

# **Accepted Article**

- Title: Rapid Chemical Reaction Monitoring by Digital Microfluidics-NMR: Proof of Principle Towards an Automated Synthetic Discovery Platform
- Authors: Bing Wu, Sebastian von der Ecken, Ian Swyer, Chunliang Li, Amy Jenne, Franck Vincent, Daniel Schmidig, Till Kuehn, Falko Busse, Henry Stronks, Ronald Soong, Aaron R. Wheeler, and Andre J Simpson

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201910052 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201910052

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201910052 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201910052

# WILEY-VCH

#### WILEY-VCH

# Rapid Chemical Reaction Monitoring by Digital Microfluidics-NMR: Proof of Principle Towards an Automated Synthetic Discovery Platform

Bing Wu, Sebastian von der Ecken, Ian Swyer, Chunliang Li, Amy Jenne, Franck Vincent, Daniel Schmidig, Till Kuehn, Falko Busse, Henry Stronks, Ronald Soong, Aaron R. Wheeler\*, André Simpson\*

Abstract: Microcoil Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) has been interfaced with digital microfluidics (DMF) and is applied to monitor organic reactions in organic solvents as a proof of concept. DMF permits droplets to be moved and mixed inside the NMR to initiate reactions while using sub-microliter volumes of reagent, opening up the potential to follow the reactions of scarce or expensive reagents. By setting up the spectrometer shims on a reagent droplet, data acquisition can be started immediately upon droplet mixing and is only limited by the rate at which NMR data can be collected, allowing the monitoring of fast reactions. Here we report a cyclohexene carbonate hydrolysis in dimethylformamide and a Knoevenagel condensation in methanol/water. This is to our knowledge the first time rapid organic reactions in organic solvents have been monitored by high field DMF-NMR. The study represents a key first step towards larger DMF-NMR arrays that could in future serve as discovery platforms, where computer controlled DMF automates mixing/titration of chemical libraries and NMR is used to study the structures formed and kinetics in real time.

**D**igital microfluidics is a powerful technique in which droplets are moved over an open array of electrodes.<sup>[1]</sup> The approach permits a wide range of applications including, proteomic sample preparation,<sup>[2]</sup> immunoassays,<sup>[3]</sup> organic synthesis,<sup>[4]</sup> as well as cell culture and analysis.<sup>[5]</sup> As such, interfacing with high field NMR, one of the most powerful analytical detectors for chemical structure<sup>[6]</sup> and interactions,<sup>[7]</sup> holds exciting promise. To date, two papers have been published on the interface of DMF and

[[a]	Dr. B. Wu, A. Jenne, Dr. R. Soong, Prof. A. Simpson
	Liniversity of Terente Seatherough
	1256 Military Troil Toronto ON M1C 104 (Conodo)
	E mail: andra simpson@utaranta as
	E-mail. and e.simpson autoronio.ca
[a]	Dr. S. von der Ecken, Dr. I. Swyer, Prol. A. Wheeler
	Department of Chemistry
	Oniversity of Foronto
	SU SI. George SI., Toronio, ON, MOS 3Ho (Canada)
	E-mail: aaron.wneeler@utoronto.ca
[c]	Dr. C. Li
	Laboratory of Physical Chemistry
	Eindhoven University of Technology
	P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven (The Netherlands)
[d]	Dr. F. Vincent, Dr. D. Schmidig, Dr. T. Kuehn
	Bruker BioSpin AG.
	Industriestrasse 26, 8117 Fällanden, Switzerland
[e]	Dr. F. Busse
	Bruker BioSpin GmbH
	Silberstreifen 4, 76287 Rheinstetten (Germany)
[f]	Dr. H. Stronks
	Bruker Canada Ltd, 2800 High Point Drive, Milton, Ontario, L9T 6P4 (Canada)
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

high field NMR. The first demonstrated the concept but was limited as the DMF device was placed on top of an existing NMR microcoil and the one-plate DMF device was limited to basic droplet movements<sup>[8]</sup>. The second paper introduced a two-plate DMF device that is much more versatile, with reagents dissolved in water aimed at biological applications<sup>[9]</sup>. However, DMF-NMR holds great promise for organic chemistry research. For example, in digital microfluidics discrete droplets are moved and measured directly, the elimination of capillary dead volumes in connectors and tubing means the entire available volume can be placed over the NMR coil. In turn, this allows very small samples to be analyzed directly, important if expensive chemicals or precious samples need to be reacted and monitored. Furthermore, in the future it is possible to envision large DMF arrays<sup>[10]</sup> that have the potential to screen reactions from various combinations of substrates under automation. In many ways such an approach would turn DMF-NMR from an analytical tool into a discovery platform. In this study two basic questions are asked; 1) As DMF-NMR has yet to be demonstrated with an organic reaction in an organic solvent, is this feasible? (i.e. can organic solvents, which have considerably less surface tension than water, be held and moved vertically (along the NMR magnet bore), against gravity, when sandwiched between the plates of a DMF device ?) 2) Can DMF-NMR be used to follow a rapid reaction? (i.e. a reaction that completes faster than loading/match-tuning/shimming in conventional NMR (~5 mins) would allow). To address these questions a simple hydrolysis of a cyclic carbonate in dimethylformamide is monitored, as-well as a Knoevenagel condensation in methanol/water (see SI) (Note as "digital microfluidics" and "dimethylformamide" have the same acronym, in this paper "DMF" is used for digital microfluidics while dimethylformamide is written in full.)

It is necessary to probe reaction kinetics in real-time to gain a thorough understanding of their mechanisms.[11] Traditionally, optical spectroscopies (e.g. UV-vis, IR, fluorescence, Raman spectroscopy) have been used to monitoring chemical reaction kinetics because of their widespread availability.<sup>[12]</sup> However, such approaches only offer limited chemical information compared to NMR.<sup>[13]</sup> Alternatively, mass spectrometry (MS) can be employed, but MS faces other challenges, including, the inability to distinguish between isomers.<sup>[14]</sup> The "Achilles' heel" of NMR its relatively low mass sensitivity. However, as the defined droplets used for DMF are generally quite small (i.e., pL to µL) NMR microcoils can be used to increase mass sensitivity. This approach leverages the fact that the magnetic flux density induced by a unit current (and therefore the voltage induced in the coil by nuclear spins) increases at a greater rate than the resistive noise (due to sample proximity), leading to improved signal-to-noise ratios. Despite the fact that planar microcoils are

#### WILEY-VCH

relatively well developed and give excellent NMR mass sensitivity<sup>[15</sup>], they have not found routine application because of difficulties in sample handling for such small volumes of liquid.

Interestingly, DMF solves the sample handling aspect, while the increased mass sensitivity of micro-coils opens up the future potential for routine analysis of tiny samples.



**Figure 1.** Functional principle of Digital Microfluidics (DMF) and it's integration with NMR. (a.1) Schematic side-view of a DMF device. The bottom-plate features an array of actuation electrodes (chromium, grey) on a bottom-substrate (glass, light blue), which are covered by a dielectric layer (Parylene, green) and a hydrophobic coating (Fluoropel, yellow). The top-plate features a ground electrode (copper, orange), and a hydrophobic layer (Fluoropel, yellow). (a.2) Schematic top-views, illustrating how a force can be induced on the droplet by applying a voltage between an actuation and a ground electrode. (a.3) Schematic top-views, illustrating how activating the electrodes in specific patterns allows the basic fluid operations moving, splitting/dispensing, merging, and mixing. (b) Photographs of NMR coil and DMF top-plate. (b.1) A 500 µm (1.D.) 980 µm (O.D.) planar microcoil is integrated into the ground electrode on the top-substrate, which is mounted on a holder. (b.2) shows the open holder (top), which functions as the top-plate, and the DMF bottom-plate with the actuation electrode array (bottom). A detailed description of the hardware setup can be found in our previous work.<sup>[11</sup> (b.3) Frames from a video of a DMF experiment in which two droplets are dispensed from reservoirs and then merged and mixed on the array of electrodes. Artificial colour has been added to the droplets to aid in visualization. (c) Schematic top-views of the positioning of actuation electrode array of the bottom-plate, NMR coil of the top-plate, and droplets sandwiched in between. (c.1) Reactants are loaded into the device. (c.2) Reactant "blue" is moved over the coil for shimming and moved back to its starting position. This is repeated with reactant "orange". (c.3) Both reactants are mixed and moved into the detection site over the coil. (c.4) The previously acquired shims are used for measurement permitting acquisition to be started immediately. Note that for clarity in (c.3) the droplets are shown to be mixed in a large circ

10.1002/anie.201910052

#### WILEY-VCH

The schematics in Fig. 1 illustrate (a) the principle of digital microfluidics, (b) the NMR-DMF integration, and (c) the experimental protocol. The DMF-NMR assembly (Fig. 1b) is described in the supporting information and in our previous work.[9] Briefly, the top plate and bottom plate are assembled along with an electrical manifold and housing to connect to a standard MIC-5 imaging probe, and then loaded into an 11.7 T Bruker Avance III spectrometer. The plates are separated by 0.18 mm such that unit-droplets of reagents (covering one driving electrode) were ~911 nL and the volume of sample within the field lines of the microcoil (0.98 mm OD) was ~136 nL. As previously demonstrated<sup>[9]</sup>, during NMR acquisition the droplets are actively stretched along the B<sub>0</sub> direction (direction of the external magnetic field) to produce an elongated shape that is more amenable to shimming using the vertically orientated shims on standard NMR spectrometers. Shimming can be performed on either a dummy reaction as previously described<sup>[9]</sup> or by shimming on one of the reactant droplets; the latter was used for the data reported here. Before the probe is inserted into the NMR system different chemical reagents are loaded on the bottom-plate in separate droplets (Fig. 1-c.1). Figure 1-c.2 shows how the two droplets (orange, blue) are moved on the device, while being inside the NMR system. The pre-shimming is also performed on the first droplet (blue) at this stage. After the shimming is complete the reactants are merged, mixed (Fig. 1c.3) and brought over the microcoil to monitor the reaction (Fig. 1-c.4). The DMF device is controlled using an open-source Dropbot control-system.<sup>[16]</sup> The voltage for droplet actuation was set to 145-165 Vrms at 10 kHz.

A model reaction was used to test the capability of the DMF-NMR system for carrying out organic reactions in organic solvents. A hydrolysis reaction in dimethylformamide was selected, in part because optically active diols are important intermediates for synthesizing natural products as well as biologically active compounds.<sup>[17]</sup> Scheme 1 shows the cyclohexene carbonate hydrolysis reaction<sup>[18]</sup> studied here. To increase the reaction rate, triazabicyclodecene (TBD) was employed as a strong nucleophilic reagent to catalyze this reaction and to create the basic condition required for rapid conversion.<sup>[19]</sup>

The model reaction studied here has rapid kinetics, and thus following its progress is out of reach for conventional NMR systems. Specifically, loading, matching/tuning and automated shimming protocols take many minutes, such that reactions like the one in Scheme 1 can proceed to completion prior to the first measurement. With DMF-NMR, reagents can be injected and reacted without moving the reaction vessel, such that the matching and tuning remains constant throughout the process. After pre-shimming, on initialization of the reaction (including a 10 s mixing step in which the merged droplet is manipulated across the array, Fig 1 c.3-4), data can be collected without delay. In typical experiments, spectra were collected every 5 seconds, providing sufficient temporal resolution to follow the progress of the reaction evaluated here. This sampling frequency is much faster than that reported in previous DMF-NMR analyses.<sup>[8-9]</sup> In theory, in future applications with ultrafast reactions (sensitivity permitting) it should be possible to collect NMR data in even shorter time frames; for example, collection of even full 2D datasets have been reported in as little as 100 ms

using cutting edge ultrafast 2D NMR.<sup>[20]</sup> In such applications the mixing on chip and pre-shimming are key steps which permit NMR data collection to start at exactly the same time as the reaction is initiated. For example, a recent pioneering study that developed tapered stripline NMR coils to follow rapid reactions in a capillary, cited that the rapid mixing of the reagents in a narrow capillary was the limiting factor rather than the NMR detection itself.<sup>[21]</sup>



Scheme 1. TBD-catalyzed hydrolysis of racemic cyclohexene carbonate (rac-CHC) to cis-1,2-cyclohexanediol (c-CHDO).



**Figure 2.** DMF-NMR for fast reaction analysis. (a) Representative spectra collected during the rac-CHC hydrolysis reaction ([rac-CHC]=4M) to c-CHDO in dimethylformamide-d<sub>7</sub>, with peaks highlighted that represent CHC protons (green, blue), c-CHDO protons (red), and IPA protons (turquoise); (b) Concentrations as a function of time extrapolated from peaks correlated to rac-CHC (blue triangles and green circles), c-CHDO (red triangles), IPA (turquoise triangles) (see the detailed chemical shift assignments in SI); (c) Concentrations as a function of time for pure rac-CHC (4 M). Insets are magnified regions at the beginning (left) and in the middle (right) of the experiment.

#### WILEY-VCH

Figure 2 shows the <sup>1</sup>H DMF-NMR data for the model hydrolysis reaction and corresponding concentration plots. First, it is important to note here that data are plotted from 15 seconds onwards. In the first 10 seconds the data are being actively mixed after which the droplet takes a 5 seconds to stabilize. As such during this time the integrals are less stable as the droplet content is changing dynamically, making it challenging to extract kinetic data. However, it is still possible to collect NMR data during this initial window (see Fig. S2) which would be important to monitor rapid qualitative changes (i.e. new products formed, intermediates formed) as soon as the droplets are brought together. After the first 15 seconds the data show a steady consumption of starting material rac-CHC (green and blue), with a corresponding increase in concentration of product cis-1.2cyclohexendiol (c-CHDO) (red) (detailed NMR spectral assignments are shown in Figure S3-S7, including diffusion ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) which is a powerful tool for separating products and studying non-covalent interactions). Note that water ( $[H_2O] = 8$  M) was included in the CHC reagent droplet to accelerate the reaction and to suppress the cyclic ring polymerization on



**Figure 3.** DMF-NMR hydrolysis reaction kinetics. Plot of the pseudo-first order reaction constant k' (black circles, left axis), and the second order reaction constant k (red boxes, right axis) as a function of the concentration of reactant rac-CHC. (The ratio between reactant and catalyst concentration in all the cases held at 10:1). Error bars represent ± standard deviation for n = 6 (see text for details).

mixing with TBD<sup>[19, 22]</sup>, although a trace amount of polymer still formed (Fig. S5). Likewise, a reference standard, isopropyl alcohol (IPA), was included in the TBD reagent droplet to permit normalization between runs and correct for changes not caused by the reaction. Concentrations as a function of time were calculated for all species. As shown in Figure 2b, the internal standard/IPA signal appears to increase for the first minute of the analysis, after which it plateaus. We hypothesize that this may reflect a subtle droplet shape change that takes effect in the first minute of incubation above the coil. Another potential explanation is that it is related to evaporation of solvent. To investigate this effect further, a control study was carried out in which droplets of reagent (rac-CHC dimethylformamide-d<sub>7</sub>) were treated as above, and then analyzed for a longer period of time (Fig. 2c). As shown, there is (again) a small signal increase observed in the first ~minute, with negligible changes observed for the remainder of the experiment (>50 min). The signal stability over this long duration suggests that evaporation is not causing appreciable changes to the signal. More work is needed to explore, but regardless of the mechanism, the inclusion of the internal standard allowed for reactant and product integrals to be corrected to obtain precise kinetic parameters.

The corrected reagent and product concentration data for the model hydrolysis reaction (Fig. 2b) exhibit exponential behaviour with time that is consistent with simple 1st order reaction kinetics. As outlined previously<sup>[23]</sup>, TBD is a basic catalyst that acts as a proton bridge because of the synergistic action of its two N atoms. Therefore, the promotion of proton transfer results in a base-catalyzed reaction that follows pseudofirst order reaction kinetics.<sup>[24]</sup> As shown in Figure 3, this was tested in the DMF-NMR system for different concentrations of reagent, while maintaining a constant ratio of reagent:catalyst. For example, the calculated reaction rate constant is k' = $0.0127 \pm 0.0011 \text{s}^{-1}$  for initial rac-CHC concentration = 4 M, which is similar to what was reported from alkaline hydrolysis of cyclic carbonate using conventional apparatus.<sup>[25]</sup> This indicates that at sub-µL volumes, the reaction follows the same chemical kinetics observed with larger volumes. As reported previously,<sup>[26]</sup> the second-order rate constant k for base-catalyzed reactions can be obtained by dividing k' by base concentration. Using [TBD] as an approximation, k was calculated here to be around 0.014±0.002 M<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> for rac-CHC again consistent with previous reports<sup>[26a]</sup>. In summary, Figure 3 demonstrates that DMF-NMR can be used to explore features of chemical reactions while only consuming small volumes of reagents.

Finally, a reaction in a methanol/water solvent was evaluated to test the performance of the DMF-NMR system with volatile constituents. The Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition between dimedone (DIM) and benzoic acid (BA) to form tetraketones (TKs)<sup>[27]</sup> (Scheme S1) was selected as a model system for this test, in recognition of the role of TKs as key intermediates for synthesizing heterocycles. Figure 4a and 4b show NMR data and associated kinetics for the model reaction, with assignments provided in the supporting materials (Figures S8-S9). We expected that evaporation might be more significant in this system (with a volatile solvent) than the one described above; thus, an internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used and its signal was evaluated as a function of time (Fig 4c). As shown, in 25 min the internal standard concentration increases by ~10%, suggesting that a similar amount of solvent evaporates during the reaction. In the future (if needed), this effect might be diminished by sealing the device. For the purposes described here, however, it was sufficient to simply correct the data shown in Fig. 4b for evaporation effect, allowing calculation of the reaction rate constant of 0.0011 s<sup>-1</sup>, based on a first order reaction mechanism proposed by previous studies.<sup>[28]</sup> To our knowledge, this is the first reaction rate constant reported for this reaction.



Figure 4. DMF-NMR for analysis of reactions in volatile solvents. (a) Representative spectra collected during the Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition of BA ([BA] = 0.1 M) to form TKs, with peaks highlighted that represent BA protons (red) and TK protons (blue). (b) Concentrations as a function of time extrapolated from peaks BA (red squares) and TK (blue circles). (Detailed chemical shift assignment in Fig. S8-9.) (c) The integrated peak area as a function of time I(t) relative to the initial integrated peak area I(0) for the peak corresponding to the internal standard TMS as a function of time.

In conclusion, this communication demonstrates a unique application of DMF-NMR: fast reaction monitoring of organic reactions in organic solvents. By pre-shimming on a reaction droplet, the reaction can be monitored immediately on mixing allowing reactions with rapid kinetics to be monitored. The small volumes inherent to DMF allow the use of tiny amounts of reagents, for example. for the tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition, each unit-droplet covering a single electrode (911 nL) contained 6.3 µg of DIM and 9.4 µg of BA, while in the active volume above the coil (136 nl) only 0.94  $\mu g$  and 1.4  $\mu g$  were needed for NMR detection. As such this opens up the possibility of studying various reaction conditions using NMR, even with limited or precious reagents. Here the DMF-NMR measured reaction rate constants that are consistent with literature values indicating the rate determined at µL-volume, still follows the expected chemical kinetics observed with larger volumes.

In future, the possibility of using larger DMF chips could provide the space for numerous reagents as-well as solvent reservoirs. Seeing as mixing and movement of the chemicals is fully computer controlled and automated, this opens up the potential for DMF with NMR detection as a synthetic "discovery tool", where a wide range of reagents could be mixed and monitored to either characterize a known reaction in detail or search for novel reactions and unexpected products while using only tiny amounts of reagents. Given that DMF can automate reactions and NMR provide unparalleled de novo structure elucidation, it is more a question of *when* DMF-NMR with become an everyday tool in organic chemistry research rather than *if*.

#### **Experimental Section**

Details are provided in the supporting information

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) (STPGP 494273-16), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation (MRI), and the Krembil Foundation for providing funding. A.S. would like to thank the Government of Ontario for an Early Researcher Award. A.R.W. thanks the Canada Research Chairs (CRC) Association for a CRC.

**Keywords:** Digital Microfluidics • reaction monitoring • NMR • hydrolysis • rapid reaction

- a) J. Berthier, Micro-drops and digital microfluidics, Second edition. ed., Elsevier/WA, Amsterdam, 2013; b) K. Choi, A. H. C. Ng, R. Fobel, A. R. Wheeler, Annu Rev Anal Chem 2012, 5, 413-440.
- [2] a) H. Moon, A. R. Wheeler, R. L. Garrell, J. A. Loo, C. J. Kim, Lab on a chip 2006, 6, 1213-1219; b) V. N. Luk, A. R. Wheeler, Analytical chemistry 2009, 81, 4524-4530.
- [3] a) K. Choi, A. H. C. Ng, R. Fobel, D. A. Chang-Yen, L. E. Yarnell, E. L. Pearson, C. M. Oleksak, A. T. Fischer, R. P. Luoma, J. M. Robinson, J. Audet, A. R. Wheeler, Analytical chemistry 2013, 85, 9638-9646; b) L. Coudron, M. B. McDonnell, I. Munro, D. K. McCluskey, I. D. Johnston, C. K. L. Tan, M. C. Tracey, Biosensors & Bioelectronics 2019, 128, 52-60.
- [4] a) M. J. Jebrail, A. H. C. Ng, V. Rai, R. Hili, A. K. Yudin, A. R. Wheeler, Angew Chem Int Edit 2010, 49, 8625-8629; b) P. Y. Keng, S. P. Chen, H. J. Ding, S. Sadeghi, G. J. Shah, A. Dooraghi, M. E. Phelps, N. Satyamurthy, A. F. Chatziioannou, C. J. Kim, R. M. van Dama, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2012, 109, 690-695; c) M. Torabinia, P. Asgari, U. s. Dakarapu, J. Jeon, H. Moon, Lab on a chip 2019 In Press.
- [5] a) A. H. C. Ng, M. D. Chamberlain, H. Situ, V. Lee, A. R. Wheeler, Nat Commun 2015, 6; b) M. Y. Chiang, Y. W. Hsu, H. Y. Hsieh, S. Y. Chen, S. K. Fan, Sci Adv 2016, 2.
- [6] J. Fiaux, E. B. Bertelsen, A. L. Horwich, K. Wuthrich, Nature 2002, 418, 207-211.
- [7] C. L. Perrin, T. J. Dwyer, Chem Rev 1990, 90, 935-967.
- [8] I. Swyer, R. Soong, M. D. M. Dryden, M. Fey, W. E. Maas, A. Simpson, A. R. Wheeler, Lab on a chip 2016, 16, 4424-4435.
- [9] I. Swyer, S. von der Ecken, B. Wu, A. Jenne, R. Soong, F. Vincent, D. Schmidig, T. Frei, F. Busse, H. J. Stronks, A. J. Simpson, A. R. Wheeler, Lab on a chip 2019, 19, 641-653.
- [10] B. Hadwen, G. R. Broder, D. Morganti, A. Jacobs, C. Brown, J. R. Hector, Y. Kubota, H. Morgan, Lab on a chip 2012, 12, 3305-3313.
- [11] a) R. G. Bergstrom, M. J. Čashen, Y. Chiang, A. J. Kresge, J Org Chem 1979, 44, 1639-1642; b) J. Kerovuo, J. Rouvinen, F. Hatzack, Biochem J 2000, 352, 623-628.
- [12] a) R. F. Storey, A. B. Donnalley, T. L. Maggio, Macromolecules 1998, 31, 1523-1526; b) D. Gracin, V. Strukil, T. Friscic, I. Halasz, K. Uzarevic, Angew Chem Int Edit 2014, 53, 6193-6197.
- [13] G. L. Closs, R. J. Miller, J Am Chem Soc 1981, 103, 3586-3588.
- [14] C. A. Muller, A. Pfaltz, Angew Chem Int Edit 2008, 47, 3363-3366.
- [15] a) C. Massin, F. Vincent, A. Homsy, K. Ehrmann, G. Boero, P. A. Besse, A. Daridon, E. Verpoorte, N. F. de Rooij, R. S. Popovic, J Magn Reson 2003, 164, 242-255; b) I. Fugariu, R. Soong, D. Lane, M. Fey, W. Maas, F. Vincent, A. Beck, D. Schmidig, B. Treanor, A. J. Simpson, Analyst 2017, 142, 4812-4824.

### WILEY-VCH

- [16] R. Fobel, C. Fobel, A. R. Wheeler, Appl Phys Lett 2013, 102.
- [17] a) A. B. Holmes, A. B. Tabor, R. Baker, J Chem Soc Perk T 1 1991, 3301-3306; b) T. L. Underiner, L. A. Paquette, J Org Chem 1992, 57, 5438-5447.
- [18] M. Shimojo, K. Matsumoto, M. Hatanaka, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 9281-9288.
- [19] M. Metzger, B. Strehle, S. Solchenbach, H. A. Gasteiger, J Electrochem Soc 2016, 163, A1219-A1225.
- [20] P. Giraudeau, L. Frydman, Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry, Vol 7 2014, 7, 129-161.
- [21] K. C. H. Tijssen, J. Bart, R. M. Tiggelaar, J. W. G. Janssen, A. P. M. Kentgens, P. J. M. van Bentum, J Magn Reson 2016, 263, 136-146.
- [22] B. Ochiai, Y. Satoh, T. Endo, Green Chem 2005, 7, 765-767.

- [23] J. Ma, X. L. Zhang, N. Zhao, F. K. Xiao, W. Wei, Y. H. Sun, J Mol Struc-Theochem 2009, 911, 40-45.
- [24] J. F. Kirsch, W. P. Jencks, J Am Chem Soc 1964, 86, 833-&.
   [25] a) A. H. Saadi, W. H. Lee, J Chem Soc B 1966, 1-&; b) A. H. Saadi, W.
- H. Lee, J Chem Soc B 1966, 5-&.
  [26] a) E. T. Kaiser, M. Panar, F. H. Westheimer, J Am Chem Soc 1963, 85, 602-607; b) T. C. Bruice, S. J. Benkovic, J Am Chem Soc 1964, 86,
- 602-607; b) T. C. Bruice, S. J. Benkovic, J Am Chem Soc 1964, 86, 418-426.
  Z7] J. J. Yu, L. M. Wang, J. Q. Liu, F. L. Guo, Y. Liu, N. Jiao, Green Chem
- [27] J. J. Yu, L. M. Wang, J. Q. Liu, F. L. Guo, Y. Liu, N. Jiao, Green Chem 2010, 12, 216-219.
- [28] C. P. Haas, U. Tallarek, ChemistryOpen 2019, 8, 606-614.

ccepted Manuscr

### WILEY-VCH

# COMMUNICATION

## COMMUNICATION

Automated Discovery: When combined microcoil nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and digital microfluidics (DMF) allow sub-microliter volumes of reagents to be moved and mixed inside the NMR to initiate reactions. This permits monitoring reactions of scarce or expensive reagents and opens up the potential for future automated synthetic discovery platforms.



Bing Wu, Sebastian von der Ecken, Ian Swyer, Chunliang Li, Amy Jenne, Franck Vincent, Daniel Schmidig, Till Kuehn, Falko Busse, Henry Stronks, Ronald Soong, Aaron R. Wheeler\*, André Simpson\*\_\_\_\_\_

Rapid Chemical Reaction Monitoring by Digital Microfluidics-NMR: Proof of Principle Towards an Automated Synthetic Discovery Platform

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.