# Preparation of Organotrifluoroborate Salts: Precipitation-Driven Equilibrium under Non-Etching Conditions\*\*

Alastair J. J. Lennox and Guy C. Lloyd-Jones\*

Dedicated to Professor Stefan Toma on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Although potassium organotrifluoroborates (RBF<sub>3</sub>K) were first explored in the 1960s,<sup>[1]</sup> it was not until the mid 1990s that the utility of these air-, moisture-, and thermally stable, freeflowing crystalline solids began to be more fully appreciated.<sup>[2,3]</sup> They have now become extremely popular reagents in synthesis, with three major areas of application: 1) as precursors to difluoroboranes<sup>[2a,d-g]</sup> for allylation,<sup>[2d,4]</sup> boronic Mannich reactions,<sup>[5]</sup> and ether couplings;<sup>[6]</sup> 2) as readily handled boron intermediates, thus facilitating distal functional-group manipulation,<sup>[7]</sup> pinacol boronate cleavage,<sup>[8]</sup> and halo,<sup>[9]</sup> oxidative,<sup>[10]</sup> or nitrosative<sup>[11]</sup> deboronations; and 3) in metal-catalyzed coupling reactions, such as coppercatalyzed etherification<sup>[12]</sup> and rhodium-catalyzed additions to aldehydes,<sup>[13]</sup> imines,<sup>[14]</sup> and enones,<sup>[15]</sup> as well as palladiumcatalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura reactions.<sup>[16]</sup> The latter has become an area of intense activity,<sup>[3,16]</sup> with organotrifluoroborates proving to be versatile and reliable reagents for a wide range of direct or indirect<sup>[17]</sup> couplings.

Despite this diverse repertoire, there are only two general routes to RBF<sub>3</sub>K reagents: C-B bond formation by reaction of R-SnMe<sub>3</sub> with BF<sub>3</sub>/KF<sup>[1]</sup> and B-F bond formation<sup>[18]</sup> by reaction of R-B(OR')<sub>2</sub> with HF/KOH,<sup>[19]</sup> or with KHF<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[2a,20]</sup> The latter procedure was introduced by Vedejs et al. and involves addition of excess aqueous KHF<sub>2</sub> to the parent boronic acid (R' = H) or ester (R' = alkyl) in methanol.<sup>[2a]</sup> This procedure was extended by Genet and co-workers to boronates generated in situ from RMgX or RLi,<sup>[2b,c]</sup> and has become the standard method for potassium organotrifluoroborate preparation. Its generality and reliability has engendered their remarkably diverse application not only in synthesis, but also ranging, for example, from carriers for <sup>18</sup>F PET imaging<sup>[21]</sup> through to precursors for ionic liquids.<sup>[22]</sup> However, although KHF<sub>2</sub> is safer to handle than HF or BF<sub>3</sub>, it is nonetheless corrosive, thus causing extensive etching of glassware.<sup>[23]</sup> Moreover, the procedure usually requires separation of the RBF<sub>3</sub>K product from the mixture of salts remaining after evaporation and sometimes necessitates Soxhlet extraction. Herein we report a new and operationally simple method for  $RBF_3M$  preparation (M = for example, K, Cs) that can be routinely conducted in regular glassware by employing readily handled reagents. It has been applied to a wide range of boronic acids and pinacol boronates, allows facile isolation of the trifluoroborate, and is readily scaled.

In their pioneering study on the generation of RBF<sub>3</sub>K reagents, Vedejs et al. found that whilst KHF<sub>2</sub> in MeOH smoothly converted boronic acids (**1**) into potassium organo-trifluoroborates (**2**), the much more readily handled KF did not.<sup>[2a]</sup> We began with an in situ <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of this latter process, thus reacting the fluorine-bearing aryl boronic acid p-FC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>B(OH)<sub>2</sub> (**1a**) with 4 equivalents of KF. This study confirmed that whilst equilibrium with intermediates **3a**/**4a**<sup>[24]</sup> (see Scheme 1 for structures) is rapidly established, the generation of the p-FC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>3</sub>K species **2a** could not be detected (<2%).

To consume the KOH that is formally liberated by  $HO^-$  displacement with  $F^-$ , and to catalyze the equilibration,<sup>[17b,25]</sup> we tested the effect of addition of mild organic acids (HA; Scheme 1). Simple carboxylic acids were found to drive the

Ar-B(OH)<sub>2</sub> 2 KF KOH  
Ar-B(OH)<sub>n</sub>
$$F_{3-n}$$
]K KF KOH  
(Ar-BF<sub>3</sub>]K  
1a 3a,4a:  $n = 1,2$  2a: < 2%  
2 KOH  $\frac{2 HA}{4 + 2}$  2 H<sub>2</sub>O + 2 KA

**Scheme 1.** Equilibrium between the boronic acid **1** a (Ar=p-FC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) and KF with the mixed species **3** a/4 a in either MeOH or MeCN as monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy. The second equation shows the potential for acid (HA) to drive the generation of the trifluoroborate **2** a.

equilibrium in the desired direction, however a large excess was required to effect a greater than 99% conversion into **2a**. Replacing MeOH with diethyl ether led to co-precipitation of **2a** with other potassium salts (KF/RCO<sub>2</sub>K etc.), thus making isolation of pure **2a** nonfacile. Switching to MeCN kept trifluoroborate **2a** in solution, but an excess of carboxylic acid (e.g. acetic or *ortho*-iodobenzoic acid) was still required (Scheme 2).

We thus sought an acid that could be used stoichiometrically, rather than in excess, and that also allowed facile isolation of the pure trifluoroborate 2a. L-(+)-Tartaric acid (5) was found to fit these criteria well: it is a cheap and readily handled solid, and the monopotassium salt (potassium

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

aA, Weinheim These are not the final page numbers!

 <sup>[\*]</sup> A. J. J. Lennox, Prof. Dr. G. C. Lloyd-Jones
 School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close
 Bristol, BS8 1TS (UK)
 E-mail: guy.lloyd-jones@bris.ac.uk

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> We thank AstraZeneca PR&D (Dr. M. Kenworthy, Dr. P. M. Murray, Dr. M. Butters) for funding and Thomas Hornsby and Thomas Carter (Bristol Synthesis DTC) for preliminary studies. G.C.L.-J. is a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award Holder.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201203930.



 $RCO_2H = AcOH$ , 30 equiv

= o-IC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, 4 equiv

= L-(+)-tartaric acid (5), 2 equiv (stoichiometric: RCO<sub>2</sub>K fully precipitates)

**Scheme 2.** Number of equivalents of acetic ( $pK_a$  4.8), *ortho*-iodobenzoic ( $pK_a$  2.9), and L-(+)-tartaric acid (5,  $pK_a$  3.0) acids required for a greater than 99% conversion of **1a** into **2a**.

bitartrate, "cream of tartar") is of very low solubility in most organic solvents. By using just a stoichiometric amount of **5**, added as a THF solution, the precipitation of bitartrate (RCO<sub>2</sub>K; Scheme 2) rapidly drives complete conversion of **1a** into **2a**.

Potassium bitartrate tends to precipitate as a metastable suspension, thus making filtration problematic and leading us to screen a wide range of inorganic salts and additives to find a suitable flocculating agent. Ultimately, a small quantity of water (conveniently added as aqueous KF, ca. 10 M) was found to readily effect flocculation, thus allowing rapid filtration. A slight excess of L-(+)-tartaric acid (2.05 equiv) reliably accommodated variations in stoichiometry arising from anhydrides (boroxines) and boric acid in commercial samples of boronic acids.<sup>[26]</sup> As residual KF and water, as well as any traces of insitu generated KHF<sub>2</sub> co-flocculate with the potassium bitartrate, the product isolation simply involves filtration and then evaporation<sup>[27]</sup> to directly obtain the RBF<sub>3</sub>K reagent 2 in high yield and analytically pure form (<sup>19</sup>F/<sup>11</sup>B/<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis).<sup>[26,28]</sup> With these reaction conditions in hand, a range of aromatic, vinylic, allylic, and alkyl boronic acids were smoothly converted into the corresponding trifluoroborates (2a-u; 1 mmol scale; Table 1) using commercial grade solvents under air.

Upon scale-up, the KF/L-(+)-tartaric acid process was equally efficient and easy to conduct, thus generating, for example, 4.2 g (99%) of 1j and 3.9 g (95%) of 1l (Table 1, entries 10 and 12). Of note is the rapid and simple procedure for product isolation, thus contrasting the extensive extraction processes which can be required with the classic  $KHF_2$ method.<sup>[29]</sup> In addition, the  $HF_2^-$  anion can result in extensive etching of glassware,<sup>[23]</sup> with most reports advocating use of PTFE or polyethylene vessels. In stark contrast, there was no evidence for either etching or the solution-phase HF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anion (<sup>19</sup>F NMR soectroscopy) with the KF/L-(+)-tartaric acid procedure. To further test this, 2a (0.75 mmol) was prepared by each method using two new 25 mL glass round bottomed flasks, and the procedures were repeated several times using the same flask for each method. With the KHF<sub>2</sub> method, cumulative etching soon led to the entire flask becoming opaque.<sup>[23]</sup> In contrast, with the KF/L-(+)-tartaric acid procedure, even after 30 sequential preparations (average vield **Table 1:** Potassium trifluoroborate **2a–u** preparation by bitartrate precipitation.

•		KF (4 equiv)		
R-B	(OH)-	tartaric acid (5; 2.05 equiv)		Kaalta
14	a–u	MeCN, 21°C THF, H <sub>2</sub> O 1–10 min	<b>2a–u</b> stir, filter evaporate	V Sails
Entry		R		Yield [%] <sup>[a]</sup>
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		$\begin{array}{c} 4 {\text{-}}{\text{FC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ a}) \\ {\text{C}_6}{\text{H}_5} \ (1 \text{ b}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{MeC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ c}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{MeOC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ d}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{tBuC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ e}) \\ {\text{E}}{\text{-}}{\text{5}}{\text{-}}{\text{styryl}} \ (1 \text{ f}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{MeCOC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ g}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{CNC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ h}) \\ 4 {\text{-}}{\text{NO}_2}{\text{C}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ i}) \\ 1 {\text{-}}{\text{naphthyl}} \ (1 \text{ j}) \\ 3 {\text{-}}{\text{NO}_2}{\text{C}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ k}) \\ 3 {\text{-}}{\text{MeCOC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ m}) \\ 3 {\text{-}}{\text{ClC}_6}{\text{H}_4} \ (1 \text{ m}) \\ 3 {\text{,}}{\text{5}}{\text{-}}{\text{(CF}_3})_2{\text{C}_6}{\text{H}_3} \ (1 \text{ n}) \\ 2 {\text{,}}{\text{6}}{\text{-}}{\text{F}_2}{\text{C}_6}{\text{H}_3} \ (1 \text{ o}) \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 96^{[b]} \ (2 a) \\ 90 \ (2 b) \\ 89 \ (2 c) \\ 84 \ (2 d) \\ 98 \ (2 e) \\ 90 \ (2 \ f) \\ 57 \ (2 g) \\ 88 \ (2 h) \\ 78 \ (2 i) \\ 96 \ (99)^{[c]} \ (2 j) \\ 87^{[d]} \ (2 k) \\ 82 \ (95)^{[c]} \ (2 l) \\ 93 \ (2 m) \\ 96^{[c]} \ (2 n) \\ 78 \ (2 o) \end{array}$
16		$3-NH_2C_6H_4$ ( <b>1 p</b> )		76 (2p)
1/		cyclopropyl (1 q)		/U <sup>(e)</sup> ( <b>2q</b> )
1ð 10		$2_{\rm F}$		57°°( <b>∠r</b> ) 85 <sup>[d,e]</sup> ( <b>2</b> s)
20		N-Boc-5-Br-2-indolvl (1+)		90 ( <b>2</b> t)
21		3-quinolinyl ( <b>1 u</b> )		69 <sup>[d,e,f]</sup> (2 u)

[a] Yield of isolated and analytically pure RBF<sub>3</sub>K;<sup>[28]</sup> see the Supporting Information for full details. [b] Average of 30 runs. [c] Value within parentheses is for an 18-fold scale-up; [d] Used 4.5 equiv KF and 2.5 equiv 5. [e] Used MeCN/MeOH (1:1) as the solvent. [f] The crude product obtained after evaporation, predominantly the K salt, was refined with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/acetone to give 2u (69%).

96%), no trace of etching could be detected (see the Supporting Information).

We next explored whether pinacol boronates (6) undergo analogous transformations. These species are of considerable utility in synthesis, but are often converted with KHF2 into the corresponding trifluoroborate to aid hydrolysis or isolation.<sup>[8]</sup> A slight modification of solvent was required with the KF/ L-(+)-tartaric acid methodology as, unlike boronic acids (Scheme 1), the pinacol boronate does not substantially precomplex<sup>[30]</sup> the KF (<sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy) in MeCN, thereby resulting in incomplete conversion of 6 into 2 before the onset of bitartrate flocculation. Reaction in MeCN/MeOH (1:1) negated this problem, thus giving RBF<sub>3</sub>K products in good yield and high purity (Scheme 3).<sup>[28,31]</sup> We also briefly tested whether boronates prepared in situ<sup>[2b,c]</sup> from organolithium<sup>[32]</sup> species can be converted into trifluoroborates using KF/5. Sequential addition of 1.0 equivalent of BuLi, 1.01 equivalents of B(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, 5.0 equivalents of KF, and then 3.05 equivalents of 5 to phenyl acetylene gave the alkynyl trifluoroborate in comparable yield to that obtained with the KHF<sub>2</sub> procedure (see the Supporting Information).

Finally, we note that the solubility and stability of the organotrifluoroborate salts can be tuned by variation of the

www.angewandte.org

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

• These are not the final page numbers!



**Scheme 3.** Reaction conditions: a) KF (10 M aq.; 4 equiv) MeCN/ MeOH (1:1), 21 °C, 1 min; b) L-(+)-tartaric acid (**5**, 2.05 equiv, THF) 2–5 min; c) filter, evaporate, remove pinacol (6 mmHg, gentle heating, 15 min).<sup>[8b,31]</sup>

counterion.<sup>[2a,8a,19,33]</sup> The RBF<sub>3</sub>Cs salts **7**, for example, can be conveniently prepared by using the reaction conditions shown in Table 1, but replacing KF with CsF (Scheme 4); previous procedures require use of HF.<sup>[19,33]</sup>



**Scheme 4.** Reaction conditions: a) CsF (10 M aq.; 4 equiv), MeCN, 21 °C, 1 min; b) **5** (2.05 equiv, THF) 1-5 min; c) dilute with MeCN, filter, evaporate. For **6a**, MeCN/MeOH (9:1) employed.

In summary, we have developed a new procedure for the preparation of potassium organotrifluoroborate salts in good yield and high purity by pre-equilibration of the boronic acid or boronate with KF and then addition of a mild acid. By using L-(+)-tartaric acid (5) the process is efficiently driven to completion by precipitation of potassium bitartrate, thus making product isolation very simple.<sup>[34-36]</sup> It is effective for a wide range of boronic acids and pinacol boronates, including aliphatic, vinylic, and allylic systems, as well as electron-poor and electron-rich aryl rings. The method can also be effectively applied for the preparation of RBF<sub>3</sub>Cs reagents. Compared to current methodologies involving HF or MHF<sub>2</sub>. the reaction conditions are very mild, thus allowing the use of regular glassware, as there is no etching, and a simple and safe scale-up.<sup>[27]</sup> In addition, the low solubility of K<sup>+</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup> bitartrate salts suggests considerable potential for application of L-(+)-tartaric acid (5) as an alkali-metal sponge in other processes, for example by reaction of MF with 5 to release HF/MHF<sub>2</sub> in situ for silvl deprotection.

#### **Experimental Section**

Preparation of potassium 3-acetylphenyltrifluoroborate (**21**; Table 1, entry 12): a solution of KF (4 equiv, 72 mmol, 4.18 g) in  $H_2O^{[34]}$  (7.2 mL) was added to a suspension of the boronic acid **11** (2.95 g, 18 mmol) in MeCN (72 mL), and the mixture was stirred until complete dissolution (1 min). L-(+)-Tartaric acid (**5**, 2.05 equiv, 37 mmol, 5.54 g in 27 mL THF) was added dropwise to the rapidly stirring biphasic solution over a period of approximately 5 min, during which a white precipitate formed and rapidly flocculated. The mixture was filtered, washed through with more MeCN, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give **21** (3.86 g, 95%). <sup>11</sup>B NMR

(96 MHz,  $[D_6]DMSO$ ):  $\delta = 2.2$  (br);<sup>[7a] 19</sup>F NMR (283 MHz,  $[D_6]DMSO$ ):  $\delta = -139.3$  (br). See the Supporting Information for full details.

Received: May 21, 2012 Published online:

**Keywords:** boron  $\cdot$  fluorine  $\cdot$  synthetic methods  $\cdot$  tartaric acid  $\cdot$  trifluoroborates

- a) R. D. Chambers, H. C. Clark, C. J. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 5298-5301; b) S. L. Stafford, Can. J. Chem. 1963, 41, 807-808; c) R. D. Chambers, T. Chivers, D. A. Pyke, J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 5144-5145; d) D. Thierig, F. Umland, Naturwiss. Unterr. Chem. 1967, 54, 563; e) T. Chivers, Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 3856-3859; see also f) D. L. Fowler, C. A. Kraus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1940, 62, 1143-1144.
- [2] a) E. Vedejs, R. W. Chapman, S. C. Fields, S. Lin, M. R. Schrimpf, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3020-3027; b) J.-P. Genet, S. Darses, G. Michaud, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 1875-1883; c) S. Darses, G. Michaud, J.-P. Genet, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5045-5048; d) R. A. Batey, A. N. Thadani, D. V. Smil, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4289-4292; e) M. de La Torre, M. C. Caballero, A. Whiting, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 8547-8554; f) S. W. Coghlan, R. L. Giles, J. A. K. Howard, L. G. F. Patrick, M. R. Probert, G. E. Smith, A. Whiting, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 4784-4793; g) J. D. Kirkham, R. J. Butler, J. P. A. Harrity, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 6508-6511; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6402-6405.
- [3] General reviews on RBF<sub>3</sub>K reagents: a) S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 108, 288-325; b) J.-P. Genet, S. Darses, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2003, 4313-4327; c) H. A. Stefani, R. Cella, A. S. Vieira, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 3623-3658.
- [4] a) R. A. Batey, A. N. Thadani, D. V. Smil, A. J. Lough, Synthesis 2000, 990–998; b) R. A. Batey, A. N. Thadani, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3827–3830; c) S.-W. Li, R. A. Batey, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1382–1383; d) T. R. Ramadhar, R. A. Batey, Synthesis 2011, 1321–1346.
- [5] a) N. A. Petasis, I. Akritopoulou, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 583–586; b) N. Schlienger, M. R. Bryce, T. K. Hansen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 1303–1305; c) D. A. Mundal, K. E. Lutz, R. J. Thomson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 5782–5785.
- [6] Selected examples: a) C.-V. T. Vo, T. A. Mitchell, J. W. Bode, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14082-14089; b) A. M. Dumas, J. W. Bode, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2138-2141.
- [7] Selected examples: a) G. A. Molander, D. E. Petrillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9634–9635; b) G. A. Molander, D. J. Cooper, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3558–3560; c) G. A. Molander, R. Figueroa, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6135–6140; d) G. A. Molander, W. Febo-Ayala, L. Jean-Gérard, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3830–3833; e) G. A. Molander, J. Ham, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2767– 2770.
- [8] a) A. K. L. Yuen, C. A. Hutton, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 7899–7903; b) J. M. Murphy, C. C. Tzschucke, J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 757–760; c) V. Bagutski, A. Ros, V. K. Aggarwal, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 9956–9960; d) S. R. Inglis, E. C. Y. Woon, A. L. Thompson, C. J. Schofield, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 468–471.
- [9] a) G. W. Kabalka, A. R. Mereddy, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 4519–4521; b) G. W. Kabalka, A. R. Mereddy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 343–345; c) N. A. Petasis, A. K. Yudin, I. A. Zavialov, G. K. S. Prakash, G. A. Olah, *Synlett* 1997, 606–608.
- [10] G. A. Molander, L. N. Cavalcanti, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 623-630.
- [11] G. A. Molander, L. N. Cavalcanti, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4402– 4413.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1-5

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!

## Angewandte Communications

- [12] R. A. Batey, T. D. Quach, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1381-1384.
- [13] a) M. Pucheault, S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 4714–4716; b) A. Ros, V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, 121, 6407–6410; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 6289–6292; c) R. A. Batey, A. N. Thadani, D. V. Smil, *Org. Lett.* 1999, 1, 1683–1686.
- [14] a) K. Brak, J. A. Ellman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3850–3851; b) K. Brak, J. A. Ellman, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3147–3150; c) Y. Luo, H. B. Hepburn, N. Chotsaeng, H. W. Lam, Angew. Chem. 2012, DOI: 10.1002/ange.201204004; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201204004.
- [15] a) J.-P. Genet, M. Pucheault, S. Darses, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 6155–6157; b) L. Navarre, M. Pucheault, S. Darses, J.-P. Genet, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 4247–4250; c) M. Pucheault, S. Darses, J.-P. Genêt, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 3552–3557.
- [16] For reviews, see: a) G. A. Molander, B. Canturk, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9404–9425; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9240–9261; b) G. A. Molander, N. Ellis, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 275–286; recent examples: c) B. Schmidt, S. Krehl, A. Kelling, U. Schilde, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2360–2367; d) V. Colombel, M. Presset, D. Oehlrich, F. Rombouts, G. A. Molander, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1680–1683; e) N. Murai, M. Yonaga, K. Tanaka, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1278–1281, and references therein.
- [17] a) A. J. J. Lennox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *Isr. J. Chem.* 2010, *50*, 664–674; b) A. J. J. Lennox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 7431–7441.
- [18] Reaction of isopinocampheyl-BBr<sub>2</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub>/KF: D. Kaufmann, G. Bir, W. Schacht, J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 340, 267-271.
- [19] R. A. Batey, T. D. Quach, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 9099– 9103.
- [20] Perfluoroalkyl reagents require HF/KHF<sub>2</sub>: a) G. A. Molander, B. P. Hoag, *Organometallics* 2003, 22, 3313–3315; b) H.-J. Frohn, V. V. Bardin, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 15–16; c) H.-J. Frohn, V. V. Bardin, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2001, 627, 2499–2505.
- [21] D. M. Perrin, R. Ting, C. W. Harwig, J. Lo, Y. Li, M. J. Adam, T. J. Ruth, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4662–4670.
- [22] a) D. Zhao, Z. Fei, C. A. Ohlin, G. Laurenczy, P. J. Dyson, *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2500–2501; b) Z.-B. Zhou, H. Matsumoto, K. Tatsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 2196–2212.
- [23] In the procedure reported by Vedejs et al., aqueous  $KHF_2$  and the residue obtained after concentration of the reaction mixture (prior to extraction of  $RBF_3K$ ), are both substantially more corrosive towards the glassware than the reaction mixture itself. Whilst KF/L-(+)-tartaric acid can generate  $KHF_2$ , this is transient/low in concentration and removed by co-precipitation with the bitartrate.
- [24] Intermediates 3a/4a have also been observed in basic aq. THF:
  a) M. Butters, J. N. Harvey, J. Jover, A. J. J. Lennox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, P. M. Murray, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5282-5286; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5156-5160; and on reaction of 1a with nBu₄NF in DMF: b) C. Amatore, A. Jutand, G. Le Duc, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 1408-1411; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1379-1382.
- [25] V. V. Bardin, S. G. Idemskaya, H.-J. Frohn, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 883–890.
- [26]  $B(OH)_3$  present in, or derived from 1 is converted into  $KBF_4$ . Thus  $KBF_4$  is generally detected as a minor contaminant

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

(typically 0–0.7%) in the product 2 and is also present in commercial samples and reference samples prepared using KHF<sub>2</sub>. Prior recrystallization of 1 from water, affords 2 in higher yield and purity.

- [27] For scale-up, filtration after flocculation then addition of an antisolvent, for example,  $Et_2O$ , allows isolation of **2** after a second filtration.
- [28] Elemental analysis of the RBF<sub>3</sub>K reagent obtained directly from evaporation was indicative of comparable or higher purity to commercial samples, and to reference materials prepared using the KHF<sub>2</sub> procedure (see the Supporting Information). If required, further purification can be effected by crystallisation from MeCN/Et<sub>2</sub>O.
- [29] See for example: G. A. Molander, S. L. J. Trice, S. D. Dreher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17701–17703.
- [30] For studies of F anion coordination to pinacol boronates, see: S. Nave, R. P. Sonawane, T. G. Elford, V. K. Aggarwal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17096–17098, and references therein.
- [31] Pinacol can be separated by evaporation<sup>[8b]</sup> or by a MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O azeotrope.<sup>[8c]</sup> The latter works well with the procedure by Vedejs et al. (albeit with much bumping during evaporation) as KHF<sub>2</sub> in the residue supresses net regeneration of the pinacolate by solvolysis. With the KF/t-(+)-tartaric acid procedure, removal of the pinacol is best achieved under nonsolvolytic<sup>[17b]</sup> conditions (6 mmHg/ $\Delta$ ), thus leaving pure RBF<sub>3</sub>K as the residue. Pinacol evaporates more readily from pure RBF<sub>3</sub>K, than when excess KF/KHF<sub>2</sub> is present.<sup>[8c]</sup>
- [32] The presence of magnesium salts, from analogous reactions of RMgX species with borates (conditions from Ref. [2b]), led to a 0% yield of isolated RBF<sub>3</sub>K using the KF/5 methodology. Instead, the crude product should be isolated as the pinacol boronate before conversion into RBF<sub>3</sub>K by using the reaction conditions outlined in Scheme 3.
- [33] D. S. Matteson, D. Maliakal, P. S. Pharazyn, B. J. Kim, Synlett 2006, 3501-3503.
- [34] A reviewer who tested the procedure (3 examples; unspecified, all successful) noted that a reduction in the amount of water facilitated more efficient solvent evaporation and drying, especially on scale-up. We note that a balance must be struck between efficiency of filtrate evaporation versus the efficacy of bitartrate flocculation; this latter issue is dependent on the identity of the trifluoroborate being prepared.
- [35] For RB(OH)<sub>2</sub>/RBF<sub>3</sub>K systems of low solubility, for example, some heterocyclic systems, we recommend the use of MeOH/ MeCN (1:1) rather than pure MeCN. After addition of tartaric acid the reaction mixture should then be diluted with an equal volume of MeCN. See the Supporting Information for full details. e.g. for preparation of 2s.
- [36] For basic substrates, there is the possibility of generation of the internal salt (J. Raushel, D. L. Sandrock, K. V. Josyula, D. Packyz, G. A. Molander, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2762–2769). The aniline 2p and pyridine 2s gave pure potassium salts (elemental analysis), however, the crude quinoline 2u was slightly deficient in potassium (elemental analysis). Stirring an acetone solution of the crude 2u over K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> gave the pure potassium salt (69%) after filtration/evaporation.

These are not the final page numbers!

www.angewandte.org

# **Communications**



### Synthetic Methods

A. J. J. Lennox, G. C. Lloyd-Jones\*

> Preparation of Organotrifluoroborate Salts: Precipitation-Driven Equilibrium under Non-Etching Conditions



Simple, rapid, and scaleable: In contrast to current procedures using corrosive HF/MF or  $MHF_2$  reagents (M = e.g. K), a wide range of trifluoroborates can be rapidly, simply, and safely prepared from MF (M = K, Cs),  $RCO_2H$ , and a boronic acid/ester in regular glassware (see figure; left versus right). The use of L-(+)-tartaric acid as an alkali-metal sponge is key and allows isolation of  $RBF_3M$  by a simple stir/filter/evaporate sequence.