ISSN 1070-3632, Russian Journal of General Chemistry, 2015, Vol. 85, No. 8, pp. 1982–1983. © Pleiades Publishing, Ltd., 2015. Original Russian Text © H.N. Khachatryan, S.S. Hayotsyan, K.S. Badalyan, H.S. Attaryan, G.V. Hasratyan, 2015, published in Zhurnal Obshchei Khimii, 2015, Vol. 85, No. 8, pp. 1395–1396.

> LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

## **Aza-Michael Addition of Pyrazoles to Maleic Acid**

H. N. Khachatryan<sup>a</sup>, S. S. Hayotsyan<sup>a</sup>, K. S. Badalyan<sup>a</sup>, H. S. Attaryan<sup>a</sup>, and G. V. Hasratyan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Organic Chemistry, Scientific and Technological Center of Organic and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia, ave. Azatutyan 26, Yerevan, 0014 Armenia e-mail: shayotsyan@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup> Armenian Institute of Applied Chemistry "Ariak," CJSC, Artashat hwy., 5/2, Yerevan, 0033 Armenia

Received May 7, 2015

Keywords: pyrazole, maleic acid, addition, aza-Michael reaction

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1070363215080320

We have previously investigated the reactivity of pyrazoles **I–III** in addition reactions to the carboncarbon double bond conjugated with electronwithdrawing groups [1, 2]. In order to verify the possibility of attaching azoles to conjugated systems under mild conditions, we performed reactions of pyrazoles **I–III** with maleic acid; in the latter molecule the double bond is not polarized due to a mismatched action of two electron-withdrawing groups [3].

The experiments showed that pyrazoles **I–III** react with maleic acid similarly to the cases of acrylic acid [4] and methyl vinyl ketone [1]: when heated in bulk in the absence of any catalyst, they yielded the corresponding (pyrazol-1-yl)succinic acids **IV–VI** (Scheme 1).

Yields of aza-Michael adducts **IV–VI** depended strongly on the azoles basicity. When maleic acid reacted with 3,5-dimethylpyrazole **III** ( $pK_b = 4.38$ ), yield of compound **VI** was of 96%, whereas in the case of less basic pyrazole I ( $pK_b = 2.53$ ) the reaction proceeded with yield of 65%. In the case of 3(5)methylpyrazole II ( $pK_b = 3.55$ ), the desired product was obtained in yield of 80% as a mixture of two isomers Va and Vb in the ratio of 9 : 1. Isomer 5a was isolated via fractional crystallization from water.

**2-(1***H***-Pyrazol-1-yl)butanedioic acid (IV).** A mixture of 1.5 g (0.022 mol) of pyrazole I and 3.0 g (0.024 mol) of maleic acid was heated to 110°C. The reaction temperature spontaneously went up to 130°C. Heating was continued at 110°C for 2.5 h. The resulting mixture was recrystallized from water. Yield 2.6 g (65%), mp 170°C. IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1520 (ring), 1570 (COOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm (*J*, Hz): 2.99 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 17.0, 7.6), 3.14 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 17.0, 6.2), 5.27 d.d (1H, CH, *J* 7.6, 6.2), 6.18 d.d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.3, 1.8), 7.37 d.d (1H, =CH, *J* 1.8, 0.8), 7.65 d.d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.3, 0.8), 12.20 br.s (2H, COOH). Found, %: C 45.85; H 4.75; N 15.56. C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Calculated, %: C 45.66; H 4.38; N 15.21.



 $R^{1} = R^{2} = H(I, IV); R^{1} = CH_{3}, R^{2} = H(IIa, Va); R^{1} = H, R^{2} = CH_{3}(IIb, Vb); R^{1} = R^{2} = CH_{3}(III, VI).$ 

**2-[3(5)-Methyl-1***H***-pyrazol-1-yl]butanedioic acid (Va, Vb)** was obtained similarly from 8.2 g (0.1 mol) of 3(5)-methylpyrazole **II** and 7.7 g (0.11 mol) of maleic acid. Yield 15.8 g (80%), mp 200°C. IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1530 (ring), 1680 (COOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm (*J*, Hz): 2.19 s (3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.20 s (3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.93 m (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.11 m (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.14 m (2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.94 d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.2), 7.21 d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.4), 7.49 d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.2), 12.20 br.s (2H,

After fractional recrystallization of a mixture of isomers **Va** and **Vb** from water, isomer **Va** (50%) was isolated, mp. 215–218°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm (*J*, Hz): 2.19 s (3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.93 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 16.9, 7.2), 3.11 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 16.9, 6.7), 5.14 d.d (1H, CH, *J* 7.2,6.7), 5.93 d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.2), 7.48 d (1H, =CH, *J* 2.2), 12.4 br.s (2H, COOH).

COOH). Found, %: C 48.80; H 5.49; N 14.38.

C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Calculated, %: C 48.49; H 5.09; N 14.14.

**2-(3,5-Dimethyl-1***H***-pyrazol-1-yl)butanedioic acid (VI)** was obtained similarly from 9.6 g (0.1 mol) of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole **III** and 7.7 g (0.11 mol) of maleic acid. Yield 20.4 g (96%), mp 220°C [5]. IR spectrum, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1540 (ring), 1690 (COOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum,  $\delta$ , ppm (*J*, Hz): 2.12 s (3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.28 s (3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.00 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 17.1, 8.0), 3.15 d.d (1H, CH<sub>2</sub>, *J* 17.1, 5.8), 5.03 d.d (1H, CH, *J* 8.0, 5.8), 5.7 q (1H, =CH, *J* 0.7), 12.30 br.s (2H, COOH). Found, %: C 50.45; H 5.38; N 13.56. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Calculated, %: C 50.94; H 5.70; N 13.20. IR spectra were obtained using a Nexus instrument (Thermo Nicolet Corporation, USA). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the solutions in DMSO–CCl<sub>4</sub> (1 : 3) were recorded with a Varian Mercury spectrometer (300 MHz). Elemental analysis was performed using a Korshun–Klimova apparatus.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supprted by State Committee Science MES RA, in frame of the research project no. SCS 13Ar 2e031.

## REFERENCES

- Hayotsyan, S.S., Khachatryan, A.N., Baltayan, A.O., Attaryan, H.S., and Hasratyan, G.V., *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.*, 2015, vol. 85, no. 4, p. 993. DOI: 10.1134/ S1070363215040398.
- Khachatryan, A.N., Hayotsyan, S.S., Attaryan, H.S., and Hasratyan, G.V., *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.*, 2015, vol. 85, no. 4, p. 996. DOI: 10.1134/S1070363215040404.
- Nesmeyanov, A.N., Rybinskaya, M.I., and Rybin, L.V., Russ. Chem. Rev., 1967, vol. 36, no. 7, p. 453.
- Darbinyan, E.G., Matsoyan, M.G., Oganesyan, K.G., Mitardzyan, Yu.B., Saakyan, A.A., and Matsoyan, S.G., USSR Inventors Certificate 688499, 1979.
- Grandbeg, I.I. and Kost, A.N., *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1959, vol. 29, no. 4, p. 1099.