A Facile Route to Polysubstituted Indoles via Three-Component Reaction of 2-Ethynylaniline, Sulfonyl Azide, and Nitroolefin

Zhiyuan Chen,[†] Danqing Zheng,[†] and Jie Wu^{*,†,‡}

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China, and State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, China

jie_wu@fudan.edu.cn

Received November 21, 2010

ABSTRACT



A copper-catalyzed three-component reaction of 2-ethynylaniline, sulfonyl azide, and nitroolefin is reported. This reaction generates functionalized indoles in good yields and proceeds smoothly under mild conditions. Some hits as an HCT-116 inhibitor are found from the preliminary biological screening.

The indole core is recognized as a "privileged motif" because of its presence in many biologically active natural products and pharmaceuticals.¹ Much effort has been paid toward the synthesis and functionalization of indoles, and methods for the preparation of indole derivatives have been

well developed.²⁻⁵ Classical routes for indole formation include Fischer synthesis³ (from arylhydrazone), Batcho– Leimgruber synthesis (from *o*-nitrotoluenes and dimethylformamide acetals), Gassman synthesis (from *N*-haloanilines), Madelung cyclization of *N*-acyl-*o*-toluidines, and the reductive cyclization of *o*-nitrobenzyl ketones.⁴ Recently, transition-metal-catalyzed transformations and multicomponent reactions have also been widely applied in indole synthesis.⁵ While these methods have contributed greatly to this area, the development of efficient catalytic methods for the preparation of functionalized indoles using a diversity-oriented synthesis strategy remains an active research field.

ORGANIC LETTERS

2011 Vol. 13, No. 5

848-851

[†]Fudan University.

[‡]Chinese Academy of Sciences.

 ^{(1) (}a) Saxton, J. E. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1997, 14, 559. (b) Toyota, M.; Ihara, N. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1998, 15, 327 and references therein. (c) Joule, J. A. Product Class 13: Indole and Its Derivatives; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2001.
 (d) Kawasaki, T.; Higuchi, K. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005, 22, 761. (e) Humphrey, G. R.; Kuethe, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2875.

⁽²⁾ For reviews on indole chemistry, see: (a) Sundberg, R. L. Indoles; Academic: London, 1996. (b) Katritzky, A. R.; Pozharskii, A. F. Handbook of Heterocyclic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 2000; Chapter 4. (c) Joule, J. A. In Science of Synthesis (Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transformations); Thomas, E. J., Ed.; Georg Thieme: Stuttgart, 2000; Vol. 10, pp 361–652. (d) Li, J. J.; Gribble, G. W. In Palladium in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 2000; Chapter 3. For other references, see: (e) Gribble, G. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1045. (f) Gribble, G. W. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1996; Vol. 2, p 207. (g) Kruger, K.; Tillack, A.; Beller, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2139.

^{(3) (}a) Fischer, E.; Jourdan, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1883, 16, 2241.
(b) Fischer, E.; Hess, O. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1884, 17, 559.
(c) Robinson, B. The Fischer Indole Synthesis; John Wiley and Sons: Chichester, 1982. (d) Hughes, D. L. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1993, 25, 607.
(e) Kaim, L. E.; Grimaud, L.; Ronsseray, C. Synlett 2010, 2296.

^{(4) (}a) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2873. (b) Stevens, C. V.; Van Meenen, E.; Eeckhout, Y.; Vanderhoydonck, B.; Hooghe, W. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, *38*, 4827. (c) Batail, N.; Bendjeriou, A.; Djakovitch, L.; Dufaud, V. *Appl. Catal.*, *A* **2010**, *388*, 179. (d) Jeught, S. V.; Vos, N. D.; Masschelein, K.; Ghiviriga, I.; Stevens, C. V. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 5444.

^{(5) (}a) Larock, R. C.; Babu, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1037.
(b) Larock, R. C.; Yum, E. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6689.
(c) Larock, R. C.; Yum, E. K.; Refvik, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7652. (d) Huang, G.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7342. (e) Jia, Y.; Zhu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7826. (f) Zhao, J.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5340. (g) Zeni, G.; Larock, R. C. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4644. (h) Patil, S.; Buolamwini, J. K. Curr. Org. Synth. 2006, 3, 477.



3-(2-aminoethyl)-1H-indol-2-amine



Among the family of indoles, compounds containing 3-(2-aminoethyl)-1H-indol-2-amine (Figure 1) have attracted much attention recently. For example, Celogentin C is a bicyclic octapeptide isolated from the seeds of

(7) For recent selected examples, see: (a) Chen, Z.; Wu, J. Org. Lett.
2010, 12, 4856. (b) Qiu, G.; Ding, Q.; Ren, H.; Peng, Y.; Wu, J. Org. Lett.
2010, 12, 3975. (c) Chen, Z.; Yu, X.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6356. (d) Ye, S.; Yang, X.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5238. (e) Ye, S.; Yang, X.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5238. (e) Ye, S.; Yang, X.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 2950. (f) Ye, S.; Gao, K.; Wu, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1746. (g) Yu, X.; Ye, S.; Wu, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1746. (g) Yu, X.; Ye, S.; Wu, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2050. (h) Yu, X.; Wu, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 238. (i) Yu, X.; Chen, Z.; Yang, X.; Wu, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 743. (j) Ye, S.; Ren, H.; Wu, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 670. (k) Yu, X.; Ding, Q.; Wu, J. J. Comb. Chem. 2010, 12, 743. (l) Ren, H.; Ye, S.; Liu, F.; Wu, J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 8242.

(8) For a recent review, see: (a) Lu, P.; Wang, Y.-G. *Synlett* 2010, 165.
(b) Yoo, E. J.; Chang, S. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2009, *13*, 1766.

(9) Iminocoumarin: (a) Shen, Y.; Cui, S.; Wang, J.; Chen, X.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1139. (b) Cui, S. L.; Lin, X. F.; Wang, Y.-G. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4517. N-Sulfonylazetidin-2imine:(c) Xu, X.; Cheng, D.; Li, J.; Guo, H.; Yan, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1585. (d) Whiting, M.; Fokin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3157. Indoline or pyrroline:(e) Yoo, E. J.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, Yao, W.; Pan, L.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, G.; Wang, X.; Ma., C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9210. 2H-1,2,4-Benzothiadiazine 1,1-dioxide: (h) Kim, J.; Lee, Y.; Lee, J.; Do, Y.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 9454. 2-Imino-1,2-dihydroquinoline and 2-iminothiochromene:(i) Cui, S. L.; Wang, J.; Wang, Y.-G. *Tetrahetron* **2008**, *64*, 487. Pyrazoline:(j) Cui, S.-L.; Wang, J.; Wang, Y.-G. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 13. Benzimidazole:(k) She, J.; Jiang, Z.; Wang, Y. G. Synlett **2009**, 2023. N-Sulfonyl-triazoles:(l) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2596. (m) Wang, F.; Fu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1830. (n) Maisonneuve, S.; Xie, J. Synlett 2009, 2977. 4,5-Dihydrofuran:(o) Shang, Y.; Ju, K.; He, X.; Hu, J.; Yu, S.; Zhang, M.; Liao, K.; Wang, L.; Zhang, P. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5743. Oxazole:(p) Shang, Y.; He, X.; Hu, J.; Wu, J.; Zhang, M.; Yu, S.; Zhang, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2709. (q) Cano, I.; Alvarez, E.; Nicasio, M. C.; Pérez, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 191. Cyclic amidinesa:(r) Chang, S.; Lee, M.; Jung, D. Y.; Yoo, E. J.; Cho, S. H.; Han, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12366.

(10) (a) Yoo, E. J.; Bae, I.; Cho, S. H.; Han, H.; Chang, S. Org. Lett.
2006, 8, 1347. (b) Cho, S. H.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1897. (c) Cho, S. H.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2836. (d) Yoo, E. J.; Park, S. H.; Lee, S. H.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1155. (e) Yoo, E. J.; Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5520. (f) Bae, I.; Han, H.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5520. (f) Bae, I.; Han, H.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2038. (g) Cho, S. H.; Yoo, E. J.; Bae, I.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16046. (h) Husmann, R.; Na, Y. S.; Bolm, C.; Chang, S. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5494. (i) Kim, J. Y.; Kim, S. H.; Chang, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1745. (j) Lu, W.; Song, W. Z.; Hong, D.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1768. (k) Jin, H.; Xu, X.; Gao, J.; Zhong, J.; Wang, Y.-G. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1267. (m) Song, W.; Lu, W.; Wang, J.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3481.

Scheme 1. Proposed Synthetic Route for 3-(2-Aminoethyl)-1*H*-indol-2-amine Formation



Celosia argentea,^{6a} which inhibits the polymerization of tubulin.^{6b} As part of our program for combinatorial construction of privileged scaffolds used in different biological assays,⁷ we are interested in the generation of 3-(2-aminoethyl)-1H-indol-2-amine derivatives. Recently, a Cu-catalyzed multicomponet reaction of sulfonyl azide, a terminal alkyne, and a nucleophile has been well developed.^{8–11} The reaction involves a Cu-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition which generates a ketenimine intermediate. In this field, most of the effort is contributed by Chang and Wang.⁸⁻¹⁰ As described, the developed procedures are characterized by high selectivity, mild reaction conditions, a wide substrate scope, and excellent functional group tolerance. Prompted by the advancement mentioned above, we conceive that 3-(2-aminoethyl)-1H-indol-2-amine derivatives could be synthesized as well from a reaction of sulfonyl azide, a terminal alkyne, and a nucleophile. The proposed synthetic route is described in Scheme 1. We envisioned that the target compound A could be traced back to indole 3, which might be generated from the three-component reaction of 2-ethynylaniline 1, sulfonyl azide, and nitroolefin 2. Herein, we wish to disclose our recent efforts for this transformation.

Initial studies were performed for the reaction of *N*-acetyl-2-ethynylaniline **1a**, *p*-toluenesulfonic azide, and (*E*)-(2-nitrovinyl)benzene **2a** in the presence of copper salt as a catalyst. At the beginning, CuI was used as the catalyst and triethylamine (TEA) was employed as the base. To our delight, the desired product **3a** was obtained in 73% yield after 6 h when the reaction occurred in anhydrous CH₃CN under a N₂ atmosphere (Table 1, entry 1). The structure of **3a** was confirmed by X-ray

^{(6) (}a) Kobayashi, J.; Suzuki, H.; Shimbo, K.; Takeya, K.; Morita, H. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6626. (b) Morita, H.; Shimbo, K.; Shigemori, H.; Kobayashi, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 469. For synthesis of Celogentin C, see:Ma, B.; Banerjee, B.; Litvinov, D. N.; He, L.; Castle, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1159 and references cited therein.

^{(11) (}a) Tornøe, C. W.; Christensen, C.; Meldal, M. J. Org. Chem.
2002, 67, 3057. (b) Xu, M.; Kuang, C.; Wang, Z.; Yang, Q. Synlett 2010,
2664. (c) Liu, N.; Tang, B.-Y.; Chen, Y.; He, L. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009,
2059. (d) Mandal, S.; Gauniyal, H. M.; Pramanik, K.; Mukhopadhyay,
B. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9753. (e) Xu, X.; Cheng, D.; Li, J.; Guo, H.;
Yan, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1585. (f) Whiting, M.; Fokin, V. V. Angew.
Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3157.

Table 1. Initial Studies for the Three-Component Reaction of

 2-Ethynylaniline, Sulfonyl Azide, and Nitroolefin



entry	Lewis acid	base	solvent	time (h)	yield $(\%)^a$
1	CuI	TEA	CH_3CN	6	73
2	CuBr	TEA	CH_3CN	6	81
3	CuCl	TEA	CH_3CN	24	32
4	$Cu(OTf)_2$	TEA	CH_3CN	24	25
5	IPr/CuI	TEA	CH_3CN	24	24
6	IPr/CuCl	TEA	CH_3CN	24	52
7	CuBr	DIPEA	CH_3CN	12	21
8	CuBr	Py	CH_3CN	24	trace
9	CuBr	n-Bu ₃ N	CH_3CN	8	61
10	CuBr	TEA	THF	8	32
11	CuBr	TEA	DMSO	4	54
12	CuBr	TEA	1,4-dioxane	6	91
13	CuBr	TEA	Toluene	6	45
14	CuBr	TEA	$CHCl_3$	10	54
15	CuBr	TEA	DCE	12	48
16	CuBr	TEA	\mathbf{DMF}	6	24
17	CuBr^b	TEA	1,4-dioxane	8	83
18	CuBr^c	TEA	1,4-dioxane	20	60

^{*a*} Isolated yield based on *N*-acetyl-2-ethynylaniline **1a**. ^{*b*} In the presence of 8 mol % of CuBr. ^{*c*} In the presence of 5 mol % of CuBr.

diffraction analysis as well. However, it seems that there is still a chance of spreading the double bond character over the ring and "tosylamido" moiety by analyzing the related bond lengths (see Supporting Information). Other copper catalysts were screened subsequently (Table 1, entries 2-6). The results showed that CuBr was the best one for the transformation (81% yield), compared with CuCl (32%), Cu(OTf)₂ (25%), IPr/CuI (24%), and IPr/CuCl (52%). Further screening of bases including diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), pyridine (Py), and n-Bu₃N revealed that no obvious improvement was achieved (Table 1, entries 7-9). The reaction was tested in various solvents as well, which showed that the best result (91% yield) was generated in 1,4-dioxane (Table 1, entry 12). Inferior yields were isolated when the catalyst loading was reduced (Table 1, entries 17-18).

Having defined an efficient catalytic system [CuBr (10 mol %), Et_3N , 1,4-dioxane, room temperature], the scope of this three-component reaction of 2-ethynylaniline 1, sulfonyl azide, and nitroolefin 2 was explored. The results are summarized in Table 2. To assess the impact of the structural and functional motifs on the reaction, we tested a range of 2-ethynylanilines and nitroolefins. For all cases, *N*-acetyl-2-ethynylaniline 1 reacted with sulfonyl azide and nitroolefin 2 leading to the corresponding polysubstituted indoles 3 in good to excellent yields.

 Table 2. Generation of Polysubstituted Indoles via Three

 Component Reaction of 2-Ethynylaniline, Sulfonyl Azide, and

 Nitroolefin



entry	R^1, R^2	R^3	yield $(\%)^a$
1	H, Ac (1a)	$4\text{-}MeOC_{6}H_{4}\left(2a\right)$	91 (3a)
2	H, Ac (1a)	$C_6H_5\left(\mathbf{2b}\right)$	83 (3b)
3	H, Ac (1a)	1-naphthalene ($2c$)	$95(\mathbf{3c})$
4	H, Ac (1a)	$4\text{-}\mathrm{FC}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2d}\right)$	60 (3d)
5	H, Ac (1a)	$4\text{-}BrC_{6}H_{4}\left(\mathbf{2e}\right)$	88 (3e)
6	H, Ac (1a)	$2\text{-BrC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2f}\right)$	86 (3f)
7	H, Ac (1a)	n-pentyl ($2g$)	$40\left(\mathbf{3g}\right)$
8	H, Ac (1a)	furan-2-yl ($2h$)	$70\left(\mathbf{3h}\right)$
9	H, Ac (1a)	thiophen-2-yl (2i)	60 (3i)
10	4-Me, Ac (1b)	$4\text{-MeOC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2a}\right)$	61 (3j)
11	4- ^{<i>n</i>} Bu, Ac (1c)	$4\text{-}MeOC_{6}H_{4}\left(2a\right)$	92 (3k)
12	4- ^{<i>n</i>} Bu, Ac (1c)	$4\text{-}BrC_{6}H_{4}\left(2e\right)$	91 (3l)
13	4- ^{<i>n</i>} Bu, Ac (1c)	furan-2-yl (2h)	92 (3m)
14	4-F, Ac (1d)	$4\text{-}MeOC_{6}H_{4}\left(2a\right)$	$55(\mathbf{3n})$
15	4-F, Ac (1d)	$4\text{-}BrC_{6}H_{4}\left(\mathbf{2e}\right)$	$71(\mathbf{3o})$
16	4-F, Ac (1d)	furan-2-yl ($2h$)	$50 \left(\mathbf{3p} \right)$
17	4-CF ₃ , Ac (1e)	$C_{6}H_{5}\left(2b ight)$	$65 \left(\mathbf{3q} \right)$
18	4-CF ₃ , Ac (1e)	$2\text{-}BrC_{6}H_{4}\left(\mathbf{2f}\right)$	$52\left(\mathbf{3r}\right)$
19	4-CF ₃ , Ac (1e)	thiophen-2-yl (2i)	$60 \left(\mathbf{3s} \right)$
20	$H, H(\mathbf{1f})$	$4\text{-}MeOC_{6}H_{4}\left(2a\right)$	messy
21	H, Boc $(1g)$	1-naphthalene ($2c$)	85 (3t)
22	H, Boc (1g)	$4\text{-}BrC_{6}H_{4}\left(2e\right)$	$81({\bf 3u})$

^{*a*} Isolated yield based on *N*-acetyl-2-ethynylaniline **1**.

For instance, reaction of N-(2-ethynylphenyl)acetamide 1a, sulfonyl azide, and nitrostyrene 2b gave rise to the desired product **3b** in 83% yield (Table 2, entry 2). When (E)-1-(2-nitrovinyl)naphthalene **2c** was employed in the above reaction, the desired product 3c was isolated in 95% yield (Table 2, entry 3). A lower yield was obtained when (E)-1-fluoro-4-(2-nitrovinyl)benzene 2d was used as a replacement (60% yield, Table 2, entry 4). Good results were generated when (E)-1-bromo-4-(2-nitrovinyl) benzene 2e was utilized as a partner in the reaction (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). However, an inferior yield was displayed when aliphatic-substituted nitroolefin 2g was employed in the reaction (40% yield, Table 2, entry 7). This result is reasonable due to the less reactive alkyl nitroolefin.^{12a,b} Additionally, other factors, such as polymerization of alkyl nitroolefin, may also affect the efficiency of the transformation.^{12c} An electrophile containing a heterocycle, such as furanyl or thiophenyl, was also tolerated, leading to the desired products in good

^{(12) (}a) Dong, X.-Q.; Teng, H.-L.; Tong, M.-C.; Huang, H.; Tao,
H.-Y.; Wang, C.-J. *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 6840. (b) Cao, C.-L.; Ye,
M.-C.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. *Org. Lett.* 2006, 8, 2901. (c) Noland, W. E.;
Christensen, G. M.; Sauer, G. L.; Dutton, G. G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1955, 77, 456.

yield (Table 2, entries 8 and 9). We next examined the reaction of *N*-acetyl-2-ethynylaniline 1 with substituents on the aromatic ring (1b-1e) (Table 2, entries 10-19). As expected, the corresponding products were obtained in good yields, whether the nitroolefin was attached with an electron-donating or -withdrawing group. As for the protecting group of amine in 2-ethynylaniline 1, it was found that a one-pot reaction of 2-ethynylaniline 1f, sulfonvl azide, and nitroolefin 2a was not workable under the standard conditions (Table 2, entry 20). In the case of *N*-Boc-2-ethynylaniline **1g**, the reaction worked well in furnishing the expected products in good yields (Table 2, entries 21 and 22). However, when N-tosyl-2-ethynylaniline was used as the substrate in the transformation, only a copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne [3 + 2] cycloaddition product was observed.¹³ The reaction was complex when N-alkyl-2-ethynylaniline was employed (data were not shown in Table 2).

Scheme 2. Possible Mechanism for the Three-Component Reaction of 2-Ethynylaniline, Sulfonyl Azide, and Nitroolefin



The possible mechanism for this three-component reaction is described in Scheme 2. As reported by Chang and Wang,^{8–10} the reactive ketenimine **b** would be generated by the ring-opening rearrangement of triazole intermediate **a**, which was formed from alkyne **1** and sulfonyl azide in the presence of CuBr and triethylamine. Subsequently intramolecular nucleophilic addition occurred, leading to the intermediate **c**. Afterwards intermolecular Michael addition and tautomerization afforded the desired product **3**.

The subsequent biological screening as an HCT-116 inhibitor revealed that the results were promising. The data for active compounds are presented in Table 3.

Table 3.	Biological	Screening	Result as	HCT-116	Inhibitor
-					

	e	e		
compd	concn	result type	result	error
3b	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	8.045	5.258
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	4.305	0.378
3c	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	8.053	3.578
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	7.842	0.716
3e	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	15.491	3.197
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	11.588	1.024
3f	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	13.515	13.415
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	7.784	0.734
3h	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	13.937	3.128
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	9.279	1.056
3i	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	19.139	3.057
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	7.766	0.631
3j	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	4.312	0.701
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	3.495	0.384
3k	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	17.706	1.629
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	11.272	1.229
3n	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	4.588	0.604
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	6.262	0.546
30	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	17.583	6.207
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	8.89	0.915
3q	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	6.952	2.444
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	7.199	0.796
3r	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	5.855	1.956
		$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)$	4.989	0.449
3s	$20\mu { m g/mL}$	%Activity	48.453	1.206
		$IC_{50} \left(\mu g/mL\right)$	17.061	2.003

Compound **3j** was discovered as being the best (IC₅₀ 3.495 μ g/mL). These results are encouraging for the further construction of the library of indole **3**.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient copper (I)-catalyzed three-component reaction of 2-ethynylaniline 1, sulfonyl azide, and nitroolefin 2. A variety of polysubstituted indole derivatives were obtained in moderate to good yields. In this transformation, a broad substrate scope has been demonstrated. Additionally, the reaction conditions are extremely mild. Some hits as an HCT-116 inhibitor are found from the preliminary biological screening. We believe that this method is attractive for further library construction.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21032007) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Prof. Jia Li (The National Center for Drug Screening, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for providing the biological assays.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedure, characterization data, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds **3**, and X-ray crystal data of compound **3a**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹³⁾ For selected examples, see: (a) Wang, Q.; Chan, T. R.; Hilgraf, R.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B.; Finn, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3192. (b) Krasiński, A.; Radić, Z.; Manetsch, R.; Raushel, J.; Taylor, R.; Sharpless, K. B.; Kolb, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6686. (c) Orski, S. V.; Poloukhtine, A. A.; Arumugam, S.; Mao, L.; Popik, V. V.; Locklin, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1024.