

Gold(I) Complexes Derived from $C_6Me_3(C\equiv CH)_3$ -1,3,5, $C_6Me_4(C\equiv CH)_2$ -1,4, and $MeC_6H_4C\equiv CH$ -4[§]

José Vicente,[†] María-Teresa Chicote,^{*,†} and Miguel M. Alvarez-Falcón

Grupo de Química Organometálica, Departamento de Química Inorgánica,
Universidad de Murcia, Apto. 4021, Murcia, 30071 Spain

Peter G. Jones^{*,‡}

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie der Technischen Universität,
Postfach 3329, 38023 Braunschweig, Germany

Received August 2, 2005

$C_6Me_3(C\equiv CH)_3$ -1,3,5 ($Ar(C\equiv CH)_3$) reacts with $[AuCl(SMe_2)]$ and NEt_3 (1:3:3) to give $[Au_3\{\mu-(C\equiv C)_3Ar\}]_n$ (**1**). Complexes of the general formula $\{[AuL]_3\{\mu-(C\equiv C)_3Ar\}\}$ can be obtained from **1** and excess isocyanide ($L = tBuNC$ (**2**), $XyNC$ (**3**)), by treating **2** with an excess of $NHET_2$ ($L = C(NH^tBu)NEt_2$ (**4**)), or by reacting $[Ar(C\equiv CH)_3]$ with $[Au(acac)PPh_3]$ (1:3, $L = PPh_3$ (**5**)) or with $PPN[AuCl_2]$ (1:3, $PPN = Ph_3P=N=PPh_3$) and an excess of $NHET_2$ ($L = NHET_2$ (**6**)). The reaction of $C_6Me_4(C\equiv CH)_2$ -1,4 ($Ar'(C\equiv CH)_2$) with $PPN[AuCl_2]$ (1:2) and an excess of $NHET_2$ gives $\{[AuNHET_2]_2\{\mu-(C\equiv C)_2Ar'\}\}$ (**7**), which reacts with 1,6-bis(diphenylphosphino)hexane (dpph) to give $[Au_2\{\mu-(C\equiv C)_2Ar'\}(\mu-dpph)]_n$ (**8**). The reactions of the alkynes $[Ar(C\equiv CH)_3]$, $[Ar'(C\equiv CH)_2]$, and $TOC\equiv CH$ ($TO = 4-MeC_6H_4$) with the appropriate amounts of $PPN[Au(acac)_2]$ ($acac = acetylacetonato$) allow the syntheses of the anionic complexes $PPN[Au\{C\equiv CAr(C\equiv CH)_2\}]$ (**9**), $PPN[Au\{C\equiv CAr'C\equiv CH\}]$ (**10**), $PPN[Au\{C\equiv CTO\}]$ (**11**), $(PPN)_{3n}[Au\{C\equiv CAr(C\equiv C)_2\}_2Au_2]_n$ (**12**), and $(PPN)_n[Au\{\mu-(C\equiv C)_2Ar'\}]_n$ (**13**). The X-ray crystal structures of **5**, **9**, and **11** have been determined.

Introduction

The number of ethynyl(arene)gold complexes decreases notably as the number of $Au\equiv C$ fragments in the complex increases. In fact, many (arenemonoethynyl)gold complexes have been described, most being of the type $[Au(C\equiv CR)L]_n$ ($R = aryl$ group, $L = monodentate$ ($n = 1$) or $bidentate$ ($n = 2$) neutral ligand),^{1–8} although a few of the general formulas $[Au(C\equiv CR)]_n$,^{9–13}

$Q[Au(C\equiv CR)Cl]$,⁸ $Q[Au(C\equiv CR)_2]$,^{7,11,14–16} and $Q[Au\{C\equiv C(Py)C\equiv CH\}]_2$ ($py = pyridine-3,5-diyl$)¹² are also known.

(Arenediethynyl)gold derivatives are less common, but some neutral or anionic complexes, including polymers, have been prepared from the dialkynes $Ar(C\equiv CH)_2$ ($Ar = C_6H_4$ -1,3,¹⁰ C_6H_4 -1,4,³ (C_6H_3Me-5) -1,3, $(C_6H_2Me_2-2,5)$ -1,4,⁴ $(C_6HMe_3-2,4,6)$ -1,3,¹⁷ C_6Me_4 -2,3,5,6,¹⁰ py -3,5,¹² C_6H_2 -3,6-(15-crown-5)-4,5,⁵ C_4Me_4 -3,4,5,6¹³). Apart from showing interesting ribbon or infinite chain structures,^{4,18} many of them are luminescent, a property attributable in part^{2,6,14,19} to their short intermolecular $Au\cdots Au$ contacts, which are also present in most structurally characterized luminescent gold(I) complexes.^{4,6,18,20} Their conjugated structures might lead to materials applications.²¹

(Arenetriethynyl)gold complexes are very scarce. As far as we are aware, only the explosive polymeric

[§] Dedicated to Dr. José Antonio Abad, with best wishes, on the occasion of his retirement.

[†] E-mail: mch@um.es (M.-T.C.); jvs1@um.es (J.V.). Web: <http://www.um.es/gqo>.

[‡] E-mail: p.jones@tu-bs.de.

(1) Bruce, M. I.; Dufly, D. N. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986**, *39*, 1697. Chao, H.-Y.; Lu, W.; Li, Y.; Chan, M. C. W.; Che, C. M.; Cheung, K. K.; Zhu, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 14696. Whittall, I. R.; Humphrey, M. G.; Hockless, D. C. R. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1997**, *50*, 991. Hurst, S. K.; Lucas, N. T.; Humphrey, M. G.; Asselberghs, I.; Vanboxel, R.; Persoons, A. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2001**, *54*, 447. Irwin, M. J.; Jia, G. C.; Payne, N. C.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 51. Corfield, P. W. R.; Shearer, H. M. M. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* **1967**, *23*, 156.

(2) Li, D.; Hong, X.; Che, C. M.; Lo, W. C.; Peng, S. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 2929.

(3) Jia, G. C.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Scott, J. D.; Vittal, J. J. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 3565.

(4) Irwin, M. J.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3541.

(5) Lu, X.-X.; Li, C.-K.; Cheng, E. C.-C.; Zhu, N.; Yam, V. W. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 2225.

(6) Xiao, H.; Cheung, K. K.; Che, C. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1996**, 3699.

(7) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Jones, P. G.; Humphrey, M. G.; Cifuentes, M. P.; Samoc, M.; Luther-Davies, B. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 2968.

(8) Vicente, J.; Singhal, A. R.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 5887.

(9) Coates, G. E.; Parkin, C. J. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, 3220. Alejos, P.; Coco, S.; Espinet, P. *New J. Chem.* **1995**, *19*, 799.

(10) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Alvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Hernández, F. J.; Jones, P. G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2003**, *347*, 67.

(11) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Álvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Fox, M. A.; Bautista, D. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 4792.

(12) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Álvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Bautista, D. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 5707.

(13) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Álvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 4666.

(14) Che, C. M.; Yip, H. K.; Lo, W. C.; Peng, S. M. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 887.

(15) Abu-Salah, O. M.; Al-Ohaly, A. R.; Al-Qahtani, H. A. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1986**, L29. Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 2629.

(16) Ferrer, M.; Rodríguez, L.; Rossell, O.; Pina, F.; Lima, J. C.; Font-Bardía, M.; Solans, X. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *82*, 678.

(17) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Alvarez-Falcón, M. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *663*, 40.

(18) MacDonald, M. A.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Yap, G. P. A. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 2194.

(19) Tzeng, B.-C.; Lo, W.-C.; Che, C.-M.; Peng, S.-M. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 181.

complex $[\text{Au}_3\{\mu\text{-}[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_{3-1,3,5}]\}_n]^{22}$ and a few derivatives of the type $[(\text{AuL})_3\mu\text{-}[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_{3-1,3,5}]]$, obtained by reacting the former with mono- or bidentate phosphine, phosphite, or isocyanide ligands, have been reported, including three of their crystal structures.^{22,23} Molecules with 3-fold rotation symmetry, which can exhibit nonzero β values despite being nonpolar, are promising candidates for second-order nonlinear optical materials design.²³ In addition, there is currently much interest²² in extending the chemistry of gold beyond the most common one-directional linear complexes by using trifunctional core molecules, and 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene appears particularly well suited for this purpose.²⁴

In this paper we report the synthesis of some new gold(I) arenemonoethynyl, -diethynyl, and -triethynyl complexes derived from the alkynes $\text{MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}\equiv\text{CH}$ -4, $\text{C}_6\text{Me}_4(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_{2-1,4}$, and $\text{C}_6\text{Me}_3(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_{3-1,3,5}$, some of which are unprecedented with respect to their composition or structural features. The crystal structures of $\text{PPN}[\text{Au}\{\text{C}\equiv\text{CC}_6\text{Me}_3(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_{2-3,5}\}_2]$, $\text{PPN}[\text{Au}(\text{C}\equiv\text{CC}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}-4)_2]$, and $[\{\text{AuPPh}_3\}_3\{\mu\text{-}(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_{3-1,3,5}\text{-C}_6\text{Me}_3\}]$ have been determined.


Experimental Section

IR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry ($\text{FAB}^{+/-}$), elemental analyses, conductance measurements in acetone, and melting point determinations were carried out as described elsewhere.²⁵ The molar conductivity of the neutral complexes gave very low values ($0\text{--}5\ \Omega^{-1}\text{ cm}^2\text{ mol}^{-1}$). The NMR spectra were measured on Bruker Avance 200, 300, or 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are referred to TMS (^1H , ^{13}C) or H_3PO_4 (^{31}P). Unless otherwise stated, the reactions were carried out at room temperature without any precautions to avoid oxygen or moisture. The syntheses of $[\text{AuCl}(\text{SMe}_2)]$,²⁶ $[\text{Au}(\text{acac})\text{PPh}_3]$ (acacH = acetylacetone), $\text{PPN}[\text{AuCl}_2]$, and $\text{PPN}[\text{Au}(\text{acac})_2]$ ²⁷ were previously reported. All other chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. The solvents were distilled before use.

Synthesis of $[\text{Au}_3\{\mu\text{-}(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_3\text{Ar}\}]_n$ (1). A suspension of $[\text{AuCl}(\text{SMe}_2)]$ (1.54 g, 5.2 mmol) and $\text{Ar}(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_3$ (Ar = C_6Me_3 -2,4,6 (see Chart 1); 335 mg, 1.7 mmol) in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and NEt_3 (0.850 mL, 6 mmol) was stirred for 10 min. The suspension was filtered, and the solid was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (2×20 mL) and Et_2O (20 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (ca. 1 mbar) for 0.5 h to give $1\cdot\text{NEt}_3\cdot 0.25\text{SMe}_2$ as a bright yellow powder. Its insolubility in all common solvents prevented the recording of its NMR spectra. Yield: 1.35 g, 1.5 mmol, 89%. Mp: 198 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{215}\text{H}_{25.5}\text{Au}_3\text{NS}_{0.25}$: C, 28.79; H, 2.87; N, 1.56; S, 0.89. Found: C, 28.64; H, 2.74; N, 1.31; S, 0.95. IR (cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$, 2104 (w).

Synthesis of $[(\text{AuL})_3\{\mu\text{-}(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_3\text{Ar}\}]$ (L = $^t\text{BuNC}$ (2), XyNC (3)). To a suspension of **1** (2, 101 mg, 0.13 mmol; **3**, 94 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added the appropriate ligand (**2**, $^t\text{BuNC}$, 73 μL , 0.65 mmol; **3**, XyNC , 79 mg, 0.60

Chart 1



mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 40 min. The resulting solution was filtered through Celite, concentrated under vacuum (to ca. 3 mL), and slowly added dropwise to *n*-hexane (2, 50 mL) or Et_2O (3, 25 mL). The suspension was stirred for 15 min and filtered, and the solid collected was air-dried to give **2** as a white powder or recrystallized from CHCl_3 and Et_2O to give **3** as a pale yellow solid.

2: yield 83 mg, 0.08 mmol, 62%; mp 136 °C. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{36}\text{Au}_3\text{N}_3$: C, 35.00; H, 3.52; N, 4.08. Found: C, 35.35; H, 3.73; N, 4.15. IR (cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{N})$, 2224 (s). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 2.72 (s, 9 H, Me), 1.55 (s, 27 H, ^tBu). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 142.1, 129.6, 122.2, 101.5 ($\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$), 58.8 (CMe_3), 30.2 (CMe_3), 21.4 (Me).

3: yield 107 mg, 0.09 mmol, 76%; mp 146 °C. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{36}\text{Au}_3\text{N}_3$: C, 42.98; H, 3.09; N, 3.58. Found: C, 42.66; H, 3.08; N, 3.52. IR (cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{N})$, 2192 (s). ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.33–7.28 (m, 3 H, Xy), 7.17–7.13 (m, 6 H, Xy), 2.79 (s, 9 H, Me, Ar), 2.44 (s, 18 H, Me, Xy). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 129.1, 124.4, 120.1, 118.2, 112.8, 96.9 ($\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$), 32.4 (Me), 30.5 (Me).

Synthesis of $[\{\text{Au}(\text{NH}^t\text{Bu})\text{NEt}_2\}_3\{\mu\text{-}(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_3\text{Ar}\}]$ (4). Complex **2** (73 mg, 0.071 mmol) was stirred in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and NHET_2 (1 mL, 9.62 mmol) for 2 h. The suspension was filtered through Celite, and the resulting solution was concentrated under reduced pressure (to ca. 1 mL). By addition of Et_2O (40 mL) a solid was obtained, which was filtered off, recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 and Et_2O , and air-dried to give **4** as a white powder. **4:** yield 52 mg, 0.041 mmol, 59%; mp 120 °C. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{69}\text{Au}_3\text{N}_6$: C, 40.39; H, 5.57; N, 6.73. Found: C, 40.70; H, 5.60; N, 6.48. IR (cm^{-1}): $\nu(\text{NH})$, 3386 (w); $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$, 2101 (w). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 5.82 (s, 3 H, NH), 4.07 (q, 6 H, CH_2 , $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz), 3.22 (q, 6 H, CH_2 , $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz), 2.68 (s, 9 H, Me, Ar), 1.65 (s, 27 H, ^tBu), 1.28 (t, 9 H, Me, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz), 1.16 (t, 9 H, Me, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 206.0 (AuCN_2), 143.1, 140.6, 123.0, 102.9 ($\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$), 54.2 (CH_2), 54.0 (CMe_3), 43.2 (CH_2), 32.0 (CMe_3), 20.6 (Me), 14.9 (Me), 11.8 (Me).

Synthesis of $[(\text{AuPPh}_3)_3\{\mu\text{-}(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})_3\text{Ar}\}]$ (5). To a solution of $[\text{Au}(\text{acac})\text{PPh}_3]$ (998 mg, 1.79 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was added $[\text{Ar}(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_3]$ (69 mg, 0.36 mmol), the mixture was stirred for 9 h and filtered through Celite, and the resulting solution was concentrated to dryness. The residue was stirred with Et_2O (45 mL), and the suspension was filtered off. Recrystallization of the solid from CH_2Cl_2 and Et_2O gave **5** as a bright yellow powder. **5:** yield 487 mg, 0.3 mmol, 87%; mp 155 °C. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{69}\text{H}_{54}\text{Au}_3\text{P}_3$: C, 52.89; H, 3.47. Found: C, 52.50; H, 3.55. IR (cm^{-1}): no $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$ is observed. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.60–7.41 (m, 45 H, PPh_3), 2.84 (s, 9 H, Me). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 141.5, 134.4 (d, o- PPh_3 , $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 14$ Hz), 131.3 (m, p- PPh_3), 130.1 (d, i- PPh_3 , $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 55$ Hz), 129.0 (d, m- PPh_3 , $^3J_{\text{CP}} = 11$ Hz), 121.9, 21.4 (Me). $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (121.4 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 42.99 (s, PPh_3). Crystals of **5** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were

(20) Che, C. M.; Chao, H. Y.; Miskowski, V. M.; Li, Y. Q.; Cheung, K. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4985.

(21) Hirsch, A.; Hanack, M. *Conjugated Polymeric Materials: Opportunities in Electronics, Optoelectronics and Molecular Electronics*; Kluwer Academic: New York, 1990.

(22) Irwin, M. J.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Yufit, D. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 219.

(23) Whittall, I. R.; Humphrey, M. G.; Houbrechts, S.; Maes, J.; Persoons, A.; Schmid, S.; Hockless, D. C. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, *544*, 277.

(24) Gardner, G. B.; Kiang, Y. H.; Lee, S.; Asgaonkar, A.; Venkataram, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6946.

(25) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Guerrero, R.; Saura-Llamas, I. M.; Jones, P. G.; Ramirez de Arellano, M. C. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 638.

(26) Tamaki, A.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1974**, *64*, 411.

(27) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T. *Inorg. Synth.* **1998**, *32*, 172.

obtained by liquid diffusion of Et₂O into a solution of the complex in CH₂Cl₂.

Synthesis of [(AuNHET₂)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] (6). To a mixture of [Ar(C≡CH)₃] (40 mg, 0.21 mmol) and PPN[AuCl₂] (499 mg, 0.62 mmol) in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (11 mL) was added NHET₂ (1.5 mL). After it was stirred for 4.5 h, the suspension was filtered off and the white solid collected was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 4 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (ca. 1 mbar). Yield: 200 mg, 0.2 mmol, 95%. Mp: 88 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₄₂Au₃N₃: C, 32.44; H, 4.24; N, 4.20. Found: C, 32.32; H, 4.18; N, 4.18. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(NH), 3156 (s); ν(C≡C), 2108 (w). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 5.48 (m, 3 H, NH), 2.95 (m, 12 H, CH₂), 2.46 (s, 9 H, Me), 1.30 (t, 18 H, Me, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 138.57, 123.54, 117.08, 98.00 (C≡C), 48.09 (CH₂), 21.03 (Me), 14.97 (Me).

Synthesis of [(AuNHET₂)₂{μ-(C≡C)₂Ar'}] (7). To a solution of PPN[AuCl₂] (1.04 g, 1.29 mmol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and NHET₂ (7 mL) was added a solution of Ar'(C≡CH)₂ (Ar' = C₆Me₄-2,3,5,6, 118 mg, 0.64 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), and the mixture was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 1.5 h. The resulting suspension was concentrated under vacuum (to ca. 10 mL) and filtered. The solid was dried under reduced pressure (ca. 1 mbar) for 0.5 h to give **7** as a white powder. **7**: yield 433 mg, 0.6 mmol, 94%; mp 99 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₄Au₂N₂: C, 36.68; H, 4.76; N, 3.89. Found: C, 36.93; H, 4.86; N, 3.88. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(NH), 3190 (s); ν(C≡C), 2102 (w). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 5.21 (m, 2 H, NH), 2.63 (m, 8 H, NCH₂Me), 1.83 (s, 12 H, Me), 1.03 (t, 12 H, NCH₂Me, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 134.9, 124.8, 118.5, 99.3 (C≡C), 48.5 (NCH₂Me), 19.4 (Me), 15.4 (NCH₂Me).

Synthesis of [Au₂{μ-Ar'(C≡C)₂}(μ-dpph)]_n (8). To a solution of 1,6-bis(diphenylphosphino)hexane (0.090 g, 0.20 mmol) in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added complex **7** (0.143 g, 0.20 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The resulting suspension was then filtered and the solid washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure (ca. 1 mbar) to give **8** as a white powder. The insolubility of **8** in all common solvents prevented measurement of its NMR spectra. **8**: yield 197 mg, 0.19 mmol, 96%; mp 272 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₄₄-Au₂P₂: C, 51.37; H, 4.31. Found: C, 51.20; H, 4.35. IR (cm⁻¹): no ν(C≡C) is observed. MS-FAB⁺ (*m/z*, %): 1030 [M⁺, 3].

Synthesis of PPN[Au{C≡CAr(C≡CH)₂}]₂ (9). A solution of PPN[Au(acac)₂] (280 mg, 0.30 mmol) in acetone (15 mL) was slowly added dropwise to a solution of Ar(C≡CH)₃ (230 mg, 1.20 mmol) in the same solvent (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 6 h, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under vacuum to dryness. The residue was stirred with Et₂O (4 × 20 mL), the suspension was filtered, and the solid was air-dried to give **9** as a pale purple powder. **9**: yield: 283 mg, 0.25 mmol, 85%; mp 196 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₆₆H₅₂AuNP₂: C, 70.90; H, 4.69; N, 1.25. Found: C, 70.61; H, 4.68; N, 1.23. Λ_M (Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹): 75. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(CH), 3320 (s), 3280 (s); ν(C≡C), 2096 (s). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.67–7.37 (m, 30 H, PPN), 3.37 (s, 4 H, CH), 2.62 (s, 12 H, Me), 2.53 (s, 6 H, Me). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.4, 139.4, 133.9 (m, p-PPN), 131.9 (m, m-PPN), 129.6 (m, o-PPN), 126.8 (dd, i-PPN, ¹J_{CP} = 108 Hz, ³J_{CP} = 2 Hz), 118.9, 98.8 (C≡C), 83.6 (CCH), 82.0 (CH), 20.6 (Me), 19.8 (Me). MS-FAB⁻ (*m/z*, %): 579 [M⁻, 100]. Crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained by slow diffusion of *n*-pentane into a solution of **9** in CH₂Cl₂.

Synthesis of PPN[Au(C≡CAr'C≡CH)₂] (10). To a solution of [Ar'(C≡CH)₂] (0.226 g, 1.24 mmol) in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added a solution of PPN[Au(acac)₂] (0.290 g, 0.31 mmol) in the same solvent (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h, filtered through Celite, and concentrated under vacuum (to ca. 10 mL). By addition of Et₂O (30 mL) a white solid precipitated, which was filtered, washed with Et₂O (5 mL), and air-dried to give **10**·2H₂O. **10**: yield 278 mg, 0.25 mmol, 82%; mp 229 °C dec. Anal.

Calcd for C₆₄H₆₀AuNO₂P₂: C, 67.78; H, 5.33; N, 1.24. Found: C, 67.68; H, 5.28; N, 1.16. Λ_M (Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹): 97. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(CH), 3286 (s); ν(C≡C), 2086 (s). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 7.69–7.52 (m, 30 H, PPN), 4.44 (s, 2 H, CH), 3.42 (s, 4 H, H₂O), 2.33 (s, 12 H, Me), 2.29 (s, 12 H, Me). ¹³C{¹H}-HMBC NMR (100 MHz, d₆-DMSO): δ 148.0, 135.7, 135.3, 134.5 (m, p-PPN), 134.4, 132.8 (m, o-PPN), 130.4 (m, m-PPN), 128.8, 127.6 (dd, i-PPN, ¹J_{CP} = 106 Hz, ³J_{CP} = 2 Hz), 119.2, 101.6 (C≡C), 90.2 (C≡CH), 88.3 (≡CH), 19.3 (Me), 19.0 (Me). MS-FAB⁻ (*m/z*, %): 559 [M⁻, 100].

Synthesis of PPN[Au(C≡CTo)₂] (11). A mixture of PPN-[Au(acac)₂] (0.755 g, 0.81 mmol) and ToC≡CH (0.307 mL, 2.42 mmol) in degassed acetone (15 mL) was stirred for 8.25 h. On addition of Et₂O (30 mL) a solid precipitated, which was filtered, washed with Et₂O (2 × 5 mL), and air-dried to give **11**·H₂O as a microcrystalline white solid. **11**: yield 756 mg, 0.78 mmol, 97%; mp 196 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₄₆-AuNOP₂: C, 65.92; H, 4.71; N, 1.42. Found: C, 66.20; H, 4.63; N, 1.71. Λ_M (Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹): 93. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(C≡C), 2104 (s). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70–7.39 (m, 30 H, PPN), 7.29–7.25 (m, 4 H, Ar), 6.92–6.88 (m, 4 H, Ar), 2.24 (s, 6 H, Me), 1.59 (s, 2 H, H₂O). ¹³C{¹H}-HMBC NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 134.6 (Ar), 134.3 (m, p-PPN), 133.7 (CAu), 133.4 (Ar), 132.5 (m, m-PPN), 130.1 (m, o-PPN), 128.5 (Ar), 127.3 (dd, ¹J_{CP} = 107 Hz, ³J_{CP} = 1.8 Hz, i-PPN), 125.2 (Ar), 102.9 (C≡CAu), 21.7 (Me). MS-FAB⁻ (*m/z*, %): 427 [M⁻, 100]. Crystals of **11** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained by slow diffusion of Et₂O into a solution of the compound in CH₂Cl₂.

Synthesis of (PPN)_{3n}[Au{μ-C≡CAr(C≡C)₂Au₂}]_n (12). A mixture of PPN[Au(acac)₂] (0.246 g, 0.26 mmol) and Ar(C≡CH)₃ (0.034 g, 0.18 mmol) in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 4 h. The resulting suspension was filtered and the solid dried under reduced pressure (ca. 1 mbar) for 0.5 h to give **12**·3CH₂Cl₂ as a purple powder. The insolubility of **12** in all common solvents prevented measurement of its NMR spectra. **12**: yield 190 mg, 0.067 mmol, 74%; mp 207 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄₁H₁₁₄Au₃-Cl₆N₃P₆: C, 59.63; H, 4.05; N, 1.48. Found: C, 59.39; H, 4.05; N, 1.40. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(C≡C), 2088 (w).

Synthesis of (PPN)_n[Au{μ-Ar'(C≡C)₂}]_n (13). To a solution of PPN[Au(acac)₂] (0.210 g, 0.23 mmol) in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added a solution of [Ar'(C≡CH)₂] (0.037 g, 0.20 mmol) in the same solvent (5 mL), and the mixture was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 12 h. The resulting suspension was filtered, and the solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and dried under vacuum (ca. 1 mbar) for 1 h to give **13**·0.9CH₂Cl₂ as a pale yellow powder. Its insolubility in all common solvents prevented measurement of its NMR spectra. **13**: yield 179 mg, 0.18 mmol, 90%; mp 153 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C_{50.9}H_{43.8}AuCl_{1.8}NP₂: C, 61.61; H, 4.45; N, 1.41. Found: C, 61.95; H, 4.47; N, 1.46. IR (cm⁻¹): ν(C≡C), 2082 (w).

X-ray Structure Determinations. Data were registered using Mo Kα radiation on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer. Absorption corrections were based on multiple scans (program SADABS). Structures were refined anisotropically on *F*² (program SHELXL-97, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany). Methyl hydrogens were identified in difference syntheses and refined as rigid groups allowed to rotate but not tip; other hydrogens were included using a riding model. To improve the stability of the refinement, restraints to light-atom displacement parameters and local phenyl ring symmetry were employed (*DELU*, *SIMU*, *FLAT*, *SAME*). Crystal data are presented in Table 1. *Special features/exceptions*: compound **5** has high residual electron density that might be attributed to absorption errors; for compound **9**, acetylenic hydrogens were refined freely but with C–H distance restraints (*SADI*).


Table 1. Crystallographic Data for Complexes 5·CH₂Cl₂, 9, and 11

	5·CH ₂ Cl ₂	9	11
formula	C ₇₀ H ₅₆ Au ₃ Cl ₂ P ₃	C ₆₆ H ₅₆ AuNP ₂	C ₅₄ H ₄₄ AuNP ₂
<i>M_r</i>	1651.86	1122.02	965.81
cryst size (mm)	0.28 × 0.10 × 0.05	0.18 × 0.16 × 0.05	0.30 × 0.08 × 0.08
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i>	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i>	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i>
cell constants			
<i>a</i> (Å)	24.554(2)	27.829(2)	17.5277(12)
<i>b</i> (Å)	16.6859(12)	12.7529(11)	17.6004(12)
<i>c</i> (Å)	15.0022(12)	19.8249(14)	15.3303(11)
α (deg)	90	90	90
β (deg)	100.265(3)	133.930(4)	115.354(4)
γ (deg)	90	90	90
<i>V</i> (Å ³), <i>Z</i>	6048.1(8)	5067.2(7)	4273.8(5)
λ (Å)	0.710 73	0.710 73	0.710 73
ρ (calcd) (Mg m ⁻³)	1.814	1.471	1.501
μ, mm ⁻¹	7.468	3.010	3.555
<i>F</i> (000)	3168	2272	1936
<i>T</i> (K)	133(2)	133(2)	133(2)
2θ _{max} (deg)	56.56	60.06	60.06
no. of rflns measd	85 546	39 441	40 559
no. of indep rflns	14 980	7397	6259
transmissns	0.802 and 0.455	0.894 and 0.650	0.802 and 0.466
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0667	0.0392	0.0369
no. of data/restraints/params	14980/216/706	7397/97/328	6259/0/264
<i>R</i> _w (<i>F</i> ² , all rflns)	0.0806	0.0645	0.0446
<i>R</i> (<i>F</i> , > 4σ(<i>F</i>))	0.0343	0.0264	0.0194
max Δρ (e Å ⁻³)	5.205 and -2.647	1.451 and -0.423	0.988 and -0.489

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. The complex [Au₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}]_n (**1**) (Ar = C₆Me₃-2,4,6; see Chart 1) was obtained by reacting Ar(C≡CH)₃ with [AuCl(SMe₂)] and NEt₃ (1:3:3.5) (Scheme 1); the Cl and SMe₂ ligands are both displaced from the coordination sphere of gold by the Ar(C≡C)₃³⁻ ligand generated in situ by deprotonation of the trialkyne with the amine. The insolubility of complex **1** in all common solvents suggests that it is a polymer in which gold would complete its usual linear dicoordination by side-on coordination to a C≡C bond, as assumed for related complexes.¹⁰ This is why we could not measure its NMR spectra. The sulfur and nitrogen contents shown in its elemental analyses, which we could not remove even after drying under reduced pressure for several hours, indicate the presence of SMe₂ and NEt₃ ligands that would coordinate to the terminal gold atoms in the polymer. The analytical data agree with the formulation 1·NEt₃·0.25SMe₂.

The addition of an excess of isocyanide to suspensions of **1** in CH₂Cl₂ produced clear solutions from which [(AuL)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] (L = ^tBuNC (**2**), XyNC (**3**)) were isolated in good yield, resulting from the splitting of the weak σ(C≡C)→Au interactions and the substitution of the residual labile ligands SMe₂ and NEt₃ in **1**. More labile ligands such as tetrahydrothiophene (tht) or NHET₂ did not react at all with **1**, which was recovered quantitatively even if a large excess of the ligands was used. We attempted these reactions with the aim of preparing complexes that could serve as starting materials more suitable than the insoluble polymer **1**. However, complexes of the general formula [(AuL)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] can be prepared through a variety of alternative syntheses. Thus, the carbene complex [(AuC(NH^tBu)NEt₂)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] (**4**) was obtained by reacting the isocyanide derivative **2** with a large excess of




^a Legend: (a) +3[AuCl(SMe₂)], +3NEt₃, -3SMe₂, -3(NHET₃)-Cl; (b) +3L; (c) +3[Au(acac)PPh₃], -3Hacac; (d): +3 PPN[AuCl₂], +6NHET₂, -3PPNCl, -3[NH₂Et₂]Cl; (e) +3HNHET₂.

NHET₂, following a synthetic method that is well documented in the chemistry of gold (Scheme 1).²⁸ The complex [(AuPPh₃)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] (**5**) was obtained by reacting [Au(acac)PPh₃] with Ar(C≡CH)₃ (3:1), providing one more example of the utility of the "acac method"²⁹ for the synthesis of gold(I) complexes from the appropriate [Au(acac)L] complex and a variety of mildly acidic species.²⁹ An excess of [Au(acac)PPh₃] was used over the stoichiometric 1:3 molar ratio, in order to avoid the formation of mixtures containing species resulting from the partial replacement of the acetylenic protons by AuPPh₃ fragments. The synthesis of **5** could offer an alternative route to [(Au(tht))₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] by its reaction with [AuCl(tht)] (1:3), associated with the formation of the highly stable [AuCl(PPh₃)]. Although the latter indeed formed and was isolated quantitatively from the mother liquor, the expected tht complex decomposed to give **1**, probably as a consequence not only of the lability of the tht ligand but also of the insolubility of **1**. The complex [(AuNHET₂)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] (**6**), with a labile NHET₂ ligand, was obtained by reacting PPN[AuCl₂] with Ar(C≡CH)₃ (3:1) and an excess of NHET₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (Scheme 1). Complexes **4** and **6** are the only (arenetriethynyl)gold(I) complexes with

(28) Minghetti, G.; Bonati, F.; Banditelli, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1976**, *15*, 1718. Bandini, A. L.; Banditelli, G.; Minghetti, G.; Peli, B.; Traldi, B. *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 590.


(29) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *193–195*, 1143.

Scheme 2^a

^a Legend: (a) +2PPN[AuCl₂], +4NHET₂, -2PPNCl, -2[NH₂-Et₂]Cl; (b) +dpph, -2NHET₂.

ligands other than phosphine or isocyanide. Similarly, the complex $[\{\text{AuNHET}_2\}_2\{\mu\text{-(C}\equiv\text{C)}_2\text{Ar'}\}]$ (**7**) was obtained from PPN[AuCl₂], Ar'(C≡CH)₂ (2:1; Ar' = C₆Me₄-2,3,5,6, see Chart 1), and an excess of NHET₂ (Scheme 2). The replacement of each of the C≡CH protons in the appropriate alkyne by an AuNHET₂ fragment could occur through a multistep process involving the deprotonation of the alkyne by the base to give a monoalkynyl ligand; this would replace one of the chloro ligands in PPN[AuCl₂] to give [NH₂Et₂]Cl and the corresponding PPN[Au(alkynyl)Cl] complex, in which the Cl ligand would be replaced by the excess amine to give (PPN)Cl and the corresponding [Au(alkynyl)NHET₂] derivative. The final result could be favored by the slight (**7**) or almost zero (**6**) solubility of these complexes in CH₂Cl₂, which might be attributed to the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds. In contrast to **6**, which dissolves only in dmsO, **7** dissolves slightly in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃, but the solutions decompose within a few minutes to give the more insoluble polymer $[\text{Au}_2\{\mu\text{-(C}\equiv\text{C)}_2\text{Ar'}\}]_n$, which we had prepared previously.¹⁰ This process can be avoided by adding HNET₂ to the solution, but once the polymer forms, it does not react with NHET₂ to give **7** as previously mentioned. The addition of solid complex **7** into a solution of dpph in CH₂Cl₂ produced the replacement of the amino ligands in **7** by the diphosphine to give $[\text{Au}_2\{\mu\text{-(C}\equiv\text{C)}_2\text{Ar'}\}(\mu\text{-dpph})]_n$ (**8**; Scheme 2). The order of addition of the reagents cannot be reversed, because otherwise, the insoluble complex **1** forms as a result of the instability of **7** in solution (see above).

The reactions of PPN[Au(acac)₂] with the various alkynes gave the anionic alkynylgold(I) complexes **9–13**, the nature of which depends on the alkyne and on the stoichiometric ratio of the reagents (Scheme 3). Thus, the synthesis of the bis(alkynyl)gold(I) complexes PPN[Au{C≡CAr(C≡CH)₂}₂] (**9**), PPN[Au(C≡CAr'C≡CH)₂] (**10**), and PPN[Au(C≡CTo)₂] (**11**) was achieved when a $\geq 4:1$ (**9**, **10**) or $\geq 3:1$ (**11**) alkyne to Au molar ratio was used instead of the stoichiometric 2:1 required. The excess of alkyne was necessary in the syntheses of **9** and **10** to avoid the formation of insoluble polymeric species (see below) and helped to improve the yield in the case of **11**. As far as we are aware, complexes **9** and **10** are, along with PPN[Au{C≡C(1,12-dicarba-closo-dodecaborane-10)C≡CH}₂}]¹¹ and $[\text{Au}(\text{C}\equiv\text{CC}\equiv\text{CH})(\text{P-}$

Scheme 3^a

^a Legend: (a) +2Ar'(C≡CH)₃, -2Hacac; (b) +2Ar'(C≡CH)₂, -2Hacac; (c) +2ToC≡CH, -2Hacac; (d) +²/₃Ar'(C≡CH)₂, -2Hacac; (e) +Ar'(C≡CH)₂, -2Hacac.

Ph₃],³⁰ the only gold complexes bearing a partially aurated polyalkyne. This is interesting, since these species bear C≡CH fragments and thus could behave as terminal alkynes in which the replacement of each of the acidic acetylenic hydrogen atoms by another metal center, for example following the "acac method" or dehydrohalogenation reactions such as that of Hagihara,³¹ could give rise to homo- or heteronuclear σ -alkynyl complexes with extended electron delocalization. We have recently reported a family of such mixed Pt(II)/Au(I) complexes that we obtained by the opposite approach: i.e., by reacting various $[\text{PtL}_2\{\text{C}\equiv\text{CAr}(\text{C}\equiv\text{CH})_n\}_2]$ complexes with (acetylacetonato)gold(I) derivatives.³²

When PPN[Au(acac)₂] was reacted with the alkynes Ar'(C≡CH)₃ and Ar'(C≡CH)₂ in an Au to alkyne molar ratio of $\geq 3:2$ or $\geq 1:1$, respectively, the polymeric complexes (PPN)_{3n}[Au{C≡CAr(C≡C)₂}₂Au₂]_n (**12**) and (PPN)_n[Au{μ-(C≡C)₂Ar'}]_n (**13**) formed, as a consequence

(30) Bruce, M. I.; Hall, B. C.; Skelton, B. W.; Smith, M. E.; White, A. H. *Dalton Trans.* **2002**, 995.

(31) Sonogashira, K.; Kataoka, S.; Takahashi, S.; Hagihara, N. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *160*, 319.

(32) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Álvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 2764.




Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of **5**·CH₂Cl₂. H atoms and solvent are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Au(1)–C(7) = 1.985(4), Au(2)–C(10) = 1.987(5), Au(3)–C(13) = 1.993(5), Au(1)–P(1) = 2.2692(12), Au(2)–P(2) = 2.2673(12), Au(3)–P(3) = 2.2747(14), C(7)–C(8) = 1.210(6), C(10)–C(11) = 1.206(6), C(13)–C(14) = 1.196(7); C(7)–Au(1)–P(1) = 176.14(13), C(10)–Au(2)–P(2) = 174.07(14), C(13)–Au(3)–P(3) = 175.4(2).

of the total replacement of the C≡CH protons by gold and its marked preference for a linear dicoordination. These complexes are, along with (PPN)_n[Au(C≡CC₆H-5-R₃-2,4,6-C≡C)]_n (R = H, Me),¹⁷ the first homoleptic (σ-alkynyl)gold(I) polymers.

An attempt to prepare the pentanuclear complex PPN[Au{C≡CAr(C≡CAuPTO₃)₂}₂] by reacting **9** with [Au(acac)PTO₃] (1:4) failed, and a mixture formed instead, from which **12** and [(AuPTO₃)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] were isolated in 84 and 82% yields, respectively. Both components were identified by IR: **12** by its elemental analyses and [(AuPTO₃)₃{μ-(C≡C)₃Ar}] by its NMR spectra (¹H, δ 7.49–7.20 (m, 36 H, PTO₃), 2.39 (s, 27 H, Me, PTO₃), 2.83 (s, 9 H, Me); ³¹P{¹H}, δ 40.92 (s, PTO₃)).

X-ray Crystal Structures. The crystal structures of **5**·CH₂Cl₂ (Figure 1), **9** (Figure 2), and **11** (Figure 3) were determined. In all these complexes the gold atoms are in essentially linear dicoordinate environments (angles at gold in the range 173.56(15)–179.12(9)°). The C≡C(Au) and Au–C_{alkynyl} bond distances (in the ranges 1.196(7)–1.213(3) and 1.978(3)–1.987(5) Å, respectively) and also the Au–P distances in **5** (2.2673(12)–2.2747(14) Å) lie within the ranges found in other alkynylgold(I) complexes.³³

The crystal structure of 1,3,5-triethynylbenzene is known, as well as those of three of its gold(I) derivatives, analogous to **5**, bearing PPh₃,³⁴ ^tBuNC, and P(OMe)₃.²² Whereas the former displays short Au···Au contacts (3.311 Å), these are rather long in the ^tBuNC derivative (3.724 Å) and absent in that with P(OMe)₃. This is also the case in **5**·CH₂Cl₂, in which the shortest Au···Au contacts are 6.73 Å.

The crystal structure of **9** consists of PPN cations and [Au{C≡CAr(C≡CH)₂}₂] anions (Figure 2), each lying on a 2-fold symmetry axis. The C(3)C(2)C(1)AuC(1#)C-




Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of the anion of **9** with the labeling scheme of the asymmetric unit. Some methyl H atoms are obscured. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Au–C(1) = 1.978(3), C(1)–C(2) = 1.208(4), C(10)–C(11) = 1.187(4), C(13)–C(14) = 1.165(4); C(1)–Au–C(1)#1 = 173.56(15), C(2)–C(1)–Au = 170.0(2), C(1)–C(2)–C(3) = 175.1(3).




Figure 3. Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of the anion of **11** with the labeling scheme of the asymmetric unit. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Au–C(1) = 1.9860(19), C(1)–C(2) = 1.213(3); C(1)–Au–C(1)#2 = 179.12(9), C(2)–C(1)–Au = 178.87(16), C(1)–C(2)–C(3) = 178.68(19), P#1–N–P = 158.04(14).

(2#)C(3#) fragment is slightly bent (C(1)–Au–C(1#) = 173.56(15)° and C(6)···Au···C(6#) = 155.7°), and the two aromatic rings that support the alkynyl groups subtend an interplanar angle of 59.6°. Although the crystal structures of some anionic bis(alkynyl)gold(I) derivatives have been previously reported,^{7,16,33,35,36} those of **9**, PPN[Au{C≡C(1,12-dicarba-closo-dodecaborane(12))C≡CH}₂],¹¹ and [Au(C≡CC≡CH)(PPh₃)]³⁰ are the only ones to show partially aurated polyalkynes. The C≡CAu bond distances in the latter (1.188(10), 1.166(9), and 1.226(9) Å, respectively) are longer than C≡CH (1.097(11),

(33) CCDC CSD version 5.25, December 2004.

(34) Whittall, I. R.; Humphrey, M. G.; Houbrechts, S.; Maes, J.; Persoons, A.; Schmid, S.; Hockless, D. C. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1997**, *544*, 277.

(35) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 5628.

(36) Smith, D. E.; Welch, A. J.; Treurnicht, I.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 4616. Yam, V. W. W.; Cheung, K. L.; Yip, S. K.; Cheung, K. K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *681*, 196.




Figure 4. Packing diagram of **9** viewed perpendicular to the yz plane. $C-H\cdots Au$ interactions are shown by dashed lines. The anion chain is seen in the center of the figure and is drawn with thicker bonds. See text for further explanation.




Figure 5. Packing diagram showing the $C-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) in **11**.

1.110(11), and 1.188(9) Å, respectively), which is also the case in **9** (1.208(4) vs 1.187(4) and 1.165(4) Å).

The anions of **9** form corrugated ribbons (Figure 4) parallel to the z axis. Each gold is involved in a short contact to three independent H atoms (and thus six in all); to H(11) of the neighboring anion at 3.07 Å, to H(25) at 3.10 Å, and to H(46) at 3.07 Å (for calculations, $C-H$ bond lengths were normalized to 1.08 Å). The last two contacts represent the coordination of the anion chain by the cations. Although the contacts are far from linear (angles 120–135°) and one could argue that assemblies of linearly coordinated atoms are the most easily approached on steric grounds, we feel nevertheless that there is a case for considering the interactions as $C-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds.^{37–39} The lengthening of the $H\cdots Au$ distance by less than 0.3 Å with respect to the sum of the van der Waals radii ($H\cdots Au = 2.86$ Å)⁴⁰ has not been considered critical.³⁷

The crystal structure of **11** shows $[Au(C\equiv CTo)_2]$ anions (Figure 3) and PPN cations; again, both of these lie on a 2-fold symmetry axis and the aromatic rings are slightly rotated with respect to each other (interplanar angle 18.6°). In contrast to the anions of compound **9**, there is no significant deviation from linearity, with $C(6)\cdots Au\cdots C(6\#) = 179^\circ$.

The packing of compound **11** (Figure 5) is similar to that of **9**. The anions form a double chain parallel to the z axis. Each gold atom forms two $C_{meta}-H\cdots Au$

hydrogen bonds with two neighboring anions ($H(7)\cdots Au = 2.85$ Å, $C(7)-H(7)-Au = 147^\circ$) and two $C_{para}-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds with two cations ($H(34)\cdots Au = 2.76$ Å, $C(34)-H(34)-Au = 136^\circ$). The $H\cdots Au$ distances (much shorter than in compound **9** and also shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (2.86 Å))⁴⁰ and the $C-H\cdots Au$ angles are indicative of the presence of $C-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds in complex **11**.^{37–39} The anion–cation contacts, from cations above and below the anion chain, complete layers parallel to the xz plane. A further contact (not shown in Figure 5) is established between a methyl H and the ring centroid of a tolyl group ($H\cdots Centroid = 2.76$ Å, $C-H\cdots Centroid = 145^\circ$).

$X-H\cdots M$ hydrogen bonds (M being metal and X being, in most cases, O, N, or C) have received little attention until quite recently.^{39,41} These interactions are favored for electron-rich metals, such as late transition metals in low oxidation states, because the metal center acts as a Lewis base with respect to the hydrogen atom. These bonds are different from the $X-H\cdots M$ agostic interactions, in which the metal center acts as a Lewis acid with respect to the $X-H$ bond density. The main structural differences between both types of interactions are the $X-H-M$ angle, which is narrower for agostic (<100–130°) than for hydrogen bonds (>130°),^{37–39} and the $X-M$ bond distance, which is shorter for agostic than for hydrogen bond interactions.

Although many structures of gold(I) complexes show contacts interpretable as $C-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds,³³ only a few have been discussed explicitly.^{8,13,42} This could be attributed to the presence of other, classical hydrogen bonds or $Au^I\cdots Au^I$ auophilic interactions or to the fact that the search for $C-H\cdots M$ interactions is not well integrated into common program systems; correspondingly, it may be assumed that many such interactions fail to be reported.⁴³ Our results, which are the first reporting $C-H\cdots Au$ hydrogen bonds shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii in a structure without other classical hydrogen bonds or $Au^I\cdots Au^I$ auophilic interactions, should spur ourselves and others to look for such interactions in all future crystal structures of gold complexes or, in general, for any $X-H\cdots metal$ contacts. However, we sound a note of caution. Hydrogen atom positions are imprecisely determined in the presence of heavy atoms. It is of course possible, and normal practice, to calculate the H atom positions, but some cases remain that cannot be calculated reliably (notably, methyl groups, especially those lacking significant rotational barriers).

NMR Spectra. The NMR spectra of the polymeric compounds **1**, **8**, **12**, and **13** could not be measured because of their insolubility. The spectrum of **9** shows the Me protons as two resonances at 2.62 and 2.53 ppm

(37) Steiner, T.; Desiraju, G. R. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 891.
 (38) Mascall, M. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 303. Taylor, R.; Kennard, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 5063.
 (39) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F.; Tedesco, E.; Biradha, K.; Desiraju, G. R. *Organometallics* **1997**, 16, 1846.
 (40) Bondi, A. J. *Phys. Chem.* **2001**, 68, 441.

(41) Canty, A. J.; van Koten, G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**, 28, 406. Yao, W.; Eisenstein, O.; Crabtree, R. H. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1997**, 254, 106. Crabtree, R. H.; Eisenstein, O.; Sini, G.; Peris, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, 567, 7. Calhorda, M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 801. Berenguer, J. R.; Fornies, J.; Gomez, J.; Lalinde, E.; Moreno, M. T. *Organometallics* **2001**, 20, 4847. Hascall, T.; Baik, M. H.; Bridgewater, B. M.; Shin, J. H.; Churchill, D. G.; Friesner, R. A.; Parkin, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2644. Brammer, L. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 3145.

(42) Wong, W. Y.; Choi, K. H.; Lu, G. L.; Shi, J. X.; Lai, P. Y.; Chan, S. M.; Lin, Z. Y. *Organometallics* **2001**, 20, 5446. Bardaji, M.; Jones, P. G.; Laguna, A. *Dalton Trans.* **2002**, 3624. Barranco, E. N.; Crespo, O.; Gimeno, M. C.; Laguna, A.; Jones, P. G.; Ahrens, B. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 39, 680.

(43) Jones, P. G.; Ahrens, B. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 2307.

with relative intensities 2:1, which, along with the data collected from (arenealkynyl)gold complexes derived from $C_6H_4(C\equiv C)_{2-1,3}$, $C_6H(C\equiv C)_{2-1,3}-Me_{3-2,4,6}$,^{10,17} and $C_6H_3N(C\equiv C)_{2-3,5}$,¹² allows us to conclude that the $C\equiv CAu$ fragments cause the protons and methyl groups in ortho positions to be deshielded with respect to those in meta positions. In the carbene complex **4**, the partial multiple bond character of the C–N bond in the CNH^tBu moiety can give rise to two possible isomers, *E* and *Z*, depending on the mutual disposition of the tBu and gold fragments. As only one resonance is observed in the 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra for each type of nucleus in the NH^tBu fragment, only one of the isomers can exist in solution unless the C– NH^tBu bond is weak enough to allow free rotation. In all the alkynylgold complexes with the same carbene ligand structurally characterized by us,^{7,44} the crystal structure corresponds to the less crowded *Z* isomer, and we assume this to be also the case for **4**.

In the $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra the resonances from the $Au-C^{\alpha}\equiv C^{\beta}$ fragments are difficult to assign on the basis of the literature. Although most authors assign the resonance at lower field to the α -C,^{20,35,45} opposite assignments can also be found,⁴⁶ along with others who do not describe these resonances^{47,48} or attribute them to the $C\equiv CAu$ fragment without distinction.^{47,49} An HMBC experiment carried out for **11** allowed us to unequivocally assign the resonances at 133.7 and 102.9 ppm to the α - and β -C nuclei, respectively.

IR Spectra. Only the IR spectrum of **9** shows two medium-intensity bands at 3320 and 3280 cm^{-1} assignable to $\nu(CC-H)$ stretching modes.⁵⁰ In the 2108–2082 cm^{-1} region the IR spectra of complexes **9–11** show one band of medium intensity assignable to $\nu(C\equiv C)$ ⁵⁰ (see the Experimental Section). A weak absorption is observed in the same region for complexes **1, 4, 6, 7, 12**,

and **13**, while this band is absent in the spectra of **2, 3, 5**, and **8**. For the same alkyne, the $\nu(C\equiv C)$ wavenumber decreases in the series neutral > anionic mononuclear > anionic polymeric (**7** > **10** > **13** and **4, 6** > **9** > **12**), probably because of the contribution of resonance forms of the type $Au=C=C=$ favored by the negative charge at the gold atom and by the extended $d\pi-p\pi$ electronic conjugation in the polymers.

Mass Spectra. The FAB[–]-MS spectra of the anionic complexes **9–11** show the M^- peak with 10% relative intensity. In the FAB⁺-MS spectrum of **8** the peak of higher m/z value, at 1030 (3%), corresponds to the monomer. However, taking into account the insolubility of many complexes of the type $[Au_2(C\equiv CRC\equiv C)(LL)]_n$, (LL = diisocyanide or diphosphine ligand)⁵¹ while the tetranuclear analogue $[Au_2(C\equiv CC\equiv C)(dppm)]_2$ ³⁰ is soluble, we tentatively assign to **8** a polymeric structure.

Molar Conductivity in Solution. The molar conductivities of the anionic derivatives **9–11**, soluble in acetone, gave values in the range of 75–99 $\Omega^{-1} cm^2 mol^{-1}$, slightly below those reported by Geary⁵² for 1:1 electrolytes, which we attribute to the much larger size of the ions in these complexes with respect to those used in the reference study.

Conclusions

We report the synthesis of a family of (arenemonoethynyl)-, (arenediethynyl)-, and some of the few existing (arenetriethynyl)gold(I) complexes. In addition, **4** and **6** are the only (arenetriethynyl)gold(I) complexes with ligands other than phosphine or isocyanide. Complexes **9** and **10** are some of the few gold complexes bearing a partially aminated polyalkyne, and **12** and **13** are, along with $(PPN)_n[Au(C\equiv CC_6H_5-R_3-2,4,6-C\equiv C)]_n$ (R = H, Me), the first homoleptic (σ -alkynyl)gold(I) polymers. The crystal structures of **9** and **11** show interesting features. Thus, in **9** we propose C–H $\cdots$ Au hydrogen bonds involving a hydrogen of the neighboring anion and two hydrogens of the cation, and in **11** we report the first C–H $\cdots$ Au hydrogen bonds shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii in a structure without other classical hydrogen bonds or $Au^I\cdots Au^I$ aurophilic interactions.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for the financial support of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, FEDER (CTQ2004-05396), and the Fundación Séneca (Comunidad Autónoma de la Región de Murcia, Spain) for a grant to M.A.A.-F.

Supporting Information Available: CIF files giving crystal data for **5, 9**, and **11**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OM050665F

(51) Puddephatt, R. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1055.

(52) Geary, W. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1971**, 7, 81.

(44) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D.; Alvarez-Falcón, M. M.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **2003**, 22, 4327.

(45) Vicente, J.; Chicote, M. T.; Abrisqueta, M. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1995**, 497. McArdle, C. P.; Van, S.; Jennings, M. C.; Puddephatt, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 3959. McArdle, C. P.; Irwin, M. J.; Jennings, M. C.; Vittal, J. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, 8, 723. Eisler, D.; Hong, W.; Jennings, M. C.; Puddephatt, R. J. *Organometallics* **2002**, 21, 3955.

(46) Naulty, R. H.; Cifuentes, M. P.; Humphrey, M. G.; Houbrechts, S.; Boutton, C.; Persoons, A.; Heath, G. A.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Luther-Davies, B.; Samoc, M. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1997**, 4167. Hong, X.; Cheung, K. K.; Guo, C.-X.; Che, C.-M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1994**, 1867.

(47) Lang, H.; Kocher, S.; Back, S.; Rheinwald, G.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **2001**, 20, 1968.

(48) Bruce, M. I.; Horn, E.; Matison, J. G.; Snow, M. R. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1984**, 37, 1163. Whittall, I. R.; Humphrey, M. G.; Houbrechts, S.; Persoons, A.; Hockless, D. C. R. *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 5738. Bruce, M. I.; Liddell, M. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, 427, 263.

(49) Alder, M. J.; Flower, K. R.; Pritchard, R. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, 629, 153. Back, S.; Gossage, R. A.; Lang, H.; van Koten, G. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 1457.

(50) Silverstein, R. M.; Bassler, G. C.; Morrill, T. C. *Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, 4th ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1981; p 110.