



Accepted Article

Title: UV Assisted High-efficient Synthesis of α-Ketoamides Using Air Promoted by A Non-metal Catalyst in Aqueous Solution

Authors: Jianhui Li, Shaopo He, Kuan Zhang, Ziyi Quan, Qiheng Shan, Zhongliang Sun, and Bo Wang

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: ChemCatChem 10.1002/cctc.201801365

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201801365



WILEY-VCH

www.chemcatchem.org

UV Assisted High-efficient Synthesis of α-Ketoamides Using Air Promoted by A Non-metal Catalyst in Aqueous Solution

Jianhui Li,^[a]† Shaopo He,^[a]† Kuan Zhang,^[a]† Ziyi Quan,^[a] Qiheng Shan,^[a] Zhongliang Sun,^[a] and Bo Wang^{*[a,b,c]}

Dedication ((optional))

Abstract: Presented here is the first example of UV ($\lambda = 210$ nm) promoted procedure proceeding in aqueous media at r.t. using ambient air as the oxidant for efficient synthesis of an array of α -ketoamides of all types using a non-metal catalyst N-iodosuccinimide with a loading of 20mol%. With UV, oxygen in the air was efficiently utilized as the green oxidant, some control experiments were carried out and a plausible mechanism was proposed, disclosing that in aqueous solution, the oxidation process was actually triggered by dioxygen radical anion (O₂-⁻), while not molecular oxygen. A variety of secondary amines and primary amines as well as ammonia were employed as the amine moieties, and the desired product primary-, secondary-, and tertiary α -ketoamides were afforded in good to excellent yields of up to 96%.

Introduction

α-Ketoamides and their related derivatives are a class of key structural skeletal scaffold in a plethora of natural products, as well as biologically relevant molecules useful precursors for functional group transformations.^{1,2} Because of their wide applications, the development of practical approaches for synthesizing α-ketoamides has recently attracted considerable attentions, and there have been numerous methods developed for the synthesis of α-ketoamides starting from varied substrates, for instance, ethylarenes,³ terminal alkenes,⁴ terminal alkynes,⁵ aryl methyl ketones,⁶ aryl acetaldehydes,⁷ 2-oxo aldehydes,⁸ 1-arylethanols,⁹ 2-arylethanols,¹⁰ 2-oxo alcohols,¹¹ and *etc.* The most important factors in successful α-ketoamide synthesis are the oxidants and catalysts, including metal¹² and non-metal ones (**Figure 1**).¹³ For those procedures with metal catalysts, harsh reaction conditions are usually required, and for those using

[a]	Mr. J. Li, Mr. S. He, Mr. K. Zhang, Mis Z. Quan, Mr. Q. Shan, Prof.
	Z. Sun, Prof. Dr. B. Wang
	Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials of Tropical Island Resources
	(Hainan University), Ministry of Education
	Hainan University
	No.58 Renmin AVE. Haikou 570228, P.R. China.
	E-mail: wangbo@hainu.edu.cn, bo.wang@hainu.edu.cn (Prof. B.
	Wang)
[b]	State Key Lab of Marine Resource Utilizations in South China Sea,
	Hainan University
	No.58 Renmin AVE. Haikou 570228, P.R. China.
[c]	Institute of Chemical Engineering, College of Materials and
	Chemical Engineering
	Hainan University
	No.58 Renmin AVE. Haikou 570228, P.R. China.
+	These authors made equal contribution to this work

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

non-metal catalysts, in most conditions, large excess of oxidant were needed, and high costs and environmental problems are therefore associated when using metal catalysts or non-metal ones requiring large excess of chemical oxidants, for instance *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP).

Molecular oxygen (air contains about 21% oxygen) is an ideal oxidant, and the utilization of ambient air for the construction of valuable oxygen-containing organic compounds is of greater interest over pure oxygen in both academia and industry due to its economic and environmentally benign properties, and also for the consideration of safety problems as the latter needs pressure vessels to transport and high-pressure reactor for reaction process.

metal catalyst promoted reactions



Figure 1. Selected examples of synthetic approaches for α-ketoamides

There have been several recent publications focused on molecular oxygen (air or O₂) oxidized protocols for the preparation of α -ketoamides from varied substrates^{14,15} (**Figure 1**), though great achievements have been made, there still remains some to be solved such as involving metal catalyst, using large excess of chemical oxidants, limited substrate scopes (the majority of the developed methods could only produce one kind of α -ketoamide, tertiary α -ketoamides, some

FULL PAPER

could afford one or two types of α -ketoamides, secondaryor/and tertiary- α -ketoamides. The one applicable for all three types α -ketoamides (primary-, secondary- and tertiary- α ketoamides), especially those proceeding in aqueous solution at mild conditions, has been less studied), the employment of organic solvent, and low product yields.

We have recently published some paper on the synthesis of α -ketoamides starting from different substrates.^{3,13c,16} And as a continuous work on clean synthesis of α -ketoamide and inspired by the formation of ozone in the air with O₂ and UV light from the sun,¹⁸ and the fact that ozone can decompose into O₂ and O₂.⁻ when meeting H₂O,¹⁷ we envisioned an UV-assisted formation of ozone using air and further generation of O₂.⁻ in water, and the application of which for efficient and clean synthesis of α -ketoamides.



R = H, p-NO₂, p-CH₃, p-Cl, p-F, p-Br, m-CH₃, m-CH₃O, m-Br, o-Br, o-CH₃

Scheme 1 UV-assisted aerobic oxidative of methyl ketones and amines or aqueous ammonia

Herein, we present a UV-assisted metal-free aerobic oxidative synthetic protocol for the preparation of an array of α -ketoamides in aqueous media, *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS) was used as the catalyst, both primary- and secondary amines, and as well as ammonia (dissolved in water) were employed as the amine moieties. All reactions were proceeded smoothly well in water at room temperature (**Scheme 1**). To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first example of UV assisted metal-free approach proceeding in water at r.t. using air as the green oxidant to provide α -ketoamides of all types.

Results and Discussion

Initial evaluations for suitable reaction conditions were performed on a 2mL scale employing acetophenone (1a) and morpholine (2a) as the model substrates. Reaction parameters, such as solvent (H₂O, DMSO, ethanol (neat), toluene, and CH₃CN), catalyst (I₂, NIS, KI, and nBu₄NI), oxidant (air, and O₂) and temperatures (r.t., 40°C, and 50°C) were investigated and the results were summarized in Table 1. When reactions were carried out under N_2 (here we use N_2 to avoid the possible contact between air/O2 and substrates to test whether NIS or I2 can be used as the oxidant) firstly in water, both I₂ and NIS were tested. However, the proposed product 3aa was not detected (Entries 3,4, Table 1) during or after reactions, indicating that both I2 and NIS was incapable of oxidizing substrate 1a to form product 3aa, therefore they could not be used as the oxidant. When we replaced N₂ with air or O₂, and ran these reactions in varied organic solvents, to our interested, product 3aa was detected and confirmed though not satisfied results were provided (Entries 11-14, Table 1), it should be noted that when the reaction was carried out in neat organic solvent ethanol (Entry 12, Table 1), only trace of product 3aa were generated, however, when performing reaction in toluene, DMSO, or CH₃CN, poor yields of 25%, 12%, and 19% were provided respectively, it should be noted that these three organic solvents were used as purchased. Continuous work using water instead of organic solvent was performed and evaluated, to our satisfied that when use air as the oxidant, the proposed product 3aa was obtained in the yield of 96%, and with O₂, the yield was 97% (Entry 8,9, Table 1), a slight increase in product yield. For the consideration of meeting more principles of green chemistry and economic problems, air was chosen as the ideal oxidant (though a slight increase in product yield was achieved when using O₂) for further evaluations due to its abundant source and low cost. Higher temperatures were also tested, nevertheless, the respective lower yields of 87%, and 75% were obtained when carried out at 40°C and 50°C (Entry 15,16, Table 1), indicating that a higher temperature would not make positive contributions to product formation. Simply increasing the concentrations of substrates would not bring a better conversion, on the contrary, there was a slight decrease (from 87% to 82%) in the yield of product 3aa (Entry 17,18, Table 1).

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^a



- All	1a	2a		3aa	
Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Solvent	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%)
1	l ₂	O ₂	H ₂ O	r.t.	31 ^b
2	l2	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	25 ^b
3	l ₂	N ₂	H ₂ O	r.t.	n.d.
4	NIS	N ₂	H ₂ O	r.t.	n.d.
5	l ₂	O ₂	H ₂ O	r.t.	85
6	l ₂	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	82
7	nBu₄NI	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	23
8	NIS	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	96
9	NIS	O ₂	H ₂ O	r.t.	97
10	KI	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	27
11	NIS	Air	DMSO	r.t.	12
12	NIS	Air	C_2H_5OH	r.t.	trace
13	NIS	Air	Toluene	r.t.	25
14	NIS	Air	CH₃CN	r.t.	19
15	NIS	Air	H_2O	40	87
16	NIS	Air	H_2O	50	75
17	NIS	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	87°
18	NIS	Air	H ₂ O	r.t.	82 ^d

^aReaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.25 mmol), catalyst (20 mol%), solvent (2 ml), reaction time, 16h. Yield was determined by HPLC analysis. ^bReaction was carried out with no UV. ^c1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (1.25 mmol). ^d1a (1 mmol), 2a (2.5 mmol).

FULL PAPER

WILEY-VCH

With all the optimized conditions in hand, some methyl ketones and secondary amines listed in Table 2 were subjected, and the results were summarized in Table 2. All these reactions were proceeded well and the desired product α -ketoamides were obtained with yields in good to excellent of up to 96%. Results indicated that in most conditions, when aryl methyl ketone bearing an electron-rich substituent(s) on aromatic ring, the corresponding secondary a-ketoamide could be afforded in better yields than those carrying an electron-deficient group(s) (3ca, 3da, 3ga, 3ha, 3ia, 3ja, 3cb, 3bb, Table 2). It seems that steric hinderance could also make negative affections on product yields, more steric hindered amines usually resulted in lower conversions (3aa, 3ca, 3bb, 3bc, 3cb, 3cc, Table 2). Two heterocyclic ketones were also tested to check the generality of this procedure, to our delighted, satisfied results were afforded (3ka, 3la, Table 2), the yields of product 3ka and 3la were 92% and 75%, respectively.

Table 2 Synthesis of tertiary α -ketoamides using secondary amine as the amine moiety^a

Encouraged by efficient synthesis of an array of tertiary αketoamides using secondary amines as the amine moieties, we would like to extend the possible scope of substrate by employing eight primary amines and ammonia. To the best of our knowledge, there has been limited examples for the synthesis of primary- (ammonia as the amine moiety) and secondary (primary amine as the amine moiety) a-ketoamides. For such purpose, some reactions were carried out under the same conditions. As expected, most of the reactions proceeded well and the results were summarized in Table 3. Results indicated that more steric hindered substrates usually resulted in lower product yields (4a-h, Table 3) when using ammonia, similar to those reactions for tertiary a-ketoamides synthesis, and when employ primary amines, in most conditions, similar results were provided as well. The generality of this procedure was also tested by employing two heterocyclic methyl ketones (5g, 5h, Table 3), and the corresponding products were afforded in the yields of 88% and 71%, respectively.

Table 3 Synthesis of secondary/primary α -ketoamides using methyl ketones and primary amines/ammonia^a.



^aConditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.25 mmol), catalyst (20 mol%) and water (2 ml), reaction time, 16h. Yields was determined by HPLC analysis, and the yields in brackets were isolated ones.

3hb ,62%(58%)

publications, 13a, 14a-c, 16 a plausible mechanism was proposed, as shown in **Figure 3**. With UV at λ = 210nm, molecular oxygen in the air was transformed to ozone (O3), which then underwent gradual dissolution in aqueous media, and decomposed immediately upon meeting with hydroxyl anion (OH⁻) to form

FULL PAPER

superoxide radical anion $(O_2 \cdot \cdot)$, see **Figure 2**. Acetophenone **1** was attacked by amine to form the intermediate **3** in water, and the intermedia **3** reacted with NIS molecule to form the intermediate **4**. Then intermediate **5** was formed by the attack of $O_2 \cdot \cdot$ and, then the cleavage of an O-O bond of **5** took place to form aryl glyoxal **6**, which react with amine **2** to give an intermediate **8**. Finally, the intermedia **8** was oxidized with O_2 promoted by NIS to fast form the desired product.



Figure 2. The formation of O2.- assisted by UV in water



Figure 3. The proposed mechanism for UV promoted reactions

To verify the mechanism proposed here, twelve control experiments were carried out using acetophenone/aryl glyoxal and morpholine as the model substrates (**Scheme 2**). When reactions were carried out in the absence of NIS, there was basically no products were generated (**Entries a,b**, Scheme 2), and when with NIS, the yield was boosted to 96%, indicating that NIS was dispensable for successful transformation to the desired product, acting as the catalyst, while not as the oxidant. However, when UV was removed from the reaction system, the yield of product decreased from 96% to 21% (**Entry c**, Scheme 2), while the conversion was about 29%, there was still product formations occurred though there was more by-product generated. It was assumed that even without UV, there reaction could still be feasible, however it seems that they (with or

without UV) were not the same mechanism as the latter provided less products along with more by-products. And then,



Scheme 2 Control experiments

we performed a reaction using ozone (Entry d, Scheme 2) as the oxidant, as expected, an almost identical yield (97%) of product **3aa** were obtained. And next, we used 2,2,6,6-

FULL PAPER

tetramethylpiperidinooxy (TEMPO) to selectively capture the generated O2. during reaction (Entries e,f,g Scheme 2) and misfunctioned it, to our excited, in all reactions with or without UV, or solo using ozone, we did not detect the formations of the desired product, indicating that the actual oxidant was ozone, and also the reaction was triggered by O_2 , while not O_2 , and another fact was that in the presence of NIS, the dissolved O₂ could be converted to $\mathsf{O}_{2^{\star^*}},^{17}$ that is why even with no UV, no formation of O₃, there was still some products generated. And another proof was that, when we tried to conduct the reaction in organic solvent, for instance, dry DMSO, no product formation was observed (Entry i, Scheme 2), however, when use commercial dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as the solvent, a yield of 12% was detected (Entry h, Scheme 2), it was found that there was actually some water dissolved in DMSO with a moisture measuring instrument, proved that water played a vital role in the formation of O_2 . from O_3 , which was well agreed with the fact that O_3 was decomposed to O_2 . when dissolved in water.¹⁶ and the formed $O_{2^{1-2}}$ further react with substrate methyl ketones to form α -ketoamides. When use arvl glyoxal, intermediate **6** in the reaction mechanism, to react with morpholine, a high vield of 95% was detected (Entry k, Scheme 2), which means aryl glyoxal is the intermediate, indeed. These results agreed well with those from the optimization in Table 1.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an efficient metal-free approach to prepare an array of primary-, secondary-, and tertiary a-ketoamides using NIS as the catalyst and ambient air as the oxidant in water. Reactions could be proceeded smoothly well under UV at λ = 210nm at room temperature. Easily commercial obtainable ketones were as the keto moiety, and primary-/secondary- amines or aqueous ammonia are used as the amine moieties. The desired products could be provided in good to excellent yields of up to 96%. Some control experiments were carried out, which disclosed that water played an essential role in successful product formation, and the oxidation was actually initiated by dioxygen radical anion generated in water, while not molecular oxygen. Based on these results, we proposed a plausible mechanism to explain how the desired products formed. This protocol represents the first UV-assisted example with a broad substrate scope using non-metal catalyst and air for efficient and clean synthesis of primary-, secondary-, and tertiary α -ketoamides, and therefore is potential for practical and sustainably industrial applications. Further investigations into the application scope of UV triggered O2. formation, O2. formation in the presence of NIS from O2, and the synthetic applications are undergoing in our lab.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21868011, 21502036), the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1103800), Innovative research team project of the Hainan Natural Science Foundation (2016CXTD006), the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (217041) and Hainan University (kyqd1408) is gratefully acknowledged. **Keywords:** UV • superoxide radical anion • α -ketoamide • air of 1atm • non-metal catalyst

[1] (a) M. Hagihara, S. L. Schreiber, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6570-6571. (b) D. H. Slee, K. L. Laslo, J. H. Elder, I. R. Ollmann, A. Gustchina, J. Kervinen, A. Zdanov, A. Wlodawer, C. H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11867-11878. (c) H. B. Deng, J. K. Jung, T. Liu, K. W. Kuntz, M. L. Snapper, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9032-9034. (d) G. G. Xu, F. A. Etzkorn, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 696-699. (e) Z. Li, A. C. Ortega-Vilain, G. S. Patil, D. L. Chu, J. E. Foreman, D. D. Eveleth, J. C. Powers, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 4089-4098. (f) S. Chatterjee, D. Dunn, M. Tao, G. Wells, Z. Q. Gu, R. Bihovsky, M. A. Ator, R. Mallamo, J. P. Siman, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 2371-2374. (g) M. M. Sheha, N. M. Mahfouz, H. Y. Hassan, A. F. Youssef, T. Mimoto, Y. Kiso, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 35, 887-894. (h) Y. H. Chen, Y. H. Zhang, H. J. Zhang, D. Z. Liu, M. Gu, J. Y. Li, F. Wu, X. Z. Zhu, J. Li, F. J. Nan, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 1613-1623. (i) K. Ni, L. G. Meng, K. Wang, L. Wang, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 2245-2248. (j) D. Kumar, S. R. Vemula, G. R. Cook, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4920-4945. (k) C. D. Risi, G. P. Pollini, V. Zanirato, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 3241-3305.

[2] (a) Y. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, 92, 741-770. (b) A. Natarajan, K. Wang, V. Ramamurthy, J. R. Scheffer, B. Patrick, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 1443-1446. (c) K. K. S. Sai, P. A. Esteves, E. T. da Penha, D. A. Klumpp, *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 6506-6512. (d) Z. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Z. Ni, Q. Liu, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 1269-1271.

[3] (a) F. Liu, K. Zhang, Y. Liu, S. Chen, Y. Chen, D. Zhang, C. Lin,
B. Wang, RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 7158-7162. (b) B. N. Du, B. Ji, P. P. Sun,
Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4586-4589. (c) M. Ramanathan, C. Kuo,
K. S. T. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 11446-11453.

[4] (a) R. Deshidi, S. Devari, B. A. Shah, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 2015, 1428-1432. (b) S. Dutta, S. S. Kotha, G. Sekar, *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 47265-47269.

[5] (a) C. Zhang, N. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 28-29. (b) R. Deshidi, M. Kumar, S. Devari, B. A. Shah, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9533-9535. (c) M. Kumar, S. Devari, A. Kumar, S. Sultan, Q. N. Ahmed, M. Rizvi, B. A. Shah, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 438-441.
[6] (a) F. T. Du, J. X. Ji, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 460-466. (b) W. Wei, Y. Shao, H. Y. Hu, F. Zhang, C. Zhang, Y. Xu. X. B. Wan, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 7157-7165.

[7] C. Zhang, Z. J. Xu, L. R. Zhang, N. Jiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 11088-11092.

[8] (a) N. Mupparapu, S. Khan, S. Battula, M. Kushwaha, A. P. Gupta, Q. N. Ahmed, R. A. Vishwakarma, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 1152-1155. (b)
C. Zhang, X. L. Zong, L. R. Zhang, N. Jiao, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 3280-3283.

[9] (a) C. K. Liu, Z. Fang, Z. Yang, Q. W. Li, S. Y. Guo, K. Guo, *RSC Adv.* **2016**, 6, 25167-25172. (b) N. Sharma, S. S. Kotha, N. Lahiri, G. Sekar, *Synthesis* **2015**, 47, 726-736.

[10] C. K. Liu, Y. Z. Yang, S. Y. Guo, Y. Zeng, N. Zhu, X. Li, Z. Fang, K. Guo, Org. Biomol. Chem. **2016**, 14, 8570-8575.

[11] (a) S. S. Kotha, S. Chandrasekar, S. Sahu, G. Sekar, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 7451-7457. (b) S. S. Kotha, G. Sekar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, 56, 6323-6326.

[12] (a) X. Zhang, W. Yang, L. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 3649-3654. (b) Y. Shao, Z. Wu, C. Miao, L. J. Liu, Organomet. Chem. 2014, 767, 60-64. (c) B. Song, S. Wang, C. Sun, H. Deng, B. Xu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 8982-8986. (d) H. Du, Q. Ruan, M. Qi, W. Han, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 7816-7823.

[13] (a) M. Lamani, K. R. Prabhu, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, 18, 14638-14642. (b) X. Zhang, L. Wang, *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 2141-2145. (c) D. Wang, K. Zhang, L. Jia, D. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Cheng, C. Lin, B. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2017, 15, 3427-3434. (d) N. Mupparapu, R. A. Vishwakarma, Q. N. Ahmed, *Tetrahedron* 2015, 71, 3417-3421. (e) S. Guo, Z. Fang, Z. Yang, C. Liu, Z. Dai, L. Zhao, K. Guo, *RSC Adv.* 2016, 6, 1503-1507. (f) Z. Zhang, J. Su, Z. Zha, Z. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49, 8982-8984.

[14] (a) F. T. Du, J. X. Ji, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, 3, 460-465. (b) J. Zhang, Y.
Wei, S. Lin, F. Liang, P. Liu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, 10, 9237-9242. (c) S. Nekkanti, K. Veeramani, N. P. Kumar, N. Shankaraiah,

FULL PAPER

Green Chem. **2016**, 18, 3439-3447. (d) X. Zhang, H. Yang, Y. Huo, J. Li, J. Ma, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, 45, 8972-8983.

[15] W. Liu, S. Xu, C. Chen, Z. Zhu, *ChemistrySelect* 2016, 3, 612 – 614.

[16] F. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Chen, Z. Liang, B. Wang, *ChemistrySelect*, **2017**, 17, 4638-4641.

[17] (a) M. P. Chipperfield, S. Bekki, S. Dhomse, N. R. P. Harris, B. Hassler, R. Hossaini, W. Steinbrecht, R. Thiéblemont, M. Weber, *Nature* **2017**, 549, 211-218. (b) E. C. Weatherhead, S. B. Andersen, *Nature* **2006**, 441, 39-45.

[18] (a) D. Behar, G. Czapski, I. Duchovny, J. Phys. Chem. 1970, 74, 2206-2210. (b) H. Christensen, K. Sehested, H. Corfitzen, J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 1588-1590.



Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

FULL PAPER

The first example of UV promoted procedure proceeding in aqueous media at r.t. using ambient air as the oxidant for efficient synthesis of an array of α -ketoamides of all types using a non-metal catalyst N-iodosuccinimide with a loading of 20mol%. A variety of α -ketoamides were afforded in good to excellent yields of up to 96%.



Jianhui Li, Shaopo He, Kuan Zhang, Ziyi Quan, Qiheng Shan, Zhongliang Sun, and Bo Wang*

Page No. – Page No.

UV Assisted High-efficient Synthesis of α-Ketoamides Using Air Promoted by A Non-metal Catalyst in Aqueous Solution