-H}). \quad {}^{13}{\rm C} \quad {\rm NMR} \quad (125 \, {\rm MHz}, \quad {\rm CDCl_3}): \quad 11.5 \quad ({\rm q}, \quad {\rm C-9}), \quad 22.4 \quad ({\rm q}, \quad {\rm C-8}), \quad 22.5 \quad ({\rm q}, \quad {\rm C-7}), \\ 23.9 \quad ({\rm d}, \quad {\rm C-6}), \quad 48.2 \quad ({\rm d}, \quad {\rm C-3}), \quad 51.5 \quad ({\rm t}, \quad {\rm C-5}), \quad 64.7 \quad ({\rm t}, \quad {\rm C-1}), \quad 73.7 \quad ({\rm d}, \quad {\rm C-2}), \quad 215.2 \\ ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-4}). \quad {\rm IR} \quad ({\rm Film}): \quad \tilde{v} = 3505 \quad ({\rm brs}, \quad {\rm O-H}), \quad 2968 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 2910 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 2897 \\ ({\rm m}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 1713 \quad ({\rm C=O}), \quad 1376 \quad ({\rm m}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 1267 \quad ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 1168 \quad ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-O}), \quad 1093 \\ ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-O}), \quad 1063 \quad ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-O}), \quad 989 \quad ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad 860 \quad ({\rm s}, \quad {\rm C-H}), \quad {\rm MS} \quad ({\rm EI}, \quad 70 \quad {\rm eV}): \quad m/z \\ (\%) = 175 \quad (7) \quad [{\rm M} + {\rm H}]^+, \quad 157 \quad (42), \quad 103 \quad (9), \quad 99 \quad (13), \quad 85 \quad (76), \quad 57 \quad (87), \quad 43 \quad (100). \\ {\rm MS} \quad ({\rm CI}, \quad 70 \quad {\rm eV}): \quad m/z \quad (\%) = 175 \quad (13) \quad [{\rm M} + {\rm H}]^+, \quad 157 \quad (60), \quad 141 \quad (60), \quad 57 \quad (100), \quad 85 \quad (2), \quad 57 \quad (87), \quad 43 \quad (23). \\ \end{array}$ 

## (2S,3R)-1,2-Diacetoxy-3,6-dimethylheptan-4-one (8)

A solution of diol 7 in pyridine (0.5 mL) was treated with acetic anhydride (0.1 mL) and DMAP (10 mg). The solution was kept at 20°C for 2 h, quenched by addition of 2 N HCl (2 mL), and extracted with diethyl ether (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (elution petroleum ether/EtOAc 7:3) to afford the diacetate as an oil (18.3 mg, 71%).  $[\alpha]_D = +22.44^{\circ}$  (c = 0.18, MeOH).  $^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.89$  (d,  $J_{8,6} = 4.2$  Hz, 3H, 8-H), 0.90 (d,  $J_{8.6} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 8-H), 0.91 (d,  $J_{7.6} = 4.6 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 7-H), 0.92(d,  $J_{7,6} = 4.6 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 7-H), 1.08 (d,  $J_{9,3} = 7.2 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ , 3H, 9-H), (d,  $J_{9.3} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H, 9-H), 2.00 (s, 3H, 10-H), 2.04 (s, 3H, 10-H), 2.05 (s, 3H, 11-H), 2.06 (s, 3H, 11-H), 2.11 (m, 1H, 6-H), 2.15 (m, 1H, 6-H), 2.35  $(d, J_{5,6} = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 5-H), 2.36 \text{ (br, 2H, 5-H)}, 2.84 \text{ (m, 1H, 3-H)}, 2.93 \text{ (m, 1H, 3-H)}$ 3-H), 4.06 (dd,  $J_{1a,1b} = 5.7$  Hz,  $J_{1a,2} = 11.8$  Hz 1H, 1a-H), 4.10 (dd,  $J_{1a,2} = 11.8 \text{ Hz}$  1H, 1a-H), 4.26 (dd,  $J_{1b,1a} = 3.4 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{1a,1b} = 5.3 \text{ Hz},$  $J_{1b,2} = 12.4 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H}, \text{ 1b-H}), \text{ } 4.37 \text{ } (\text{dd}, J_{1b,1a} = 2.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{1b,2} = 12.4 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H}, \text{ 1b-H}),$ 5.24 (m, 1H, 2-H), 5.33 (m, 1H, 2-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 12.3$ (q, C-9), 12.3 (q, C-9), 20.6 (q, C-10), 20.8 (q, C-10), 22.4 (q, C-11), 22.4 (q, C-11), 22.5 (q, C-8), 22.5 (q, C-7), 24.0 (d, C-6), 24.1 (d, C-6), 46.7 (d, C-3), 46.9 (d, C-3), 50.9 (t, C-5), 50.9 (t, C-5), 62.7 (t, C-1), 63.5 (t, C-1), 71.6 (d, C-2), 72.2 (d, C-2), 169.8 (s, C-12), 170.1 (s, C-12), 170.4 (s, C-13), 170.5 (s, C-13), 210.2 (s, C-4), 210.4 (s, C-4). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 259 (3)  $[M + H]^+$ , 199 (6), 159 (25), 139 (33), 85 (100), 57 (92). MS (CI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 259 (54)  $[M + H]^+$ , 199 (16), 157(42), 139(26), 97(6), 85(100), 57(100), 33(45). IR (Film):  $\tilde{v} = 2958$  (C-H), 1747 (C=O, ester), 1461 (C-H), 1371 (C-H), 1222 (C-O).

## 1,6-Anhydro-4-deoxy-2-O-tosyl-β-D-xylohexopyranose (10)

A solution of 2-O-tosyl-1,6:3,4-dianhydro- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranose (3)<sup>[5,6]</sup> (11.00 g, 37 mmol) and sodium borohydride (5.50 g, 145 mmol) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (110 mL) was treated dropwise at 20°C over 1 h with boron trifluoride diethyl etherate (11 mL). The mixture was stirred for 20 h (TLC monitoring) and then adjusted to pH = 7 by addition of 5% HCl. The solvents were completely removed at reduced pressure and the residue was diluted with cold water (200 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 × 100 mL). The combined organic phases were dried (CaCl2) and filtered, the solvent evaporated, and the residue crystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O/PE to yield 3 (10.20 g, 35.1 mmol, 92%) as a colorless solid. m.p.  $= 89-90^{\circ}$ C (ref. [11] m.p.  $89-91^{\circ}$ C).  $[\alpha]_{\rm D} = -42^{\circ} \ (c = 1.1, \text{ CHCl}_3), \text{ (ref. [11] } -40^{\circ} \ (c = 1.0, \text{ CHCl}_3)).$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ : 1.61 (d,  $J_{4a,4b} = 15 \text{ Hz}$  1H, 4a-H), 2.20 (dd,  $J_{4b,3} = 1.3 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{4\mathrm{b.4a}} = 15.3~\mathrm{Hz},\,4\mathrm{b-H}),\,2.35~\mathrm{(s,\,3H,\,Ar-CH_3)},\,3.15~\mathrm{(brs,\,1H,\,OH)},\,3.57~\mathrm{(m,\,1H,\,CH_3)}$ 3-H), 2.38 (m, 1H, 4-H), 3.85 (m, 1H, 6b-H), 4.08 (d,  $J_{6a,6b} = 6.9$  Hz, 1H, 6a-H), 4.17 (d,  $J_{2.1} = 4.5 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H, 2-H), 4.44 (m, 1H, 5-H), 5.18 (d,  $J_{1.2} = 4.5 \text{ Hz}$ 1H, 1-H), 7.29 (d,  $J_{Ar,Ar} = 8.1$  Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (d,  $J_{Ar,Ar} = 8.3$  Hz, 2H, Ar-H) H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 21.6 (q, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>) 32.5 (t, C-4), 64.8 (d, C-3), 67.6 (t, C-6), 72.1 (d, C-5), 76.9 (d, C-2), 99.0 (d, C-1), 127.8 (d, C-Ar), 127.9 (d, C-Ar), 130.0 (d, C-Ar), 130.1 (d, C-Ar), 133.1 (s, C-Ar), 145.3 (s, C-Ar). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 300 [M<sup>+</sup>], 188 (10), 155 (38), 145 (80), 99 (100), 91 (80), 71 (64), 69 (88), 65 (30), 58 (30), 44 (41), 41 (66), 31 (20). HREIMS: Calc. for  $C_7H_{12}O_3$  300.3275. Found 300.0775.

# 3,6-O-Diacetyl-4-desoxy-2-O-tosyl-p-xyloyranosylbromide (11)

A solution of tosylate (3) (1.000 g, 3.33 mmol) in acetic acid anhydride (6.5 mL) was treated with a 33% solution of HBr in acetic acid (14.5 mL). The mixture was initially stirred for 24 h at 20°C and then heated for 6 h at 70°C (TLC monitoring). The solvent was completely removed at reduced pressure, and the residue treated with a saturated solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 100 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (2 × 30 mL) and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent removed at reduced pressure. The residue was separated by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc 1:5) to afford the monobromide 11 (696 mg, 1.5 mmol, 45%) and the dibromide 12 (542 mg, 34%), both as unstable oils. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.61 (m, 1H, 4a-H), 1.87 (s, 3H, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.04 (s, 3H, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.20 (m, 1H, 4b-H), 2.42 (s, 3H, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.11 (m, 2H, 6a-H, 6b-H),

 $\begin{array}{l} 4.29-4.43\,(\mathrm{m},\,2\mathrm{H},\,2\mathrm{-H},\,3\mathrm{-H}),\,5.29\,(\mathrm{m},\,1\mathrm{H},\,5\mathrm{-H}),\,6.42\,(\mathrm{d},\,J_{1,2}=3.9\,\mathrm{Hz},\,1\mathrm{H},\,1\mathrm{-H}),\\ 7.32\,(\mathrm{d},\,J_{\mathrm{Ar,Ar}}=8.1\,\mathrm{Hz},\,2\mathrm{H},\,\mathrm{Ar-H}),\,7.78\,(\mathrm{d},\,J_{\mathrm{Ar,Ar}}=7.8\,\mathrm{Hz},\,2\mathrm{H},\,\mathrm{Ar-H}).\,^{13}\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{NMR}\\ (50\,\mathrm{MHz},\,\,\mathrm{CDCl_3}):\,\,21.0\,\,(\mathrm{q},\,\,\mathrm{Ac-CH_3}),\,\,21.1\,\,(\mathrm{q},\,\,\mathrm{Ac-CH_3}),\,\,22.1\,\,(\mathrm{q},\,\,\mathrm{Ar-CH_3})\,\,32.3\\ (\mathrm{t},\,\,\mathrm{C-4}),\,\,64.7\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-3}),\,\,67.6\,\,(\mathrm{t},\,\,\mathrm{C-6}),\,\,70.7\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-5}),\,\,76.6\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-2}),\,\,88.4\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-1}),\\ 128.3\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,2\,\times\,\,\mathrm{C-Ar}),\,\,130.2\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-Ar}),\,\,130.3\,\,(\mathrm{d},\,\,\mathrm{C-Ar}),\,\,133.5\,\,(\mathrm{s},\,\,\mathrm{C-Ar}),\,\,145.9\\ (\mathrm{s},\,\,\mathrm{C-Ar}),\,\,170.0\,\,(\mathrm{s},\,\,\mathrm{Ac-C=O}),\,\,170.9\,\,(\mathrm{s},\,\,\mathrm{Ac-C=O}). \end{array}$ 

# Data for 3-O-Acetyl-6-bromo-2-O-tosyl-4,6-desoxy-D-glucopyranosyl bromide (12)

 $^{1}\mathrm{H}$  NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.62 (m, 1H, 4a-H), 1.87 (s, 3H, Ac-CH3), 2.18 (m, 1H, 4b-H), 2.39 (s, 3H, Ar-CH3), 3.43–3.51 (m, 2H, 6a-H, 6b-H), 4.29–4.43 (m, 2H, 2-H, 3-H), 5.17 (m, 1H, 5-H), 6.34 (d,  $J_{1,2}=3.7$  Hz 1H, 1-H), 7.37 (d,  $J_{\mathrm{Ar,Ar}}=8.1$  Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72 (d,  $J_{\mathrm{Ar,Ar}}=7.8$  Hz, 2H, Ar-H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 21.0 (q, Ac-CH3), 22.2 (q, Ar-CH<sub>3</sub>) 30.3 (t, C-6), 32.1 (t, C-4), 64.8 (d, C-3), 71.2 (d, C-5), 76.5 (d, C-2), 88.2 (d, C-1), 128.4 (d, 2  $\times$  C-Ar), 130.1 (d, C-Ar), 130.4 (d, C-Ar), 133.3 (s, C-Ar), 145.9 (s, C-Ar), 170.1 (s, Ac-C=O).

## 3,6-Di-O-acetyl-1,5-anhydro-2,4-dideoxy-D-threitol (14)

A solution of monobromide **11** (500 mg, 1.07 mmol) in ethanol (9 mL) was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.7 mL) and Raney nickel (600 mg) and the suspension was stirred under hydrogen at 20°C for 2 h. The mixture was filtered, the solvent removed at reduced pressure, and the residue purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc) to afford **14** (148 mg, 0.69 mmol, 64%) as an oil. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> = +1.5° (c 0.9, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.32 (m, 1H, 2a-H), 1.61 (m, 1H, 4a-H), 1.85–1.94 (m, 2H, 2b-H, 4b-H), 1.97 (s, 3H, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.01 (s, 3H, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.38–3.45 (m, 1H, 1a-H), 3.51–3.61 (m, 1H, 1b-H), 3.91–4.96 (m, 3H, 6a-H, 6b-H, 3-H), 4.80–4.91 (m, 1H, 5-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 21.1 (q, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.5 (q, Ac-CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.9 (t, C-4), 34.0 (t, C-2), 66.1 (t, C-1), 67.0 (t, C-6), 70.1 (d, C-3), 74.1 (d, C-5), 170.6 (s, Ac-C=O), 171.1 (s, Ac-C=O). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 216 [M<sup>+</sup>], 172 (14), 172 (13), 145 (3), 101 (18), 99 (10), 91 (25), 86 (100), 58 (33), 44 (22), 30 (34). HREIMS: Calc. C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (216.2310). Found 216.0997.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Krohn, K.; Flörke, U.; Gehle, D. Highly deoxygenated sugars I. C2-branched glucose derivatives and carbon linked deoxygenated disaccharides. J. Carbohydr. Chem. **2002**, *21*, 431–443.
- [2] Krohn, K.; Gehle, D.; Kamp, O.; van Ree, T. Highly deoxygenated sugars II. Synthesis of chiral cyclopentenes via novel carbocyclization of C-4 branched deoxysugars. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2003, 22, 377–383.

- [3] Lipshutz, B.H. Downsizing copper in modern cuprate couplings. Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 277–282.
- [4] Witczak, Z.J. Levoglucosenone and levoglucosans. Chemistry and applications. In Froniers in Biomedicine and Biotechnology; ATL Press, Mount Prospet: IL, 1994; Vol. 2.
- [5] Magdzinski, L.; Fraser-Reid, B. Some aspects of the alkylation of epoxides of 1,6anhydropyranoses. Can. J. Chem. 1988, 66, 2819-2825.
- [6] Černý, M.; Gut, V.; Pacák, J. Partielle Substitution der 1,6-Anhydro-β-D-gluco-pyranose. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1961, 26, 2542–2550.
- [7] Hodges, P.J. Procter, G. 1,6-Anhydroglucose in organic synthesis: preparation of fragments suitable for natural product synthesis. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4111–4114.
- [8] Nakamura, H.; Arata, K.; Wakamatsu, T.; Ban, Y.; Shibasaki, M. Alkylated levoglucosan in organic synthesis. A formal total synthesis of elaiophylin. Chem. Pharm. Bull. Jpn. 1990, 38, 2435–2441.
- [9] Černý, M.; Kalvoda, L.; Pacák, J. Synthesis with anhydrosugars. V. Preparation of 2,4-di-O-substituted 1,6-anhydro-β-D-hexopyranos-3-uloses and their isomerization and reduction. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1968, 33, 1143-1156.
- [10] Reátegui, R.F.; Gloer, J.B.; Campbell, J.; Shearer, C.A. Ophiocerins A-D and ophioceric acid: tetrahydropyran derivatives and an Africane sesquiterpenoid from the freshwater aquatic fungus *Ophioceras venezuelense*. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 701–705.
- [11] Trnka, T.; Budesinsky, M.; Černý, M. Improved preparation of 1,6-anhydro-4-deoxy-2-O-p-toluenesulfonyl-β-D-xylo-hexopyranose and of its D-(4-2H)gluco-analogue from 1,6:3,4-dianhydro-2-O-p-toluenesulfonyl-β-D-galactopyranose. Carbohydr. Res. 1994, 259, 131–134.
- [12] Doležalová, J.; Trnka, T.; Černý, M. Preparation of 1,6:3,4-dianhydro-β-D-altropyranose as starting substance for the synthesis of 3-substituted D-mannose derivatives. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1982, 47, 2415–2422.