Dalton Transactions

PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 16056

Cyclopalladation of telluro ether ligands: synthesis, reactivity and structural characterization†

Siddhartha Kolay,[a] Mukesh Kumar,[b] Amey Wadawale,[a] Dasarathi Das[a] and Vimal K. Jain*[a]

Treatment of $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ with diaryl telluride in 1 : 2 molar ratio gave mononuclear palladium complexes, *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeR_2)_2]$ (**1**) (R = Mes (**1a**) (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl), Ph (**1b**), *o*-tol (**1c**) (*o*-tol = *ortho*-tolyl)). Reaction of $[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ with one equivalent of $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ or Na_2PdCl_4 with TeRR′ afforded chloro-bridged binuclear complexes, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeRR')_2]$ (**2**) (R/R′ = Mes/Mes (**2a**); Mes/Ph (**2b**); Ph/Ph (**2c**)). A toluene–methanol solution of *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ on refluxing for 30 minutes yielded a binuclear cyclopalladated complex, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes)\}_2]$ (**3**). When the refluxing was prolonged, a mononuclear complex *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}]$ (**4**) was isolated. Treatment of palladium acetate with $TeMes_2$ afforded an acetato-bridged analogue of **3**, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]$ (**5a**) together with a very minor component, a tetranuclear complex, $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**). This reaction with unsymmetrical tellurides, MesTeR, also gave cyclopalladated complexes $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeR\}_2]$ (R = *o*-tol (**5b**) and Ph (**5c**)) in which 2-methyl of the mesityl group of the telluride was exclusively metallated. The complex *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ on refluxing in xylene gave palladium telluride, Pd_7Te_3. These complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, IR and NMR (^1H, ^{13}C and ^{125}Te) spectroscopy. The molecular structures of *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (**1a**), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]\cdot 2acetone$ (**2a**·2acetone), *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}]$ (**4**), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes)\}_2]\cdot toluene$ (**5a**·toluene), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)Tetol\text{-}o\}_2]$ (**5b**) and $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**) were established by single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. The mononuclear complex **1a** was isolated in two polymorphic forms each with the *trans* configuration.

Received 21st July 2014,
Accepted 28th August 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4dt02200a

www.rsc.org/dalton

Introduction

The chemistry of organopalladium compounds has witnessed a rapid growth in the last half century owing to the ease of synthesis, their rich reaction chemistry and wide applications in diverse areas. Among them cyclopalladated compounds or palladacycles represent an interesting family of most popular and well investigated organopalladium derivatives.[1] These compounds have remained in the forefront ever since their initial isolation in the mid-1960s by Cope and Siekman.[2] The growing interest in these compounds may be attributed to their applications in organic synthesis,[3,4] catalysis[5–8] (*e.g.* $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{o\text{-}tol_2PC_6H_4CH_2\text{-}o\}_2]$ (*o*-tol = *ortho*-tolyl) by Herrmann and coworkers) and materials science.[9,10] These compounds are also encountered as reaction intermediates in palladium catalyzed organic transformations.[8,11] Their unique metallomesogenic,[12] photo-physical[13] and antitumor[14] properties have provided further impetus to the progress in this area.

Over a period, a myriad of internally functionalized organic compounds containing the neutral donor atom (*e.g.* N, P, As, O, S) have been utilized for cyclopalladation reactions (Scheme 1) leading to the formation of, in general, a four electron C-anionic donor ligand (C^Y).[1,8] Initially these organic molecules coordinate to the metal centre through a neutral donor atom and it is believed that the C–H bond of the organic fragment is activated when it falls within the metal coordination plane.[3,8] Thus the reactions with compounds containing lighter hetero-atoms are quite facile while the heavier hetero-

[a]*Chemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai-400085, India. E-mail: jainvk@barc.gov.in; Fax: +91 22 2550 5151; Tel: +91 22 2559 5095*
[b]*Solid State Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai-400085, India*

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 996454–996460 for *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]\cdot 2CH_3CN$ (**1a**·$2CH_3CN$) (996459), *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$·toluene (**1a**·toluene) (996458), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]\cdot 2acetone$ (**2a**·2acetone) (996455), *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}]$ (**4**) (996460), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]\cdot toluene$ (**5a**·toluene) (996457), $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)Tetol\text{-}o\}_2]$ (**5b**) (996456) and $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**) (996454). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4dt02200a

(X = Cl or OAc; Y = N, P, As, S donor atoms;
C-H = sp, sp^2, sp^3 carbon)

Scheme 1 Cyclopalladation of organic ligands.

atom containing compounds show little tendency to metalate. For instance, the effect of the size of the hetero-atom on metallation is evident in the reactions of Me_2ECH_2Ph (E = N, P, As, Sb). Both dimethyl benzylamine and dimethyl benzylphosphine (E = N, P)[15,16] are metallated readily while dimethyl benzylarsine affords $[PdX_2(PhCH_2AsMe_2)_2]$, (X = Cl, Br, I).[17]

Cyclopalladation of a wide variety of organic compounds containing nitrogen and phosphorus as neutral donor atoms has been extensively investigated.[1,8] Among group 16 donors, metallation of oxygen[18] and sulfur (thioethers, thioketones, *etc.*)[6,19] compounds is well documented. However, with heavier chalcogen compounds, metallation of only a few organoselenium ligands (Bu^tSeCH_2Ph[7] and Mes_2Se[20]) has been described recently, whereas there is hardly any report on metallation of tellurium ligands. Such a limited exploration with heavier chalcogen compounds may possibly be attributed to either the formation of coordination complexes, $[PdX_2(ER_2)_2]$ (E = Se or Te), cleavage of the E–C bond or even complete decomposition of the complexes under metallation conditions. In a recent attempt by Singh and co-workers to metalate di-*o*-tolyltelluride cleavage of the Te–C bond occurred.[21] They isolated $[Pd(OAc)_2\{o\text{-}tolTe)_2O\}]$ (*o*-tol = *ortho*-tolyl) and $[o\text{-}tol_2Pd_3(\mu\text{-}OAc)_4\{Te(o\text{-}tol)_2\}_2]$ formed by cleavage of the Te–C bond of $o\text{-}tol_2Te$, rather than a metalated complex.[21] Interestingly the metalated compounds with heavier chalcogen ligands show a higher catalytic activity than the lighter chalcogen compounds, *e.g.* $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(Bu^tECH_2C_6H_4)]_2$ (E = S or Se).[7]

In the light of the above, we have examined reactions of common palladium precursors ($[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$, Na_2PdCl_4, $[Pd(OAc)_2]_3$) used in cyclopalladation reactions with diorganotellurides and isolated a whole range of novel complexes by subtle variation in the reaction conditions. The complexes include simple coordination complexes, *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeR_2)_2]$; intermediate binuclear complexes with intramolecular C–H···Pd interactions, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylpheny), cyclopalladated complexes, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}X)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeR\}_2]$ (X = Cl, OAc; R = Mes, *o*-tol (*o*-tol = *ortho*-tolyl), Ph), complexes formed by Te–C bond cleavage, *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}]$, $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ to eventually palladium telluride as the decomposition product. The results of this exploration are described herein.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Syntheses of various palladium complexes are depicted in Schemes 2 and 3. Treatment of $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ with diaryl telluride in a 1 : 2 molar ratio in toluene at room temperature gave mononuclear palladium complexes, *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeR_2)_2]$ (**1**) (R = Mes (**1a**) (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl, Ph (**1b**), *o*-tol (**1c**) (*o*-tol = *ortho*-tolyl)) as orange crystalline solids. The latter (R = Mes) when treated with one equivalent of $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ in a toluene–acetonitrile mixture at room temperature afforded a chloro-bridged binuclear complex, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (**2a**). The complex **2a** can also be prepared by the reaction of Na_2PdCl_4 with $TeMes_2$ at room temperature in 79% yield. This reaction can be extended to prepare analogues of **2a** by employing MesTePh and Ph_2Te.

When a toluene–methanol solution of *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (**1a**) was refluxed for ~30 minutes, a cyclopalladated binuclear complex, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]$ (**3**)



Scheme 2 Synthetic routes for palladium telluro ether complexes.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of acetato bridged cyclopalladated telluro ether complexes.

was isolated as an orange powder in 75% yield. When refluxing was prolonged for two hours a mononuclear complex *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(Me_2\text{-}4,6)TeMes\}]$ (**4**) was isolated. The latter was also formed when a toluene–methanol solution of either *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ or a 1 : 1 mixture of Na_2PdCl_4 and $TeMes_2$ was refluxed for 2 h. The complex **4** appears to be formed by a nucleophilic attack of a tellurolate ion ($MesTe^-$), generated by the Te–C bond cleavage,[22,23] on methylene carbon of the cyclopalladated complex **3**. The formation of a tellurolate ion from a telluro ether ligand has been noted previously[24] and also in the present study (see later, *e.g.* isolation of **6**). Attempts to prepare similar cyclopalladated complexes with *o*-tolTeMes, *o*-tol_2Te and Ph_2Te were unsuccessful. The solution of **1a** on prolonged refluxing (2 h) either in xylene or 2-ethoxy ethanol gave black powder which was identified as Pd_7Te_3 from powder X-ray diffraction pattern (JCPDS File no. 43-1294) (ESI†). The product formed in 2-ethoxy ethanol was however contaminated with elemental tellurium. Recently, a telluro ether complex of palladium, $[PdCl\{C_6H_5(2\text{-}HOC_6H_4)CHNH(CH_2)_3TeC_6H_4OMe\text{-}4\}]$, was used for the preparation of Pd_3Te_2 nanoparticles.[25]

Treatment of palladium acetate with $TeMes_2$ in toluene at room temperature afforded an acetato-bridged analogue of **3**, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]$ (**5a**) as a yellow crystalline solid together with a very minor component, a tetranuclear complex, $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**) (Scheme 3). This reaction with unsymmetrical telluro ether ligands, MesTeR (R = *o*-tol, Ph) also yielded cyclopalladated complexes $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeR\}_2]$ (R = *o*-tol (**5b**) and Ph (**5c**)) in which 2-methyl of the mesityl group of the telluro ether ligand was exclusively metallated.

Cyclopalladation using telluro ether ligands containing at least one mesityl group was quite facile when $[Pd(OAc)_2]_3$ was used as the palladating agent, whereas the same took place with Na_2PdCl_4 or $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ only in the case of Mes_2Te. This could be due to the fact that in the latter case only with Mes_2Te due to steric crowding of two bulky mesityl rings, one of the *ortho* C–H bond falls into the coordination plane of palladium as is evidenced in the molecular structure of **2a** (see later, Fig. 3(b)) leading to metallation with the generation of HCl as a by-product. On the other hand, with $[Pd(OAc)_2]_3$, in the reaction medium *via* the η^2-bridging mode OAc^- give a cyclic transition state by coordinating palladium with one oxygen and at the same time bringing one of the *ortho* C–H bond of the methyl group of mesityl into close proximity of palladium using other oxygen atoms through C–H···O interaction and leads to activation of the C–H bond with the generation of HOAc as the by-product. HOAc being a weak conjugate of OAc^- also makes the metallation energetically more feasible than strong acid; HCl is generated in the case of Na_2PdCl_4 and has a strong interference with the formed M–C bond than HOAc.

Spectroscopy

The IR spectra of acetato-bridged metallated complexes showed absorptions at 1615, 1558 (**5a**)/1566 (**5b**) cm^{-1} attributable to bridging acetate groups. The ^1H NMR spectra displayed expected resonances. The methyl groups (at 2- and 6-positions) of the coordinated mesityl telluride were deshielded in the ^1H NMR spectra while they were shielded in the ^{13}C NMR spectra with reference to the corresponding free ligands. The cyclopalladated complexes showed a distinct AB pattern for the Pd–CH_2 protons at a chemical shift significantly downfield from that of the mesityl methyl groups (3.38 ppm, br, 2H (3); 3.36 ppm, 2H, $\Delta\nu_{AB}$ = 71 Hz, J_{AB} = 13 Hz (5a); 3.09 ppm, 2H, $\Delta\nu_{AB}$ = 24.6 Hz, J_{AB} = 12.7 Hz (**5b**)). Similar anisotropic behavior was also noted for analogous selenium complex, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)SeMes\}_2]$.[20] The CH_2 protons in **4** were also anisotropic and appeared as an AX pattern at 3.55 ppm with significantly large chemical shift difference (2H, $\Delta\nu_{AX}$ = 169 Hz, J_{AX} = 11 Hz).

The $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra of these complexes displayed a single resonance which appeared at higher frequency with respect to the free ligand ($TeMes_2$, ^{125}Te δ = 260.8 ppm, Te(*o*-tol)$_2$, ^{125}Te δ = 499.3 ppm, $TePh_2$, ^{125}Te δ = 693.4 ppm, MesTe(*o*-tol) ^{125}Te δ = 336.8 ppm, MesTePh ^{125}Te δ = 427.2 ppm). The ^{125}Te NMR resonance was progressively deshielded from mononuclear (**1a**) (δ 489.1 ppm) to cyclopalladated derivative **3** (δ 644.0 ppm) through the binuclear **2a** (δ 575.0 ppm). Such a large deshielding (69 ppm) from binuclear complex **2a** to cyclopalladated complex **3** has also been noted by our group in the corresponding mesityl seleno ether derivatives (deshielded by 86 ppm in ^{77}Se resonance).[20] The effect of the bridging ligand as well as the nature of the R group on Te is also evident in cyclopalladated complexes. There is shielding of ~90 ppm of the ^{125}Te NMR resonance on replacing the bridging chloride in **3** (δ 644.0 ppm) by the acetate group (**5a**) (δ 554.1 ppm). Replacement of the mesityl group on Te in **5a** by *ortho*-tolyl or phenyl groups results in successive deshielding of the resonance (**5b**, 592.2 ppm; **5c**, 690.4 ppm). Such changes could be attributed to the electron releasing methyl groups in the aryl ring which consequently increases electron density at the tellurium.

Crystallography

Molecular structures of *trans*-[$PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2$] (**1a**), [$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2$]·2acetone (**2a**·2acetone), *cis*-[$PdCl_2$\{$MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes$\}] (**4**), [$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2$\{$CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes$\}$_2$]·toluene (**5a**·toluene), [$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2$\{$CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)Tetol\text{-}o$\}$_2$] (**5b**) and [$Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**) were established by single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses and are shown in Fig. 1–7. Selected inter-atomic parameters are summarized in Tables 1–7. The palladium atom in all these complexes acquires a distorted square planar configuration. The Pd–Te distances (2.47–2.59 Å) are well within the range reported for palladium-telluro ether complexes, [PdCl\{$OC_9H_6C(Me) = NCH_2CH_2TeC_6H_4OMe\text{-}4$\}] (Pd–Te = 2.5025(7) Å),[26] [$PdCl_2$\{$4\text{-}BrC_3H_2N_2CH_2CH_2TeC_6H_4OMe\text{-}4$\}] (Pd–Te = 2.512(6) Å),[27] [$Pd(TePh)_2(dppe)$] (Pd–Te = 2.5871–2.6704(8) Å[28] and [$tol_2Pd_3(\mu\text{-}OAc)_4(Tetol_2\text{-}o)_2$] (Pd–Te = 2.5054(5) Å).[21] The Pd–C distances (~2.0 Å) are in accord with the values reported for cyclopalladated complexes such as [$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2$\{$CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)SeMes$\}$_2$] (Pd–C = ~2.03 Å)[20] and [$Pd(OCH\text{-}C_4H_3N)(Bzq)$] (Bzq = 7,8-benzoquinolyl) (Pd–C = 1.993(3) Å).[29] The Te–C



Fig. 1 (a) ORTEP diagram of *trans*-[$PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2$]·2MeCN (**1a**·2MeCN) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, (b) view perpendicular to the Pd1 square plane, (c) optical microscope image of **1a**·2MeCN crystallized from acetonitrile-diethyl ether.



Fig. 2 ORTEP diagram of *trans*-[$PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2$]·toluene (**1a**·toluene) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, (b) view perpendicular to the Pd1 square plane, (c) optical microscope image of **1a**·toluene crystallized from a toluene–hexane mixture.



Fig. 3 ORTEP diagram of $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]\cdot 2$acetone (**2**·2acetone) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity, (b) intramolecular interactions.



Fig. 4 ORTEP diagram of *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}]$ (**4**) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

(~ 2.14 Å) bond distances are in conformity with the range reported in organotellurium compounds (*e.g.* Mes_2Te (Te–C = 2.140(3) Å),[30] $Te\{C_5H_3(Me\text{-}3)N\}_2$ (Te–C = 2.136(1) Å)[23] and $[Cd(TeMes)_2]_\infty$ (Te–C = 2.164(12) Å).[31]

The mononuclear complex, $[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (**1a**) was isolated in a *trans* configuration and showed polymorphism depending on the crystallization solvents. The two polymorphs, *viz* rectangular block and needle shaped crystals were



Fig. 5 ORTEP diagram of $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]\cdot$ toluene (**5a**·toluene) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms and toluene molecule are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 6 ORTEP diagram of $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)Tetol\text{-}o\}_2]$ (**5b**) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

isolated from acetonitrile–diethyl ether (monoclinic form, Fig. 1) and toluene–hexane (triclinic form, Fig. 2) mixture, respectively. The triclinic form described here also differs from the one reported earlier.[32] The two polymorphs essentially differ slightly in the inter-atomic parameters as well as in the relative orientation of the mesityl groups on tellurium. The Te–Pd–Te angle in the triclinic form is significantly reduced (~164°) from the ideal value of 180° while in the monoclinic form it is 180°. The Pd–Te–C angles in the monoclinic form are smaller than the triclinic form. The C–Te–C angle (~100° in the triclinic form and 102.5° in the monoclinic form) are as observed in Mes_2Te (101.0(1)°).[30] In the monoclinic form the mesityl rings (C1–C9) and ($C1^i$–$C9^i$) are coplanar and are almost perpendicular (85.60°) to the Pd square plane ($Cl1Te1Pd1Te1^iCl1^i$), while the other mesityl rings (C10–C18) and ($C10^i$–$C18^i$) lie in plane parallel to each other which are nearly perpendicular to the Pd square plane (77.80°). The two mesityl rings attached to the tellurium atom lie at an angle of 80.04°. There are weak secondary interactions between the chloride and the hydrogen atoms of the acetonitrile molecule



Fig. 7 (a) ORTEP diagram of [Pd(μ-OAc)(μ-TeMes)]$_4$ (**6**) (25% probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (b and c) Orientations of atomic planes within the molecule.

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for monoclinic form of *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$]·2MeCN (**1a**·2MeCN)

Pd1–Cl1	2.3006(14)	Te1–C1	2.130(5)
Pd1–Te1	2.5817(3)	Te1–C10	2.137(5)
Cl1–Pd1–Cl1^i	180.00(11)	C1–Te1–Pd1	110.36(13)
Cl1–Pd1–Te1	83.78(4)	C10–Te1–Pd1	106.71(13)
Cl1–Pd1–Te1^i	96.22(4)	C1–Te1–C10	102.5(2)
Cl1^i–Pd1–Te1	96.22(4)	Te1–Pd1–Te1^i	180.000(15)
Cl1^i–Pd1–Te1^i	83.78(4)		

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for triclinic form of *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$]·toluene (**1a**·toluene)

Pd1–Cl1	2.290(2)	Te1–C1	2.149(6)
Pd1–Cl2	2.292(2)	Te1–C10	2.137(6)
Pd1–Te1	2.5951(6)	Te2–C19	2.143(8)
Pd1–Te2	2.5908(6)	Te2–C28	2.126(8)
Cl1–Pd1–Cl2	177.61(10)	C1–Te1–Pd1	109.92(17)
Cl1–Pd1–Te1	83.86(5)	C10–Te1–C1	100.2(3)
Cl1–Pd1–Te2	95.50(5)	C10–Te1–Pd1	113.57(18)
Cl2–Pd1–Te1	95.78(6)	C19–Te2–Pd1	112.0(2)
Cl2–Pd1–Te2	84.19(6)	C28–Te2–C19	100.2(3)
Te2–Pd1–Te1	163.97(3)	C28–Te2–Pd1	114.2(2)

(Cl1···C25 = 2.719 Å) as well as the nitrogen and hydrogen (sp^2 (2.691 Å) and the sp^3 (2.718 Å)) atom of the nearby molecule (ESI†). In the triclinic form the arrangement of the

Table 3 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for [Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$]·2acetone (**2**·2acetone)

Cl1–Pd1	2.310(4)	C1–Te1	2.156(13)
Cl1–Pd1^i	2.403(4)	C10–Te1	2.119(14)
Cl2–Pd1	2.290(4)	Pd1–Te1	2.5067(13)
		Pd1–Pd1^i	3.421
Cl1–Pd1–Cl1^i	86.96(13)	Cl2–Pd1–Te1	83.47(11)
Cl1–Pd1–Te1	96.25(10)	Pd1–Te1–C1	108.4(3)
Cl1^i–Pd1–Te1	174.08(12)	C1–Te1–C10	97.6(5)
Cl1–Pd1–Cl2	177.78(17)	Pd1–Te1–C10	111.0(4)
Cl1^i–Pd1–Cl2	93.14(13)	Pd1–Cl1–Pd1^i	93.04(13)

Table 4 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for *cis*-[PdCl$_2${MesTeCH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}] (**4**)

Pd1–Te1	2.5402(3)	C1–Te1	2.151(4)
Pd1–Te2	2.5137(3)	C10–Te1	2.135(4)
Pd1–Cl1	2.3419(10)	C16–Te2	2.166(4)
Pd1–Cl2	2.3389(9)	C19–Te2	2.133(3)
Cl1–Pd1–Te1	85.97(3)	C1–Te1–Pd1	110.96(10)
Cl1–Pd1–Te2	172.31(3)	C10–Te1–Pd1	97.38(9)
Cl1–Pd1–Cl2	93.85(4)	C10–Te1–C1	100.76(14)
Cl2–Pd1–Te1	177.01(3)	C16–Te2–Pd1	105.18(9)
Cl2–Pd1–Te2	85.30(3)	C19–Te2–Pd1	113.44(9)
Te2–Pd1–Te1	95.278(11)	C19–Te2–C16	94.41(13)

Table 5 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for [Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2$(CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}$_2$]·toluene (**5a**·toluene)

Pd1–O1	2.108(7)	Pd2–O2	2.097(7)
Pd1–O3	2.238(7)	Pd2–O3	2.272(8)
Pd1–C7	2.027(9)	Pd2–C27	1.999(10)
Pd1–Te1	2.4706(10)	Pd2–Te2	2.4845(9)
Te1–C1	2.133(10)	Te2–C19	2.090(10)
Te1–C10	2.140(11)	Te2–C28	2.152(9)
Pd1···Pd2	3.0831(10)		
O1–Pd1–C7	86.5(4)	O2–Pd2–C27	86.9(3)
O3–Pd1–O1	87.6(3)	O3–Pd2–O2	89.4(3)
Te1–Pd1–O1	172.29(19)	Te2–Pd2–O2	173.0(2)
Te1–Pd1–O3	98.8(2)	Te2–Pd2–O3	97.0(2)
O3–Pd1–C7	173.9(4)	O3–Pd2–C27	175.7(3)
Te1–Pd1–C7	86.9(3)	Te2–Pd2–C27	86.8(3)
Pd1–Te1–C1	94.0(3)	Pd2–Te2–C19	93.7(3)
Pd1–Te1–C10	107.4(3)	Pd2–Te2–C28	105.8(3)
Pd1–C7–C2	122.2(7)	Pd2–C27–C20	122.9(7)
Pd1–O1–C37	124.9(7)	Pd2–O2–C37	129.4(7)
Pd1–O3–C39	130.4(11)	Pd2–O3–C39	116.7(13)
		Pd2–O3–Pd1	86.3(3)

mesityl rings are completely different. The mesityl rings (C10–C18) and (C29–C36) are *syn* to the Pd square plane.

The binuclear complex, [Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (**2a**) (Fig. 3) crystallizes with two molecules of acetone and comprises of a rectangular planar four-membered chloro-bridged "Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$" core; a configuration usually observed for the chloro-bridged complexes[1] with neutral monodentate group 16 ligands, *e.g.* [Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(SeMes$_2$)$_2$].[20] Two telluro ether ligands are mutually *trans*, forming a *sym–trans* dimeric structure. The terminal Pd–Cl distances are marginally shorter (2.290(4) Å) than the bridging Pd–Cl distances (2.310(4), 2.403(4) Å). The Pd–Te distance is shorter than the one noted for the mono-

Table 6 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me)_2Tetol\text{-}o\}_2]$ (**5b**)

Te1–Pd1	2.4979(13)	Te2–Pd2	2.4926(13)
C7–Pd1	1.980(15)	C23–Pd2	2.007(14)
O1–Pd1	2.142(10)	O2–Pd2	2.097(10)
O4–Pd1	2.103(11)	O3–Pd2	2.164(10)
C1–Te1	2.120(13)	C17–Te2	2.104(12)
C10–Te1	2.122(12)	C26–Te2	2.152(13)
O1–C33	1.261(15)	O3–C35	1.248(18)
O2–C33	1.225(16)	O4–C35	1.246(18)
		Pd1···Pd2	2.8677(14)
O1–Pd1–O4	91.7(4)	O2–Pd2–O3	91.5(4)
O1–Pd1–C7	177.6(5)	O2–Pd2–C23	87.1(5)
O1–Pd1–Te1	94.2(3)	O2–Pd2–Te2	168.5(3)
O4–Pd1–C7	89.7(5)	O3–Pd2–C23	178.4(5)
O4–Pd1–Te1	173.9(3)	O3–Pd2–Te2	95.1(3)
C7–Pd1–Te1	84.4(4)	C23–Pd2–Te2	86.2(4)
Pd1–Te1–C1	92.4(4)	Pd2–Te2–C17	93.3(3)
Pd1–Te1–C10	106.6(3)	Pd2–Te2–C26	100.9(3)
Pd1–O1–C33	123.3(9)	Pd2–O2–C33	128.0(9)
Pd1–O4–C35	124.2(9)	Pd2–O3–C35	124.7(10)
C1–Te1–C10	99.6(5)	C17–Te2–C26	98.1(5)

Table 7 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) of $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**)

Pd1–O1	2.130(5)	Pd2–O2	2.117(5)
Pd1–O3	2.104(5)	Pd2–O4	2.100(5)
Pd1–Te1	2.5404(7)	Pd2–Te2	2.5299(6)
Pd1–$Te2^i$	2.5448(6)	Pd2–$Te1^i$	2.5374(7)
Te1–C1	2.149(7)	Te2–C10	2.154(7)
$Te2^i$–$C10^i$	2.154(7)	Te1i–$C1^i$	2.149(7)
$Pd1^i$–$O1^i$	2.130(5)	Pd2i–$O2^i$	2.117(5)
$Pd1^i$–$O3^i$	2.104(5)	Pd2i–$O4^i$	2.100(5)
$Pd1^i$–$Te1^i$	2.5404(7)	$Pd2^i$–Te1	2.5374(7)
$Pd1^i$–Te2	2.5448(6)	$Pd2^i$–$Te2^i$	2.5299(6)
Pd1···Pd2	2.9474(7)	$Pd2^i$–$Pd1^i$	2.9474(7)
Pd1···$Pd2^i$	3.695	Pd2–$Pd1^i$	3.695
O1–Pd1–O3	87.7(2)	O2–Pd2–O4	88.3(2)
O1–Pd1–Te1	176.59(15)	O2–Pd2–Te2	177.60(15)
O1–Pd1–$Te2^i$	96.00(15)	O2–Pd2–$Te1^i$	96.20(16)
O3–Pd1–Te1	94.85(19)	O4–Pd2–Te2	93.78(17)
O3–Pd1–$Te2^i$	175.54(17)	O4–Pd2–$Te1^i$	175.42(18)
Te1–Pd1–$Te2^i$	81.347(19)	Te2–Pd2–$Te1^i$	81.694(19)
Pd1–Te1–C1	102.82(17)	Pd2–Te2–C10	103.63(17)
Pd1–$Te2^i$–$C10^i$	106.78(18)	Pd2–$Te1^i$–$C1^i$	107.59(18)
Pd1–Te1–Pd2	93.40(2)	Pd2–Te2–$Pd1^i$	93.47(2)
Pd1–$Te2^i$–$Pd2^i$	93.47(2)	Pd2–$Te1^i$–$Pd1^i$	93.40(2)
$O1^i$–$Pd1^i$–$O3^i$	87.7(2)	$O2^i$–$Pd2^i$–$O4^i$	88.3(2)
$O1^i$–$Pd1^i$–Te2	96.00(15)	$O2^i$–$Pd2^i$–Te1	96.20(16)
$O1^i$–$Pd1^i$–$Te1^i$	176.59(15)	$O2^i$–$Pd2^i$–$Te2^i$	93.78(17)
$O3^i$–$Pd1^i$–Te2	175.54(17)	$O4^i$–$Pd2^i$–Te1	175.42(18)
$O3^i$–$Pd1^i$–$Te1^i$	94.85(19)	$O4^i$–$Pd2^i$–$Te2^i$	93.78(17)
Te2–$Pd1^i$–$Te1^i$	81.347(19)	Te1–$Pd2^i$–$Te2^i$	81.694(19)

nuclear complex, **1a**. This may be attributed to the weak *trans* influencing effect of the chloro ligand *trans* to it. The C–Te–C angle (97.64°) is also reduced significantly from the mononuclear complex. The Pd···H17A–C17 distance (2.377 Å), which is significantly shorter than the sum of their van der Waal radii (2.83 Å), and the C17–H17···Pd1 angle (171.19°) correspond to an anagostic interaction between palladium and the *ortho*-methyl hydrogen atom.[33] There is also a short contact between the terminal chloride and the hydrogen atom (H17A) of the *ortho* methyl group (Cl2···H17A–C17 = 2.925 Å).

The complex *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MesTeCH_2C_6H_2(Me_2\text{-}4,6)TeMes\}]$ (**4**) (Fig. 4) is a discrete monomer in which coordination around palladium is defined by two *cis* chlorides and a chelating telluro ether ligand. The Pd–Te and Pd–Cl distances are slightly shorter and longer, respectively, than **1a** owing to weak *trans* influencing chloride ligand *trans* to Te. The Pd–Te distances are well in agreement with the reported *cis* configured complexes such as *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{MeTe(C_4H_3E)\}_2]$ (E = O (Pd–Te = 2.530 Å); S (Pd–Te = ~2.54 Å))[34] and *cis*-$[PdCl_2\{meso\text{-}(TeC_6H_4OMe\text{-}4)_2CH_2\}]$ (Pd–Te = 2.518, 2.526 Å).[35] The six-membered "PdTeCCCTe" ring is puckered. The Cl1 lies slightly (0.391 Å) out of the Pd1 mean square plane.

The molecular structure of $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)\text{-}TeMes\}_2]$ (**5a**) (Fig. 5) is unique and distinctly different from bis acetato-bridged binuclear palladium complexes, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2X_2Y_2]$ reported thus far.[1] The molecule adopts a *sym–cis* configuration in which tellurium atoms are *trans* to the anisobidentate acetate group. The Pd–Te distances are shorter than the one observed for mono- and bi-nuclear complexes described above and also from those found in $[Pd_3(o\text{-}tol)_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_4\{Te(o\text{-}tol)_2\}_2]$ (Pd–Te = 2.5054(5) Å).[21] The Pd···Pd separation is longer than those reported in acetate-bridged binuclear complexes but is significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waal radii (3.08 Å *vs*. 3.26 Å, respectively).[1] In **5a** two palladium atoms are held together by two different types of bridging acetate groups; one acting in an anisobidentate fashion while the other bridges through only one oxygen atom, so as to give a six-membered "Pd(μ-OAc)(μ-O)Pd" ring rather than an eight-membered boat shaped "$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2$" ring usually observed. The second acetate group (O3–C39–C40–O4) is almost coplanar with Pd1 but almost perpendicular to Pd2 square planes. The distances between the O4 and Te1 and Te2 are 3.447 and 2.989 Å, respectively. The latter (O4–Te2) is significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waal radii of oxygen and tellurium (3.58 Å) indicating a short secondary Te2···O4 interaction. Also the O4 is placed almost equidistant to neighbouring methyl groups in the unit cell (O4···H36C–C36 = 2.440 Å; O4···H16C–C16 = 2.663 Å; O4···H9B–C9 = 2.591 Å (adjacent molecule). Both Te1 and Te2 atoms are nearly coplanar with the bridging acetate group.

In contrast to **5a**, the complex, $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)Tetol\text{-}o\}_2]$ (**5b**) (Fig. 6) adopts an usual acetate bridged structure with short Pd···Pd distance 2.8677(14) Å and boat shaped eight-membered "$Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2$" ring. The two tellurium ligands are mutually *trans*. Methyl of tolyl rings and oxygen atoms show short contacts (C16–H16A···O1 = 2.749 Å and C32–H32A···O3 = 2.763 Å). The O2–Pd2 (2.097 Å) and O4–Pd1 (2.104 Å) (*trans* to the Te atom) distances are shorter than the O1–Pd1 (2.142 Å) and O3–Pd2 (2.164 Å) (*trans* to methylene groups).

The complex $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$ (**6**) is a tetramer and adopts a paddle-wheel geometry. Two pairs of orthogonal acetate groups and two pairs of mesityl tellurolate ligands hold two different pairs of palladium atoms. The molecule contains

two almost rectangular planes formed by Pd1–Pd2–Pd1^{i}–Pd2^{i} (Pd2–Pd1–Pd2^{i} = 93.17°, Pd1–Pd2–Pd1^{i} = 86.83; Pd1–Pd2^{i} = 3.696 Å, Pd1–Pd2 = 2.947 Å) and Te1–Te2–Te1^{i}–Te2^{i} (Te2–Te1–Te2^{i} = 89.42, Te1–Te2–Te1^{i} = 90.58, Te1–Te2 = 3.314 Å, Te1–Te2^{i} = 4.009 Å) which are nearly perpendicular (89.90°). The geometry around each palladium atom is defined by two oxygen atoms from two different acetate groups and two tellurolate ligands. The four palladium atoms form a rectangular plane with short Pd···Pd distances (bridged by two acetate groups) varying in the range 2.947–3.695 Å, which lie well within the range reported in iso-structural chalcogenolate complexes, $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}ER)]_n$ (ER = SePh, Pd···Pd = 2.864(18) Å;[36] ER = *o*-tolSe, Pd···Pd = 2.8805(8) Å;[21] ER = SEt, Pd···Pd = 3.036, 3.194 Å[37]). The structures of palladium acetate complexes of the composition $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}ER)]_n$ are influenced by the nature of R groups and ranges from bi-nuclear to tetranuclear. For example, $[Pd(OAc)(ECH_2CH_2CH_2NMe_2)]_2{\cdot}H_2O$ (E = S or Se)[38] and $[Pd(OAc)(SCH_2CH_2NMe_2)]_3{\cdot}3H_2O$[39] are di- and trimeric, respectively, with terminal monodentate acetate groups and chelating bridging chalcogenolate ligands. In contrast complexes with chalcogenolate ligands without internal functionalized organic group yield tetrameric derivatives.

Experimental section

Solvents were dried and distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere prior to use according to the literature method.[40] All the reactions were carried out in an argon atmosphere. Diaryl tellurides, RTeR′ were prepared by the reaction of RTeBr, obtained *in situ* by bromination of diaryl ditellurides (R_2Te_2) with bromine in THF with an appropriate aryl magnesium bromide (R′MgBr) (ESI†).[41] $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$[42] and $[Pd(OAc)_2]_3$[43] were prepared according to literature methods. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Carlo-Erba-1110 CHNS micro-analyzer. Melting points were determined in capillary tubes and are uncorrected. ^{1}H, $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ and $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-II 300 NMR spectrometer operating at 300.1, 75.5 and 94.7 MHz, respectively. The chemical shifts are relative to an internal chloroform peak (δ 7.26 for ^{1}H and 77.0 ppm for ^{13}C) and external Me_2Te for ^{125}Te (secondary reference Ph_2Te_2, δ 421 ppm in C_6D_6).

Synthesis

***trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (1a).** To a toluene solution of $[PdCl_2(PhCN)_2]$ (300 mg, 0.78 mmol), a solution of Mes_2Te (572 mg, 1.56 mmol) was added at room temperature with stirring which continued for 4 h whereupon an orange-red precipitate formed. The latter was filtered and washed with hexane and diethyl ether, and extracted with acetonitrile. The concentrated acetonitrile solution (~2 ml) containing few drops of diethyl ether on slow evaporation at room temperature gave orange-red rectangular shaped crystals (550 mg, 77% yield); m.p. 178 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{36}H_{44}Cl_2PdTe_2$: C, 47.55; H, 4.88%. Found: C, 47.37; H, 4.86%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.23, 2.58 (s, Me); 6.86 (s, 3,5-CH). $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 20.9, 27.2 (Me), 118.8 (Te–C), 129.3 (3,5-CH), 139.9, 143.6. $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 489.1 ppm.

***trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TePh$_2$)$_2$] (1b).** Prepared similar to **1a** and isolated as an orange-red crystalline solid in 74% yield; m.p. 160 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{24}H_{20}Cl_2PdTe_2$: C, 38.90; H, 2.72%. Found: C, 38.71; H, 2.70%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 7.29–7.44 (m), 7.81 (d, 7.2 Hz) (Ph). $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 117.9 (C–Te), 129.7, 130.0, 137.0. $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 749.8 ppm.

[PdCl$_2${Te(*o*-tol)$_2$}$_2$] (1c). Prepared similar to **1a** and isolated in 58% yield; m.p. 195 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{28}H_{28}Cl_2PdTe_2$: C, 42.19; H, 3.54%. Found: C, 42.40; H, 3.17%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.54 (s, Me), 7.10 (t, 7.2 Hz) 7.25 (d) 7.34 (t, 7.2 Hz), 7.84 (d, 7.2 Hz) (*o*-tol). $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 25.5 (Me), 119.8 (C–Te), 127.4, 130.2, 130.3, 138.4, 142.4 (*o*-tol). $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 637 ppm.

[PdCl$_2$(MesTetol-*o*)$_2$] (1d). Prepared similar to **1a** and isolated in 62% yield; m.p. 183–184 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{32}H_{36}Cl_2PdTe_2$: C, 45.05; H, 4.25%. Found: C, 45.52; H, 4.00%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.31, 2.46, 2.76 (each s, Me), 7.01 (s), 7.15–7.25 (m).

[Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (2a). (i) To a methanolic solution (5 cm^3) of Na_2PdCl_4 (102 mg, 0.35 mmol), a toluene solution (25 cm^3) of Mes_2Te (131 mg, 0.36 mmol) was added with stirring at room temperature. The contents were stirred for 3 h at room temperature whereupon a dark-red precipitate formed which was filtered and washed with toluene. The precipitate was extracted with acetone. The volume of the solvent was reduced to 5 ml and hexane (1 ml) was added which on cooling at −5 °C for several hours gave red needle shaped crystals (150 mg, 79% yield), m.p. 178 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{36}H_{44}Cl_4Pd_2Te_2$: C, 39.79; H, 4.08%. Found: C, 39.71; H, 4.07%. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl3) δ: 2.24 (s, 1Me); 2.65 (s, 2Me), 6.88 (s, 2H). $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 20.9, 26.8 (Me), 116.8 (C–Te), 130.1 (3,5-CH), 141.0, 143.3. $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 575.0 ppm.

(ii) To a toluene–acetonitrile (1 : 1) solution of *trans*-$[PdCl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$ (**1a**) (100 mg, 0.11 mmol), $PdCl_2(PhCN)_2$ (42 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added with stirring at room temperature, which was continued for 5 h. The red precipitate formed during the reaction was filtered and washed with toluene and was processed similar to (i) and isolated in 71% yield. The NMR data were consistent with the above preparation.

[Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2${Te(Ph)Mes}$_2$] (2b). This was prepared similar to **2a** method (i) and isolated as a brown crystalline solid from a acetone–hexane mixture at −5 °C in 74% yield; m.p. 174 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{30}H_{32}Cl_4Pd_2Te_2$: C, 35.95; H, 3.22%. Found: C, 35.86; H, 3.22%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.34 (s, 1Me), 2.81 (s, 2Me), 7.04 (s, 3,5-CH, Mes), 7.19–7.44 (m, Ph). $^{125}Te\{^{1}H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 704.0 ppm.

[Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TePh$_2$)$_2$] (2c). This was prepared in a manner similar to (**2a**) employing both methods (i) and modified (ii) under refluxing in toluene and was isolated as an orange-red crystalline solid from acetonitrile–diethyl ether mixture at room temperature in 66–73% yield, m.p. 142 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{24}H_{20}Cl_4Pd_2Te_2$: C, 31.39; H, 2.19%. Found:

C, 31.32; H, 2.19%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 7.38 (t, 7.5 Hz), 7.49 (t, 7.2 Hz), 7.78 (d, 7.2 Hz). ^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 823.5 ppm.

[Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}$_2$] (3). A toluene–methanol (5 : 1 v/v; 50 cm^3) solution of *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (**1a**) (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) was refluxed for 30 min with stirring under an argon atmosphere whereupon an orange-red solution faded to orange color. The solvents were evaporated under vacuum and the residue was washed with petroleum ether, and then extracted with toluene. The solvent was reduced to 2 ml under vacuum, on addition of hexane (5 ml) the title complex precipitated out as an orange powder (42 mg, 75%), m.p. 164–169 °C (dec.). Anal calcd for $C_{36}H_{42}Cl_2Pd_2Te_2$: C, 42.66; H, 4.18%. Found: C, 42.52; H, 4.17%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.04 (s, 1Me), 2.25 (s, 1Me), 2.53 (s, 2Me), 3.38 (br, CH_2), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.87 (s, 2H) (CH, Mes). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 20.9, 21.0, 22.9, 25.7, 27.2 (1Me), 29.7 (CH2, metallated), 127.4, 128.8 (3/5-CH of metallated), 129.1 (3,5-CH non-metallated), 140.3, 140.8, 141.2, 143.9 (quaternary carbons). ^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 644.0 ppm.

Complex **3** could also be obtained when a toluene–methanol solution of [Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] was refluxed for 30 min (^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 644.0 ppm).

***cis*-[PdCl$_2${MesTeCH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}] (4).** (i) A toluene–methanol solution of **3** was refluxed for 2 h and the colour of the solution darkened from orange to dark red. The solvents were evaporated under vacuum and the residue was washed with petroleum ether and extracted with toluene. The solvent was reduced to 2 ml and a few drops of hexane were added which on slow evaporation afforded dark-red crystals, m.p. 185 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{27}H_{32}Cl_2PdTe_2$: C, 41.10; H, 4.09%. Found, C, 41.20; H, 3.96%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 2.02, 2.22, 2.27, 2.50 (each s, 1Me), 2.47, 2.63 (br, s, each 2Me, 2,6-Me of Mes), 3.55 (AX pattern, CH_2 metallated, $\Delta\nu_{AX}$ = 169 Hz, J_{AX} = 11 Hz), 6.25, 6.83 (each s, 1H, 3,5-CH, metallated), 6.93 (s, 3,5-CH, Mes). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 20.5, 20.8, 25.3, 26.5 (1Me), 27.5, 28.5 (br, 2Me), 117.0, 117.6 (C–Te), 129.0, 129.2, 130.4, 130.9, 140.6, 140.9, 141.1, 142.3, 142.7, 144.3 ppm. ^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 428.2 ppm.

(ii) A toluene–methanol solution of *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (150 mg, 0.16 mmol) was refluxed for 2 h which resulted in a dark-red solution. After processing in a similar way gave red crystals (45 mg, 35%). The NMR data were consistent with the product obtained in the above preparation.

(iii) To a methanolic solution of Na_2PdCl_4 (200 mg, 0.68 mmol), a toluene solution of $TeMes_2$ (254 mg, 0.69 mmol) was added with stirring and the whole mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with petroleum ether. The residue was extracted with toluene and recrystallized similarly as above (125 mg, 23%). Analytical and NMR data were consistent to the product obtained through (i).

[Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}$_2$] (5a). To a toluene solution of palladium acetate (200 mg, 0.30 mmol) a toluene solution of Mes_2Te (328 mg, 0.89 mmol) was added with stirring at room temperature which continued for 1 h. The solution was passed through Celite to remove any decomposition products. The solution was concentrated to 5 ml and precipitated by adding petroleum ether. The precipitate was filtered out and washed with a small portion of petroleum ether and then recrystallized from a toluene–hexane mixture (1 : 1, v/v) at room temperature to afford two different types of crystals, *viz* yellow rectangular blocks (260 mg, 55% yield), m. p. 138–139 °C (dec) and a few red needle shaped crystals. The two were separated manually. The yellow crystals were characterized as the title complex (**5a**) while the red crystals could be characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis as a tetra-nuclear complex [Pd(μ-OAc)(μ-TeMes)]$_4$ (**6**). Anal calcd for (**5a**) $C_{40}H_{48}O_4Pd_2Te_2$: C, 45.29; H, 4.56%. Found: C, 44.00; H, 4.31%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 400 MHz) δ: 1.95–2.53 (overlapping singlets due to methyl groups of mesityl and acetate groups), 3.36 (AB pattern, CH_2 metallated, $\Delta\nu_{AB}$ = 71 Hz, J_{AB} = 13 Hz), 6.43, 6.60, 6.64, 6.77, 6.81, 6.86 (each br s of 3,5-CH of mesityl). ^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 554.1 ppm.

[Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me)$_2$Tetol-*o*}$_2$] (5b). Prepared similar to **5a** and isolated as orange crystals from acetonitrile–diethyl ether at −5 °C in 63% yield, m.p. 140 °C (dec). Anal calcd for $C_{36}H_{40}O_4Pd_2Te_2$: C, 43.04; H, 4.01%. Found: C, 43.05; H, 3.84%. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 1.88 (s, OAc), 2.04, 2.14, 2.29 (each s, 1Me), 3.09 (AB pattern, CH_2 metallated, $\Delta\nu_{AB}$ = 24.6 Hz, J_{AB} = 12.7 Hz), 6.60 (s, 3,5-CH, Mes), 6.70–6.91 (m), 7.18 (br) (*o*-tol). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 21.2, 22.2, 23.4, 24.0, 24.2, (for Me, CH_2), 118.9 (C–Te), 126.6, 127.2, 128.7, 128.9, 130.4, 132.6, 140.6, 141.0, 142.2, 179.8 (C=O);^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 592.2 ppm.

[Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TePh}$_2$] (5c). Prepared similar to **5a** as a red powder in 45% yield, m.p. 147 °C (dec). The complex tends to decompose and hence gave variable analysis. ^{125}Te{^{1}H} NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ: 690.4 ppm.

X-ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray data on *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$] (**1a**), [Pd$_2$(μ-Cl)$_2$Cl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$]·2acetone (**2a**·2 acetone), *cis*-[PdCl$_2${MesTeCH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}] (**4**), [Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)TeMes}$_2$]·toluene (**5a**·toluene), [Pd$_2$(μ-OAc)$_2${CH$_2$C$_6$H$_2$(4,6-Me$_2$)Tetol-*o*}$_2$] (**5b**) and [Pd(μ-OAc)(μ-TeMes)]$_4$ (**6**) were collected on an Agilent SuperNova or Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer. Crystallographic data, together with data collection and refinement details are given in Tables S1 and S2 of ESI.† All the data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structures were solved by direct methods[44] and expanded using the Fourier technique.[45] Hydrogen atoms were added to the parent atom with idealized geometry and refined isotropically. Molecular structures were drawn using ORTEP.[46]

Conclusion

In summary we have isolated a number of complexes formed in the reactions of telluro ethers with palladium precursors. By subtle variation in reaction conditions a variety of complexes, such as addition complexes (*e.g.* *trans*-[PdCl$_2$(TeMes$_2$)$_2$]), complexes showing secondary Pd···H interactions

(*e.g.* $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}Cl)_2Cl_2(TeMes_2)_2]$), cyclometallated complexes (*e.g.* $[Pd_2(\mu\text{-}OAc)_2\{CH_2C_6H_2(4,6\text{-}Me_2)TeMes\}_2]$), complexes formed by Te–C bond cleavage (*e.g.* $[Pd(\mu\text{-}OAc)(\mu\text{-}TeMes)]_4$) and finally leading to palladium telluride (Pd_7Te_3), have been isolated and structurally characterized. The cyclopalladation of telluroether ligands *via* benzyl C–H bond activation has also been demonstrated for the first time.

References

1 V. K. Jain and L. Jain, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 3075–3197.
2 A. C. Cope and R. W. Siekman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 3272–3273.
3 I. Omae, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 2608–2632.
4 M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 576–623.
5 M. Beller, H. Fischer, W. A. Herrmann, K. Öfele and C. Brossmer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1848–1849.
6 D. Zim, A. S. Gruber, G. Ebeling, J. Dupont and A. L. Monteiro, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, **2**, 2881–2884.
7 Q. Yao, E. P. Kinney and C. Zheng, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 2997–2999.
8 J. Dupont, C. S. Consorti and J. Spencer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2527–2571.
9 M. Ghedini, I. Aiello, A. Crispini, A. Golemme, M. La Deda and D. Pucci, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 1373–1390.
10 D. A. Alonso and C. Najera, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2891–2902.
11 G. Dyker, *Chem. Ber.*, 1997, **130**, 1567–1578.
12 P. Espinet, M. A. Esteruelas, L. A. Oro, J. L. Serrano and E. Sola, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **117**, 215–274.
13 M. D. Santana, R. Garcia-Bueno, G. Garcia, G. Sánchez, J. Garcia, J. Pérez, L. Garcia and J. L. Serrano, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 3537–3546.
14 N. P. E. Barry and P. J. Sadler, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 5106–5131.
15 M. Pfeffer, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1989, **26**, 211–214.
16 H. P. Abicht and K. Z. Z. Issleib, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1983, **500**, 31–39.
17 P. P. Phadnis, V. K. Jain, A. Klein, M. Weber and W. Kaim, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **346**, 119–128.
18 M. L. Zanini, M. R. Meneghetti, G. Ebeling, P. R. Livotto, F. Rominger and J. Dupont, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **350**, 527–536.
19 J. Dupont, N. Beydoun and M. Pfeffer, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1989, 1715–1720.
20 S. Kolay, A. Wadawale, D. Das, H. K. Kisan, R. B. Sunij and V. K. Jain, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 10828–10837.
21 T. Chakraborty, S. Sharma, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 2525–2530.
22 R. S. Chauhan, G. Kedarnath, A. Wadawale, A. L. Rheingold, A. M. Castro, R. A. Perez and V. K. Jain, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 1743–1750.
23 R. S. Chauhan, G. Kedarnath, A. Wadawale, A. M. Z. Slawin and V. K. Jain, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 259–269.
24 L. B. Han, N. Choi and M. Tanaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 1795–1796.
25 G. K. Rao, A. Kumar, M. P. Singh and A. K. Singh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2014, **749**, 1–6.
26 A. Kumar, M. Agarwal and A. K. Singh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2008, **693**, 3533–3545.
27 K. N. Sharma, H. Joshi, A. K. Sharma, O. Prakash and A. K. Singh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 9344–9346.
28 M. Risto, E. M. Jahr, M. S. Hannu-Kuure, R. Oilunkaninemi and R. S. Laitinen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 2193–2204.
29 M. D. Santana, R. G. Bueno, G. Garcia, G. Sánchez, J. Garcia, J. Pérez, L. Garcia and J. L. Serrano, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 3537–3546.
30 T. M. Klapötke, B. Krumm, P. Mayer, K. Polborn and I. Schwab, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2005, **631**, 2677–2682.
31 M. Bochmann, A. P. Coleman, K. J. Webb, M. B. Hursthouse and M. Mazid, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1991, **30**, 973–975.
32 M. Rajeswaran and H. J. Gysling, *Z. Kristallogr. - New Cryst. Struct.*, 2002, **217**, 151.
33 M. Brookhart, M. L. H. Green and G. Perkin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 6908–6914.
34 R. Oilunkaniemi, J. Komulainen, R. S. Laitinen, M. Ahlgrén and J. Pursiainen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1998, **571**, 129–138.
35 J. E. Drake, J. Yang, A. Khalid, V. Srivastava and A. K. Singh, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1997, **254**, 57–62.
36 H. P. Nayek, H. Niedermeyer and S. Dehnen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4208–4212.
37 A. I. Stash, T. I. Pereplkova, Yu. G. Noskov, T. M. Buslaeva and I. P. Romm, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem.*, 2001, **27**, 585–590.
38 S. Dey, V. K. Jain, B. Varghese, T. Schurr, M. Niemeyer, W. Kaim and R. J. Butcher, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **359**, 1449–1457.
39 D. K. Paluru, S. Dey, A. Wadawale and V. K. Jain, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **728**, 52–56.
40 D. D. Perrin, W. L. F. Armarego and D. R. Perrin, *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, Pergamon, Oxford, 2nd edn, 1980.
41 N. Petragnani, L. Torres and K. J. Wynne, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1975, **92**, 185–189.
42 F. R. Hartley, *The Chemistry of Platinum and Palladium*, Wiley, New York, 1973.
43 V. I. Bakhmutov, J. F. Berry, F. A. Cotton, S. Ibragimov and C. A. Murillo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 1989–1992.
44 SIR92A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, M. C. Burla, G. Polidori and M. Camalli, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1994, **27**, 435.
45 SHELXG. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.
46 C. K. Johnson, *ORTEP-II, Report ORNL-5136*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Rdge TN, 1976.