

Molecular Recognition Hot Paper

 How to cite:
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 7030-7035

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202015257

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202015257

Crystal Flexibility Design through Local and Global Motility Cooperation

Ping Wang, Ken-ichi Otake,* Nobuhiko Hosono, and Susumu Kitagawa*

Abstract: Incorporating local mobility into a flexible framework promises to create cooperative properties unattainable in a conventional soft porous crystal. In this study, we propose a design strategy that integrates substituent moieties and a flexible porous crystal framework via intra-framework π - π interactions. This integration not only facilitates framework structural transitions but also gives the porous coordination polymers (PCPs) different guest-free structures that depend on the activation conditions. The incorporated flexibility gives the material the ability to discriminate C6 alkane isomers based on different gate-opening behaviors. Thus, the PCP has potential applications in C6 isomer separation, a critical step in the petroleum refining process to produce gasoline with high octane rating. This strategy, based on ligand designability, offers a new approach to flexible PCP structural and functional design.

► lexible porous coordination polymers (PCP) are characterized by reversible structural transitions that originate from a global lattice change triggered by guest uptake and release.^[1-7] This reversible structural transformation, which is termed gate-opening behavior, occurs at a certain threshold pressure (a gate-opening pressure).^[8-18] This feature can endow flexible PCPs with an exclusive molecular discrimination capability, which thus makes them of interest as separation media.^[19-25] However, a rational control strategy of flexible PCP remains elusive. Recently, local motion of the dynamic entities in rigid PCP pores has also drawn interest. These moieties can form rigid PCPs with an adaptive nature and selectivity by regulating the guest diffusion inside the pore.^[26-29]

Incorporation of local dynamics into flexible frameworks is a promising method for creating cooperative flexibility that is unattainable in conventional PCPs.^[30] Efforts to this end have been attempted by introducing dynamic sidearms into the pillar of flexible PCPs;^[31-33] however, novel cooperative

 [*] Dr. P. Wang, Prof. Dr. K. Otake, Prof. Dr. N. Hosono, Prof. Dr. S. Kitagawa Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (WPI-iCeMS) Kyoto University Institute for Advanced Study, Kyoto University Yoshida Ushinomiya-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501 (Japan) E-mail: ootake.kenichi.8a@kyoto-u.ac.jp kitagawa@icems.kyoto-u.ac.jp
 Prof. Dr. N. Hosono Department of Advanced Materials Science, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561 (Japan)
 Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for

the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202015257.

properties, other than an altered gate-opening pressure, have not been demonstrated. This lack of progress might be attributed to a lack of interactions that integrate motion of the substituents and framework and regulate the behavior of structural transitions.

Herein, we proposed a rational incorporation strategy that connects a flexible framework and mobile fragments through intra-framework π - π interactions (Figure 1). The stacking arenes adjust position synergistically during a structural transition, endowing this PCP substituent-framework cooperative flexibility. The synchronized motion confines the ligands' spatial position, preventing the framework pores from collapsing upon solvent removal, and facilitates the framework structural transition at a relatively small gateopening pressure. Furthermore, the flexibility integration recasts the system energy landscape, enabling the PCP to adopt various guest-free structures depending on the activation conditions, either thermal or supercritical drying. In contrast, isostructural PCPs without π - π interactions deform without restraint into a compact closed structure with gateopening behavior that is triggered with difficulty by guest molecules regardless of the activation approach.

To introduce the dynamic aromatic substituents, we first designed functionalized 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H_2bdc) ligands, decorated with mobile aromatic substituents.

a) Unrestricted structural transformation



b) Structural transformation with intra-framework π - π interaction



Figure 1. PCP structural transformation. a) Conventional flexible PCPs undergo unrestricted deformation after solvent removal, transforming to a specific close phase; if the framework deforms severely, the compact close phase cannot be reopened by guest molecules. b) PCP with local and global flexibility integrated by π - π interaction. The interaction restricts the PCP deformation, leading to various porous guest-free structures that can be reopened by guest molecule inclusion.

7030 Wiley Online Library

© 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH

The aromatic substituents were connected by short alkane chains, which facilitated stacking of the substituent's stacking with neighbor ligands. It has previously been theoretically^[34] and experimentally^[35,36] shown that electron-withdrawing groups relieve the electrostatic repulsion between arenes and facilitate π - π stacking. For this reason, we synthesized two ligands for comparison: 2,5-bis[(2-nitrobenzyl)oxy]-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H₂bdc-NO₂) with an electron-withdrawing nitro group and 2,5-bis(benzyloxy)-1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H₂bdc-H) with a benzyl substituent (Figure 2 a).



Figure 2. a) 3D pillared-layer PCPs synthesized by aromatic substituents bearing ligand, Zn(NO₃)₂·6 H₂O, and bipyridine. Top view of assynthesized b) **1-NO**₂ and c) **1-H**. Aromatic parts involved in the π - π interaction are highlighted in orange. Zn purple, C gray, N blue, O red. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. The dashed line and numbers mark the center distance of arenes that form π - π dimers.

Single crystals were obtained by a solvothermal reaction in the presence of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6 H_2O$, 4,4'-bipyridine (bpy), and the aromatic substituent bearing a 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid. Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SXRD) analyses revealed that the two PCPs were isostructural and characterized by a non-interpenetrated 3D pillared-layer structure with the chemical formula of $[Zn_2(bdc-R)_2(bpy)] \cdot (solvent)_n$ } (**1-R**) (Figures 2; $R = NO_2$ or H). bdc-R ligands and Zn_2 paddlewheel clusters formed 2D square grid-like layers, further connected by bpy pillar ligands to form 3D frameworks.

According to SXRD analysis, parallel π - π stacking occurred for **1-NO**₂. The nitro-substituted arenes stack with the central benzene of bdc-NO₂ ligand, forming a π - π interactive dimer with a center distance of 3.6 Å and a dihedral angle of 8.6° (Figure 2 b). The aromatic substituents aligned in channels along the *b* axis with the nitro groups pointing toward the channel center, dividing the PCP channels into isolated cages with a void space of 10.8% (Supporting Information, Figure S1). On the other hand, in **1-H**, all the appended benzenes anchored at the corner of the channel and remained perpendicular to the nearest bdc-H

ligand (dihedral angle = 86.6°; Supporting Information, Figure S2). This vertical conformation eliminated the possibility of π - π interactions between benzyl substituents and bdc-H by minimizing stacking. Thus, the **1-H** 3D channels had a void space as high as 34.3%. We observed CH··· π interactions between benzyl substituents with a dihedral angle of central benzene and CH··· π distance of 88.5° and 2.9 Å, respectively.

Solvent molecules accommodated in the as-synthesized PCPs were exchanged with acetonitrile before 80 °C thermal activation in a vacuum, which was confirmed by thermogravimetric analyses (TGA; Supporting Information, Figures S3, S4). After activation, the guest-free **1-NO**₂ (**1-NO**₂-vac) had a sharp powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern distinct from that of the as-synthesized one, as confirmed by the disappearance of the peak at 6.28° (Figure 3a). Conversely, the thermally activated **1-H** (**1-H-vac**) had a broad PXRD signal with a single intense peak at 6.52° (Figure 3b). The structural transformation of both PCPs was reversible, as indicated by the recovery of the PXRD patterns after soaking in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) at room temperature.

The N₂ (77 K) and CO₂ (195 K) adsorption isotherms were measured to test the PCP flexibility and porosity. Though both adsorbed trace amounts of N₂ because of its small pore size (Supporting Information, Figures S5, S6), distinct uptake behavior of **1-NO₂-vac** and **1-H-vac** was observed in CO₂ sorption. **1-NO₂-vac** had a typical gate-opening type adsorption isotherm for CO₂: an initial uptake of 28 mLg⁻¹ before the gate-opening pressure at $P/P_0 = 0.23$ and a saturated capacity of 172 mLg⁻¹ after the structural transformation (Figure 3 c). The desorption isotherm does not trace the adsorption curve and sharply decreased at $P/P_0 = 0.1$. The sharp adsorption increase and desorption decrease



Figure 3. a) Powder X-ray diffraction patterns (PXRD) of **1-NO**₂ simulated from as-synthesized single crystal (indigo), **1-NO**₂ experimental (blue), **1-NO**₂-vac simulated from thermal activated single crystal (red), **1-NO**₂-vac experimental (orange), and **1-NO**₂-vac regenerated from DMF (green). b) PXRD of **1-H** simulated from as-synthesized single crystal (indigo), **1-H** experimental (blue), **1-H-vac** experimental (orange), and **1-H-vac** (regenerated from DMF (green). Adsorption isotherms of **1-NO**₂-vac (red circles) and **1-H-vac** (black squares) for c) CO₂ at 195 K and d) toluene at 298 K. Closed symbols denote adsorption and empty symbols denote desorption.

with the hysteresis indicate that the structural transformation was triggered by CO₂ adsorption, as further supported by changes of the in situ PXRD patterns under various CO₂ pressures (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Conversely, **1-H-vac** did not have gate-opening behavior for CO₂ at atmospheric pressure, resulting in a small capacity of 33 mLg⁻¹ (Figure 3 c; Supporting Information, Figure S8). Adsorption behaviors of other gas and vapor species were also measured. **1-NO₂-vac** had gate-open type adsorption for C₂H₄ (170 K, $P/P_0 = 0.06$; Supporting Information, Figure S9), C₂H₆ (185 K, $P/P_0 = 0.20$; Supporting Information, Figure S10), and toluene (298 K, $P/P_0 = 0.08$; Figure 3d). Conversely, **1-H-vac** had only a small uptake of these guests without a gate-open transformation (Figure 3 c,d; Supporting Information, Figures S9, S10).

To uncover the reason for the distinctive sorption behaviors of the isostructural PCPs, we used variable-temperature powder X-ray diffraction (VTPXRD) to monitor the guest solvent removal process. The PXRD pattern of assynthesized 1-H was maintained up to 80 °C but transformed gradually from 80°C to 120°C. At 120°C, the structural transformation was completed, and the resulting pattern had only one broad peak at 6.52°, which is assigned to the PCP inter-layer distance (Supporting Information, Figure S11). Diffraction signals from 2D grid layers all faded and disappeared during thermal activation, as exemplified by the (200) peak relating to the distance between bdc-H ligands (Supporting Information, Figure S12). The changes of the VTPXRD indicate that upon DMF removal, 1-H lost its longrange order in the a and b directions, and the ordered grids of the $[Zn (bdc-H)]_n$ 2D layer collapsed.

Unlike the gradual transition of 1-H, the VTPXRD of 1-NO₂ underwent an abrupt structural change at 90°C (Supporting Information, Figure S13). To elucidate the reason for the rapid change of 1-NO₂, we examined single crystals of 1-NO2-vac by SXRD. Diffraction analyses of 1-NO2-vac confirmed that the framework connectivity was the same as that of 1-NO₂; however, the space group changed from monoclinic P2/c to triclinic $P\overline{1}$ (Supporting Information, Table S1). 1-NO₂-vac underwent slippage between layers and the interlayer distance decreased from 14.0 Å (1-NO₂) to 10.8 Å (1-NO₂-vac) (Figure 4a). Notably, despite the considerable interlayer shrinkage, the π - π stacking dimers were well preserved in the grid of $[Zn(bdc-NO_2)]_n$ layers (Figure 4b). In 1-NO₂-vac, 50% of the dimers were almost identical to those of the as-synthesized 1-NO2 with the same focal distance (3.6 Å) (Figure 4b, orange part); the other 50% rear-ranged, such that the bdc-NO₂ ligand and nitrobenzyl substituent rotated along the c axis, yielding a stacking distance of 3.7 Å (Figure 4b, green part). Because the two-types of π - π dimers were distributed in two neighboring grids separately, the 2D layer was corrugated.

The VTPXRD results confirmed that in the absence of intra-framework π - π interactions (1-H), the long-range order of the 2D grid layers was easily disrupted upon guest removal; whereas in the case of intra-framework π - π interactions (1-NO₂), the crystal-to-crystal structural transition occurred when π - π stacking dimers were maintained even after thermal activation. Therefore, we attribute the differences



Figure 4. Structure of guest-free **1-NO**₂. a) Side and b) top view of vacuum activated **1-NO**₂-vac. c) Side and d) top view of supercritical CO₂ activated **1-NO**₂-SCO₂. The coordination environment of the Zn₂ paddlewheel clusters is shown in the inset. Aromatic parts involved in the π - π interactions are highlighted in orange and green. Zn purple, C gray, N blue, O red. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. The dashed line and numbers mark the center distance of arenes that formed π - π dimers.

between the isostructural PCPs to the substituent-framework π - π interaction. These interactions confined the ligands spatial position and maintained the π - π stacking configuration, thus restraining distortion of the 2D-grid. Consequently, the π - π interaction-controlled deformation created a porous guest-free framework that could be reopened by guest molecules. Conversely, unrestrained deformation yielded compact-close **1-H-vac** disordered 2D layers that required an unpractically high gate-opening pressure (Figure 3c,d; Supporting Information, Figures S9, S10). Our assumption was further tested by investigating isostructural PCP with the

bdc-Me ligand (1-Me; Supporting Information, Figures S14–S17). The as-synthesized 1-Me had weak π - π interactions with a 3.8 Å stacking distance, which is longer than that those of 1-NO₂ (3.6 Å). As expected from the stabilization effect of π - π stacking dimers, for CO₂ and toluene adsorption, guest-free 1-Me-vac had gate-opening behavior but a large gate-opening pressure compared with 1-NO₂-vac (Supporting Information, Figures S18, S19).

When we activated $1-NO_2$ by supercritical CO₂, we obtained an activated phase (1-NO₂-SCO₂) unlike that of the thermally activated one (1-NO2-vac; Supporting Information, Figures S20, S21). The SXRD structure determination of 1-NO₂-SCO₂ shows that the framework connectivity changed because zinc ions varied in their coordination environment (Figure 4c). In 1-NO₂-SCO₂, one carboxylate out of four became monodentate and the Zn₂ paddlewheel deformed. The cluster deformation compressed the space between the 2D layers, resulting in two kinds of 1D channels along the caxis. In the cluster distorted grids, π - π stacking between the nitrobenzyl substituents and the bdc-NO2 ligand was retained (Figure 4d). The stacking distance of the 50% π - π interaction increased from 3.6 to 3.7 Å because of Zn-O bond cleavage. Unlike 1-NO2-vac, two stacking types coexisted in every grid of 1-NO₂-SCO₂. 1-NO₂-SCO₂ was readily opened by CO₂ at 195 K, as characterized by a gate-opening pressure of $P/P_0 = 0.37$ (Supporting Information, Figure S22). Conversely, the supercritical CO₂ activated 1-H (1-H-SCO₂) had a broad PXRD pattern and flat CO₂ uptake similar to that of 1-H-vac (Supporting Information, Figures S23–S25). The SXRD results confirm that 1-NO2-SCO2 maintained its structure even at 80 °C when activated for 12 h and did not transform to 1-NO₂-vac.

To uncover the reason for the 1-NO₂ structural dependence on the activation approach, crystal structures of guestfree PCPs were compared. Despite the differently deformed frameworks of 1-NO₂-vac and 1-NO₂-SCO₂, their π - π stacking configurations were similar. In the absence of intraframework π - π interactions (1-H), the 2D grid layers, composed of bdc and Zn₂ paddlewheel clusters, deformed during the activation (Supporting Information, Figures S11, S12). In **1-NO**₂, the π - π attraction locked the layer distortion, recasting the soft system energy landscape. To stabilize the guest-free frameworks, different deformation routes were followed: the interlayer slippage in 1-NO2-vac and the cluster switch in 1-NO₂-SCO₂. After thermal activation, the angles between the bpy pillar and the 2D grid layer changed from 90° in $1-NO_2$ to 46° in $1-NO_2$ -vac, and the Zn_2 clusters from neighbor layers linked by each bpy shifted to 7.6 Å. While after supercritical drying, the bpy pillars in 1-NO₂-SCO₂ tilted with respect to the 2D grid layer only at an angle of 67° in accompany with the shift of the Zn_2 cluster to 6.5 Å. The most striking change in 1-NO₂-SCO₂ is that the Zn₂ paddlewheel cluster changes its structure: one out of eight Zn-O bonds cleaved. The conditions such as solvents, temperature, and pressure play a crucial role in flexible PCP structural changes. Unlike thermal activation in vacuo, supercritical CO₂ activation applied high pressure to liquefy CO_2 , which could cause the structural change of Zn_2 cluster in **1-NO₂**. Subsequently, in-pore CO₂ solvents were removed without surface tension, resulting in **1-NO₂-SCO₂**. Thus, we assumed the deformation difference of PCP **1-NO₂** might result from structural stress induced by varied activation approaches.^[37]

C6 alkane isomers are the main components of gasoline, including linear *n*-hexane (HEX), mono-branched 3-methylpentane (3MP), and di-branched 2,2-dimethylbutane (2,2DMB). C6 sorting is a vital process in industrial gasoline upgrading because only 2,2DMB is desirable for refined gasoline because of its high research octane number (RON).^[38] Several PCPs have been investigated for C6 isomer sorting; however, all suffer from the poor selectivity.^[39-41] We posit that the aromatic substituents that crowd the 1D channels are able to form CH- π interactions with C6 molecules. The soft nature of the PCPs can discriminate against differences in the host-guest interactions, leading to varied gate-opening behavior. To test this hypothesis, we performed C6 isomer vapor adsorption measurements.

Single-component vapor adsorption at 30 °C indicated the perfect discrimination performance of $1-NO_2$ -vac: HEX was adsorbed by the gate-opening process ($P_{go}/P_{sat} = 0.09$) with a saturated capacity of 145 mg g⁻¹; 3MP induced no gate-opening adsorption but instead had a linear isotherm with 41 mg g⁻¹ capacity; 2,2DMB was completely size-excluded with no adsorption (Figure 5; Supporting Information, Figures S26, S27). On the contrary, $1-NO_2$ -SCO₂ had gate-opening adsorption to HEX, but was unable to uptake either 3MP or 2,2DMB (Supporting Information, Figure S28); 1-H-vac prevented entrance of all isomers into its pore (Supporting Information, Figures S29, S30). Therefore, the low porosity of 1-NO₂-SCO₂ and the large gate-opening pressure of 1-H-vac rendered these materials unsuitable for isomer discrimination.

In summary, we have developed a crystal flexibility design strategy by integrating substituent and framework motility via intra-framework π - π interactions of ligands. The flexible and synchronous movement confined framework distortion upon



Figure 5. 1-NO₂-vac adsorption isotherms at 303 K for HEX (red), 3MP (yellow), and 22DMB (blue). Closed symbols denote adsorption, and empty symbols denote desorption. Kinetic diameter (blue number, in Å) and RON (red number) of each isomer is marked below the chemical structure.

solvent removal, giving PCPs with different porous guest-free structures that depend on the activation approach. These PCPs discriminated between C6 alkane isomers by a distinct gate-opening behavior. These features suggest potential for applications as an isomer splitter for gasoline upgrading. The availability of various aromatic substituents and designability of PCPs enables the creation of an aromatic π - π interactions between local mobility and soft porous crystals. This structure in turn provides a new chemical approach for flexible PCP structural and functional design.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by KAKENHI Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (JP18H05262), Early-Career Scientists (JP19K15584), Scientific Research (B) (JP18H02072), and Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Molecular Engine" (JP19H05381) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). NMR spectroscopy was performed at the iCeMS Analysis Center, Kyoto University Institute for Advanced Study. We thank Nanae Shimanaka at iCeMS, Kyoto University, for her contributions to SXRD analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: activation method · flexibility · molecular recognition · motility cooperation · porous coordination polymers

- S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura, S. Noro, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2334–2375; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 2388–2430.
- [2] S. Horike, S. Shimomura, S. Kitagawa, Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 695 704.
- [3] A. Schneemann, V. Bon, I. Schwedler, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel, R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 6062–6096.
- [4] Z. Chang, D.-H. Yang, J. Xu, T.-L. Hu, X.-H. Bu, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 5432-5441.
- [5] T. D. Bennett, A. K. Cheetham, A. H. Fuchs, F.-X. Coudert, *Nat. Chem.* 2017, 9, 11–16.
- [6] S. K. Elsaidi, M. H. Mohamed, D. Banerjee, P. K. Thallapally, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2018, 358, 125–152.
- [7] F. Bigdeli, C. T. Lollar, A. Morsali, H. C. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 4652–4669; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 4680– 4699.
- [8] B.-Q. Song, Q.-Y. Yang, S.-Q. Wang, M. Vandichel, A. Kumar, C. Crowley, N. Kumar, C.-H. Deng, V. GasconPerez, M. Lusi, H. Wu, W. Zhou, M. J. Zaworotko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 6896–6901.
- [9] P. H. Dominik, A. K. Ryan, A. B. Michael, A. T. Benjamin, M. B. Craig, R. L. Jeffrey, *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 6709–6716.
- [10] T. Kundu, M. Wahiduzzaman, B. B. Shah, G. Maurin, D. Zhao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 8073–8077; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 8157–8161.
- [11] S. Suginome, H. Sato, A. Hori, A. Mishima, Y. Harada, S. Kusaka, R. Matsuda, J. Pirillo, Y. Hijikata, T. Aida, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 15649–15655.

- [12] S. Kusaka, A. Kiyose, H. Sato, Y. Hijikata, A. Hori, Y. Ma, R. Matsuda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 15742–15746.
- [13] A. X. Zhu, Q. Y. Yang, S. Mukherjee, A. Kumar, C. H. Deng, A. A. Bezrukov, M. Shivanna, M. J. Zaworotko, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18212–18217; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 18380– 18385.
- [14] M.-H. Yu, B. Space, D. Franz, W. Zhou, C. He, L. Li, R. Krishna, Z. Chang, W. Li, T.-L. Hu, X.-H. Bu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 17703–17712.
- [15] M. Souto, J. Romero, J. Calbo, I. J. Vitórica-Yrezábal, J. L. Zafra, J. Casado, E. Ortí, A. Walsh, G. Mínguez Espallargas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 10562–10569.
- [16] Y. Hui, G. Feng, L. Prem, G. Wen-Yang, W. Hui, J. B. Leonard, Z. Wei, Z. Jian, A. Briana, M. Shengqian, ACS Cent. Sci. 2018, 4, 1194–1200.
- [17] Y. T. Zheng, H. Sato, P. Y. Wu, H. J. Jeon, R. Matsuda, S. Kitagawa, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 100.
- [18] Q. Gao, J. Xu, D. Cao, Z. Chang, X.-H. Bu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15027-15030; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 15251-15254.
- [19] Y. Yan, E. J. Carrington, R. Pétuya, G. F. S. Whitehead, A. Verma, R. K. Hylton, C. C. Tang, N. G. Berry, G. R. Darling, M. S. Dyer, D. Antypov, A. P. Katsoulidis, M. J. Rosseinsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 14903-14913.
- [20] Y. Gu, J.-J. Zheng, K.-i. Otake, K. Sugimoto, N. Hosono, S. Sakaki, F. Li, S. Kitagawa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15517–15521; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 15647–15651.
- [21] H. Sato, W. Kosaka, R. Matsuda, A. Hori, Y. Hijikata, R. V. Belosludov, S. Sakaki, M. Takata, S. Kitagawa, *Science* 2014, 343, 167.
- [22] D.-D. Zhou, P. Chen, C. Wang, S.-S. Wang, Y. Du, H. Yan, Z.-M. Ye, C.-T. He, R.-K. Huang, Z.-W. Mo, N.-Y. Huang, J.-P. Zhang, *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *18*, 994–998.
- [23] S. Sen, N. Hosono, J. J. Zheng, S. Kusaka, R. Matsuda, S. Sakaki, S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 18313–18321.
- [24] L. Li, R.-B. Lin, R. Krishna, X. Wang, B. Li, H. Wu, J. Li, W. Zhou, B. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7733-7736.
- [25] M. L. Foo, R. Matsuda, Y. Hijikata, R. Krishna, H. Sato, S. Horike, A. Hori, J. Duan, Y. Sato, Y. Kubota, M. Takata, S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3022–3030.
- [26] S. Horike, R. Matsuda, D. Tanaka, S. Matsubara, M. Mizuno, K. Endo, S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7226-7230; *Angew. Chem.* 2006, 118, 7384-7388.
- [27] D. I. Kolokolov, H. Jobic, A. G. Stepanov, V. Guillerm, T. Devic, C. Serre, G. Férey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 4791–4794; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 4901–4904.
- [28] K. Zhu, C. A. O'Keefe, V. N. Vukotic, R. W. Schurko, S. J. Loeb, *Nat. Chem.* 2015, 7, 514–519.
- [29] C. Gu, N. Hosono, J.-J. Zheng, Y. Sato, S. Kusaka, S. Sakaki, S. Kitagawa, *Science* 2019, 363, 387.
- [30] S. Krause, N. Hosono, S. Kitagawa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 15325-15341; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 15438-15456.
- [31] J. Seo, R. Matsuda, H. Sakamoto, C. Bonneau, S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12792–12800.
- [32] S. Henke, A. Schneemann, A. Wutscher, R. A. Fischer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9464–9474.
- [33] S. Jeoung, S. Lee, J. H. Lee, S. Lee, W. Choe, D. Moon, H. R. Moon, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 8832–8835.
- [34] M. O. Sinnokrot, C. D. Sherrill, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7690-7697.
- [35] F. Cozzi, M. Cinquini, R. Annuziata, J. S. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5330-5331.
- [36] F. Cozzi, M. Cinquini, R. Annunziata, T. Dwyer, J. S. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5729–5733.
- [37] A. P. Nelson, O. K. Farha, K. L. Mulfort, J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 458–460.
- [38] H. Wang, J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 1968-1978.



- [39] P. S. Bárcia, F. Zapata, J. A. C. Silva, A. E. Rodrigues, B. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 6101-6103.
- [40] Z. R. Herm, B. M. Wiers, J. A. Mason, J. M. van Baten, M. R. Hudson, P. Zajdel, C. M. Brown, N. Masciocchi, R. Krishna, J. R. Long, *Science* **2013**, *340*, 960.
- [41] H. Wang, X. Dong, J. Lin, S. J. Teat, S. Jensen, J. Cure, E. V. Alexandrov, Q. Xia, K. Tan, Q. Wang, D. H. Olson, D. M.

Proserpio, Y. J. Chabal, T. Thonhauser, J. Sun, Y. Han, J. Li, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1745.

Manuscript received: November 15, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: December 29, 2020 Version of record online: February 18, 2021