Promoting Role of Fe in Enhancing Activity and Selectivity of MeOH Production from CO and H₂ catalysed by SiO₂-Supported Ir

Takakazu Fukushima, a Yoshimitsu Ishii, a Yuzi Onda, b and Masaru Ichikawa ** t

^a Sagami Chemical Research Center, 4-4-1 Nishi-Onuma, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, 229, Japan ^b Niigata Research Laboratory, Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Co., Ltd., 182 Aza-shinwari, Tayuhama, Niigata, 950–31, Japan

Addition of Fe to Ir/SiO_2 catalysts used in CO hydrogenation improves the yield of and selectivity towards MeOH (90% carbon efficiency), owing to suppression of methanation.

Recent studies show that noble metals, *e.g.*, Pd^1 and Rh^2 are good catalysts for the selective synthesis of C_1 and C_2 oxygenates from CO and H_2 under high pressure conditions. Additionally, oxygenate selectivities in CO hydrogenation are markedly influenced by the nature of oxide supports employed to disperse Rh,³ Pt,⁴ and Pd.^{5,6} In particular, supported Pd, lanthanoid oxides, *e.g.* La₂O₃, Nd₂O₃,^{5,6} and MgO^{5,16} provide a marked promoting effect: they enhance the selectivity and activity of MeOH formation. On the other hand, addition of Fe to Rh modifies the oxygenate distribution in CO hydrogenation and promotes the formation of MeOH and EtOH.⁷ Thus, it is of interest to study the effect of adding Fe to other noble metal catalysts such as Ir,^{1,8} Pt, and Pd, and its possible influence on the selectivity and activity of oxygenate production in CO hydrogenation. In this communication, we report on the specific and relevant role of Fe, when it is added to Ir, in improving the MeOH selectivity to over 90% c.e. (carbon efficiency) and dramatically enhancing the activity of MeOH production to over fifty times as much as that on non-promoted Ir.

The kinetic measurements on the high pressure reaction were carried out using an open-flow reactor (Ti tubing; 14 mm inner diameter, 500 mm length). Product analysis was conducted by g.c. (flame-ionization detector), as described elsewhere.^{5,9}

Ir and Fe were coimpregnated from $IrCl_4 \cdot H_2O$ and FeCl₃·6H₂O in EtOH on Davison Grade 57 silica gel (10–24 mesh, surface area = 280 m²/g). The impregnated catalysts were reduced in flowing H₂ (100 ml/min) by raising the temperature from 25 to 400 °C and holding at 400 °C for 6 h prior to the CO-H₂ reaction. For a series of Ir-Fe and Ir catalysts, CO conversion, yields of products (space-time yield STY, g/l-cat./h), and selectivities based on CO consumed

[†] Present address: Research Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060, Japan.

Table 1. Catalytic performances of SiO2-supported Ir and Ir-Fe catalysts.^a

Atomic ratio Fe/Ir	CO conv. (%)	Selectivity ^b (%)				Space-time yield (g/l-cat./h)			
		MeOH	EtOH	CH ₄	C ₂₊	MeOH	EtOH	CH ₄	C ₂₊
4.4 wt% Ir	0.024	67.0	4.1	19.2	—	0.45	0.02	0.07	
0.02	0.15	86.2	2.7	8.4	0.4	3.57	0.08	0.17	+
0.1	0.50	89.1	2.2	6.1	0.5	13.0	0.23	0.44	0.05
0.2	0.94	93.9	2.6	9.9	1.1	22.7	0.51	1.34	0.16
0.3	0.81	74.2	4.4	14.5	5.2	16.8	0.71	1.65	0.83
0.6	0.97	50.2	8.3	25.8	11.7	14.2	1.69	3.67	1.58
1.0	1.06	22.4	10.1	34.8	27.9	6.69	2.18	5.21	3.79
2.6 wt% Fe	·0.23	13.0	7.9	38.4	38.0	0.88	0.38	1.30	1.28

(Fe/Ir 10)

^a Temperature 250 °C, pressure 20 kg/cm² (gauge), CO/H₂ = 0.5 vol. ratio, cat. = 5 ml, space velocity = 6000 h⁻¹, data were obtained at time-on-stream of 4 h. ^b Based on CO consumed (c.e.).



Figure 1. Product selectivities and CO conversion in CO-H₂ reaction on Ir-Fe/SiO₂ vs. Fe/Ir atomic ratios. 4.0 wt% Ir loading, 5 ml catalyst charged: 20 kg/cm² (gauge) of syngas, CO/H₂ = 0.5 v/v, 250 °C, and space velocity = 6000 h⁻¹.

(c.e.) were measured at a standard set of operating conditions [20 kg/cm² (gauge), 250 °C], and the results are summarized in Table 1. 4.4 wt% Ir on SiO₂ provided MeOH in low yield and selectivity, with CH₄ and a small amount of EtOH. MeOH selectivity was decreased down to 20% c.e. with an increase of CH₄ and higher hydrocarbons as by-products for 1% CO conversion at 300 °C.

When Fe was added to 4 wt% Ir/SiO_2 , the rates of MeOH formation were enhanced (eventually to over fifty times that on Ir/SiO_2) under similar reaction conditions; an MeOH selectivity of over 90% c.e. was achieved. CO conversion increased almost linearly with the increase of Fe content up to 0.2 Fe/Ir atomic ratio, as shown in Figure 1. The selectivities for CH₄ and EtOH appear to be substantially suppressed by addition of Fe to Ir/SiO_2 . Addition of an excess of Fe (more than 0.2 Fe/Ir atomic ratio) caused a decrease in MeOH selectivity due to an increase in CH₄ production, while the CO conversion remained almost unchanged.

As shown in Figure 1, we found that optimum MeOH production occurred at 0.1-0.2 Fe/Ir atomic ratios. The yield of MeOH per unit volume of catalyst obtained on 4 wt% Ir-Fe $(1:0.2)/SiO_2$ was five times larger than that on 4 wt% Pd/SiO₂



Figure 2. Dependency of rates of formation (based on CO) of CH₄, MeOH, and EtOH in CO hydrogenation on Rh-Fe/SiO₂ on the Fe content. 4.0 wt% Rh loading, 5 ml catalyst, 20 kg/cm² (gauge) of syngas, CO/H₂, 250 °C, and space velocity = $6000 h^{-1}$. Rh : Fe = 1 : x (atomic ratio).

under similar syngas reaction conditions [e.g., 20 kg/cm² (gauge) and 250 °C].

Generally, iron-based catalysts, *e.g.*, Fe, Fe–Co, and Fe–Mn¹⁰ are characterized by hydrocarbon synthesis, over 50% c.e. of consumed CO going to CH₄ and C₂₊ hydrocarbons and several % c.e. to oxygenate mixtures consisting of MeOH and other higher alcohols. Thus, the Fe added to Ir would be associated with the generation of selective sites for MeOH formation.

Sinfelt et al.¹¹ and Guczi¹² used Mössbauer techniques to show that the Fe in $Ir-Fe/SiO_2$ after H_2 reduction at 400-500 °C exists as either Fe³⁺ or Fe⁰ in clusters with Ir particles. Minai et al.13 suggested for the same Ir-Fe/SiO2 systems that Fe mostly exists as Fe³⁺ in catalysts of less than 0.3 Fe/Ir atomic ratio. It is thus conceivable that Fe clustering with Ir would result in a decrease in the amount of adjacent Ir atoms which are required for multi-bonded chemisorption of CO. This is reflected in the depression of methanation and production of C₂-oxygenates such as EtOH because CO dissociation on large metal ensembles is an essential elementary step for these reaction processes.¹⁴ On the other hand, Fe^{3+} in Ir-Fe/SiO₂, which may reside at the interface of an Ir particle,13 will provide Ir-Fe3+ ensembles containing partially oxidised Ir. This is expected to catalyse MeOH synthesis preferentially, as Ponec et al.¹⁶ suggested for Pd/MgO. They claimed that partially oxidised Pd in contact with MgO is catalytically active for MeOH synthesis, rather than Pd⁰ for methanation. Also, it has been recently demonstrated^{15,17,18}

1753

that the Fe in Rh–Fe/SiO₂ with less than 0.3 Fe/Rh atomic ratio (mostly existing as Fe^{3+}), exerts a significant promoting effect: it enhances the conversion of MeCHO into EtOH besides increasing MeOH formation.

At high Fe : Ir ratios, reduced Fe⁰ may cover the Ir particle surface, likewise on Rh–Fe/SiO₂.^{17,18} This is reflected in the increase of hydrocarbons at the expense of MeOH, as shown in Figure 1. We can compare the trends of product yields depending upon Fe content for Ir–Fe/SiO₂ (Figure 1) and Rh–Fe/SiO₂ (Figure 2). In contrast to Ir–Fe, it is of interest to find that rates of MeOH and EtOH as well as CH₄ formation increased almost linearly with Fe content even above 0.3 Fe/Rh atomic ratio. We suggest that this different behaviour for oxygenate production due to addition of an excess of Fe is associated with the different miscibility of Fe into these two noble metals. In fact, the phase diagrams of Rh–Fe and Ir–Fe indicate¹⁹ that Fe forms a miscible alloy with Rh much more readily than with Ir over a wide range of metal composition.

This work is part of a 'C₁-Chemistry Project,' run by the National Research and Development Program of the Agency of Industrial Science and Technology, Ministry of International Trade and Industry (M.I.T.I.), Japan.

Received, 6th August 1985; Com. 1181

References

- 1 M. L. Poutma, L. F. Elek, P. A. Risch, and J. A. Rabo, J. Catal., 1978, 52, 157.
- 2 P. C. Ellgen, W. J. Bartley, M. M. Bhasin, and T. P. Wilson, *Adv. Chem. Ser.*, 1979, **178**, 147.
- 3 M. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2273; Chemtech, 1982, 674.

- 4 M. Ichikawa and K. Shikakura, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., 1981, 7, 925.
- 5 M. Ichikawa and K. Shikakura, *Shokubai*, 1979, **21**, 253; M. Ichikawa, K. Shikakura, and T. Matsumoto, *Nippon Kagakukai Zashi*, 1982, 213; M. Ichikawa, U.S.P. 4393144, 1982.
- 6 Yu. A. Ryndin, R. F. Hicks, and A. T. Bell, J. Catal., 1981, 70, 287.
- 7 M. M. Bhasin, W. J. Bartley, P. C. Ellgen, and T. P. Wilson, J. Catal., 1978, 54, 120.
- 8 M. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2268.
- 9 M. Ichikawa, T. Fukushima, and K. Shikakura, Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Catalysis, Berlin, 1984, vol. II, p. 69.
- 10 M. D. Schlesinger, H. E. Benson, E. M. Murphy, and H. H. Storch, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 1956, **46**, 1322; J. Barrault and C. Renard, *Appl. Catal.*, 1985, **14**, 133.
- 11 J. H. Sinfelt, G. H. Via, and F. W. Lytle, J. Chem. Phys., 1981, 75, 5527; J. H. Sinfelt, J. Catal., 1980, 62, 127.
- 12 L. Guczi, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 1981, 23, 329.
- 13 Y. Minai, T. Fukushima, M. Ichikawa, and T. Tominaga, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1984, 87, 189; Y. Minai, T. Fukushima, M. Ichikawa, T. Tominaga, and S. Ogasawara, unpublished work.
- 14 M. Ichikawa and T. Fukushima, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 321.
- 15 J. W. Niemansverdrit, A. M. van der Kraan, J. J. van Loef, and W. N. Delgass, J. Phys. Chem., 1983, 87, 1292; J. W. Niemansverdrit, A. M. van der Kraan, and W. N. Delgass, J. Catal., 1984, 89, 138.
- 16 J. M. Driesse, E. K. Poels, J. P. Hinderman, and V. Ponec, J. Catal., 1983, 82, 26.
- 17 M. Ichikawa and T. Fukushima, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 1564.
- 18 Y. Minai, T. Fukushima, M. Ichikawa, and T. Tominaga, 'Industrial Applications of Mössbauer Effects,' eds. G. L. Long and J. G. Sterns, Plenum, New York, 1985.
- 19 O. Kubascheskoviski, 'Iron-Binary Phase Diagram,' Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.