SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Products of Reaction of 1-Phenyltricyclo[4.1.0.0^{2,7}]heptane with *N*-Iodosuccinimide in Aqueous THF

V. A. Vasin^{*a*},* E. V. Romanova^{*a*}, and V. V. Razin^{*b*}

^a Ogarev Mordovia State University, Bol'shevistskaya ul. 68, Saransk, 430005 Russia *e-mail: vasin@mrsu.ru

^b St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

Received August 9, 2017

Abstract—Iodohydroxylation of 1-phenyltricyclo[$4.1.0.0^{2.7}$]heptane in aqueous THF at 20°C with *N*-iodosuccinimide proceeds at the central bicyclobutane bond C¹–C⁷ and results in the formation of two-component mixture of diastereomeric 7-iodo-6-phenyl-6-norpinanols in the ratio 1 : 1.8 in favor of the product of the *anti*addition. Treating of iodonorpinanols with trimethylamine in aqueous THF affords 1-benzoylcyclohex-1-ene as a result of 1,4-dehydroiodination accompanied with the Grob fragmentation of the carbon scaffold.

DOI: 10.1134/S1070428017110252

In [1] a bromohydroxylation was described of 1-phenyltricycloheptane 1 proceeding at the central bicvclobutane bond $C^{I}-C^{7}$ under the action of Nbromosuccinimide in aqueous THF at 20°C. The reaction led to the formation of two-component mixture of diastereomeric 7-bromobicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-6-ols 2a and 3a with a considerable prevalence (1:13) of the anti-addition product 3a. It is also known that the reaction of compound 1 with N-chlorosuccinimide in aqueous acetone afforded exclusively the anti-addition product **3b** [2]. In this study we have established that analogous reaction of hydrocarbon 1 with Niodosuccinimide (NIS) in aqueous THF occurs similarly, but with far less pronounced antistereoselectivity: the ratio of formed diastereomeric iodohydrins 2c and 3c is 1 : 1.8 (Scheme 1).

Compounds **2c** and **3c** were isolated in individual state by flash chromatography on silica gel. Their structure was established with the help of IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The assignment of the signals in the ¹H NMR spectra of diastereomers **2c** and **3c** was carried out considering the known correlations between the spectra and structures applied to proving the structures of analogs **2a**, **3a** [1], and **3b** [2].

Having stereochemically pure samples of benzyl type norpinanols **3a** and **3c** we attempted to achieve their epimerization by keeping in aqueous THF at 20°C in the presence of catalytic amount of $HClO_4$ for 24 h. Actually this attempt was successful with iodohydrin **3c**, moreover, under these conditions this compound completely converted in diastereomer **2c**. The reason of the larger thermodynamic stability of isomer **2c** we believe to be in the difference in conformational energy of the OH group and the phenyl substituent (1.04 and 2.8 kcal mol⁻¹ respectively [3]). Bromohydrin **3a** behaved differently under the chosen conditions: The initial compound disappeared to be converted in an intractable mixture of products.

It was suggested in [1, 2] that in the bromo(chloro) hydroxylation of tricycloheptane 1 a classic carbenium ion **A** formed as an intermediate, and the pronounced *anti*-stereochemistry of the addition was attributed to the effect of steric factor directing the nucleophilic attack on the cationic center from the *exo*-side [4]. We believe that the iodohydroxylation of hydrocarbon **1**



and the epimerization of norpinanol 3c proceed through a somewhat different cationic intermediate more alike in its structure to nonclassic carbonium ion **B** stabilized by the homohyperconjugation of the iodine atom [5]. An ion of similar structure was suggested for reactions of solvoxymercuration of tricycloheptanes [1, 4, 6, 7]. Chlorine and bromine atoms do not have analogous effects on the cationic intermediate due to their enhanced electronegativity. The higher stability of intermediate **B** explains the result of the reversible acid catalyzed epimerization of iodohydrin 3c under the conditions of the thermodynamic control. The less stable carbenium ion A is capable to suffer a known cyclobutyl-cyclopropylcarbonyl rearrangement [4, 8]. Intermediate B in iodohydroxylation reaction of tricycloheptane 1 is subjected to the nucleophilic attack under the conditions of a kinetic control and shows the growing part of the syn-addition compared to the bromo(chloro) hydroxylation, but to a lesser extent than in the reaction of solvoxymercuration (Scheme 2).



Iodohydrins 2c and 3c at keeping in aqueous THF in the presence of trimethylamine at 20°C are converted in a known [9] conjugated ketone 4^1 . It is presumable that this compound formed as a result of a prototropic rearrangement of ketone 5 which formed in its turn by 1,4-dehydroiodination of iodohydrins 2cand 3c via anion C in keeping with Grob fragmentation process [10] (Scheme 3).

An unexpected result was obtained at performing the iodohydroxylation of hydrocarbon **1** in the presence of triethylamine: A single reaction product isolated in 32% yield was hydroxyketone **6** whose structure was confirmed by spectral data. The IR spectrum contained a strong band of the conjugated carbonyl group at 1644 cm⁻¹ and a broad band of the hydroxy group at 3407 cm⁻¹ [12], and in the ¹³C NMR spectrum along with the carbonyl group signal (198.8 ppm) 6 signals



appear in the weak field and 4 are seen upfield. In assignment of signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum DEPT experiment was used. ¹H NMR spectrum contains a multiplet of the olefin proton (δ 6.42 ppm) and a broadened signal of the hydroxy group (δ 2.12 ppm). We believe that the formation of hydroxyketone **6** in the reaction of tricycloheptane **1** with NIS in the presence of triethylamine occurs due to allylic iodination of ketone **4** with the subsequent hydrolysis of the arising iodide (Scheme 4).



Such transformation was not observed at the bromohydroxylation of hydrocarbon 1 with *N*-bromosuccinimide in the presence of triethylamine. It obviously is connected with the fact that bromohydrins 2a and 3a are less prone to Grob fragmentation since the carbon-bromine bond is stronger than the carbon-iodine bond.

Iodohydroxylation of tricycloheptane (1). To a solution of 2.06 g (12 mmol) of hydrocarbon 1 [1] in 10 mL of aqueous THF (1 : 1) at 0°C was added while stirring by small portions within 30 min 3.4 g (15 mmol) of NIS [13]. The cooling was removed and the mixture was stirred at 20°C for 2 h. Then to the mixture 30 mL of ethyl ether was added, the organic layer was separated, washed with water (3 × 10 mL), dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. On removing the solvent on the rotary evaporator in the residue ¹H NMR spectrum

¹ Formerly [11] ketone **4** was obtained from bromohydrin **3a** by treating with sodium hydride in THF.

showed the presence of iodohydrins 2c and 3c in a ratio 1 : 1.8. These compounds were isolated in an individual state by flash chromatography on silica gel.

7-syn-Iodo-6-*endo***-phenylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-6-ol** (2c). Yield 1.43 g (38%), light yellow oily substance. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3399 m (OH), 2944 s, 2867 m, 1451 m, 1200 m, 1177 m, 1073 m, 1015 m, 988 m, 933 m, 884 m, 822 m, 775 m, 702 s. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 0.54–0.67 m (1H, H³), 1.13–1.25 m (1H, H³), 1.99–2.07 m (2H, H^{2.4}), 2.10–2.18 m (2H, H^{2.4}), 2.93 m (2H, H^{1.5}), 5.54 t.t (1H, *anti*-H⁷, *J* 5.7, 1.4 Hz), 7.27–7.30 m (2H, H_{arom}), 7.31–7.35 m (1H, H_{arom}), 7.37–7.42 m (2H, H_{arom}). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 11.8 (C³), 28.7 (C^{2.4}), 39.5 (C⁷), 49.5 (C^{1.5}), 79.5 (C⁶), 125.2 (2C_{arom}), 128.2 (C_{arom}), 129.0 (2C_{arom}), 143.0 (C_{arom}). Found, %: C 50.05; H 4.92. C₁₃H₁₅IO. Calculated, %: C 49.70; H 4.81.

7-syn-Iodo-6-*exo***-phenylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptane-6-ol** (**3c**). Yield 0.75 g (20%), light yellow oily substance. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3398 m (OH), 2940 s, 2863 m, 1493 m, 1447 m, 1277 m, 1188 m, 1119 m, 1038 m, 884 m, 822 m, 764 s, 698 v.s. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.65–1.85 m (2H, H³), 2.03–2.10 m (2H, H^{2.4}), 2.37–2.45 m (2H, H^{2.4}), 3.00 br.d (2H, H^{1,5}, *J* 5.8 Hz), 4.18 t (1H, *anti*-H⁷, *J* 5.8 Hz), 7.31–7.36 m (2H, H_{arom}), 7.39–7.43 m (1H, H_{arom}), 7.49–7.52 m (2H, H_{arom}). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 12.4 (C³), 26.5 (C^{2.4}), 28.1 (C⁷), 49.6 (C^{1.5}), 74.6 (C⁶), 126.4 (2C_{arom}), 128.3 (C_{arom}), 129.0 (2C_{arom}), 143.8 (C_{arom}). Found, %: C 50.15; H 4.98. C₁₃H₁₅IO. Calculated, %: C 49.70; H 4.81.

Acid catalyzed epimerization of iodonorpinanol 3c. To a solution of 150 mg (0.47 mmol) of compound 3c in 2 mL of anhydrous ethyl ether was added 50 μ L of conc. HClO₄. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at 20°C. On removing the solvent on the rotary evaporator in the residue ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of iodonorpinanol 2c without the traces of the initial compound. This product (113 mg, 75%) was isolated by flash chromatography on silica gel.

Cyclohex-1-ene-1-yl(phenyl)methanone (4). To a solution of 150 mg (0.478 mmol) of the mixture of iodonorpinanols 2c and 3c, 1 : 2, in 2.5 mL of THF was added 0.5 mL of triethylamine and 0.5 mL of water. The mixture was stirred at 20°C for 7 h. The organic layer was separated, the water layer was extracted with ethyl ether (5 × 10 mL). Combined extracts were dried with MgSO₄. On removing the

solvent on the rotary evaporator the residue was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel to isolate 66 mg (74%) of compound **4** as transparent oily fluid. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.59–1.76 m (4H, 2CH₂), 2.23–2.28 m (2H, CH₂), 2.38–2.43 m (2H, CH₂), 6.57–6.59 m (1H, H_{olef}), 7.40 t (2H, H_{arom}, *J* 7.7 Hz), 7.48 t (1H, H_{arom}, *J* 7.2 Hz), 7.62 d (2H, H_{arom}, *J* 7.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 21.7, 22.1, 24.0, 26.2, 128.1 (2C_{arom}), 129.2 (2C_{arom}), 131.3, 138.75, 138.76, 144.4, 198.4 (C=O). Found, %: C 76.95; H 7.12. C₁₃H₁₄O. Calculated, %: C 83.82; H 7.58.

(3-Hydroxycyclohex-1-ene-1-yl)(phenyl)methanone (6) was obtained in the reaction of 2.06 g (12 mmol) of hydrocarbon 1 with 3.4 g (15 mmol) of NIS in the presence of 1.2 mL of triethylamine. The reaction mixture was stirred at 20°C for 24 h and worked up as described above. By flash chromatography on silica gel we isolated 0.78 g (32%) of compound 6 as colorless oily substance. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3407 m (OH), 2940 m, 2863 w, 1644 v.s (C=O), 1597 m, 1447 m, 1304 m, 1269 s, 1246 m, 1123 m, 961 w, 714 s, 660 w. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.57–1.72 m (2H, H⁴), 1.85–1.93 m (1H, H³), 1.96–2.03 m (1H, H³), 2.12 br.s (1H, OH), 2.36–2.41 m (2H, H^{6}), 4.42 m (1H, H^{3}), 6.42 m (1H, H_{olef}), 7.39–7.42 m (2H, H_{arom}), 7.49–7.53 m (1H, H_{arom}), 7.65–7.68 m (2H, H_{arom}). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 19.2 (C⁵), 24.3 (C⁴), 31.5 (C⁶), 66.4 (C^3) , 128.3 (2C_{arom}), 129.4 (2C_{arom}), 132.0 (C_{arom}), 137.8 w (Colef), 140.0 w (Carom), 142.8 (Colef), 198.3 (C=O). Found, %: C 76.95; H 7.12. C₁₃H₁₄O₂. Calculated, %: C 77.20; H 6.98.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of solutions of compounds in CDCl₃ were registered on a spectrometer JNM-ECX400 JEOL. The residual proton signal (δ 7.26 ppm) and the carbon signal (δ 77.16 ppm) of deuteronchloroform served as internal reference. IR spectra were recorded on a Fourier spectrophotometer InfraLyum FT-02 from thin films. Elemental analysis was carried out on a CHNS-analyzer VarioMICRO. Flash chromatography was performed on a silica gel Merck 60 (for thin layer chromatography), eluent ethyl ether–light petroleum ether, 1 : 4.

REFERENCES

- 1. Razin, V.V., Zadonskaya, N.Yu., and Shamurzaev, Kh.T., *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1991, vol. 27, p. 1253.
- Vasin, V.A., Semenov, A.V., and Razin, V.V., *Rus. Chem. Bull.*, 2005, vol. 54, p. 466. doi 10.1007/ s11172-005-0279-6

- Eliel, E.L., Wilen, S.M., and Doyle, M.P., Basic Organic Stereochemistry, New York: Wiley-Interscience, 2001.
- Razin, V.V., Sovremennye problemy organicheskoi khimii. Mezhvuzovskii sbornik (Modern Problems of Organic Chemistry. Interinstitution Collection), St. Petersburg: Izd. St. Petersburg Gos. Univ., 1996, vol. 11, p. 54.
- Vasin, V.A., Semenov, A.V., and Razin, V.V., Russ. J. Org. Chem., 2004, vol. 40, p. 1599. doi 10.1007/ s11178-005-0066-5
- 6. Müller, E., Chem. Ber., 1975, vol. 108, p. 1401.
- 7. Razin, V.V., Genaev, A.M., and Dobronravov, A.N., *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1992, vol. 28, p. 104.

- Wiberg, K.B. and Chen, W.F., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, vol. 96, p. 3900.
- 9. Larson, G.L., Prieto, J.A., and del Valle, L., Synth. Commun., 1989, vol. 19, p. 1405.
- 10. Fischer, W. and Grob, C.A., *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1978, vol. 61, p. 2336.
- 11. Gerstner, E., Kemmer, R., and Christl, M., *Chem. Ber.*, 1994, vol. 127, p. 381.
- Pretsch, E., Bühlmann, P., and Affolter, K., Structure Determination of Organic Compounds: Tables of Spectral Data, Berlin–Heideberg: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- Chaikovskii, V.K., Skorokhodov, V.I., and Filimonov, V.D., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, vol. 37, p. 1503. doi 10.1023/A:1013435608182