ARTICLES

A colorimetric and fluorometric fluoride sensor based on a BODIPY-phenol conjugate

LV YongJun^{1,3}, XU Jian¹, GUO Yong^{1*} & SHAO ShiJun^{1,2*}

¹Key Laboratory of Chemistry of Northwestern Plant Resources and Key Laboratory for Natural Medicine of Gansu Province,

Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

²State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation; Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

³Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China

Received November 11, 2010; accepted December 25, 2010

A boradiazaindacenes (BODIPY)-phenol conjugate, **1**, can act as a colorimetric and fluorometric sensor for sensitive and selective measurement of F^- over AcO⁻ and H₂PO⁻₄ in CH₃CN. Sensor **1** gives response to F^- in a 1:1 ratio via the deprotonation of the phenolic OH proton, which results in color change from pale yellow to light green and quenching of bright green fluorescence.

colorimetric, fluorescence, fluoride, sensor, BODIPY

1 Introduction

In recent years, the design and synthesis of sensors capable of binding and sensing fluoride selectively have drawn considerable attention in supramolecular chemistry [1], because fluoride anion plays very important roles in clinical treatments for osteoporosis and fluoride toxicity [2]. To date, considerable effort has been devoted to the development of artificial fluoride sensors through visible, optical and electrochemical responses [3-5]. Most of synthetic sensors generally involve the covalent linking of a signaling fragment to a neutral anion receptor containing urea, thiourea, amide, indole, or phenolic hydroxyl subunits, which can provide hydrogen-bond donor sites for selective binding some anions, especially these anions F^- , AcO⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻. The selectivity can be related to the structure of the hydrogen bond complex and the basicity of the anions. Although some reported reaction-based sensors selectively recognize fluoride,

the limit is lack of reversibility [6–9]. Alternatively, few sensors can selectively sense F^- over AcO⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻, due to their similar basicity, based on hydrogen-bond or deprotonation [1, 10–14]. Thus, we expect to develop a selective anion sensor for fluoride through both the acid-base interaction and hindrance effect between anion and the binding site modified by the introduction of a larger bulky group.

As known, phenolic unit has been investigated widely as a good anion receptor via hydrogen bonding interactions or salt complex [15, 16]. Several phenol-based boradiazaindacenes (BODIPY) conjugates were reported as pH indicators [17, 18], but their potential capabilities as sensing anions are not extensively explored. On the other hand, BODIPY is an advantageous fluorophore with many excellent photophysical properties, and numerous BODIPY-based derivatives have been synthesized as anion, mental and pH probes [19].

Hence, we synthesized a BODIPY-phenol conjugate **1** and investigated its anion reorganization properties by UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy. With the larger bulky *tert*-butyl groups *ortho* to the phenolic OH, com-

^{*}Corresponding author (email: guoyong@licp.cas.cn; sjshao@licp.cas.cn)

[©] Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

pound **1** was expected to trigger color and fluorescence changes upon complexation with fluoride over other large anions such as AcO^- and $H_2PO_4^-$. Moreover, a reference **2** was also prepared according to published procedures (Scheme 1) [20].

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and methods

All the tetrabutylammonium salts with different anions were purchased from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co., stored in a desiccator under vacuum, and used without further purification. CH₃CN used in the context was chromatographic pure. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were performed on a Varian INOVA 400 MHz spectrometer in CDCl₃. ESI-MS studies were carried out using a Waters Micromass ZQ-4000 spectrometer. The fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer LS55 spectrometer. UV-vis spectra were determined on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 spectrometer. C, H, N elemental analyses were made on a Vario-EL.

2.2 Synthesis

A solution of corresponding benzaldehyde (0.61 g, 2.62 mmol), 2,4-dimethyl pyrrole (0.52 g, 5.24 mmol) and one drop of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) was stirred overnight under N₂ atmosphere. After oxidation by 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) (0.59 g, 2.62 mmol), Et₃N (2 mL) and BF₃-OEt₂ (2 mL) were added in ice-water bath. After being stirred for 2 h, the mixture was washed with water several times, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, CH_2Cl_2) to give the target compound.

Compound **1** yield 40%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) &1.408 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.433 (s, 18H, 6CH₃), 2.557 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 5.368 (s, 1H, OH), 5.981 (s, 2H, 2CH), 7.032 (s, 2H, 2ArH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) & 14.34, 14.54, 30.41, 34.52, 120.92, 124.38, 125.52, 131.89, 137.08, 143.05, 154.29, 154.88. FT-IR (KBr): 1413.73, 1440.79, 1464.76, 1509.80, 1542.84, 2868.15, 2916.46, 2951.53, 3421.05 cm⁻¹ ESI-MS: m/z (%) 453.4 (M + H⁺, 100). Anal. calcd for **1** (C₂₆H₃₁BF₂N₂O): C, 69.11; H, 7.34; N, 5.83. Found: C,



Scheme 1 The synthetic route of two compounds 1 and 2.

68.93; H, 7.37; N, 5.79.

Compound **2** yield 34 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) & 1.441 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 2.550 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 5.301 (s, 1H, OH), 5.979 (s, 2H, 2CH), 6.943 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, 2ArH), 7.114 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, 2ArH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) & 14.56, 116.10, 121.13, 127.11, 129.35, 131.79, 141.73, 143.19, 155.28, 156.29. FT-IR (KBr): 1408.33, 1439.30, 1468.34, 1511.70, 1542.91, 1608.07, 2874.06, 2922.57, 2963.32, 3418.38 cm⁻¹. ESI-MS: m/z (%) 339.3 (M – H⁺, 100). Anal. calcd for **2** (C₁₉H₁₉BF₂N₂O): C, 66.20; H, 5.38; N, 7.67. Found: C, 66.26; H, 6.32; N, 7.64.

3 Results and discussion

As shown in Table 1, both 1 and 2 show a typical narrow absorption band at approximately 500 nm, which corresponds to the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition of BODIPY subunits, and an emission band at about 510 nm in tested solvents, which is in keeping with classical BODIPY derivatives [21]. Fluorescein ($\Phi_f = 0.925$) in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH was used as a standard to estimate the fluorescence quantum yields Φ_f [22]. Compound 1 shows a relatively high fluorescence quantum yield compared to 2, which can be ascribed to the introduction of bulky *tert*-butyl groups hindering π - π stacking of the fluorophore [23].

The interaction of sensor 1 with different anions was investigated in CH₃CN by observing the changes in the absorption spectra. Upon addition of anions such as F⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻, AcO⁻, HSO₄⁻ and ClO₄⁻ (as their tetrabutylammonium salts) to sensor $\mathbf{1}$, only \mathbf{F}^- remarkably changed the absorption spectrum, whereas the other anions tested only induced negligible responses (Figure 1). During the titration of sensor 1 with F^- as shown in Figure 2, the absorption band at 496 nm decreased and two new bands emerged at 470 and 670 nm, which was responsible for simultaneously changing the solution of sensor 1 from pale yellow to light green (Figure 3). Two isosbestic points at 481 and 519 nm were observed, indicating the formation of F-sensor complex. Two novel bands can be assigned to the deprotonated form of BODIP-phenolate as was confirmed by the Brønsted acid-base reaction of sensor 1 with a strong base [Me₄N]OH. According to the Job plot, sensor 1 interacted with F⁻ in a 1:1 ratio (Figure 2 inset). The equilibrium constant (or proton-dissociation constant) K for F^- was determined to be 1.65×10^4 at 496 nm and 1.66×10^4 at 670 nm [24]. In brief, sensor 1 can selectively bind F^- over other basic anions such as AcO⁻ and H₂PO⁻₄, owing to the basicity and smaller radius of F⁻ and the larger steric hindrance of two *tert*-butyl groups.

For further investigation, the recognition properties of sensor **1** with various anions were conducted by fluorescence technology (Figure 4). In the presence of F^- , the fluorescence

 Table 1
 Spectroscopic characteristics of the two compounds 1 and 2 in different solvents

Compound	Solvent	$\lambda_{\rm Abs}$ (max/nm)	$\lambda_{\rm em}$ (max/nm)	$arPsi_{ m f}$	$\varepsilon \times 10^4 (\text{L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$
1	CH ₃ CN	496	509	0.525	8.84
	EtOH	497	512	0.574	9.48
	THF	499	511	0.873	8.67
	CH_2Cl_2	499	511	0.870	9.02
	cyclohexane	501	512	0.715	8.06
2	CH ₃ CN	497	511	0.420	3.66
	EtOH	498	511	0.458	2.80
	THF	500	513	0.429	7.22
	CH ₂ Cl ₂	501	514	0.704	7.35
	cyclohexane	502	514	0.651	7.55



Figure 1 Absorption spectra of sensor **1** $(3.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of respective anions $(4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$.



Figure 2 UV-vis titration of sensor $1 (3.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of F⁻ (0-4 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹). Inset: A job plot of sensor 1 with F⁻ in CH₃CN.

intensity of **1** significantly decreased along with the bright green fluorescence quenching (Figure 3). Likewise, similar quenching was observed by addition of $[Me_4N]OH$. Quenching can be attributable to the occurrence of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) mechanism from the phenolate salt



Figure 3 Color changes and fluorescence responses of sensor 1 $(3.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ with various anions $(4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ in CH₃CN.



Figure 4 Fluorescence spectra of sensor $\mathbf{1}$ ($3.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$) upon the addition of respective anions ($6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$). Excitation was at 496 nm.

to the BODIPY core. No fluorescence change was observed for other tested anions. As shown in Figure 5, the intensity of the peak at 509 nm decreased upon gradual addition of F⁻ and complete quenching ($\Phi_f = 0.018$) took place in the presence of 20 equiv of F⁻. Based on the change in fluorescence intensity, the detection limit for F⁻ was determined to be 2.0×10^{-6} mol L⁻¹ (based on *S/N*=3).



Figure 5 Fluorescence spectra of sensor 1 $(3.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of F⁻ $(0-6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ in CH₃CN.

To firmly prove the interaction of sensor **1** with F^- , ¹H NMR experiments were conducted in acetonitrile- d_3 and CDCl₃ (5:1) solution, and addition of CDCl₃ was to improve the solubility of **1** in acetonitrile- d_3 . A partial ¹H NMR spectrum of sensor **1** is shown in Figure 6. It was found that, upon gradual addition of F^- , the signal of the phenolic OH proton underwent downfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 0.34$) and disappeared with the addition of 5.0 equiv of F^- . The abovementioned results indicate that sensor **1** can interact with F^- through deprotonation.

In Figure 7, control experiments were performed with compound 2. In the presence of F^- or [Me₄N]OH, a slight response was developed in the absorption spectrum. Interestingly, compared to 2, sensor 1 exhibited two novel absorption bands at 470 and 670 nm, respectively, which may be attributed to the two allotropic forms (1a and 1b) of sensor 1 after deprotonation (Figure 8) [25, 26]. The donor ability of two *tert*-butyl groups can facilitate the formation of 1b, which causes the increased degree of π -electron conjugation of the sensor. Besides, addition of AcO⁻ or H₂PO⁻₄ to the solution of 2 also resulted in the decreased fluorescence intensity at 511 nm to some extent (Figure 7(b)).



Figure 6 ¹H NMR spectra of sensor **1** in the presence of 0, 1.0, 2.0, and 5.0 equiv of F^- .



Figure 7 (a) Absorption spectra of **2** $(3.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of respective anions $(4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$; (b) fluorescence spectra of **2** $(3.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of respective anions $(6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ in CH₃CN.



Figure 8 Proposed binding mechanism of sensor 1 with F⁻.

Namely, reference **2** not only gave the fluorescence response to F^- , but also AcO⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻ under the similar experimental conditions. During the titration of **2** with F^- in Figure 9, fluorescence quenching was also observed upon gradual addition of F^- due to the occurrence of PET process after the deprotonation of **2**. These findings support that the existence of *tert*-butyl hindrance in molecule **1** can prevent the affinity of large basic anions. As a result, sensor **1** can allow sensitive and selective detection of F^- through color and fluorescence changes.



Figure 9 Fluorescence spectra of 2 $(3.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ upon the addition of F⁻ $(0-6.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ in CH₃CN.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, a BODIPY dye **1** incorporating *tert*-butylphenol unit has been investigated as a colorimetric and fluorometric sensor for fluoride based on the deprotonation mechanism. In the presence of F^- , sensor **1** shows naked eye-detectable color change from pale yellow to light green and obvious fluorescence quenching due to the PET process from the phenolate unit to BODIPY. The present study illustrates that the hindrance of the hosts and the basicity of the anions should be taken into consideration in the design of versatile anion probes.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20972170 to S-J. Shao), the open fund of State Key Laboratory of Oxo Synthesis & Selective Oxidation (OSSO2008kjk6 to S-J. Shao) and by the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (096RJ2A033 to Y. Guo).

- 1 Cametti M, Rissanen K. Recognition and sensing of fluoride anion. Chem Commun, 2009, (20): 2809–2829, and references therein
- 2 Kleerekoper M. The role of fluoride in the prevention of osteoporosis. *Endocrinil Metab Clin North Am*, 1998, 27: 441–452
- 3 Beer PD, Gale PA. Anion recognition and sensing: the state of the art and future perspectives. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2001, 40: 486–516
- 4 Gale PA. Anion and ion-pair receptor chemistry: Highlights from 2000 and 2001. *Coord Chem Rev*, 2003, 240: 191–221
- 5 Caltagirone C, Gale PA. Anion receptor chemistry: Highlights from 2007. Chem Soc Rev, 2009, 38: 520–563
- 6 Martinez-Máñez R, Sancenón F. Fluorogenic and chromogenic chemosensors and reagents for anions. *Chem Rev*, 2003, 103: 4419–4476

- 7 Cho DG, Sessler JL. Modern reaction-based indicator systems. *Chem Soc Rev*, 2009, 38: 1647–1662
- 8 Bozdemir OA, Sozmen F, Buyukcakir O, Guliyev R, Cakmak Y, Akkaya EU. Reaction-based sensing of fluoride ions using built-in triggers for intramolecular charge transfer and photoinduced electron transfer. *Org Lett*, 2010, 12: 1400–1403
- 9 Li YM, Zhang XL, Zhu BC, Yan JL, Xu WP. A highly selective colorimetric and "off-on-off" fluorescent probe for fluoride ions. *Anal Sci*, 2010, 26: 1077–1080
- 10 Jose DA, Kumar DK, Ganguly B, Das A. Efficient and simple colorimetric fluoride ion sensor based on receptors having urea and thiourea binding sites. *Org Lett*, 2004, 6: 3445–3448
- 11 Wei TB, Li Y, Lin Q, Zhang YM. Simple and efficient colorimetric F⁻ sensors and a test paper for fluorine. J Chem Res, 2009, 11; 677–678
- 12 Huang XH, He YB, Chen ZH, Hu CG. Colorimetric sensors for anion recognition based on the proton transfer signaling mechanism. *Chin J Chem*, 2009, 27: 1526–1530
- 13 Xie PH, Guo FQ, Wang WF, Liu XY. A naked-eye, selective and sensitive chemosensor for fluoride ion. *Chem Pap*, 2010, 64: 723–728
- 14 Mao SH, Liu K, Lu FP, Du LX. Colorimetric based on hydrogenbond-induced pi-delocalization and/or anion-triggered deprotonation. *Mini-Rev Org Chem*, 2010, 7: 221–229
- 15 Lee DH, Lee HY, Lee KH, Hong JI. Selective anion sensing based on a dual-chromophore approach. *Chem Commun*, 2001, 13: 1188–1189
- 16 Lee KH, Lee HY, Lee DH, Hong JI. Fluoide-selective chromogenic sensors based on azophenol. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2001, 42: 5447–5449
- 17 Gareis T, Huber C, Wolfbeis OS, Daub J. Phenol/phenolate-depent on/of switching of the luminescence of 4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4adiaza-indancenes. *Chem Commun*, 1997, (17): 1717–1718
- 18 Baruah M, Qin WW, Basarić N, Borggraeve DWM, Boens N. BODIPY-based hydroxyaryl derivatives as fluorescent pH probes. *J Org Chem*, 2005, 70: 4152–4157
- 19 Loudet A, Burgess K. BODIPY dyes and their derivatives: synthesis and spectroscopic properties. *Chem Rev*, 2007, 107: 4891–4932, and references therein
- 20 Liu JY, Yeung HS, Xu W, LiX, Dennis PN. Highly efficient energy transfer in subphthalocyanine-BODIPY conjugates. *Org Lett.* 2008, 10: 5421–5424
- 21 Ulrich G, Ziessel R, Harriman A. The chemistry of fluorescent bodipy dyes: versatility unsurpassed. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2008, 47: 1184–1201
- 22 Casey KG, Quitevis EL. Effect of solvent polarity on nonradiative processes in xanthene dyes: Rhodamine B in normal alcohols. *J Phys Chem*, 1998, 92: 6590–6594
- 23 Ozdemir T, Atilgan S, Kutuk I, Yildirim LT, Tulek A, Bayindir M, Akkaya EU. Solid-state emissive BODIPY dyes with bulky substituents as spacers. *Org Lett*, 2009, 11: 2105–2107
- 24 Connrs, KA. Binding Constants: The Measurement of Molecular Complex Stability. New York: Wiley-VCH, 1987. 141
- 25 Goud TV, Tutar A, Biellmann JF. Synthesis of 8-heteroatom-substituted 4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diazia-s-indacence dyes (BODIPY). *Tetrahedron*, 2006, 62: 5084–5091
- 26 Treibs A, Kreuzer FH. Difluorboryl-Komplexe von di- und tripyrrylmethenen. *Liebigs Ann Chem*, 1968, 718: 208–223