Inorganic Chemistry

Synthesis of Ge_2NiS_4 Clusters and the Thermal Transformation to a $Ge_4Ni_6S_{12}$ Cluster

Tsuyoshi Matsumoto, Yosuke Matsui, Mikinao Ito, and Kazuyuki Tatsumi*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, and Research Center for Materials Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

Received December 12, 2007

The reaction of Ni(dppe)Cl₂ and *syn*-[DmpGe(SLi)(μ -S)₂Ge(SLi)Dmp] prepared in situ from *syn*-[DmpGe(SH)(μ -S)₂Ge(SH)Dmp] (**1**) and *n*-BuLi (2 equiv) afforded the Ge₂NiS₄ cluster, [DmpGe(μ -S)]₂(μ -S)₂Ni(dppe) (**2**) (Dmp = 2,6-dimesi-tylphenyl). The nickel in **2** assumes a slightly distorted square planar geometry. However, another Ge₂NiS₄ cluster, [DmpGe(μ -S)]₂(μ -S)₂Ni(PPh₃)₂ (**3**) obtained from a similar reaction with Ni(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, contains the nickel in a tetrahedron. When **3** was heated to 120 °C in toluene, a novel Ge₄Ni₆S₁₂ cluster [DmpGe(μ -S)₃]₄Ni₆ (**5**) was obtained. In cluster **5**, six nickels form an octahedron with the nickels occupying its vertexes, and four DmpGeS₃ units cap half of the trigonal faces.

Metal chalcogenido clusters have attracted attention because of their versatile reactivities in relation to metalloenzymes¹ and industrial catalysts of hydrodesulfurization.² We have been especially interested in heteropolynuclear complexes composed of germanium and transition metals, anticipating their cooperation in reactions. Indeed, in our studies on S/S and S/O bridged heterodinuclear Ge–Ru complexes, the interaction of Ge and Ru was suggested in their structural changes upon alkylation and protonation of at the μ -S and μ -O.³ Recently, we have synthesized a new dinuclear mercaptogermane *syn*-[DmpGe(SH)(μ -S)₂Ge(SH)Dmp] (1) as a building unit for germaniumcontaining metal clusters, and it was applied for the synthesis

10.1021/ic702379y CCC: \$40.75 © 2008 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/13/2008

Scheme 1



of Ge_2PdS_4 clusters.⁴ Herein we report the synthesis of Ge/Ni/S clusters using **1**, which have different structural properties from the Pd analogues.

The reaction of Ni(dppe)Cl₂ and [DmpGe(SLi)(μ -S)₂Ge(SLi)Dmp] prepared in situ from **1** and 2 equiv of *n*-BuLi in THF afforded the Ge₂NiS₄ cluster, [DmpGe(μ -S)]₂(μ -S)₂Ni(dppe) (**2**), in 71% yield as brown crystals (Scheme 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** is in accordance with the structure, and the sharpness of the signals indicates its diamagnetism. X-Ray structural analysis reveals that the nickel sits in a slightly distorted square plane composed of the two germanethiolato sulfurs and dppe as shown in Figure 1.⁵ The planar geometry about the nickel is incarnated in the sum of the bond angles around the Ni, 362.6°, which includes a large bite angle at S(3)–Ni(1)–S(4) (Table 1). This structure compares well with that of the palladium analogue which we have reported recently.⁴

A similar Ge₂NiS₄ cluster, $[DmpGe(\mu-S)]_2(\mu-S)_2Ni(PPh_3)_2$ (3), results from the reaction with Ni(PPh_3)_2Cl₂ as green crystals. Here the Ni(II) center resides in a tetrahedral geometry as shown in Figure 2, and the geometry with its associated paramagnetism is compatible with the broad signals observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

The Ge₂NiS₄ core has C_{2v} local symmetry, and the C_2 axis passes through the Ni(1) and the midpoint of Ge(1) and Ge(2). Because of the greater steric congestion derived from the bulk of PPh₃ ligands, the nickel in **3** assumes a tetrahedral geometry, which is allowed by the flexible dithiadigermet-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: i45100a@nucc.cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp.

^{(1) (}a) Transition Metal Sulfur Chemistry, Biological and Industrial Significance; Steifel, E. I., Matsumoto K., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1996. (b) Transition Metal Sulphides—Chemistry and Catalysis; Weber, Th., Prons, R., van Santen, R. A., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.

^{(2) (}a) Topsøe, H.; Clausen, B. S.; Massoth, F. E. Hydrotreating Catalysis: Science and Technology; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1996. (b) Kabe, T.; Ishihara, A.; Qian, W. Hydrodesulfurization and Hydrodenitrogenation: Chemistry and Engineering; Kondasa-Wiley-VCH: Tokyo, 1999.

^{(3) (}a) Matsumoto, T.; Nakaya, Y.; Itakura, N.; Tatsumi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. In press. (b) Matsumoto, T.; Nakaya, Y.; Tatsumi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. In press. (c) Matsumoto, T.; Nakaya, Y.; Tatsumi, K. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4835.

⁽⁴⁾ Matsumoto, T.; Matsui, Y.; Ito, M.; Tatsumi, K. Chem. Asian J. In press.

COMMUNICATION



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 2. All hydrogen atoms are excluded for clarity.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 3. All hydrogen atoms and the crystalline solvent molecules are excluded for clarity.

Table	1.	Selected	Bond	Lengths	(Å)	and	Angles	(deg)	for	2	and	3
						-					-	

	2	3
Ge(1)-S(1)	2.2424(13)	2.2398(19)
Ge(1) - S(2)	2.232(2)	2.2483(18)
Ge(2)-S(1)	2.245(2)	2.258(2)
Ge(2) - S(2)	2.2342(13)	2.2559(18)
Ge(1) - S(3)	2.1808(13)	2.202(2)
Ge(2) - S(4)	2.1884(11)	2.187(2)
Ni(1)-S(3)	2.2226(15)	2.2614(17)
Ni(1)–S(4)	2.231(2)	2.2643(15)
Ni(1) - P(1)	2.172(2)	2.340(2)
Ni(1)–P(2)	2.1656(13)	2.357(2)
S(3) · · · $S(4)$	3.633(2)	4.079(2)
S(3) - Ni(1) - S(4)	109.33(6)	128 66(8)
S(3) - Ni(1) - P(1)	82.89(6)	109.75(7)
S(3) - Ni(1) - P(2)	162.71(9)	108.50(7)
S(4) - Ni(1) - P(1)	164.36(6)	103.03(6)
S(4) - Ni(1) - P(2)	82.86(6)	100.95(7)
P(1) - Ni(1) - P(2)	87.55(6)	103.09(8)
dihedral ^a	140.6	143.0

^{*a*} Dihedral angles between planes Ge(1)-S(1)-S(2) and Ge(2)-S(1)-S(2).

anedithiolate property. Indeed, the S(3)-Ni(1)-S(4) bond angle for **3** becomes considerably larger than that for **2**, and the $S(3)\cdots S(4)$ distance of **3** is elongated accordingly by 0.45 Å from that of **2** (Table 1). Of note is the fact that the structure of **3** is completely different from that of the Ge₂PdS₄ cluster **4** (Scheme 1) obtained from the analogous reaction using Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂. Whereas the dithiadigermetanedithiolate coordinates to the Ni in **3** at the two germanethiolato sulfurs, it coordinates to the Pd in **4** at the three sulfurs including a μ -sulfide of the Ge₂S₂ quadrangle, in addition to the two thiolate sulfurs, to form a highly distorted square planar geometry at the Pd. These results are understandable because Ni has a rather small energy difference between its square planar and tetrahedral geometries and are in agreement with the common findings that the palladium(II) favors a square-planar coordination environment, while the coordination environment in the complexes of nickel(II) is much more variable.⁶

When cluster **3** was heated to 110 °C for 12 h, a slow color change from green to dark brown was observed. Separation of the crude products by preparative gel permeation chromatography (GPC) gave a major fraction at a significantly short retention time compared with that of **1**, indicating the unexpected formation of large clusters.⁷ The recrystallization of this fraction from CH₂Cl₂/EtOH afforded the novel Ge₄Ni₆S₁₂ cluster [DmpGe(μ -S)₃]₄Ni₆ (**5**) as brown crystals in 53% yield.⁸ As shown in Figure 3, the cluster is composed of six square planar Ni²⁺ and four tetrahedral [DmpGeS₃]³⁻ units. The six nickels form an octahedron as shown by the dotted line with the nickels occupying its vertexes, and the four DmpGeS₃ units cap half of the trigonal faces. The intramolecular Ni–Ni distances ranging from 3.078(2) to 3.184(2) do not indicate their significant interactions. The mean values of Ni–S and Ge–S distances are

(5) Crystal data for 2: $C_{74}H_{74}Ge_2NiP_2S_4$; monoclinic; C2/c (No. 15); a =30.814(3) Å, b = 21.9372(16) Å, c = 30.454(3) Å; $\beta = 127.5838(18)^{\circ}$, $V = 16311(3) \text{ Å}^3$; Z = 8; T = 193 K; $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$; F(000) = $(2\theta < 55.0^{\circ})$, 18 666 unique ($R_{int} = 0.093$); R1 = 0.0860 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), wR2 = 0.2642 (all data); GOF (on F^2) = 1.367. Crystal data for **3**•1.5toluene: C_{94.5}H₉₂Ge₂NiP₂S₄; triclinic; $P\overline{1}$ (No. 2); a = 14.0364(14)Å, b = 18.010(3) Å, c = 18.085(3) Å; $\alpha = 106.910(6)^{\circ}$, $\beta =$ $107.7173(13)^{\circ}$, $\gamma_{\circ} = 95.117(2)^{\circ}$, V = 4086.6(9) Å³; Z = 2; T = 193K; $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å; F(000) = 1690; $\mu = 11.459$ cm⁻¹; $\rho_{calcd} = 1.318$ g cm⁻³; 47 524 reflections ($2\theta < 55.0^{\circ}$), 18 477 unique ($R_{int} = 0.143$); $R_1 = 0.0711 (I > 2\sigma(I)), wR_2 = 0.2050 (all data); GOF (on F²) =$ R1 = 0.0111 (l > 20(l)), wR2 = 0.2030 (an data), GOT (on l) = 0.959. Crystal data for **5** · CH₂Cl₂: C₉₇H₁₀₀Cl₂Ge₄Ni₆S₁₂; monocline; P2₁/n (No. 14); a = 22.913(7) Å, b = 16.604(4) Å, c = 27.451(11)Å; $\beta = 101.493(10)^\circ$, V = 10234(6) Å³; Z = 4; T = 193 K; $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å; F(000) = 4816; $\mu = 25.735$ cm⁻¹; $\rho_{calcd} = 1.534$ g cm⁻³; 96 655 reflections ($2\theta < 55.0^\circ$), 23 294 unique ($R_{int} = 0.123$); R1 = $0.1156 (I > 2\sigma(I)), wR2 = 0.3044 (all data); GOF (on F²) = 1.257.$ The structure was solved by heavy-atom Patterson methods and expanded using Fourier techniques. All calculations were performed with a Rigaku/MSC CrystalStructure program package except for refinement which was performed using SHELX-97 by full-matrix least squares against F^2 . Anisotropic refinement was applied to all nonhydrogen atoms. All the hydrogen atoms were put at calculated positions, except for those of the disordered crystalline solvent molecules, two toluenes for 3 and a dichloromethane for 5.

- (6) Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G.; Murillo, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 6th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999; pp 840–842..
- (7) Preparative GPC was performed on a LC-908 instrument (Japan Analytical Industry, Co. Ltd) with a series of Jaigel 1H and 2H columns, using chloroform as the eluent with 3.5 mL min⁻¹. The fraction containing 5 was observed at 45 min retention time, while 1 has a significantly longer 49 min retention time.
- (8) Synthesis of [DmpGe(μ-S)₃]₄Ni₆ (5). A toluene solution of **3** (200 mg, 0.135 mmol) was heated for 12 h at 110 °C. The crude product was separated by GPC (CHCl₃, 3.5 mL/min) to give a fraction of 45 min retention time, which was further crystallized by EtOH/CH₂Cl₂ to give **5** (27 mg) as a red crystals. The yield calculated on the basis of nickels is 53%.¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H, *p*-CH of Dmp), 6.98 (s, 16H, *m*-CH of Mes), 6.97 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 8H, *m*-CH of Dmp), 2.27 (s, 24H, *p*-CH₃ of Mes), 1.83 (s, 48H, *o*-CH₃ of Mes). Elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₉₆H₁₀₀Ge₄Ni₆S₁₂: C, 50.55; H, 4.42; S, 16.87. Found: C, 49.98; H, 4.02; S, 16.90.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of **5** and its schematic structure. All hydrogen atoms and the crystalline solvent molecule are excluded for clarity. Color code: Ge, green; Ni, blue; S, yellow; C, gray.

2.249 Å (range 2.214(2) – 2.274(2) Å) and 2.222 Å (range 2.201(2) – 2.234(2) Å), which are ordinary values for those bonds, respectively.^{9,10} The structure is consistent with the

COMMUNICATION

diamagnetic properties indicated by the ¹H NMR spectra, which show a set of sharp signals assignable to the Dmp group.

In summary, new Ge/Ni/S clusters, $[DmpGe(\mu-S)]_2(\mu-S)_2Ni(dppe)$ (2) and $[DmpGe(\mu-S)]_2(\mu-S)_2Ni(PPh_3)_2$ (3), were synthesized using the *syn*- $[DmpGe(SH)(\mu-S)_2Ge(SH)Dmp]$ (1) as a germanium source. Thermal transformation of **3** leads to a structurally unique Ge₄Ni₆S₁₂ cluster $[DmpGe(\mu-S)_3]_4Ni_6$ (5). These results open a synthetic route to variegated sulfido clusters composed of germanium and transition metals.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 18065013, "Chemistry of Concerto Catalysis") from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We are grateful to Prof. Roger E. Cramer for discussions and careful reading of the manuscript.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format for complexes **2**, **3**, and **5** and the experimental details. The material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC702379Y

⁽⁹⁾ Meyer, F.; Kozlowski, H. In *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*; McCleverty, J. A., Meyer, T. J., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2004; Vol. 6, pp 322–342..

⁽¹⁰⁾ Baines, K. M.; Stibbs, W. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 145, 157.