

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 2729-2732

## Synthesis and Anticancer Evaluation of Vitamin K<sub>3</sub> Analogues

Chinpiao Chen,<sup>a,\*</sup> Yi-Zhong Liu,<sup>a</sup> Kak-Shan Shia<sup>b</sup> and Huan-Yi Tseng<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Dong Hwa University, Shoufeng, Hualien 974, Taiwan, ROC <sup>b</sup>Division of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research, National Health Research Insitutes, 1-F, 103, Lane 169, Kang Ning St., His Chih Cheng, Taipei Hsien 221, Taiwan, ROC

Received 8 May 2002; accepted 25 June 2002

Abstract—Novel vitamin K<sub>3</sub> analogues were synthesized and evaluated for their anticancer activity. Compound 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, and  $(\pm)15$  demonstrated a strong inhibitory activity against the tumor cells of A-549, Hep G2, MCF7, MES-SA, MES-SA/Dx5, MKN45, SW-480, and TW-039. Compound  $(\pm)15$  displayed potent tumor cell cytotoxicity, and compound 14 selectively affected MCF7, even though it did not influence normal cells Detroit551 and WI-38. Compound  $(\pm)15$  inhibited MES-SA and MES-SA/Dx5, Dx5, and this specific result shows that compound  $(\pm)15$  may become a good anticancer drug candidate. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Many clinically successful anticancer drugs are either natural products or have been developed from naturally occurring lead compounds.<sup>1</sup> Vitamin K<sub>3</sub> **1** (menadione, 2-methyl[1,4]naphthoquinoline) is currently attracting much attention because of its interesting pharmacological activities.<sup>2–14</sup> Vitamin K<sub>3</sub> has been demonstrated to exhibit inhibit growth and induce cell death in many types of cells both in vitro<sup>15–19</sup> and in vivo.<sup>20,21</sup> Many researchers have demonstrated that vitamin K<sub>3</sub> induced cell death is associated with apoptosis<sup>22–24</sup> and over expression of the c-*myc* gene,<sup>24</sup> which is considered to be closely related to apoptosis.<sup>25–27</sup>

The mechanism by which vitamin  $K_3$  inhibits growth and kills cells is not well understood. Oxidative stress is considered to be a mechanism of action of quinoid compounds such as vitamin  $K_3$ , since toxic oxygen species can be generated during redox cycling which involves the quinoid structures.<sup>28–31</sup> Another possible mechanism that determines the toxicity of vitamin  $K_3$ and related quinines is the direct arylation of cellar thiols, leading to the depletion of glutathione and the inhibition of sulfhydryl-dependent proteins.<sup>32–35</sup>

Nishikawa et al. studies of growth inhibition of hepatoma cells induced by vitamin K and its analogues<sup>9</sup> have demonstrated that a 50% growth inhibitory dose of Hep3B cells (Table 1), with compounds as shown in Figure 1. Growth inhibitory activity declined as the length of the side-chain increases. The presence of a sulfur or an oxygen atom at the beginning of the aliphatic side-chain facilitated the growth inhibiting effect of the compounds, as evident when comparing 2 (all-carbon) with 3, 4, 5 (thioether) or with 6 (thioethanol) or with 7, 8 (*O*-ether). The thioethanol derivative 6 was the strongest analogue of all the compounds considered in these studies. According to these studies and the structure–activity relationships, thioether with a hydroxyl group must be involved in this series of analogues. This study describes the synthesis and biological evaluation of new vitamin  $K_3$  analogues.

The compounds were prepared following the method of Borovkov,<sup>36</sup> that employed vitamin  $K_3$  as starting material (Scheme 1).<sup>41</sup> The National Health Research Institute, Division of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Research in Taiwan tested in vitro cytotoxicitiy against eight human tumor cell lines and two normal human cell lines (Table 2).<sup>19,37</sup>

The 2-hydroxyethylthio group on 2-(2-hydroxyethylthio)-3-methyl[1,4]naphthoquinone **6** was investigated with respect to the structure–activity relationships. The carbon chain was extended from a two-methylene chain to three-, four-, six- and 11-methylene chains, but the cytotoxic assays revealed that two-, three-, and fourmethylene chains exhibit similar cytotoxic potency. Carbon chains longer than a six-methylene chain (**11** 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +886-3-8663875; fax: +886-3-866-3875; e-mail: chinpiao@mail.ndhu.edu.tw

<sup>0960-894</sup>X/02/\$ - see front matter  $\odot$  2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. P11: S0960-894X(02)00532-2

Table 1. The growth inhibitory activity is shown as 50% growth inhibitory dose  $(\mu M)$  for Hep3B cells

Compd	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ID <sub>50</sub> (µM)	10	78	14	15	100	5.6	55	140



Figure 1.



**10**:  $R = (CH_2)_4OH$  **11**:  $R = (CH_2)_6OH$  **12**:  $R = (CH_2)_1OH$  **13**:  $R = (CH_2)_2CO_2H$  **14**:  $R = CH(CH_3)CH(OH)CH_3$ (±)-**15**:  $R = CH_2CH(OH)CH_3$ (±)-**16**:  $R = CH_2CH(OH)CH_2OH$ **17**:  $R = 4-C_6H_4OH$ 

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: RSH,  $CuSO_45H_2O,$  ethanol, rt, 30–50%.

and 12) do not exhibit cytotoxic activity. Compound 17, but with a phenyl group, also do not exhibit cytotoxic activity. Consequently the binding affinity may be influenced by the steric hindrance in that region. Two hydroxyl groups and a carboxylic group were put on compounds  $(\pm)16$  and 13 to enhance the hydrophilic effect but they do not exhibit cytotoxic activity. Surprisingly, compound  $(\pm)15$  exhibits strong cytotoxic activity in relation to most tumor cell lines, except that of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (IC50 12.52 µM) toward which it is a little less potent. Comparing compound 6 with compound  $(\pm)15$  reveals a methyl group that was extended next to the hydroxyl group on compound  $(\pm)$ 15. This fine-tuning of the structure may reveal the highly specific selectivity of the receptor. Compound 11 selectively and effectively inhibited MCF7 (breast adenocarcinoma cell line), and did not influence Detroit551 (embryonic skin normal fibroblast) and WI-38 (normal lung fibroblast). Drug resistance to a broad spectrum of chemotherpeutic agents is a major obstacle in the clinical treatment of human cancer.<sup>38–40</sup> Compound  $(\pm)$ 15 inhibited MES-SA (a human uterine sarcoma cell line) and MES-SA/Dx5 [a human MDR (multi-drug resistance) uterine sarcoma cell line]. This specific result shows that compound  $(\pm)$ 15 may become a good anticancer drug.

In summary, we have synthesized 2-(*n*-hydroxyalkylthio)-3-methyl[1,4]naphthoquinones (6, 9, 10, 11, 14,  $(\pm)$ 15). These compounds demonstrated a strong growth inhibitory activity against tumor cell lines. Among them,  $(\pm)$ 15 is the most potent with a mean IC<sub>50</sub> 5.10 µM. Synthesis and evaluation other types of heterocyclic analogues of  $(\pm)$ 15 are currently under investigation.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financially

Table 2.  $IC_{50}(\mu M)^a$  values of tumor cell lines after 72 h continuous exposure to test compounds 6, 9–17

Tumor type/cell line	6	9	10	11	14	(±) <b>15</b>
A-549 <sup>b</sup>	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	> 10	$4.74 \pm 0.12$
Hep G2 <sup>c</sup>	$8.40 \pm 2.72$	$9.53 \pm 0.15$	>10	>10	>10	$5.59 \pm 0.53$
MCF7 <sup>d</sup>	$5.19 \pm 0.26$	$5.16 \pm 0.28$	$5.78 \pm 0.15$	$9.28 \pm 3.32$	>10	$4.61 \pm 0.22$
MES-SA <sup>e</sup>	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	$7.11 \pm 0.67$
MES-SA/Dx5 <sup>f</sup>	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	$5.10 \pm 0.31$
MKN45 <sup>g</sup>	$5.16 \pm 0.27$	$6.40 \pm 0.47$	$6.78 \pm 0.55$	>10	$5.64 \pm 0.09$	$3.92 \pm 0.30$
SW-480 <sup>h</sup>	$5.14 \pm 0.09$	$4.85 \pm 0.08$	$4.51 \pm 0.10$	>10	$5.53 \pm 0.02$	$5.19 \pm 0.27$
TW-039 <sup>i</sup>	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10	>10
Detroit551 <sup>j</sup>	$8.17 \pm 1.31$	$5.26 \pm 0.57$	$7.57 \pm 0.57$	>10	>10	$4.61 \pm 0.24$
WI-38 <sup>k</sup>	$7.37 \pm 0.09$	>10	>10	>10	>10	$5.06 \pm 0.24$

<sup>a</sup>IC<sub>50</sub> is the concentration that induces 50% growth inhibition compared with untreated control cells.

<sup>b</sup>Human lung carcinoma cell line.

<sup>d</sup>Mammary gland breast adenocarcinoma cell line.

<sup>e</sup>Human uterine sarcoma cell line.

<sup>f</sup>Human multidrug resistance uterine sarcoma cell line.

<sup>g</sup>Human stomach adenocarcinoma cell line.

<sup>h</sup>Human colon adenocarcinoma cell line.

<sup>i</sup>Human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line.

<sup>j</sup>Normal human embryonic skin fibroblast cell line.

<sup>k</sup>Normal human lung fibroblast cell line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Human liver hepatoblastoma cell line.

supporting this research under Contract No. NSC 89–2113-M-320–004.

## **References and Notes**

1. Pouget, C.; Lauthier, F.; Simon, A.; Fagnere, C.; Basly, J.-P.; Delage, C.; Chulia, A.-J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 3095.

2. Chiou, T. J.; Chou, Y. T.; Tzeng, W. F. Proc. Natl. Sci. Council, Rep. of China B 1998, 22, 13.

- 3. Gilloteaux, J.; Jamison, J. M.; Arnold, D.; Ervin, E.; Eckroat, L.; Docherty, J. J.; Neal, D.; Summers, J. L. *Scanning* **1998**, *20*, 564.
- 4. Gilloteaux, J.; Jamison, J. M.; Arnold, D.; Taper, H. S.; Summers, J. L. Ultrastruct. Pathol. 2001, 25, 183.
- 5. Hu, O. Y.; Wu, C. Y.; Chan, W. K.; Wu, F. Y.; Whang-Peng, J. *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* **1996**, *17*, 493.
- 6. Jamison, M.; Gilloteaux, J.; Venugopal, M.; Koch, J. A.;
- Sowick, C.; Shah, R.; Summers, J. L. Tissue Cell 1996, 28, 687.
- 7. Liao, W. C.; Wu, F. Y.; Wu, C. W. Int. J. Oncol. 2000, 17, 323.
- 8. Nath, K. A.; Ngo, E. O.; Hebbel, R. P.; Croatt, A. J.; Zhou, B.; Nutter, L. M. Am. J. Physiol. **1995**, 268, C227.
- 9. Nishikawa, Y.; Carr, B. I.; Wang, M.; Kar, S.; Finn, F.; Dowd, P.; Zheng, Z. B.; Kerns, J.; Naganathan, S. J. Biol. Chem. **1995**, 270, 28304.
- 10. Okayasu, H.; Ishihara, M.; Satoh, K.; Sakagami, H. Anticancer Res. 2001, 21, 2387.
- 11. Osada, S.; Saji, S.; Osada, K. Cancer 2001, 91, 1156.
- 12. Venugopal, M.; Jamison, J. M.; Gilloteaux, J.; Koch, J. A.;
- Summers, M.; Giammar, D.; Sowick, C.; Summers, J. L. Life Sci. 1996, 59, 1389.
- 13. Wang, Z.; Wang, M.; Finn, F.; Carr, B. I. *Hepatology* 1995, 22, 876.
- 14. Wu, F. Y.; Sun, T. P. Eur. J. Cancer 1999, 35, 1388.
- 15. Juan, C. C.; Wu, F. Y. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1993, 190, 907.
- 16. Ngo, E. O.; Sun, T. P.; Chang, J. Y.; Wang, C. C.; Chi,
- K. H.; Cheng, A. L.; Nutter, L. M. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1991, 42, 1961.
- 17. Noto, V.; Taper, H. S.; Jiang, Y. H.; Janssens, J.; Bonte, J.; De Loecker, W. *Cancer* **1989**, *63*, 901.
- 18. Prasad, K. N.; Edwards-Prasad, J.; Sakamoto, A. Life Sci. 1981, 29, 1387.
- 19. Wu, F. Y.; Liao, W. C.; Chang, H. M. Life Sci. 1993, 52, 1797.
- 20. Chlebowski, R. T.; Dietrich, M.; Akman, S.; Block, J. B. *Cancer Treat. Rep.* **1985**, *69*, 527.
- 21. Gold, J. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1986, 70, 1433.
- 22. Hockenbery, D. M.; Oltvai, Z. N.; Yin, X. M.; Milliman,
- C. L.; Korsmeyer, S. J. Cell 1993, 75, 241.
- 23. McConkey, D. J.; Hartzell, P.; Nicotera, P.; Wyllie, A. H.; Orrenius, S. *Toxicol. Lett.* **1988**, *42*, 123.
- 24. Wu, F. Y.; Chang, N. T.; Chen, W. J.; Juan, C. C. Oncogene 1993, 8, 2237.
- 25. Amati, B.; Littlewood, T. D.; Evan, G. I.; Land, H. *EMBO J.* **1993**, *12*, 5083.
- 26. Evan, G. I.; Wyllie, A. H.; Gilbert, C. S.; Littlewood, T. D.; Land, H.; Brooks, M.; Waters, C. M.; Penn, L. Z.; Hancock, D. C. *Cell* **1992**, *69*, 119.
- 27. Shi, Y.; Glynn, J. M.; Guilbert, L. J.; Cotter, T. G.; Bissonnette, R. P.; Green, D. R. Science **1992**, 257, 212.
- 28. Brown, P. C.; Dulik, D. M.; Jones, T. W. Arch. Biochem.
- Biophys. 1991, 285, 187.
- 29. Nutter, L. M.; Ngo, E. O.; Fisher, G. R.; Gutierrez, P. L. J. Biol. Chem. **1992**, 267, 2474.
- 30. Ross, D.; Thor, H.; Threadgill, M. D.; Sandy, M. S.; Smith, M. T.; Moldeus, P.; Orrenius, S. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1986**, *248*, 460.

- 31. Thor, H.; Smith, M. T.; Hartzell, P.; Bellomo, G.; Jewell, S. A.; Orrenius, S. J. Biol. Chem. **1982**, 257, 12419.
- 32. Gant, T. W.; Rao, D. N.; Mason, R. P.; Cohen, G. M. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 1988, 65, 157.
- 33. Ross, D.; Thor, H.; Orrenius, S.; Moldeus, P. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 1985, 55, 177.
- 34. Rossi, L.; Moore, G. A.; Orrenius, S.; O'Brien, P. J. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1986, 251, 25.
- 35. Wilson, I.; Wardman, P.; Lin, T.-S.; Sartorelli, A. C. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 1987, 61, 229.
- 36. Borovkov, V. V.; Filippovich, E. I.; Evstigneeva, R. P. Chem. Heterocyclic Compd. 1988, 494.
- 37. Paull, K. D.; Shoemaker, R. H.; Hodes, L.; Monks, A.; Scudiero, D. A.; Rubinstein, L.; Plowman, J.; Boyd, M. R. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. **1989**, 81, 1088.
- 38. Juliano, R. L.; Ling, V. A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Bioenerg. 1976, 455, 152.
- 39. Goldie, J. H.; Coldman, A. J. *Cancer Treat. Rep.* **1983**, *67*, 923.
- 40. Roninson, I. B.; Abelson, H. T.; Housman, D. E.; Howell, N.; Varshavsky, A. *Nature* **1984**, *309*, 626.
- 41. A typical synthesis of 6 is as follows: 2-Mercapto-ethanol (1.5 mL, 21.4 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-methyl[1,4]naphthoquinone 1 (1 g, 5.8 mmol) in a mixture of methanol (100 mL) and 2-propanol (80 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24h at room temperature, poured into 300 mL of water, and extracted using ether  $(3 \times 300 \text{ mL})$ . The extract was washed with a 10% CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution, then water  $(3 \times 300 \text{ mL})$ , and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed by evaporation to leave a brown residue. Purification by flash chromatography, using 30% ethyl acetate/hexanes to elute, yielded 0.7 g of pure product of 2-(2-hydroxy-ethylthio)-3-methyl[1,4]naphthaquinone 6. Yield 47%; mp 79-80°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ2.42 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.36 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$ ), 3.81 (t, 2H,  $J = 5.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$ ), 7.71–7.75 (d, 2H, J = 2.5 Hz, ArH), 8.07–8.11 (d, 2H, J = 2.6 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ15.6, 37.4, 62.0, 126.7, 126.9, 132.0, 132.6, 133.5, 133.9, 145.7, 148.3, 181.6, 182.3. IR (KBr) 3550, 3066, 2941, 2883, 1871, 1768, 1657, 791 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 249 (M<sup>+</sup>+H). FABHRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S  $(M^+ + H)$  249.0586, found 249.0590. The same synthetic procedures were adopted for the preparation of 9-17. 9: Yield 38%; mp 49-50°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ1.90 (t, 2H, J=6.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.31 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$ ), 3.81 (t, 2H,  $J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$ ), 7.80–7.83 (d, 2H, J = 3.6 Hz, ArH), 8.05–8.12 (d, 2H, J = 2.7 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ15.4, 30.8, 33.0, 61.0, 126.6, 126.8, 132.1, 132.9, 133.4, 133.8, 146.6, 147.2, 181.4, 182.2. IR (KBr) 3298, 3184, 2947, 1984, 1957, 1716, 1652, 754 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 263 (M<sup>+</sup>+H). FABHRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+H) 263.0743, found 263.0750. 10: Yield 45%. Mp 75-76°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ1.64–1.72 (m, 4H, J=3.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.25 (t, 2H, J=6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.67 (t, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.61–7.73 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, ArH), 8.04–8.10 (d, 2H, J = 3.0 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ15.3, 27.1, 31.6, 34.1, 62.2, 126.6, 126.8, 132.2, 132.9, 133.4, 133.7, 146.8, 146.8, 181.5, 182.2. IR (KBr) 3348, 3265, 3037, 3010, 2933, 2881, 2850, 1992, 1969, 1716, 1662, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 277 (M<sup>+</sup> + H). FABHRMS calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}O_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup>+H) 277.0899, found 277.0903. 11: Yield 48%; mp 69-70°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 1.29–1.69 (m, 8H, J=6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.21 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 (t, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.68–7.71 (d, 2H, J=4.5 Hz, ArH), 8.03–8.11 (d, 2H, J = 5.1 Hz, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 15.3, 25.3, 28.4, 30.6, 32.6, 34.3, 62.9, 126.6, 126.8, 132.1, 133.4, 133.7, 146.7, 147.1, 181.4, 182.3. IR (KBr) 3388, 3326, 3091, 2997, 2931, 2864, 1994, 1965, 1716, 1664, 789 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z305 (M<sup>+</sup>+H). FABHRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{21}O_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup>+H)

305.1212, found 305.1214. 12: Yield 8%; mp 71-72°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ1.48–1.70 (m, 18H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.36 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.21 (t, 2H, J=7.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.64 (t, 2H, J=6.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.69–7.72 (d, 2H, J=2.1 Hz, ArH), 8.06–8.11 (d, 2H, J=2.4 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 15.3, 15.3, 25.7, 28.8, 29.2, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 30.2, 32.8, 33.3, 63.1, 126.6, 126.8, 132.1, 132.8, 133.3, 133.6, 146.6, 147.3, 181.4, 182.3. IR (KBr) 3552, 3072, 2918, 2848, 1867, 1734, 1662, 795 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 375 (M<sup>+</sup> + H). FABHRMS calcd for 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.78 (t, 2H, J=7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.43 (t, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.71–7.82 (d, 2H, J = 3.0 Hz, ArH), 8.08–8.13 (d, 2H, J=2.1 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 15.4, 29.4. 35.2, 126.7, 126.9, 132.1, 132.9, 133.4, 133.8, 146.7, 147.2, 181.4, 182.2, 218.1. IR (KBr) 3028, 2914, 1967, 1869, 1826, 1714, 1662, 1589, 1562, 775 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 277 (M<sup>+</sup> + H). FABHRMS calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}O_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup> + H) 277.0899, found 277.0898. 14 (a mixture of isomers): Yield 11%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300.1 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.21 - 1.27 \text{ (m, 6H, } J = 6.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_3\text{)}, 2.44$ (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.84-3.90 (m, 2H, J=3.0 Hz, CH), 7.70-7.75 (d, 2H, J=1.7 Hz, ArH), 7.08–7.10 (d, 2H, J=2.8 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 814.2, 15.6, 15.8, 50.7, 69.9, 126.7, 127.0, 132.1, 132.7, 133.6, 133.9, 145.7, 148.4, 181.6, 182.3. IR (KBr) 2993, 2360, 2341, 1770, 1758, 1660, 844 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 277 (M<sup>+</sup>+H). FABHRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S  $(M^+ + H)$  277.0899, found 277.0897.  $(\pm)$ 15: Yield 43%; mp 39-40°C. <sup>1</sup>H NHR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ1.27 (d, 3H,  $J = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_3), 2.41 \text{ (s, 3H, CH}_3), 3.03 - 3.10 \text{ (q, 1H,}$ 

 $J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$ ), 3.34–3.40 (dd, 1H,  $J_1 = 12.0, 3.0 \text{ Hz CH}_2$ ), 3.89 (m, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz, CH), 7.69–7.72 (d, 2H, J = 1.9 Hz, ArH), 8.06–8.10 (d, 2H, J=2.7 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ15.6, 22.2, 29.7, 67.3, 126.7, 127.0, 132.0, 132.6, 133.5, 133.8, 146.0, 148.2, 181.6, 182.3. IR (KBr) 3489, 3410, 3074, 2962, 2925, 2359, 1662, 1589, 746 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 263 (M<sup>+</sup>+H). FABHRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S  $(M^+ + H)$  263.0743, found 263.0739.  $(\pm)$ 16: Yield 73%; mp 117-118°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ2.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.73 (dd, 1H, J=12.0, 1.8 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.65 (dd, 1H, J=12.0, 1.8 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.40 (dd, 1H, J=5.7, 1.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.57 (dd, 1H, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19 (q, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz, CH), 7.50–7.67 (m, 3H, J = 6.0 Hz, ArH), 8.08 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 0.9 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ12.1, 32.3, 69.1, 74.6, 126.4, 126.5, 129.5, 129.9, 131.2, 132.5, 138.6, 147.4, 180.2. IR (KBr) 3280, 3074, 2956, 2893, 1979, 1954, 1716, 1649, 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z 261 (M<sup>+</sup> + H- 18). FABHRMS calcd for  $C_{14}H_{13}O_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup> + H- 18) 261.0586, found 261.0578. 17: Yield 65%; mp 180–181 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta 2.35$  (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.78–6.81 (d, 2H, J = 3.0 Hz, ArH), 7.35– 7.38 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, ArH), 7.69–7.71 (d, 2H, J = 3.0 Hz, ArH), 8.00-8.01 (d, 2H, J=0.3 Hz, ArH), 8.10-8.12 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz, ArH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 15.7, 116.1, 116.4, 124.2, 126.7, 127.0, 128.0, 132.0, 132.3, 132.9, 133.8, 155.6, 156.0, 181.0, 183.2. IR (KBr) 3319, 3062, 3041, 3012, 2921, 2854, 1990, 1961, 1716, 1662, 786 cm<sup>-1</sup>. FABMS m/z296 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). FABHRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{12}O_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup>+H) 296.0508, found 296.0498.