This article was downloaded by: [University of Montana] On: 05 April 2015, At: 07:35 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcar20

# Convenient Chemo-Enzymatic Synthesis of d-Tagatose

Stefan Freimund  $^{\rm a}$  , Alexander Huwig  $^{\rm b}$  , Friedrich Giffhorn  $^{\rm b}$  & Sabine Köpper  $^{\rm a}$ 

<sup>a</sup> Carl v. Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg , FB Chemistry , P.O. Box 2503, D-26111, Oldenburg, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Institut für Angewandte Mikrobiologie, Universität des Saarlandes, P.O. Box 15 11 50, D-66041, Saarbrücken, Germany Published online: 22 Aug 2006.

To cite this article: Stefan Freimund , Alexander Huwig , Friedrich Giffhorn & Sabine Köpper (1996) Convenient Chemo-Enzymatic Synthesis of d-Tagatose, Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 15:1, 115-120, DOI: <u>10.1080/07328309608005430</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328309608005430

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

#### J. CARBOHYDRATE CHEMISTRY, 15(1), 115-120 (1996)

COMMUNICATION

#### **CONVENIENT CHEMO-ENZYMATIC SYNTHESIS OF D-TAGATOSE**

Stefan Freimund,<sup>a</sup> Alexander Huwig,<sup>b</sup> Friedrich Giffhorn,<sup>b</sup> and Sabine Köpper<sup>a\*</sup>

 <sup>a)</sup> Carl v. Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, FB Chemistry, P.O. Box 2503, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany.
 <sup>b)</sup> Institut für Angewandte Mikrobiologie, Universität des Saarlandes, P.O. Box 15 11 50, D-66041 Saarbrücken, Germany.

Received May 9, 1995 - Final Form August 22, 1995

The ketohexose D-tagatose is a rare sugar that is of interest as a potent noncaloric sweetener. The synthesis of D-tagatose has been accomplished by microbiological conversion of dulcitol<sup>1,2</sup> as well as by chemical syntheses in low yields originating from D-galactose<sup>3</sup> or D-fructose.<sup>4</sup> Recently, some patents concerning the synthesis of D-tagatose have been published.<sup>5,6,7</sup> We here present an alternative approach to the preparation of D-tagatose by a combined chemoenzymatic synthesis starting from D-galactose. Enzymatic oxidation of D-galactose (1) leads to the 2-oxidised product, D-galactosone, which in turn is reduced chemically to D-tagatose (2). The target sugar is thus available in a one-pot / two-step procedure in a yield of 30 %.



Copyright © 1996 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

The selective oxidation of the 2-hydroxy group of D-galactose may be accomplished utilising pyranose 2-oxidase (PROD) in combination with a  $H_2O_2$  destroying enzyme, catalase. The enzyme PROD is available from different sources;<sup>8-12</sup> the natural substrate of this enzyme is D-glucose, but activity with D-galactose has been claimed in several cases.<sup>9,10a,12,13</sup> The latter oxidation was, however, never reported as being carried out in a preparative scale, nor was the enzymic reaction product characterised chemically, which is presumably due to the complex composition of solutions of ketohexoses. We used an immobilised pyranose 2-oxidase from *Peniophora gigantea* to obtain D-galactosone (**3**) in yields of 60-80%.<sup>14</sup> Solutions of the aldosulose D-galactosone are complex mixtures; in water at least 15 different isomeric forms exist and a detailed analysis of the NMR spectra including the unequivocal determination of the ratio of different isomeric forms is currently under investigation. However, the mixture of isomers has as a main component (37 %) the hydrated derivatives of a  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -D-pyranose **3**, formed by cyclisation of the keto group.



A chemical reduction of pure D-galactosone with hydrogen and Pd/C mainly leads to D-tagatose ( $\mathbf{2}$ , 76 %), beside minor proportions of two products formed through reduction of the keto group, D-galactose (16 %) and D-talose ( $\mathbf{8}$  %). When the hydrogenation is carried out without intermittent isolation of D-galactosone the product D-tagatose ( $\mathbf{2}$ ) may be isolated in a yield of 30% based on D-galactose ( $\mathbf{1}$ ).

D-Tagatose (2) was identified by comparison with authentic samples and NMR analysis. The ketohexose D-tagatose forms a mixture of isomeric forms with the pyranose forms prevailing. The isomeric composition had previously been characterised by  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopy; $^{15-17}$  we now additionally identified the different forms by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.

The ratio of different isomers found in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra was nearly identical to what has been deduced from integration of the  $^{13}C$  NMR signals by Breitmeier et. al.<sup>17</sup> (Table 3). The proportion of the acyclic form increases at

April 2015
35
t 07:35 (
ontana] a
Ž
$\operatorname{of}$
University
2
dec
ownloa
Ц

	δ	pyranose	ß-pyrai	nose	B-fura	nose	α-fura	nose	acy	clic
H-H	3.516	J <sub>1,1</sub> = 11.9	3.494	11.8	3.396	12.0	n.d.	n.d.	4.436	19.5
Н-1 ,	3.317	J <sub>3,4</sub> = 2.6	3.301	3.5	3.353	4.8	n.d.	4.7	4.336	7.5
Н-3	3.696	J <sub>4.5</sub> = n.d.	3.739	4.1	4.007	3.8	3.992	4.9	4.158	2.1
H-4	3.652	J <sub>5,6e</sub> = 5.1	3.819	2.1	4.136	7.1	4.265	4.2	3.585	n.d.
H-5	3.649	J <sub>5.6a</sub> = 10.6	3.721	3.1	3.891	4.9	4.106	6.2	n.d.	n.d.
H-6e	3.556	J <sub>6e.6a</sub> = 11.0	3.980	13.2	3.677	11.7	3.600	12.2	n.d.	n.d.
H-6a	3.422		3.402		3.589		3.518		n.d.	

TABLE 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of isomeric forms of D-tagatose (2).

n.d. not determined.

	α-pyranose <sup>15</sup>	B-pyranose <sup>15</sup>	B-furanose <sup>15</sup>	α-furanose <sup>15</sup>	acyclic <sup>a)</sup>
C-1	64.15	63.73	62.86	62.64	66.93
C-2	98.35	98.54	102.71	105.10	213.28 <sup>17)</sup>
C-3	70.04	63.96	70.90	76.92	73.68
C-4	71.12	71.12 <sup>a)</sup>	71.27	71.43	70.53
C-5	66.55	69.44	80.24	79.41	71.51
C-6	62.44	60.35	61.20	60.29 <sup>a</sup>	62.72
	a) this	work.			

TABLE 2. Complete <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of the isomeric forms of D-tagatose (2).

TABLE 3. Composition of D-tagatose (2) in  $D_2O$  by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis.

Temperature	α-pyranose	<b>B</b> -pyranose	<b>B</b> -furanose	α-furanose	acyclic
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
<sup>1</sup> H NMR:					
27 °C	78	14	5	2	<0.5
31 ºC	75	16	6	2	<0.6
60 °C	66	17	10	4	3
<sup>13</sup> C NMR:					
27 °C15	79	16	4	1	
30 °C <sup>16</sup>	71	15	9	5	
31 °C17	71.3	18.2	7.6	2.6	<0.3

elevated temperatures and it was thus possible to assign the  $^{13}$ C NMR resonances of this form in addition to the complete assignment of the  $^{1}$ H NMR resonances of all forms (Tables 1 and 2).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Catalase (EC 1.11.16) was purchased from NOVO Nordisk Industri, Copenhagen, Denmark. Pyranose 2-oxidase (EC 1.1.3.10) was isolated and immobilised as described.<sup>12b</sup> Reactions were monitored by TLC on silica gel plates ( $60F_{254}$ , Merck) using phenol/water 4:1 as eluent. Spots were detected by staining with ethanolic sulphuric acid and charring. For chromatography a DOWEX 50W X8 column ( $600 \times 26 \text{ mm}$ , loaded with Ca<sup>2+</sup>) was used at a flow rate of 10 mL/min at medium pressure with water as eluent at 71 °C and a RI detector for monitoring. Ultrafiltration was performed with Amicon YM 10 membranes.

NMR spectra were recorded in  $D_2O$  on a Bruker ARX 500 at 500.14 MHz for the <sup>1</sup>H and 125.76 MHz for the <sup>13</sup>C nucleus. Chemical shifts are referenced to internal acetone ( $\delta$  = 2.030 and 30.50 ppm). 2D spectroscopy (H/H-COSY and C,H-COSY) was applied using the standard Bruker software.

α- and β-D-Lyxo-hex-2-ulose (D-tagatose) (2). D-Galactose (500 mg, 2.8 mmol), immobilised pyranose 2-oxidase (46 U), and catalase (0.1 mg, 5000 U) were suspended in water (200 mL). Gentle stirring and aeration (0.01 L/min) through a porous sintered glass tube was maintained for 6 d and the pH was kept constant at 7.0 by automatic titration with NaOH (0.1 N). The mixture was filtered through sintered glass funnels (16-40  $\mu$ m) to remove the immobilised enzyme; soluble catalase was removed by ultrafiltration. The mixture was lyophilised and the brownish foam was redissolved in water (6 mL), Pd/C was added (78 mg) and the mixture was stirred under an atmosphere of hydrogen (1.5 atm) for 5 d. The mixture was filtered over celite, the catalyst was washed with hot water (3 mL, 100 °C) and the combined filtrates were concentrated. In order to obtain pure D-tagatose, the product was separated twice on a chromatographic column, which first eluted D-galactose, followed by D-tagatose and D-talose and yielded 149 mg (30 %) of crystalline D-tagatose, mp 129 °C (Lit<sup>18</sup> 134-135 °C from ethanol).

α- and β-D-Lyxo-hexos-2-ulose (D-galactosone) (3). D-Galactose (1) (1.0 g, 5.55 mmol) was oxidised as described above using 58 U of pyranose 2-oxidase for 2.5 d. Filtration and lyophilisation yielded a product that contained 80% of D-galactosone as shown by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. In order to obtain pure D-galactosone the product was purified twice by column chromatography which afforded 252 mg (23 %) of D-galactosone (3), mp 104 <sup>o</sup>C (Lit<sup>19</sup> 89.9 <sup>o</sup>C);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +8.7<sup>o</sup> (c 0.12 in H<sub>2</sub>O); MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 179 (13) [M+1], 161 (100) [M+1 -H<sub>2</sub>O], 133 (18) [M+1 - H<sub>2</sub>O - CO], 115 (19) [M+1 - 2 H<sub>2</sub>O - CO].

**Hydrogenation of purified D-galactosone.** To D-galactosone (3) (89 mg, 0.45 mmol) dissolved in water (5 mL), was added Pd/C (25 mg) and the mixture was stirred for 10 d under a hydrogen atmosphere (1.5 atm). The mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrates concentrated. According to

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral analysis, the reaction mixture consisted of D-tagatose, D-galactose, and D-talose<sup>20</sup> in a ratio of 76:16:8.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the 'Bundesminister für Forschung und Technologie' (Grant No. 0319516A).

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- K. Izumori, T. Miyoshi, S. Tokuda and K. Yamabe, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 46, 1055 (1984).
- 2. T. Szumilo, FEBS Lett., **124**, 270 (1981).
- 3. T. Reichstein and W. Bosshard, Helv. Chim. Acta, 17, 753 (1934).
- 4. A. A. H. Al-Jobore, R. D. Guthrie, and R. D. Wells, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **6**, 474 (1971).
- 5. J. Kubala, J. Caplovic, and A. Ondrejkovic, Czech. Patent CS 221,038 1982 and Chem. Abstr., **105**, 172970u (1982).
- W. Szeja, A. Golek, Pol. Patent PL 113,487 1982 and Chem. Abstr., 98, 4739u (1982).
- J. R. Beadle, J. P. Saunders, and T. J. Wajda, Jr., U.S. Patent 5,002,612 1991 and Chem. Abstr., 5, 52172v (1991).
- H. W. Ruelius, R. M. Kerwin, and F. W. Janssen, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 167, 493 (1968).
- 9. Y. Machida and T. Nakanishi, Agric. Biol. Chem., 48, 2463 (1984).
- a. J. Volc and K. E. Eriksson, *Methods Enzymol.*, **161**, 316 (1988).
  b. J. Volc, E. Kubatova, P. Sedmera, G. Daniel, and J. Gabriel, *Arch. Microbiol.*, **156**, 297 (1991).
- 11. Y. Izumi, Y. Furuya, and H. Yamada, Agric. Biol. Chem., 54, 799 (1990).
- 12. a. H. J. Daneel, E. Rössner, A. Zeeck, and F. Giffhorn, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **214**, 795 (1993).
  - b. A. Huwig, H. J. Danneel, and F. Giffhorn, *J. Biotechnol.*, **32**, 309 (1994).
- 13. J. Volc, P. Sedmera, and V. Musilek, Collect. Czech. Chem., **45**, 950 (1980).
- 14. A detailed description of the enzymic reaction process, chemical derivatisation, and identification of the product is in preparation: S. Freimund, F. Giffhorn, A. Huwig, and S. Köpper.
- 15. S. J. Angyal and G. S. Bethell, Aust. J. Chem., 29, 1249 (1976).
- 16. L. Que, Jr., G. R. Gray, Biochemistry, 13, 146 (1974).
- 17. G. J. Wolff and E. Breitmeier, Chem. Z., 103, 232 (1979).
- 18. C. Glatthaar and T. Reichstein, Helv. Chim. Acta, 20, 1537 (1937).
- 19. P. A. G. Ruiz, I. C. Travesedo, and A. S. Martinez, Can. J. Chem., **62**, 870 (1984).
- NMR data for D-talose: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: S. J. Angyal and V. A. Pickles, Aust. J. Chem., 25, 1695 (1972). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: M. King-Morris and A. S. Serianni, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 3501 (1987).