

Ir(III)-Catalyzed Oxidative Annulation of Phenylglyoxylic Acids with Benzo[b]thiophenes

Zhigang Wang,[®] Mufan Yang, and Yudong Yang^{*®}

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, 29 Wangjiang Road, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: An Ir(III)-catalyzed oxidative annulation of phenylglyoxylic acids with benzo[b]thiophenes for the construction of benzothieno[3,2-c][2]benzopyranones in one step is disclosed. Three C-H cleavages and C-C/C-O bond formations are involved in this reaction. This protocol features a relatively broad substrate scope, good functional group tolerance, good regioselectivities, mild reaction conditions, and scale-up synthesis.

T he dibenzopyranone scaffold is often encountered in natural products, bioactive molecules, organic functional materials, and synthetic intermediates.¹⁻³ In particular, their thieno analogues are important structural units for various organic optoelectronic materials such as organic photovoltaic (OPV) materials.³ For example, 5*H*-dithieno[3,2-*b*:2',3'-d]pyran (DTP) is known as one of the most efficient donors for organic photovoltaics (Scheme 1).^{3b} Therefore, a number of

Scheme 1. Selected Examples of Dibenzopyranone Derivatives



methods have been reported for the synthesis of dibenzopyranones, including Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of fluorenones,⁴ oxidation of the benzylic C–H bonds of 6H-benzo[c]chromenes,⁵ intramolecular coupling of aryl 2-halobenzoates,⁶ sequential cross-coupling of 2-halobenzaldehydes with 2hydroxyarylboronic acids/lactionization,⁷ intramolecular lactonization of biarylcarboxylic acid derivatives,⁸ and transitionmetal-catalyzed carbonylation of 2-arylphenols.⁹ Despite the reliability, these methods often suffer from disadvantages such as tedious synthetic routes, inaccessible synthetic precursors, and poor substrate scope. More importantly, very few examples have been reported on the construction of the thieno analogues of dibenzopyranones, which to an extent limits the rapid diversification of the dibenzopyranone structures and further hinders the exploration of their applications in organic optoelectronic materials. Thus, it is still in high demand to establish a straightforward and efficient pathway toward thienodibenzopyranone analogues.

In the past decade, transition-metal-catalyzed chelationassisted oxidative C-H/C-H cross-coupling/intramolecular cyclization between two (hetero)arenes has emerged as a highly efficient strategy for the construction of fused (hetero)aromatic structures and complex molecules due to high atom and step economy.¹⁰ In this context, You and co-workers reported a facile two-step synthesis of thieno [3,2-c] [2] benzopyranones through rhodium-catalyzed oxidative C-H/C-H cross-coupling of (hetero)aromatic carboxylic acids with heteroarenes and subsequent palladium-catalyzed intramolecular oxidative lactonization (Scheme 2a).^{10e} Herein, we disclose an iridiumcatalyzed oxidative cyclization of phenylglyoxylic acids with benzo b thiophenes to construct benzothieno [3,2-c][2] benzopyranones via multiple oxidative C-H activation and C-C/C-O formations in one step (Scheme 2b). This reaction features a relatively broad substrate scope, good functional group tolerance, mild reaction conditions, good regioselectivities, and scale-up synthesis.

Received: April 1, 2018

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Thieno-dibenzopyranone Analogues





Our investigation was initiated with the reaction of benzoic acid and benzo[b]thiophene **2a** in the presence of $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgNTf₂ (20 mol %), Ag₂O (3.0 equiv), and 1-AdCOOH (1.0 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) at 140 °C. The desired product SH-[1]-benzothieno[3,2-c][2]benzo-pyran-5-one **3a** was obtained in a 22% NMR yield. Phenyl-glyoxylic acid **1a** was found to be a better substrate for this reaction, giving **3a** in a 36% yield (Table 1, entry 1). No other regioisomer was observed. Thus, phenylglyoxylic acid **1a** was selected as the model substrate. Switching from $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ to other catalysts, such as $IrCl_3$, $[RhCp*Cl_2]_2$, $[Ru(p-cymene)-Cl_2]_2$, and Pd(OAc)₂, led to no formation of **3a** (Table S1, entries 3–6). No reaction was observed in the absence of $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ (see Table S1 in Supporting Information, entry 7).

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions a,b

O H O H		[IrCp*Cl ₂] ₂ (5 m AgNTf ₂ (20 m oxidant, additive (1 solvent, 140 °C	nol %) ol %) I.0 equiv) G, 24 h	
1a	2a			3a
entry	oxidant (equiv)	additive	solvent	yield (%)
1	Ag ₂ O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	DCE	36
2 ^c	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	DCE	20
3	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	56
4	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	TFE	22
5	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	toluene	20
6	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	^t BuOH	trace
7	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	DMAc	n.d.
8	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	DMSO	n.d.
9	Ag_2CO_3 (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	12
10	AgOAc (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	20
11	$Cu(OAc)_2$ (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	n.d.
12	Ag_2O (3.0)	TFA	HFIP	32
13	Ag_2O (3.0)	AcOH	HFIP	42
14	Ag_2O (3.0)	PivOH	HFIP	38
15	Ag_2O (3.0)	$TsOH \cdot H_2O$	HFIP	26
16 ^d	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	64
17 ^e	Ag_2O (3.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	50
18 ^d	Ag_2O (4.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	81
19 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>f</i>}	Ag_2O (4.0)	1-AdCOOH	HFIP	70
20 ^{<i>a</i>,g}	Ag_2O (4.0)	_	HFIP	66

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.4 mmol), $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgNTf₂ (20 mol %), oxidant, additive (1.0 equiv), and solvent (1.0 mL) were stirred at 140 °C for 24 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}The reaction was carried out under air. ^{*d*}90 °C for 24 h. ^{*e*}80 °C for 24 h. ^{*f*}Without AgNTf₂. ^{*g*}Without 1-AdCOOH. n.d. = not detected. 1-AdCOOH = 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid.

A slightly decreased yield was afforded when the reaction was conducted under air (entry 2). Further optimization of solvents showed that 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) was the most effective (entry 3). Other polar solvents such as 2,2,2trifluoroethanol (TFE), toluene, N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc), DMSO, and ^tBuOH gave no product or low conversions (entries 4-8). Investigations on the oxidants revealed that Ag₂O was the best oxidant. Other silver oxidants such as Ag₂CO₃ and AgOAc proved to be less effective, whereas copper oxidants such as $Cu(OAc)_2$ were totally ineffective for this transformation (entries 9–11). 1-AdCOOH was proposed to work as a proton shuttle to promote the C-H cleavage.¹¹ The attempts with other acidic additives, including 2,2,2trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), AcOH, PivOH, and TsOH·H₂O, gave inferior yields (entries 12-15). A slightly increased yield was obtained when the reaction was performed at 90 °C (entry 16). Lowering the temperature to 80 °C resulted in a diminished yield (entry 17). Further optimization of the amounts of oxidant indicated that 4.0 equiv of Ag₂O was the most suitable, giving the desired product 3a in an 81% yield (entry 18). Diminished yields were obtained when the reactions were conducted in the absence of either AgNTf2 or 1-AdCOOH (entries 19 and 20). Finally, the optimal reaction conditions consisted of $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgNTf_2 (20 mol %), Ag₂O (4.0 equiv), and 1-AdCOOH (1.0 equiv) in HFIP (1.0 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h under N₂ (entry 18).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next investigated the substrate scope with regard to α -keto carboxylic acids. As summarized in Scheme 3, a diverse set of benzothieno[3,2-*c*][2]benzopyranones bearing both electron-donating and -withdrawing groups could be synthesized in moderate to excellent yields. A variety of functional groups such as methyl, ethyl, methoxy, phenyl, fluoro, chloro, and bromo





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.4 mmol), $[IrCp^*Cl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgNTf₂ (20 mol %), Ag₂O (4.0 equiv), and 1-AdCOOH (1.0 equiv) in HFIP (1.0 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h under N₂. ^{*b*}2.0 mmol scale.

Organic Letters

could be tolerated under the standard conditions (3b-j). Further investigation on the substrate scope indicated that a set of phenylglyoxylic acids bearing substituents at the *ortho*-, *meta-*, and *para*-positions of the aromatic ring smoothly underwent the reactions to provide the desired products in moderate to excellent yields (3b-1). However, a mixture of regioisomeric products was observed when 3-methoxyphenylglyoxylic acid was used as the substrate (3k and 3k'). 1-Naphthylglyoxylic acid was also a suitable substrate for this reaction (3m). In addition, heteroaromatic substrates such as 2oxo-2-(thiophen-2-yl)acetic acid were applicable in this transformation, providing the corresponding products in relatively low yields (3n). Notably, a gram-scale synthesis was carried out, further indicating the practicality of this protocol (3i).

Next, the substrate scope of benzothiophenes was investigated (Scheme 4). We were pleased that both electron-





^aReaction conditions: **1i** (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.4 mmol), $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ (5 mol %), AgNTf₂ (20 mol %), Ag₂O (4.0 equiv), and 1-AdCOOH (1.0 equiv) in HFIP (1.0 mL) at 90 °C for 24 h under N₂.

donating and -withdrawing substituents were well tolerated, giving the corresponding products in moderate to good yield (4a-d). Functional groups including butoxy, bromo, and chloro were all compatible, which offered opportunities for further transformations (4a, 4c-f). However, a significantly diminished yield was observed when 4-bromo benzothiophene was attempted, probably owing to the high steric hindrance (4f). Regrettably, other heteroarenes such as benzofuran (2h), *N*-methylindole (2i), 2-chlorothiophene (2j), and 2-methylfuran (2k) failed to undergo this annulation.

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, the deuteriumlabeling experiments of phenylglyoxylic acid 1a and benzothiophene 2a were conducted, respectively (Scheme 5). A significant H/D scrambling was observed for phenylglyoxylic acid 1a when 1a was treated with D₂O (20.0 equiv) in the absence of 2a (11% D) (Scheme 5a). Similarly, the exposure of benzo[b]thiophene 2a alone to the catalytic conditions with D₂O led to 20% deuterium incorporation at the α -position, whereas no deuterium exchange was found at the β -position (Scheme 5b). These results indicate that the C–H activation processes of both substrates were reversible. Scheme 5. Mechanistic Experiments



Additionally, kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) experiments for both coupling partners were carried out. The parallel reactions of 2a with 1a or $[D_5]$ -1a were carried out under the standard conditions, giving a KIE value of 1.00 (Scheme 5c). Similarly, two parallel reactions between 1a and $2a/2-[D_1]-2a$ did not give a significant KIE value ($k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 1.33) (Scheme 5d). Parallel experiments of 1a with $2a/3-[D_1]-2a$ provided a similar result $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 1.16)$ (Scheme 5e). These results revealed that the C-H cleavages might not be involved in the turnoverlimiting step.¹² In addition, treatment of 1a with 1.0 equiv of Ag₂O in HFIP for 3 h gave benzoic acid in a 12% yield (Scheme 5f). This result suggested that phenylglyoxylic acids could convert into the corresponding benzoic acids in the presence of Ag₂O by decarboxylation. Furthermore, the smooth transformation of 2-(benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)benzoic acid 5a into the desired product 3a under the present catalytic system indicated that 5a might be the intermediate of this reaction (Scheme 5g).

Next, several well-known radical inhibitors such as TEMPO, 2,6-ditert-butyl-pcresol (BHT), and ascorbic acid were added into the reaction of 1a with 2a (see Table S2 in Supporting Information). It was found that these radical inhibitors could significantly suppress the reaction and no product 3a was detected in the presence of 1.0 equiv of the radical inhibitors, thus indicating that a radical process may be involved in this reaction.

Based on the above experimental results and previous reports, $^{13-15}$ a plausible mechanism for this oxidative

annulation is shown in Scheme 6. Initially, the reaction of $[\rm{IrCp*Cl}_2]_2$ with AgNTf2 affords a cationic iridium species,

Scheme 6. Plausible Mechanism



which then coordinates to the carbonyl group of α -keto acid **1a** and induces the ortho C-H cleavage to deliver a cyclometalated Ir(III) intermediate IM1.¹⁶ Following C-H activation occurring at the α -position of 2a leads to IM2, which subsequently undergoes a reductive elimination to afford IM3 and release the Ir(I) species. In the presence of Ag₂O, the bi(hetero)aryl α -keto acid **IM3** would undergo decarboxylation to give an acyl radical species IM4, which then undergoes another Ag-mediated oxidation to give the cationic species IM5. Following nucleophilic attack by H₂O gives the bi(hetero)aryl carboxylic acid 5a.17 Next, C-H iridation at the β -position of the thiophene moiety of 5a with Ir(III) delivers IM6, which then undergoes a reductive elimination to provide the desired product 3a with the release of an Ir(I)species. Finally, the Ir(I) species is oxidized by Ag₂O to regenerate the reactive Ir(III) species to complete the catalytic cycle (path A). Alternatively, similar to the transformation process from IM3 to 5a, the α -keto acid 1a could first undergo a decarboxylation to deliver the benzoic acid. Then carbonyldirected C-H activation at the ortho position of the benzoic acid gives IM7, which subsequently reacts with 2a to afford an Ir(III) intermediate IM8. The following reductive elimination of IM8 and intramolecular oxidative C-H lactonization provide the desired product 3a (path B). Because the carboxylic acid substrates showed lower efficiencies than the corresponding α -keto carboxylic acids, this reaction might mainly proceed via path A. However, the possibility of path B could not be excluded at the current stage.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated an Ir(III)-catalyzed oxidative annulation of (hetero)aromatic α -keto carboxylic acids with benzothiophenes for the construction of benzo-thieno[3,2-c][2]benzopyranones via triple C–H activation and C–C/C–O formations in one step. This reaction features a relatively broad substrate scope, good functional group tolerance, good regioselectivities, mild reaction conditions,

and scale-up synthesis. The present protocol is expected to provide opportunities for the exploration of novel optoelectronic materials based on dibenzopyranone structures. Further investigations on the reaction mechanism and extension of the substrate scope are ongoing in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b01033.

Experimental procedures and spectroscopic characterization data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yangyudong@scu.edu.cn.

ORCID

Zhigang Wang: 0000-0001-8906-5780 Yudong Yang: 0000-0002-7142-2249

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the National NSF of China (No. 21502123), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2016SCU04A11), and the Comprehensive Training Platform of Specialized Laboratory, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University.

REFERENCES

(1) Garazd, Y. L.; Garazd, M. M. Chem. Nat. Compd. 2016, 52, 1.

(2) (a) Wang, Y.; Qiu, Z.; Zhou, B.; Liu, C.; Ruan, J.; Yan, Q.; Liao, J.; Zhu, F. *Toxicol. In Vitro* 2015, 29, 1107. (b) Kim, N.; Sohn, M.-J.; Koshino, H.; Kim, E.-H.; Kim, W.-G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 24, 83. (c) James, C. A.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4080. (d) Ku, Y.-Y.; Grieme, T.; Raje, P.; Sharma, P.; King, S. A.; Morton, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4282. (e) Ishiguro, K.; Yamaki, M.; Kashihara, M.; Takagi, S.; Isoi, K. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 1010. (f) Chang, H. M.; Cheng, K. P.; Choang, T. F.; Chow, H. F.; Chui, K. Y.; Hon, P. M.; Tan, F. W. L.; Yang, Y.; Zhong, Z. P.; Lee, C. M.; Sham, H. L.; Chan, C. F.; Cui, Y. X.; Wong, H. N. C. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3537.

(3) (a) Hara, K.; Tachibana, Y.; Ohga, Y.; Shinpo, A.; Suga, S.; Sayama, K.; Sugihara, H.; Arakawa, H. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2003**, 77, 89. (b) You, J.; Dou, L.; Yoshimura, K.; Kato, T.; Ohya, K.; Moriarty, T.; Emery, K.; Chen, C.-C.; Gao, J.; Li, G.; Yang, Y. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 1446.

(4) Mehta, G.; Pandey, P. N. Synthesis 1975, 1975, 404.

(5) Zhang, Z.; Gao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Xie, H.; Li, H.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5492.

(6) Harayama, T.; Yasuda, H. Heterocycles 1997, 46, 61.

(7) (a) Singha, R.; Roy, S.; Nandi, S.; Ray, P.; Ray, J. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, 54, 657. (b) Carlson, E. J.; Riel, A. M. S.; Dahl, B. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, 53, 6245.

(8) (a) Zhang, S.; Li, L.; Wang, H.; Li, Q.; Liu, W.; Xu, K.; Zeng, C. Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 252. (b) Wang, X.; Gallardo-Donaire, J.; Martin, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 11084. (c) Li, Y.; Ding, Y.-J.; Wang, J.-Y.; Su, Y.-M.; Wang, X.-S. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 2574. (d) Thasana, N.; Worayuthakarn, R.; Kradanrat, P.; Hohn, E.; Young, L.; Ruchirawat, S. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 9379.

(9) (a) Luo, S.; Luo, F.-X.; Zhang, X.-S.; Shi, Z.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10598. (b) Inamoto, K.; Kadokawa, J.; Kondo, Y. Org.

Organic Letters

Lett. 2013, 15, 3962. (c) Lee, T.-H.; Jayakumar, J.; Cheng, C.-H.; Chuang, S.-C. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11797.

(10) (a) Yang, Y.; Lan, J.; You, J. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8787.
(b) Ran, Y.; Yang, Y.; You, H.; You, J. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1796.
(c) Wang, Y.; Gu, J.-Y.; Shi, Z.-J. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1326.
(d) Thirunavukkarasu, V. S.; Cheng, C.-H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14723. (e) Qin, X.; Li, X.; Huang, Q.; Liu, H.; Wu, D.; Guo, Q.; Lan, J.; Wang, R.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7167. (f) Huang, Y.; Wu, D.; Huang, J.; Guo, Q.; Li, J.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12158. (g) Karthikeyan, J.; Cheng, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9880.

(11) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315.

(12) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3066.

(13) (a) Colletto, C.; Islam, S.; Juliá-Hernández, F.; Larrosa, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 1677. (b) Xi, P.; Yang, F.; Qin, S.; Zhao, D.; Lan, J.; Gao, G.; Hu, C.; You, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 1822.

(14) (a) Wei, Y.; Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8864. (b) Perry, G. J. P.; Larrosa, I. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 3517. (c) Shi, G.; Zhang, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1419. (d) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788.

(15) (a) Tan, H.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1904. (b) Zhang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3817.

(16) Qin, X.; Sun, D.; You, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Lan, J.; You, J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1762.

(17) (a) Bogonda, G.; Kim, H.; Oh, K. Direct Acyl Radical Addition to 2H-Indazoles Using Ag-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling of α -Keto Acids. Org. Lett. [Online early access] DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b00920. Published Online: April 19, 2018. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021%2Facs.orglett.8b00920 (accessed April 24, 2018). (b) Yang, H.; Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 52986. (c) Wang, H.; Zhou, S.-L.; Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 630.