

Article

Molecular recognition of Brucella A and M antigens dissected by synthetic oligosaccharide glycoconjugates leads to a disaccharide diagnostic for brucellosis

N. Vijaya Ganesh, Joanna M. Sadowska, Susmita Sarkar, Laurence Howells, John McGiven, and David R. Bundle

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/ja5081184 • Publication Date (Web): 26 Sep 2014 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on October 2, 2014

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Molecular recognition of *Brucella* A and M antigens dissected by synthetic oligosaccharide glycoconjugates leads to a disaccharide diagnostic for brucellosis

N. Vijaya Ganesh¹, Joanna M. Sadowska¹, Susmita Sarkar¹, Laurence Howells², John McGiven² and David R. Bundle^{*1}

1 Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada

²FAO/WHO Collaborating Centre for Brucellosis, OIE Brucellosis Reference Centre, Department of Bacteriology, Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency, Woodham Lane, Addlestone, Surrey, United Kingdom, KT15 3NB.

KEYWORDS. Synthetic Brucella antigens; Synthetic Brucella M epitopes; Oligosaccharide-antibody recognition; Glycoconjugates; Glycoconjugate vaccine.

ABSTRACT: The cell wall O-polysaccharides of pathogenic *Brucella* species are homopolymers of the rare sugar 4,6-dideoxy-4formamido-α-D-mannopyranose. Despite the apparent simplicity of the polysaccharide it appears to be a "block copolymer" composed of A and M polysaccharide sequences expressed as a single molecule. The simultaneous presence of both in the cell wall has complicated the understanding of the molecular recognition of these antigens by antibodies present in the serum of infected animals and humans, and by monoclonal antibodies. Since presumptive diagnosis of brucellosis, a serious disease in domestic livestock, wild animals and humans is based on detection of these antibodies it is important to separate the two antigenic epitopes, one of which is also found in other bacteria. Chemical synthesis provides the only means to achieve this outcome. A series of six oligosaccharides from di to hexasaccharides **1-6** were synthesized and conjugated to proteins to provide glycoconjugate antigens and conjugate vaccines. These chemically defined antigens identified the M antigenic determinant and provided a structural basis for understanding the fine specificity of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies that bind the M antigen. This resulted in the discovery of a disaccharide that shows considerable potential as an unambiguous diagnostic antigen for detecting brucellosis in humans and animals, and two hexasaccharide conjugate vaccine candidates that produce high levels of O-polysaccharide specific antibodies in mice.

INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a zoonotic bacterial disease caused by members of the genus *Brucella*.¹ The disease is passed from animals to humans and although it is not transmitted between humans it represents a serious problem for animal and human health (WHO ranks brucellosis among the top seven "neglected zoonoses", a group of diseases that are both a threat to human health and a cause of poverty²). *B. abortus* preferentially infects cattle, *B. melitensis* sheep and goats, and *B. suis* swine and wildlife. Brucellosis causes abortions and infertility in these animals and a grave disease in humans that requires long and costly antibiotic therapy.³ We report here the chemical synthesis of oligosaccharide antigens representing antigenic determinants present in the *Brucella* bacterial cell wall, and their molecular recognition by monoclonal (mAbs) and polyclonal antibodies produced in response to infection, and their potential as superior diagnostics and vaccines.

The control of endemic brucellosis is only achievable via mass vaccination.^{4,5} However, it is widely acknowledged that the tools currently available for control incur costs that are unsustainable for most economies where brucellosis is prevalent and consequently amounts to no control. A need for superior diagnostics and vaccines has been repeatedly identified.⁶ The current vaccines (none are available for humans and swine) are all live (necessitating a cold chain) and possess residual virulence in animals (remaining fully virulent in humans). Other issues include, failure to generate sufficient protection, resistance to key antibiotics, and interfere with diagnostic assays, which are needed to achieve eradication.

Presumptive diagnosis of brucellosis primarily depends on detection, in animal or human sera, of antibodies to the O-antigen or O-polysaccharide (O-PS) component of Brucella lipopolysaccharide.^{1,7} The O-PS of smooth *Brucella* is a surprisingly complex homopolysaccharide of 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-Dmannopyranose residues (Figure 1). The trivial name of this amino sugar is perosamine and since the common sugar 6-deoxy-Lmannose is named rhamnose the abbreviation of the N-formylated persoamine residues of this polysaccharide are designated here as D-Rha4NFo. O-PS displays two antigenic determinants, an A antigen (prominent in B. abortus) and an M antigen (found initially in *B. melitensis*). The interplay of these antigenic determinants with antibodies generated in response to infection creates areas of ambiguity in diagnostic tests reflecting fundamental questions of antigen conformation and molecular recognition.

Wilson and Miles first proposed in 1932 that the two antigens were present in a single molecule⁸ but it was not until 1989 that this was confirmed⁹ and a structural basis for both antigens established.^{10,11} Aided by monoclonal antibody affinity columns it was demonstrated for representative *Brucella* biovars that A and M epitopes could not be separated and resided in a single polysaccharide chain.^{9,13} All *Brucella* strains classified serologically as A dominant contained at least 2% of the glycosidic linkage type defining M character, while the prototypical M strain, *B. melitensis* 16M contained 21%. All *Brucella* strains have M character lying between these extremes except for *B. suis* biovar 2, A type antigen.¹⁴



Figure 1. A) Structure of the *B. abortus* and *Y. enterocolitica* O:9 O-PS determined in 1984;^{10,17} [\rightarrow 2)Rha4NFo(1-]_n: B) Structure of the *B. melitensis* M antigen proposed in 1987;¹¹ C) Recently revised structure of the *Brucella* O-antigen with a capping M epitope terminating an A type α 1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo polymer, where usually m ≤ 16 .¹⁵ The capping tetrasaccharide occurs a minimum of once, n = 1 or may be repeated several times. n > 1.

The A epitope is comprised of four or more $\alpha 1,2$ -linked D-Rha4NFo residues, e.g. [\rightarrow 2)Rha4NFo(1-]₄. The M epitope is minimally the disaccharide Rha4NFo(1 \rightarrow 3)Rha4NFo but could be as large as the cappingtetrasaccharide, [Rha4NFo(1 \rightarrow 2)Rha4NFo(1 \rightarrow 3)Rha4NFo(1 \rightarrow 2) Rha4NFo(1-] of Fig 1C. The C/Y epitope is the least well defined but is regarded as two to four $\alpha 1,2$ -linked D-Rha4NFo residues [\rightarrow 2)Rha4NFo(1-]₂₋₄.

Brucella A dominant strains were originally suggested to be composed of α 1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo residues¹⁰ (Figure 1A). Brucella M dominant strains were proposed to contain one a1,3linked D-Rha4NFo for every four a1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo residues¹¹(Figure 1B). Recently the fine structure of *Brucella* O-PS has been re-examined and a revised structure proposed¹⁵ (Figure 1C). Thus all Brucella strains with a smooth LPS (except B. suis biovar 2¹⁴) essentially consist of two polymeric elements, a 1,2linked homopolymer that is capped by at least one tetrasaccharide repeating unit containing a1,3-linked D-Rha4NFo (Figure 1C)). This latter tetrasaccharide defines the M antigen, while the exclusively a1,2-linked polysaccharide component defines the A antigen. An epitope referred to as C/Y requires from 2-4 α 1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo resdiues.¹³ It can be appreciated from the general Brucella O-antigen structure (Figure 1C) that the A antigen is universally present in all smooth Brucella with variable expression of the M antigen (Figure 1C, $m \ge 2$).¹⁵ The simultaneous expression of two antigenic determinants in a single homopolysaccharide and the recognition of these by antibodies has considerable practical importance.

A complication in serodiagnosis of brucellosis is the existence of bacteria with O-PS that contain *N*-acylated 4-amino-4,6dideoxy- α -D-mannopyranose. Animals infected by these bacteria can produce antibodies that cross react in existing diagnostic tests for brucellosis.^{7,16} Two Gram negative bacteria possess O-PS composed exclusively of α 1,2-linked 4-amino-4,6-dideoxy- α -D-mannopyranose residues. One of these *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 is *N*-formylated¹⁷ and therefore essentially equivalent to a pure A antigen. Therefore infections with this organism result in false positive serological tests.^{1,7} *Vibrio cholera* O1 is acylated by 2,4-dihydroxy (*R*) butyric acid¹⁸ and has also been reported to be a cross reactive antigen.¹⁹ Several enteric bacteria that express O-antigens containing 4-acetamido-4,6-dideoxy- α -D-mannopyranose residues are also cross reactive.^{20a-c}

Our previous work identified a synthetic nonasaccharide antigen that was equally effective in binding antibodies specific for the A and M antigenic determinants and a pentasaccharide that exhibited a marked preference for binding M specific mAbs.²¹ Using these results as a guide (our work was initiated prior to the publication of the revised *Brucella* O-PS structure¹⁵), we identified six antigenic determinants **1-6** which were expected to allow the dissection and identification of antibodies recognizing the A and M antigenic determinants.



$R = (CH_2)_5 CO_2 Me$

Figure 2. Target oligosaccharide glycosides **1-6** equipped with a tether (R) to facilitate conjugation to proteins.

We report the synthesis and evaluation of immunochemical properties of novel oligosaccharide-protein conjugates, prepared from **1-6**, that replicate distinct structural epitopes within the O-PS of *Brucella*. These oligosaccharides were synthesized as glycosides of 5-methoxycarbonylpentanol, which functions as a tether to attach oligosaccharide to polymers and proteins. Using these glycoconjugates we provide a structural explanation for the serology of *Brucella* A and M antigens and demonstrate the subdivi-

1

2

3

4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 sion of anti-O-PS antibody populations present in animals and humans with brucellosis. As a result, two oligosaccharide conjugates were identified as unique diagnostic tools that for the first time afford an assay with superior sensitivity and discrimination compared to currently employed native antigens. The synthetic antigens can differentiate animals with brucellosis from those infected with *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 and could potentially establish criteria to <u>d</u>ifferentiate <u>infected</u> from <u>v</u>accinated<u>a</u>nimals (a DIVA assay), an advance that presents the opportunity to develop a complementary vaccine. The antibody response to a hexasaccharide conjugate vaccine that would facilitate a DIVA assay is also reported.

RESULTS

Disaccharide 1 (Figure 2) is the smallest element of the capping tetrasaccharide (*cf* Figure 1C) that could be expected to bind M specific antibodies. Trisaccharides 2 and 3 are the two possible components of this capping sequence and tetrasaccharide 4 represents the complete M repeating unit which repeats several times in *B. melitenesis* 16M, but in many *Brucella* O-antigens may occur only once.¹⁵ Hexasaccharide 5 represents the O-antigen element that occurs as the capping tetrasaccharide sequence that is attached to the first two D-Rha4NFo residues that are exclusively 1,2-linked (*cf* Figure 1C, n =2). Hexasaccharide 6 is the internal sequence of a pure A epitope containing only 1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo residues (*cf*. Figure 1A).

The synthesis of oligosaccharide glycosides 1-6 employed a combination of trichloroacetimidate and thioglycoside glycosyl donors. We recently reported the syntheses of nonasaccharide and pentasaccharide antigens where we employed acetate ester and benzyl ether protecting groups in combination with an anomeric N-phenyl trifluoroacetimidate leaving group.²¹ In this study we used benzoate esters in place of acetates together with benzyl ethers to construct oligosaccharides with 1,2 and 1,3-linkages. This small modification in protecting group strategy permitted the use of simple benzoylated trichloroacetimidate donors rather than the previously used and more difficult to prepare acetylated Nphenyl trifluoroacetimidates. Also, by employing mono or disaccharide glycosyl donors compared to larger oligosaccharide donors losses due to hydrolysis during glycosidation reactions are minimized. This approach resulted in excellent yields ranging between 85-97% for all glycosylation reactions including those employing thioglycosides.

The glycosyl imidates used here were synthesized from methyl 4-azido-4,6-dideoxy- α -D-mannopyranoside **S8** synthesized from methyl α -D-mannopyranoside²² (see the Supporting Information for details). Three new orthogonally protected imidates **7-9** were employed and their synthesis proceeded in high yield (see the Supporting Information for detailed synthesis). Imidate **7** was employed to introduce terminal capping residues, while imidates **8** and **9** alternatively introduced monosaccharide building blocks destined to be further glycosylated at C-2 and C-3 positions after removal of the temporary benzoate protecting group.

Figure 3. Glycosyl imidates employed in glycosylation reactions. The syntheses of 7-9 are reported as supplementary information.

Disaccharide thioglycoside synthons 10 and 11 were employed to introduce 1,2-linked disaccharides elements as either internal or terminal elements. These were synthesized from the known monosaccharide thioglycoside 12^{23} by glycosylation with imidates 7 and 9 to afford disaccharide donor 10, employed to introduce a

terminal disaccharide, and 11, which served to introduce internal 1,2-linked disaccharide elements amenable to chain extension at the O-2 position.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂

The target oligosaccharide glycosides **1-6** were equipped with a tether selected for ease of conjugation to proteins, and compatibility with protecting group manipulation that involved transesterification of ester groups, selective reduction of azide to amine, followed by *N*-acylation and removal of benzyl ethers. This sequence precluded the use of tethers with azide, amino and alkene functionality. The most suitable tether was a 6-carbon analogue of the 9-carbon tether, 8-methoxycarbonyloctanol, first introduced by Lemieux et al.²⁴ 5-Methoxycarbonylpentanol prepared from ε -caprolactone²⁵ was used to prepare known compounds 13²⁶ and 14²⁷ that serve as the glycosyl acceptors for initiation of oligosaccharide synthesis.

Glycosylation of **13** by imidate **7** afforded the 1,3-linked disaccharide **15** and when the same acceptor was glycosylated by the thioglycoside donor **10**, the terminal trisaccharide **16** corresponding to the M type repeating unit (*cf* Figure 1B) was obtained. Here, thioglycoside **10** was activated by MeOTf (Scheme 2) rather than NIS/AgOTf, since the former gave trisaccharide **16** (89%) with higher α -stereoselectivity (with traces of β -anomer) while β -anomer (isolated yield 12%) was formed when using NIS/AgOTf as promoter.



Scheme 2. *Reagents and conditions*: a) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h; b) MeOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 48 h; c) NIS/AgOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h.

The other trisaccharide sequence present in this capping tetrasaccharide unit (*cf* Figure 1C) was synthesized by iterative glycosylation. Glycosidation of 2-*O*-benzyl donor **8** with acceptor **14** under thermodynamic controlled glycosidation reaction conditions²⁸ afforded exclusively α 1,2-linked disaccharide **17** in 91% yield, which after transesterification gave disaccharide acceptor **18**. Glycosylation of **18** by **7** gave trisaccharide **19** in 96% isolated yield. Reaction of disaccharide alcohol **18** with thioglycoside donor **10** in the presence of MeOTf provided tetrasaccharide **20** (Scheme 3).

Synthesis of the hexasaccharides **25** and **28** employed a common trisaccharide alcohol **22** obtained by reaction of thioglycoside **11** with acceptor **14** using MeOTf as activator, followed by

transesterification of the benzoate ester. Iterative glycosylation of **22** by donor **11** showed excellent α -streoselectivity, and afforded linear pentasaccharide **23** (86% yield), which in turn was converted to a pentasaccharide acceptor **24** by removal of the benzoate group. A final glycosylation reaction was executed by reaction of imidate **7** with acceptor **24** to provide linear α 1,2-linked hexasaccharide **25** in 90% yield (Scheme 4).

Trisaccharide 22 was converted to tetrasaccharide 26 (87% yield) by reaction with imidate 8 and after removal of the benzoate ester the selectively protected tetrasaccharide alcohol 27 was glycosylated at O-3 by the thioglycoside donor 10 to provide hexasaccharide 28 (Scheme 4).

Removal of the protecting groups from each of the six oligosaccharides **15**, **16**, **19**, **20**, **25** and **28** was carried out in identical fashion. Transesterification removed benzoate esters to give oligosaccharide diol derivatives **29-34**. Then, in three concerted steps without characterization of the intermediate products, multiple azido groups were reduced to polyamines by H_2S in pyridine. Formic anhydride²⁹ was selected as the reagent of choice to unambiguously provide the formamido derivative, since we had detected traces amounts of *N*-acetylated product when we employed a mixed anhydride prepared from acetic anhydride and formic acid.²¹ Finally, the target oligosaccharides **1-6** were obtained after a hydrogenolysis step to remove the benzyl ethers. High-resolution mass spectrometry confirmed the constitution of the products for all intermediate steps (see the Supporting Information for details).

The final deprotected oligosaccharides **1-6** presented a challenge to routine NMR assignment. Each formamido group adopts both E and Z rotamers about the amide bond, significantly complicating the ¹H and ¹³C NMR of virtually all resonances to the point where only a broad assignment of resonance types is possible, especially for the larger oligosaccharides **2-6**. However, NMR spectra of all compounds, preceding the *N*-formylation step, **13-34** were fully assigned.



Scheme 3. *Reagents and conditions*: a) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, PhMe, 95 °C, 1 h; b) NaOCH₃, CH₃OH, rt, 4 h; c) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h; d) MeOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 48 h.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: a) MeOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 48 h; b) NaOCH₃, CH₃OH, rt, 4 h; c) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 1 h; d) TMSOTf, 3Å MS, PhMe, 95 °C, 1 h.

6

7

8

9

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

Journal of the American Chemical Society



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: a) NaOCH₃, CH₃OH, rt, 4 h; b) H₂S, Py/H₂O, 40 °C, 16 h; c) (HCO)₂O, CH₃OH, -20 °C, 3 h; d) H₂, Pd(OH)₂, CH₃OH/H₂O, rt, 16 h.



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: a) $NH_2CH_2CH_2NH_2$, 20 °C, 48 h; b) dibutyl squarate, EtOH/H₂O, rt, 0.5 h; c) Borate buffer 0.5M, pH 9.0, rt, 72 h.

Oligosaccharides were conjugated to BSA to function as antigens for solid phase assay and as tetanus toxoid conjugates for immunization studies. A common sequence of reactions was used to derivatize and conjugate methyl esters **1-6**. These were reacted with a neat solution of 1,2-diaminoethane at room temperature (21 °C). It is important to note that this conversion is reported in the literature to be best carried out at elevated temperature³⁰ but in our hands this resulted in partial *N*-deformylation. This side reaction was avoided by conducting the reaction at room temperature. The resulting amides **35-40** were converted to squarate half esters **41-46** by reaction with 3,4-dibutoxy-3-cyclobutene-1,2-dione (dibutyl squarate) and the products were purified by reverse phase column chromatography. The purified squarate esters **41-46** were stored at -4 °C and reacted with the appropriate protein to afford protein conjugates (Scheme 6).

Immunochemical Properties of Glycoconjugates 47-52

Two mouse monoclonal antibodies Yst9.1¹² and BM10¹³ specific for the A and M antigens of *Brucella* were employed to determine optimal antigen coating of ELISA microtiter plates and all mAb and bovine antibody binding measurements were then determined at this antigen concentration of 1.0 μ g/mL.

Binding profile of glycoconjugates 47-52

a) Mouse monoclonal antibodies

Four previously described monoclonal antibodies were employed here with binding profiles inferred from inhibition of direct ELISA with purified bacterial O-antigens and limited inhibition data performed with di to pentasacharides.¹³ The specificities are *Brucella* A (mAb, YsT9.1) and M (mAbs, BM10 and BM28) and a third defined as C/Y recognizing a common 1,2-linked disaccharide epitope present in A and M antigens (mAb, YsT9.2).

End point titers are reported for binding of the four mAbs with M epitope conjugates 47-52 (Table 1). Disaccharide conjugate 47 bound M specific mAb BM28 with a high titer but weakly or not at all to M specific mAb BM10, nor A or C/Y mAbs, suggesting that the disaccharide can act as the minimal M epitope. The tetrasaccharide conjugate 50 bound both M specific mAbs strongly and 50 fold less avidly to the C/Y mAb and very weakly to Yst9.1 (Table 1). The two trisaccharide antigens 48 and 49 which are two components of 50 exhibited binding profiles consistent with the activities seen for conjugates 47 and 50. Of note, unlike 48 and 50, 49 lacks an 1,2-linked disaccharide epitope for which YsT9.2 shows a preference and should not and does not bind this mAb. Hexasaccharide conjugate 51 that incorporated the 1,3-linked disaccharide element in the same relative position as 50 with an additional internal sequence of 1,2-linkages was able to bind to all four mAbs with end point titers in the range $10^4 - 10^5$. The M specific mAbs bound very weakly (endpoint titers $\sim 10^3$) to ELISA plates coated with the A antigen hexasaccharide conjugate 52. The A specific mAb bound with reciprocal end point titers greater than 10^5 while the C/Y specific mAb had an endpoint titer of 10^4 (titration graphs, see supplementary information).

	•		5	e	
Conjugate	Reciprocal end point Titers with mAbs [†]				
	BM 10	BM28	YsT9.1	YsT9.2	
	(M)	(M)	(A)	(C/Y)	
47	10^{3}	$2 \ge 10^5$	2×10^3	0	
48	< 10 ³	10 ⁵	$1.4 \text{ x } 10^3$	10 ⁴	
49	3.3 x 10 ⁵	1.5 x 10 ⁵	$1.4 \ge 10^3$	0	
50	5×10^5	2.5 x 10 ⁵	$1.4 \text{ x } 10^3$	10 ⁴	
51	7 x 10 ⁴	7 x 10 ⁵	3×10^4	$5 \ge 10^4$	
52	10 ³	2.5×10^3	2 x 10 ⁵	2×10^4	

 Table 1. End point titers of mouse mAbs with conjugates 47-52

 \pm Reciprocal end points were determined at OD = 0.4 above background which was typically OD \leq 0.1. Titration graphs are provided as Figures S1-S6.

b) OIE International *Brucella* standard reference sera

Standard anti-*B. abortus* serum (OIESS) prepared from cattle experimentally infected with *B. abortus* strain 544, an A dominant strain was titered against selected conjugate antigens, disaccharide 47, tetrasaccharide 50 and hexasaccharide 52 (Figure 4). Three sera were tested, the OIE international standard reference serum and two additional sera defined as high and low binding positive sera.



Figure 4. Titrations of bovine OIE international standard reference serum (OIESS) and two sera defined as high and low binding positive sera on plates coated with disaccharide conjugate **47**. Bound antibody is detected by a goat anti-bovine antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Absorbance corresponds to the color developed by a HRP substrate added to the wells of the assay plate, and is directly proportional to the amount of antigen specific antibody bound to the immobilized antigen. The OIESS came from cows experimentally infected with an A dominant strain of *B. abortus*. High and low binding positive sera are pooled field sera. Titration graphs for the same sera with conjugates **50** and **52** (Figure S8 and S9).

The OIESS serum titered slightly higher against the hexasaccharide conjugate **52** (10^6) than tetrasaccharide and disaccharide conjugates **50** and **47** (with near identical end point titers of 5 x 10^5). These two M antigen epitopes **47** and **50** displayed greater discrimination between the OIESS and the strong and weak positive sera than did hexasaccharide conjugate **52** and maintained end point titers of at least 2×10^4 .

c) Human B. suis positive sera

Human sera from a patient known to be culture positive for *B.* suis bound with high avidity to all four M type conjugates **47-50** (Figure 5). As expected this serum also bound penta and nonasaccharide conjugates recently described by us^{21} (supplementary material).



Figure 5. Titrations of human sera from a patient infected with *B. suis* against the four conjugates representing partial and full sequences of the M antigen depicted in Figure 1C. Absorbance is directly proportional to the amount of antigen capture antibody as describe in the legend of Figure 4. Data with previously reported nona and pentasaccharide are given as Figure S10.

Conjugate vaccine

The two hexasaccharides **5** and **6** were conjugated to monomeric tetanus toxoid using dibutyl squarate to create vaccines to probe conjugate vaccine development. As a prelude to future live challenge experiments antibody responses to a vaccine prepared from **6** was evaluated in outbred CD1 mice.



Figure 6. Titrations of sera from mice immunized with **6** conjugated to tetanus toxoid on plates coated with *B. melitensis* LPS. Absorbance is directly proportional to the amount of antigen capture antibody as describe in the legend of Figure 4.

 Two groups of five CD1 mice were vaccinated three times at 21 day intervals. Two dose regimes (10 and 3 μ g per vaccination) were used without employing adjuvant. The antibody response was determined 10 days after the second and third immunizations. Antibody responses were determined by ELISA employing microtiter plates coated with the BSA conjugate **52** or with smooth LPS extracted from *B. melitensis*. Antibody responses were consistently higher for the lower vaccine dose of 3 μ g per injection. Four of five mice responded with high endpoint titers (all four equaled or exceeded reciprocal 10⁵) against the immunizing oligosaccharide conjugated to BSA, antigen **52** (Figure S11, SI). More importantly three of five mice had strong antibody levels when measured against LPS extracted from *B. melitensis* 16M (Figure 6 and against *B. abortus* LPS Figure S12, SI).

DISCUSSION

The most surprising and significant result of the present study is the discovery that the disaccharide antigen 47 detects antibodies in the sera of humans and animals infected with *B. suis* and *B. abortus* that have A dominant LPS in their cell wall. Despite the relatively low content of M antigen in the cell wall of these bacterial strains human and animals appear to respond to infection with a distinct and strong antibody level to the M tetrasaccharide epitope, antigen **50**. Furthermore, based on the high titers the key 1,3-linked disaccharide, antigen 47, plainly acts as an immunodominant feature of the M antigen (Figures 4 and 5). In essence the synthetic conjugates 47 and 50 are therefore unique diagnostic antigens that are able to dissect the polyclonal antibody profile of infected animals and humans in a way that is impossible with the native antigens currently employed in serological testing to establish presumptive diagnosis of brucellosis.

The six conjugates **47-52** shed light on the fine specificity of the four mAbs (Table 1). The M specific mAb BM28 has a binding site primarily filled by disaccharide, where BM10 has a requirement for the full M tetrasaccharide epitope. It should also be noted that the binding sites of A specific mAb appears to have a capacity for weak binding to perhaps a single D-Rha4NFo residue since even the disaccharide **47** shows a week titer (Table 1).

The explanation for the specific recognition of disaccharide 47 by antibodies induced by infection with *Brucella* can be appreciated from molecular models of the A and M antigenic determinants (Figure 7). The A antigen which is exclusively α 1,2-linked adopts a helical compact conformation^{11,31} (Figure 7A and B). However introduction of an α 1,3-linkage as the penultimate residue of the polysaccharide chain induces a kink in this otherwise rod-like conformation⁹ (Figure 7C and D).



Figure 7. A) Side view of the A antigen; B) end on view of the rod-like conformation of the A antigen; C) side view of the M tetrasaccharide epitope attached to a 1,2-linked A type disaccharide; D) end on view of the hexasaccharide seen in (C). The A like character of the M antigen is seen in both side and end on views, except for the terminal disaccharide. All linkages are α 1,2 except the α 1,3 linkage indicated by the black arrows. The low energy conformations of the A and M antigens are based on glycosidic torsional angles previously reported.^{11,31} The images displayed here were rendered with PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3 Schrödinger, LLC.

This provides an exposed site at the distal end of the polymer which is also most exposed on the surface of the bacteria and likely to induce antibodies. There is literature precedent for structural similarity between terminal epitopes and internal 1,2-linked epitopes based on the fact that the 1,2 linkage exposes much of the monosaccharide ring for antibody recognition, as would be the case for a terminal epitope. Based on observations of immunological cross-reactivity³² it has been proposed that a sugar in a 1,2 linkage is the "immunological equivalent" of the same sugar in a terminal position.³³ We have also observed this antibody preference for internal over terminal epitopes in the β 1,2 mannan of *Candida albicans.*³⁴

The oligosaccharides **47-50** represent constituent structures of the *Brucella* M antigen. The ability of the simplest of these structures, disaccharide conjugate **47**, to detect M specific antibodies in the sera of infected animals and humans now suggests the potential for facile and inexpensive differentiation of animals and humans with brucellosis from those groups infected with other bacteria that cause known cross reactions due to the presence in their bacterial cell wall of 1,2-linked D-Rha4NFo residues.

Yersinia enterocolitica O:9 infections are the most challenging of these false positive situations since this bacterium has an O-antigen which is exclusively 1,2-linked and hence might be considered to function as an essentially pure *Brucella* A antigen. In all pathogenic *Brucella* biovars, with the single exception of *B. suis biovars* 2,¹⁴ the cell wall LPS O-antigen always has M and A epitopes.¹⁵ By employing two antigens an A epitope hexasaccharide and the disaccharide M antigen, individuals and animals infected with cross reactive bacteria such as *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9, Salmonella of serogroup N and other bacteria described in reference 18 will only bind to conjugate **52** but not **47** while brucellosis infected specimens will bind strongly to both antigens.

Not only has synthesis of LPS substructures of *Brucella* A and M antigens created a set of novel glycoconjugates that allow dissection of antibodies produced in response to infection, one may now also envisage a *B. abortus* vaccine expressing only the A antigen. A vaccine of that type would not induce M specific antibodies in vaccinated cattle but should confer protection.^{35,36} In combination with the two diagnostic antigens **47** and **52** this should permit a long sought after objective, the <u>d</u>iscrimination of infected and <u>vaccinated a</u>nimals (DIVA assay).

A first step in validation of this possibility was achieved by immunization of mice with a tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine of **6**. Vaccination gave antibodies that bind LPS antigens of *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*. Further steps toward this objective will use tetanus toxoid conjugates prepared from hexasaccharides **5** and **6** to vaccinate mice followed by live challenge experiments with *B. abortus*.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Glycosylation Procedures.

Typical glycosylation procedures were as follows.

Method A: TMSOTf-Promoted Glycosylation Procedure. A mixture of a glycosyl donor (0.27 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.24 mmol), and freshly activated molecular sieves (3 Å, 0.300 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was stirred under argon for 5 h at 21 °C. TMSOTf (0.054 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h. Upon completion (TLC analysis), Et₃N (0.2 mL) was added, the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), solid was filtered off and the residue was rinsed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL). The combined filtrate (~30-40 mL) was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (15 mL), water (2 x 20 mL), and brine (10 mL). The organic phase was separated, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate – toluene gradient elution).

Method B: Thermodynamic Controlled TMSOTf-Promoted Glycosylation Procedure. A mixture of glycosyl donor (0.27 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.24 mmol) and freshly activated molecular sieves (3 Å, 0.350 g) in PhMe (3 mL) was stirred under argon for 2 h at 21 °C. Then it was heated to 95 °C and TMSOTf (0.054 mmol) was added, stirred for an additional 1 h. Upon completion (TLC analysis), Et₃N (0.2 mL) was added, then the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), the solid was filtered off and the residue was rinsed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL). The combined filtrate (~40 mL) was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (15 mL), water (2 x 20 mL), and brine (10 mL). The organic phase was separated, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate – toluene gradient elution).

Method C: MeOTf-Promoted Glycosylation Procedure. A mixture of glycosyl donor (0.22 mmol), glycosyl acceptor (0.20 mmol) and freshly activated molecular sieves (3 Å, 0.3 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was stirred under argon for 5 h at 21 °C. MeOTf (1.19 mmol) was added and continued stirring for additional 48 h. Upon completion (TLC analysis), Et₃N (0.2 mL) was added, then the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), the solid was filtered off and the residue was rinsed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL). The combined filtrate (~30-40 mL) was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (15 mL), water (2 x 20 mL), and brine (10 mL). The organic phase was separated, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate – toluene gradient elution).

1-[(2'-Aminoethylamido)carbonylpentyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-**D-mannopyranosyl** $(1\rightarrow 3)$ mannopyranoside]-2-butoxycyclobutene-3,4-dione (41). Analytical data for 41: Rf = 0.20 (CH₃OH/CH₂Cl₂, 1.5/8.5, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): δ 8.26-8.30 (Z) and 8.09-8.12 (E) (m, 2H, NCHO), 4.83-5.03 (m, 2H, 2 x H-1), 4.74-4.81 (m, 2H, -CH_{2i}-), 3.88-4.10 (m, 8H, 2 x H-2, 2 x H-3, 2 x H-4, 2 x H-5), 3.66-3.82 (m, 3H, -O-CH_{2a}-, -CH_{2g}-), 3.52-3.61 (m, 1H, -O-CH_{2b}-), 3.40-3.52 (m, 2H, -CH_{2h}-), 2.26-2.34 (m, 2H, -CH_{2t}-), 1.82-1.91 (m, 2H, -CH2i-), 1.58-1.70 (m, 4H, -CH2e-, -CH2e-), 1.47-1.56 (m, 2H, $-CH_{2k}$ -), 1.38-1.44 (m, 2H, $-CH_{2d}$ -), 1.26-1.37 (m, 6H, 2 x H-6), 0.99-1.05 ppm (m, 3H, $-CH_{3l}$); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, D₂O): δ 189.8, 189.6, 184.3 (x2), 178.5, 178.1, 178.0, 177.9, 174.8, 174.7, 168.9, 168.8, 165.8, 165.7, 103.3, 103.2, 100.5 (x2), 77.8, 75.4, 75.3, 70.2, 70.0, 69.9, 69.0, 68.9 (x2), 68.8, 68.7, 68.6, 68.4, 68.2, 67.7, 57.6, 56.5, 52.7, 52.6, 51.7, 45.2, 45.0, 40.3, 40.2, 36.7, 32.4, 31.2, 29.3 (x2), 26.2, 26.1, 25.9 (x2), 19.1, 19.0, 17.8 (x2), 17.7, 13.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₃₀H₄₈N₄O₁₃Na [M+Na]⁺: 695.3110, found: 695.3113.

1-[(2'-Aminoethylamido)carbonylpentyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-**D**-mannopyranosyl (1→2) 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-Dmannopyranosyl (1→3) 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-Dmannopyranoside]-2-butoxycyclobutene-3,4-dione (42). Analytical data for 42: Rf = 0.20 (CH₃OH/CH₂Cl₂, 1/4, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): δ 8.27-8.31 (Z) and 8.08-8.13 (E) (m, 3H, NCHO), 4.86-5.15 (m, 3H, 3 x H-1), 4.74-4.81 (m, 2H, -CH_{2i}-), 3.90-4.20 (m, 12H, 3 x H-2, 3 x H-3, 3 x H-4, 3 x H-5), 3.66-3.82 (m, 3H, -O-CH_{2a}-, -CH_{2g}-), 3.52-3.61 (m, 1H, -O-СН_{2b}-), 3.43-3.51 (m, 2H, -СН_{2h}-), 2.25-2.33 (m, 2H, -СН_{2t}-), 1.82-1.91 (m, 2H, -CH2i-), 1.57-1.70 (m, 4H, -CH2e-, -CH2e-), 1.46-1.56 (m, 2H, -CH_{2k}-), 1.37-1.44 (m, 2H, -CH_{2d}-), 1.26-1.36 (m, 9H, 3 x H-6), 0.98-1.05 ppm (m, 3H, -CH₃₁); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, D₂O): δ 189.8, 189.6, 184.3 (x2), 178.5, 178.1, 178.0, 177.9, 174.8, 174.7, 168.8, 168.7, 165.8 (x2), 165.6, 103.4, 103.3, 102.9, 101.8, 101.7, 100.6, 100.5, 79.0, 78.9, 78.8, 78.2, 78.0, 77.7 (x2), 75.4, 75.3, 70.0, 69.9, 69.3, 69.2, 69.0, 68.9, 68.9, 68.8, 68.6, 68.5 (x2), 68.4, 68.3, 67.9, 67.7, 57.8, 57.7, 56.5, 52.8, 52.8, 52.7, 51.9, 45.2, 45.0, 40.3, 40.2, 36.7, 32.4, 29.3 (x2), 26.2, 26.1, 25.9 (x2), 19.1, 19.0, 18.1, 18.0, 17.9 (x3), 17.7, 13.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $C_{37}H_{59}N_5O_{17}Na$ [M+Na]⁺: 868.3798, found: 868.3800.

1-[(2'-Aminoethylamido)carbonylpentyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-**D-mannopyranosyl** (1→3) 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-Dmannopyranosyl (1→2) mannopyranoside]-2-butoxycyclobutene-3,4-dione (43). Analytical data for **43**: Rf = 0.20 (CH₃OH/CH₂Cl₂, 1/4, v/v); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): δ 8.16-8.21 (Z) and 7.99-8.03 (E) (m, 3H, NCHO), 4.87-5.01 (m, 3H, 3 x H-1), 4.64-4.73 (m, 2H, -CH_{2i}-), 3.75-4.18 (m, 12H, 3 x H-2, 3 x H-3, 3 x H-4, 3 x H-5), 3.55-3.71 (m, 3H, -O-CH2a-, -CH2g-), 3.44-3.51 (m, 1H, -O-CH2b-), 3.31-3.42 (m, 2H, -CH2h-), 2.16-2.24 (m, 2H, -CH2t-), 1.72-1.81 (m, 2H, -CH2j-), 1.47-1.61 (m, 4H, -CH2e-, -CH2e-), 1.36-1.46 (m, 2H, -CH_{2k}-), 1.27-1.34 (m, 2H, -CH_{2d}-), 1.18-1.27 (m, 9H, 3 x H-6), 0.86-0.95 ppm (m, 3H, -CH₃₁); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, D₂O): δ 189.8, 189.6, 184.2, 178.5, 178.0, 177.9 (x2), 174.8, 174.7, 168.8, 168.7, 166.6, 165.8, 165.7,

58 59 60

	103.1, 102.8, 99.1 (x2),	78.7, 77.4 (x	2), 75.4, 75.3, 70.2, 69.7, 69.6, 69.1,
1	69.0, 68.9, 68.7 (X3), 6	38.3, 68.4, 53.0	0, 52.6, 51.5, 45.1, 44.9, 40.3, 40.1, 20, 120, (x2), 178, (x3), 176, 130
2	npm [•] HRMS (ESD [•] m	$\frac{20.0}{7}$ calcd for ($\Gamma_{27}H_{10}N_{17}N_{27}N_{27}M_{17}N_{2$
3	found: 868.3791.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4	1-[(2'-Aminoethylamid	lo)carbonylpe	entyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-
5	D-mannopyranosyl	(1→2)	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
6	mannopyranosyl	(1→3)	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
7	mannopyranosyl	(1→2)	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
8	mannopyranoside]-2-b	outoxycyclobu	Itene-3,4-dione (44). Analytical data
a a	IOF 44: $KJ = 0.20$ (CH ₃ C 8 18 8 24 (7) and 7 08 9	$H/CH_2Cl_2, 1/4$ 8 06 (E) (m 41	$(4, \sqrt{V})$; H NMK (600 MHZ, D ₂ O): 0 H NCHO) 4 90 5 10 (m 4H 4 x H
10	1) 4 65-4 73 (m 2H -6	$(H_{2}, -) = 3.76-4$	20 (m 16H 4 x H-2 4 x H-3 4 x H-
10	4, 4 x H-5), 3.57-3.73	(m, 3H, -O-C)	$H_{2a^{-}}, -CH_{2a^{-}}, 3.45-3.52$ (m, 1H, -O-
11	CH _{2b} -), 3.36-3.43 (m, 2	2H, -CH _{2h} -), 2	.18-2.25 (m, 2H, -CH _{2f} -), 1.74-1.82
12	(m, 2H, -CH _{2j} -), 1.49 -	-1.63 (m, 4H,	-CH _{2e} -, -CH _{2c} -), 1.38-1.48 (m, 2H, -
13	CH_{2k} -), 1.20-1.35 (m, 1	14H, $-CH_{2d}$ -,	4 x H-6), 0.87-0.96 ppm (m, 3H, -
14	CH_{31} ; ¹³ C NMR (126	MHz, D_2O):	δ 189.8, 189.6, 184.3 (x2), 178.5,
15	1/6.2, 1/6.1, 1/6.0, 1 165.6 103.3 (x2) 103	$2 102.8 (x^2)$	1/4.7, 108.8, 108.7, 108.0, 105.8, 102.7, 101.7 (x2), 101.6 (x2), 99.2
16	78.9 (x2), 78.4, 78.1, 78.1	77.3. 75.4. 75	3, 69.9 (x2), 69.8 (x2), 69.7, 69.3
17	69.0 (x2), 68.9, 68.8 (x4	4), 68.6 (x2), 6	(8.5, 68.4 (x2), 68.0, 62.5, 52.9, 52.8
18	(x2), 52.7, 51.8, 45.2, 4	45.0, 44.2, 40.	6, 40.3, 40.2, 36.7, 34.4, 32.4, 29.2,
19	26.2, 26.1, 26.0 (x2), 2	25.9 (x2), 25.	8, 19.3, 19.1, 19.0, 18.1, 18.0 (x2),
20	17.9, 17.8 (x2), 17.7 (x	x^{2}), 14.0, 13.9	θ ppm; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for
20	$C_{44}H_{70}N_6O_{21}Na[M+Na]$] : 1041.4486,	tound: 1041.4484.
21	I-[(2'-Aminoethylamic	10)carbonyipe	entyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido- α -
22	D-mannopyranosyl	$(1 \rightarrow 2)$	4,0-dideoxy-4-formamido-a-D-
23	mannopyranosyl	$(1 \rightarrow 3)$	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-a-D-
24	mannopyranosyl	$(1 \rightarrow 2)$	4.6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
25	mannopyranosyl	$(1 \rightarrow 2)$	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
26	mannopyranoside]-2-b	outoxycyclobu	ttene-3,4-dione (45). Analytical data
27	for 45 : $Rf = 0.40$ (CH ₃ C	DH/CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 1/	1, v/v); ¹ H NMR (700 MHz, D ₂ O): δ
28	8.14-8.20 (Z) and 7.96-8	8.02 (<i>E</i>) (m, 6	H, NCHO), 4.83-5.18 (m, 6H, 6 x H-
20	1), 4.62-4.69 (m, 2H, -C	$(H_{2i}-), 3.72-4.$	17 (m, 24H, 6 x H-2, 6 x H-3, 6 x H-
20	4, 0 X H-5), 5.00-5.09	(m, 1H, -CH)	${}^{2}_{2g}$ -), 5.00-5.05 (m, 1H, -O-CH _{2a} -), 8 (m, 1H, O, CH ₂), 3.32,3.41 (m,
24	$2H_{2} - CH_{2b}$ -). 2.14-2.21	$(m. 2HCH_2)$	$e^{-1.171-1.79}$ (m. 2HCH ₂₂ -), 1.46-
51	$1.60 \text{ (m, 4H, -CH_{2e^{-}}, -1)}$	CH_{2c} -), 1.36-1	.44 (m, 2H, $-CH_{2k}$ -), 1.15-1.30 (m,
32	20H, -CH _{2d} -, 6 x H-6)	, 0.88-0.93 p	pm (m, 3H, $-CH_{31}$); ¹³ C NMR (126
33	MHz, D ₂ O): δ 189.8, 18	39.7, 184.3, 17	78.5, 178.1, 177.9 (x2), 174.8, 174.7,
34	168.8, 165.9, 165.6, 10	03.3, 102.6, 1	01.6, 101.5, 99.2, 78.9, 78.5, 78.2,
35	//.8, //.3, /5.4, /5.3, /	/0.8, 69.9, 69. 52 8 52 7 51	/, 69.2, 69.0, 68.8, 68.7, 68.6, 68.5, 8 45 2 45 0 40 3 40 2 36 7 32 4
36	29.2 26.1 26.0 25.9 ((x2) 191 19	$0 181 180 179 (x^2) 178 177$
37	13.9 ppm; HRMS (H	ESI): m/z ca	lcd for $C_{58}H_{92}N_8O_{29}Na$ [M+Na] ⁺ :
38	1387.5862, found: 1387	.5864.	50 72 0 27 E J
39	1-[(2'-Aminoethylami	do)carbonylp	entyl 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-
40	D-mannopyranosyl	(1→2)	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
41	mannopyranosyl	(1→2)	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
+ i 10	mannopyranosyl	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
42	mannopyranosyl	$(1\rightarrow 2)$	4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-α-D-
43	mannopyranosyl mannopyranosidel_2_h	(1→2) utoxycyclobu	4,0-aldeoxy-4-lormamido-a-D-
44	for 46. $Rf = 0.40$ (CH ₂ C)H/CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1/	$1 \text{ v/v}^{-1} \text{H NMR} (700 \text{ MHz} \text{ D}_{2}\text{O})^{-1} \delta$
45	8.14-8.19 (Z) and 7.97-8	8.01 (E) (m, 6)	H, NC <i>H</i> O), 4.82-5.18 (m, 6H, 6 x H-
46	1), 4.62-4.70 (m, 2H, -C	CH_{2i} -), 3.72-4.	15 (m, 24H, 6 x H-2, 6 x H-3, 6 x H-
47	4, 6 x H-5), 3.66-3.69	(m, 1H, -CH	I_{2g} -), 3.60-3.65 (m, 1H, -O-C H_{2a} -),
48	3.55-3.58 (m, 1H, -CH	(2g ⁻), 3.42-3.4	8 (m, 1H, -O- CH_{2b} -), 3.31-3.41 (m,
49	2H, $-CH_{2h}$ -), 2.14-2.21	$(m, 2H, -CH_2)$	$(m, 2H, -CH_{2j}), 1.46-$
50	1.37 (III, 4 Π , - CH_{2e} -, - 20 Π - CH_{2e} - 6 v H 6)	0.87_{0} 0.87	$(m, 2\pi, -C\pi_{2k}), 1.14-1.51$ (m, m (m 3H -CH ₂)). ¹³ C NMR (126
51	MHz $D_2O(1) = \delta 189.8 \ 15$, 0.07-0.95 pp 89.6 184 3 17	$785 1781 1779 (x^2) 1748 1747$
52	168.8, 165.9, 102.9, 101	1.5 (x3), 99.2 ((x2), 78.5, 78.1(x2), 78.0, 75.4, 75.3
52	71.4, 69.9, 69.2, 69.0,	68.9, 68.7, 68	6, 68.0, 57.8 (x2), 53.0, 52.9 (x2),
つう 〒 4	52.7, 45.2, 45.0, 40.3,	40.2, 36.7, 32	2.4, 32.3, 32.2, 29.2, 26.1(x2), 25.9
54 	(x2), 19.1, 19.0, 17.9 (x	(2), 17.8 (x2),	17.7, 13.9, 13.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI):
55	m/z calcd for C ₅₈ H ₉₂ N ₈ C	929Na [M+Na]	: 1387.5862, found: 1387.5856.
56			

General Bioconjugation Procedures.

Method A: BSA Conjugates. BSA (0.451 μ mol) and oligosaccharide squarate 41-46 (6.77 μ mol) were dissolved in 0.5 M borate buffer pH 9 (600 μ L) and stirred slowly at 21 °C for 3 days. Then, the reaction mixture was diluted with Mili-Q water (5 mL), filtered through an Amicon Millipore filtration tube (10,000 MWCO, 4 x 10 mL), lyophilized and the BSA-conjugates 47-52 were obtained as a white foam. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analysis indicated the conjugates had an average of 12-16 oligosaccharides per BSA.

Method B: Tetanus Toxoid Conjugates. Oligosaccharide squarate 45-46 (0.403 µmol) was added to the solution of monomeric tetanus toxoid (0.0133 µmol) in 0.5 M borate buffer pH 9 (1 mL) and stirred slowly at 21 °C for 3 days. Then, the reaction mixture was subjected to buffer, exchange with PBS, filtered through an Amicon Millipore filtration tube (10,000 MWCO, 4 x 10 mL) and the resulting tetanus toxoid-conjugates 53-54 were stored in PBS buffer. The MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analysis indicated the conjugates had an average of 12-15 oligosaccharides per tetanus toxoid.

ELISA Procedures

Antigen Coating Efficiency

Optimal antigen coating for ELISA plates was established by applying increasing dilutions of antigens 47-52 across the rows of an ELISA plates. Antigen solution was prepared at 10 µg/mL and successive wells across the plate were incubated with $\sqrt{10}$ dilutions of the antigen. This resulted in 12 wells with antigen coat beginning at 10 µg/mL and ending at 0.1 µg/mL. Coated plates were then incubated with $\sqrt{10}$ dilutions of mAb such that each row received the same dilution of antibody. Bound antibody was detected as described below. The resulting three dimensional matrix showed that plates coated with antigen solutions within the range 10-1 µg/mL gave virtually identical titration results. Antibodies

Murine mAbs were as previously described BM10 and BM28,¹³ and YSt91 and Yst92.¹² Bovine OIE international standard reference serum (OIESS) and high and low binding positive sera were provided by the Animal Health Veterinary Laboratory Agency, UK. OIESS came from cattle experimentally infected with A dominant *B. abortus* strain 544. The other two positive sera came from pooled field sera. Human sera from *Brucella* culture positive and negative patients were supplied by Dr. R Rennie, University of Alberta Hospital Microbiology Laboratory.

ELISA

Microtiter plates were coated with antigen solution (1 µg/mL for murine and bovine ELISA and10 $\mu g/mL$ for human sera 100 $\mu L/well)$ by incubation at 4° C for 18 h, then washed (5 times) with PBST (0.05% Tween-20 in phosphate buffer saline, PBS). Plates were not subjected to a blocking step. Serial $\sqrt{10}$ dilutions of immune sera or monoclonal antibodies were made in PBST containing 0.1% BSA (bovine sera were used at a starting dilution of 1:100 and human or murine mAbs were used at a starting dilution of 1:1000). The solutions were distributed in triplicate on coated microtiter plates and incubated at 21 °C for 2 hours. Plates were washed with PBST (5 times). Goat anti-mouse IgG, anti-bovine IgG and anti-human IgG secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories; 1:5000 dilution in 0.1% BSA/PBST; 100 µL/well) were added. The mixture was then incubated for 30 min at 21 °C, and then washed (5 times) with PBST. Peroxidase substrate, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) with H2O2 (1:1 mixture of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (0.4 g/L) and 0.02% H₂O₂ solution, Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories) was added (100 µL/well). After 15 minutes, the reaction was quenched by addition of 1 M phosphoric acid (100 µL/well). Absorbance was read at 450 nm.

End point titres are recorded as the dilution giving an absorbance 0.4 above a background ≤ 0.1 .

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information Additional experimental details, characterization including spectroscopic and analytical data for all new compounds is provided, together with primary ELISA titration graphs. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 dave.bundle@ualberta.ca

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was support by a Discovery grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Bill and Melinda Gates Grand Challenges Round 11 funding to JM and DRB.

The manuscript is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Malcolm Perry, who pioneered the structural elucidation of *Brucella* O-antigens.

REFERENCES

- (1) Corbel, M. "*Brucellosis in humans and animals*", WHO, WHO Press, Geneva 27, **2006**, Switzerland.
- (2) http://www.who.int/zoonoses/neglected_zoonotic_disease s/en/.
- (3) Pappas, G.; Akritidis, N.; Bosilkovski, M.; Tsianos, E. N Engl J Med., 2005, 352, 2325–2336.
- (4) Godfroid, J.; Scholz, H. C.; Barbier, T.; Nicolas, C.; Wattiau, P.; Fretin, D.; Whatmore, A. M.; Cloeckaert, A.; Blasco, J. M.; Moriyon, I.; Saegerman, C.; Muma, J. B.; Al Dahouk, S.; Neubauer, H.; Letesson, J.-J. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 2011, *102*, 118–131.
- (5) Schurig, G. C.; Sriranganathan, N.; Corbel, M. J. Vet. Microbiology 2002, 90, 479–496.
- (6) EU DISCONTOOLS Gap Analysis project http://www.discontools.eu/Diseases/Detail/42.
- (7) Nielsen, K. Vet. Microbiology 2002, 90, 447-459.
- (8) Wilson, G. S.; Miles, A. A.; Brit J. Exptl Pathol., **1932**, 13, 1–13.
- Meikle, P. J.; Perry, M. B.; Cherwonogrodzky, J. W.; Bundle, D. R. Infect. Immun., 1989, 57, 2820–2828.
- (10) Caroff, M.; Bundle, D. R.; Perry, M. B.; Cherwonogrodsky, J. W.; Duncan, J. R. *Infect. Immun.*, **1984**, *46*, 384–388.
- (11) Bundle, D. R.; Cherwonogrodzky, J. W.; Perry, M. B. *Biochemistry*, **1987**, *26*, 8717–8726.
- (12) Bundle, D. R.; Gidney, M. A. J.; Perry, M. B.; Duncan, J. R.; Cherwonogrodzky, J. W. Infect. Immun., 1984, 46, 389–393.
- (13) Bundle, D. R.; Cherwonogrodzky, J. W.; Gidney, M. A. J.; Meikle, P. J.; Perry, M. B.; Peters, T. *Infect. Immun.*, **1989**, *57*, 2829–2836.
- (14) Zaccheus, M. V.; Ali, T.; Cloeckaert, A.; Zygmunt, M. S.; Weintraub, A.; Iriarte, M.; Moriyón, I.; Widmalm. G. PLOS 2013, 8, e53941.
- (15) Kubler-Kielb, J.; Vinogradov, E. Carbohydr. Res., 2013, 378, 144–147.
- (16) Corbell, M. J. J. Hyg (Lond) 1975, 75, 151-171.
- (17) Caroff, M.; Bundle, D. R.; Perry, M. B. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **1984**, *139*, 195–200.
- (18) Kenne, L.; Lindberg, B.; Unger, P.; Gustafsson, B.; Holme, T. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1982**, 341–349.
- (19) Sandulache, R.; Marx, Ann Microbiol (Paris). 1978, 129B, 425–435.

- (20) a) Perry, M. B.; Bundle, D. R. Infect. Immun. 1990, 58, 1391–1395: b) Perry, M. B.; Bundle, D. R.; MacLean, L.; Perry, J. A.; Griffith, D. W. Carbohydr. Res., 1986, 156, 107–12: c) Di Fabio, J. L.; Perry, M. B.; Bundle, D. R. Biochem. Cell. Biol., 1987, 65, 968–977.
- (21) Guiard, J.; Paszkiewicz, E.; Sadowska, J.; Bundle, D. R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7181–7185.
- (22) a) Bundle, D. R.; Gerken, M.; Peters, T. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1988, 174, 239–251; b) Eis, M. J.; Ganem, B. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1988, 176, 316–323.
- (23) a) Peters, T.; Bundle, D. R. Can. J. Chem. 1989, 67, 491–496; b) Peters, T.; Bundle, D. R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1987, 1648–1650.
- (24) Lemieux, R. U.; Bundle, D. R.; Baker, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4076–4083.
- (25) a) Solladié, G.; Ziani-Cherif, C. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2181–2185; b) El Fangour, S.; Guy, A.; Despres, V.; Vidal, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; Durand, T. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2498–2503.
- (26) Saksena, R.; Chernyak, A.; Kováč, P. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 1693–1706.
- (27) Saksena, R.; Zhang, J.; Kováč, P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2005, 16, 187–197.
- (28) Hou, S.; Kováč, P. Carbohydr. Res. 2010, 345, 999-1007.
- (29) a) Muramatsu, I.; Itoi, M.; Tsuji, M.; Hagitani, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, **1964**, 37, 756; b) Olah, G. A.; Vankar, Y. D.; Arvanaghi, M.; Sommer, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **1979**, 18, 614.
- (30) a) Zhang, Y.; Le, X.; Dovichi, N. J.; Compston, C. A.; Palcic, M. M.; Diedrich, P.; Hindsgaul, O. *Anal. Biochem.* 1995, 227, 368–376; b) Kamath, V, P.; Diedrich, P.; Hindsgaul, O. *Glycoconjugate J.* 1996, *13*, 315–319.
- (31) Oomen, R.; Young, N. M.; Bundle, D. R. Protein Engineering, **1991**, *4*, 427–433.
- (32) Heidelberger, M. Fortschr. Chem. org. Naturstoffe 1960, 18, 503–536.
- (33) Jann, K.; Westphal, O. "Microbial polysaccharides". in The Antigens (Sela M., editor., ed), 1975 Vol. 3, pp. 1–125, Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- (34) Johnson, M. A.; Bundle, D. R. Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4327 -4344.
- (35) Al-Mariri, A.; Tibor, A.; Lestrate, P.; Mertens, P.; De Bolle, X.; Letesson, J. J. *Infect Immun.*, **2002**, *70*, 1915-1923.
- (36) Vitry, M. A.; Hanot Mambres, D.; De Trez, C.; Akira, S.; Ryffel, B.; Letesson, J. J.; Muraille, E. J. Immunol. 2014, 192, 3740-3752.





- 57
- 58 59
- 60



129x218mm (300 x 300 DPI)



16x6mm (300 x 300 DPI)



34x22mm (300 x 300 DPI)



OBn

0

17 R = Bz

18 R=H

Ω

O(CH₂)₅CO₂Me

0

O(CH₂)₅CO₂Me





90x66mm (300 x 300 DPI)





78x114mm (300 x 300 DPI)







3 4 5 6



