## Drug Development

## Reactivity-Based One-Pot Synthesis of Oligomannoses: Defining Antigens Recognized by 2G12, a Broadly Neutralizing Anti-HIV-1 Antibody\*\*

Hing-Ken Lee, Christopher N. Scanlan, Cheng-Yuan Huang, Aileen Y. Chang, Daniel A. Calarese, Raymond A. Dwek, Pauline M. Rudd, Dennis R. Burton, Ian. A. Wilson, and Chi-Huey Wong\*

The design of immunogens capable of eliciting broadly neutralizing antibodies is a major, but elusive, goal of HIV vaccine research.<sup>[1]</sup> However, a small panel of broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies (mAbs)<sup>[2]</sup> isolated from seropositive donors may be very valuable in guiding the design of such immunogens.<sup>[3]</sup> One antibody from this panel is mAb 2G12, which recognizes a conserved and unusually dense cluster of oligomannose residues on the "silent face" of gp120, the envelope protein of HIV-1.<sup>[4]</sup>

The crystal structure of the Fab fragment of 2G12 shows that the antibody adopts a highly unusual domain-exchanged dimeric structure.<sup>[5]</sup> The structure produces an array of antibody combining sites in proximity to one another (Figure 1). Two conventional combining sites composed of heavy ( $V_H$ ) and light ( $V_L$ ) variable domains sandwich an unconventional site composed of residues from the two neighboring  $V_H$  domains.

The structure of Fab 2G12 complexed with  $Man_9GlcNAc_2$ (1) shows that the conventional binding sites are occupied by the D1 arms of the  $Man_9GlcNAc_2$  moieties, and that the terminal  $Man\alpha 1$ -2Man residues make 85% of the protein

[*]	Dr. HK. Lee, CY. Huang, Dr. A. Y. Chang, Prof. Dr. CH. Wong
	The Change Institute for Changing Dislams
	The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology
	10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA) Fax: (+1) 858-784-2409
	E-mail: wong@scripps.edu
	C. N. Scanlan, Prof. Dr. D. R. Burton
	Departments of Immunology and Molecular Biology The Scripps Research Institute
	10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA)
	D. A. Calarese, Prof. Dr. I. A. Wilson Department of Molecular Biology and
	The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology
	The Scripps Research Institute
	10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA)
	Prof. Dr. R. A. Dwek, Dr. P. M. Rudd
	Glycobiology Institute
	Department of Biochemistry
	University of Oxford
	Oxford, OX1 3QU (UK)
[**]	This work was supported by Optimer Pharmaceuticals, San Diego (C.H.W.) and the National Institutes of Health (Grant no. AI33292 to D.R.B. and GM 46192 to I.A.W.).
	Supporting information for this article is available on the W/W/W

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

1000 © 2004 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200353105



**Figure 1.** The structure of  $Man_9GlcNAc_2$  (1; Man = mannose; Glc = glucose; Ac, acetyl) on gp120 interacting with the Fab fragment of the broadly neutralizing 2G12 antibody.

contacts. In the crystal, the D2 arms from two neighboring  $Man_9GlcNAc_2$  moieties occupy the nonconventional site.

We are attempting to use the structural information obtained from the complexes of 2G12 with oligomannose chains to design novel immunogens that will elicit a 2G12-like antibody response. As part of these efforts toward development of an HIV vaccine, we have designed and synthesized the Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man-containing oligomannoses **2–6** (Scheme 1) by using a reactivity-based, modular one-pot synthesis method that requires a minimal number of building blocks.

The programmable reactivity-based one-pot method for oligosaccharide synthesis<sup>[6]</sup> has since been successfully applied to the synthesis of several biologically significant oligosaccharides, which include Globo H,<sup>[7]</sup> Lewis Y,<sup>[8]</sup> *N*-acetyllactosamine oligomers,<sup>[9]</sup> and fucosyl-GM<sub>1</sub>.<sup>[10]</sup> Before the one-pot synthesis could be applied to oligomannosides the relative reactivity value (RRV) of each monomer had first to be determined by a competitive HPLC assay. The RRV of each mannoside is then used as a guide for the selection of thioglycoside building blocks (Figure 2 a).

An analysis of the RRVs of mannose building blocks for the one-pot synthesis of trimannose Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man, the D1 arm of Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub>, suggests that D-mannose thioglycosides are less reactive than other thioglycosides, such as fucose and galactose.<sup>[6]</sup> In addition, 2-hydroxymannose thioglycosides are in general much more reactive than the corresponding 2-protected derivatives (Scheme 2), which makes a one-pot synthesis with a universal leaving group (used to simplify the protocol and conditions) difficult to carry out. This problem was overcome by Ley and co-workers, who synthesized trimannose with a one-pot strategy by







Scheme 1. The structures of oligomannoses 2-6.



Figure 2. Strategy for a) sequential one-pot synthesis and b) one-pot self-condensation synthesis.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1000-1000

www.angewandte.org

## Communications



*Scheme 2.* RRV values of 2-hydroxymannose thioglycosides. Bn = benzyl, Tol = tolyl, TBDMS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl.

changing the anomeric leaving group on mannose from F to SePh to SEt, and thus changing the reactivity of this group.<sup>[11]</sup>

While we continue to find new protecting groups to tune the reactivity of mannose thioglycosides for the one-pot synthesis of oligomannoses, we report herein a new one-pot strategy for the synthesis of both mannose dimer **8** and trimer **9**. In our strategy, the most reactive monomer undergoes selfcondensation to give a less-reactive dimer. The dimer then serves as an acceptor for another monomer molecule, which leads to formation of the trimer (Figure 2b). Several 2hydroxymannose thioglycosides were designed and tested for self-condensation but their reactions were not clean and separation of the monomer, dimer, and trimer by column chromatography was required. This problem was overcome by introducing the nonpolar protecting group *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl to form **7**, which undergoes self-condensation to give dimer **8** and trimer **9** (Scheme 3).

Entry 5 in Table 1 lists the optimal conditions for the onepot self-condensation of 7 to produce 8 and 9. The reaction temperature is key to the degree of self-condensation. No self-condensation occurred at -60 °C (Entry 1) and mainly dimer 8 and monomer 7 were found at -50 °C (Entry 2). Uncontrollable self-condensation was observed at temperatures over -20 °C (Entry 8). The overall yield was reduced when more than 0.6 molar equivalents NIS were added (Entry 4), probably as a result of decomposition of the thioglycosides, dimer 8, and trimer 9.

The RRVs of 7–9 were determined and, as expected, monomer 7 (RRV = 8604) is the most reactive and undergoes self-condensation to give the less-reactive dimer 8 (RRV =



**Scheme 3.** One-pot self-condensation synthesis of building blocks **10** and **11**. a) Tetrabutylammonium fluoride, tetrahydrofuran, RT, 24 h; b)  $Ac_2O$ ,  $Et_3N$ , 4-dimethylaminopyridine,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , RT, 2 h.

1002 © 2004 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

Table 1: The reaction conditions used for reactivity-based one-pot selfcondensation of 7.

Entry	7 [°C]	NIS [molar equiv] <sup>[a]</sup>	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield of <b>8</b> [%]	Yield of <b>9</b> [%]
1	-60	0.7	24	0	0
2 <sup>[b]</sup>	-50	0.7	24	70	5
3	-45	0.7	2	40	20
4	-40	0.7	1	35	25
5	-40	0.6	1	38	30
6	-40	0.5	1	50	15
7	-30	0.7	0.5	25	15
8 <sup>[c]</sup>	-20	0.7	0.5	0	10

[a] NIS = *N*-iodosuccinimide. [b] The yield was calculated based on the amount of **7** recovered. [c] Polymerization was observed.

1111), which is then glycosylated by monomer 7 again to give the least reactive molecule in the series, trimer 9 (RRV = 838). Subsequent removal of the TBDMS group and acetylation of 8 and 9 gave 10 and 11, respectively (Scheme 3).

Donors 10–12<sup>[12]</sup> were coupled to acceptor 13 or 14 (Bz, benzoyl)<sup>[13]</sup> to give trimannose 15, tetramannose 16, pentamannose 17, heptamannose 18, or trimannose 19. Details of these syntheses are shown in Table 2. Donors 10–12 exhibited excellent Man $\alpha$ 1-6Man or Man $\alpha$ 1-3Man selectivity as a result of steric bulk at the 2-position of 10 and 11 and participation of the acetyl group of 12. As shown in Table 2, deprotection of oligomannoses 15–19 gave the corresponding deprotected oligomannoses 2–6, respectively, in good yields.<sup>[9]</sup>

Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (1)<sup>[5]</sup> and deprotected oligosaccharides 2–6 were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the interaction between 2G12 and gp120 in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (see Figure 3).<sup>[4a]</sup> We will ultimately take the best synthetic inhibitors found in this assay forward into experiments to develop multivalent constructs as potential HIV vaccine candidates for eliciting 2G12-like antibodies. The results for trimannose 2 (15% inhibition at 2 mM) and tetramannose 3 (79%) indicate that an extra  $\alpha$ 1-2 linked mannose unit significantly enhances the inhibitory effect to a level comparable with that achieved by Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (71%). This result is consistent with crystallographic studies that identified the D1 arm of Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> as the primary carbohydrate recognition motif for 2G12; compound **3** contains the same arrangement of mannose units as the

> D1 arm. The Manβ1-4GlcNAcβ1-4GlcNAc core of Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> appears not to be critical for binding as it is absent from compound 3. Pentamannose 4 (79% inhibition at 2 mm), though lacking two sequential Mana1-2Man units, is divalent which may explain its more efficient inhibition of 2G12gp120 binding compared to that of compound 2 (15%). Surprisingly, heptamannose 5 (65% inhibition at 2 mm), which contains both residues mimicking the D1 arm of Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> and an additional Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man $\alpha$ 1-6Man-linked branch, does not offer a further increased affinity over that of compound 3. The second branch, not found in mammalian glycans, may force compound 5 to adopt an unusual conformation that is not optimal for 2G12 recognition.



Table 2: The reaction conditions for the synthesis of oligosaccharides 15-18 and 2-6.

Donor	Acceptor	NIS [equiv]	TfOH <sup>[a]</sup> [equiv]	T [°C]	<i>t</i> [h]	Protected oligosac- charide (yield [%])	Deprotected oligo- saccharide (yield [%])
10	13	1.3	0.13	-20	2	<b>15</b> (85)	<b>2</b> (75) <sup>[b]</sup>
11	13	1.3	0.13	-10	4	<b>16</b> (83)	<b>3</b> (72) <sup>[b]</sup>
10	14	2.6	0.26	-20	2	17 (65)	4 (68) <sup>[c]</sup>
11	14	2.6	0.26	-10	4	<b>18</b> (63)	<b>5</b> (65) <sup>[c]</sup>
12	14	2.6	0.26	0	24	<b>19</b> (50)	<b>6</b> (60) <sup>[c]</sup>

[a] TfOH, trifluoroacetic acid. [b] a) 80% acetic acid, RT, 4 h; b) NaOMe, RT, 2 h; c) Pd black, 5% formic acid/MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>, RT, 24 h. [c] a) NaOMe, RT, 2 h; b) Pd black, 5% formic acid/MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>, RT, 24 h.



*Figure 3.* Inhibition (%) of 2G12 binding to gp120.  $Man9 = Man_9Glc$ .  $NAc_2$  (1).

In conclusion, we have developed a novel and efficient route to high-mannose oligosaccharides through a reactivitybased one-pot self-condensation reaction. By using this method we have prepared several Man $\alpha$ 1-2Man-containing oligosaccharides and found they effectively inhibit the binding of 2G12 to gp120. We have identified new synthetic epitope mimics that are as effective as, or better than, Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> at inhibiting this interaction. Work is in progress to develop multivalent constructs as candidates for the development of an HIV vaccine. **Keywords:** antibodies · drug design · oligosaccharides · self-condensation · synthetic methods

- a) N. L. Letvin, B. D. Walker, *Nat. Med.* 2003, *9*, 861–866; b) A. J.
   McMichael, T. Hanke, *Nat. Med.* 2003, *9*, 874–880.
- [2] a) D. R. Burton, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1997, 94, 10018-10023;
  b) R. M. Ruprecht, R. Hofmann-Lehmann, B. A. Smith-Franklin, R. A. Rasmussen, V. Liska, J. Vlasak, W. Xu, T. W. Baba, A. L. Chenine, L. A. Cavacini, M. R. Posner, H. Katinger, G. Stiegler, B. J. Bernacky, T. A. Rizvi, R. Schmidt, L. R. Hill, M. E. Keeling, D. C. Montefiori, H. M. McClure, *Transfus. Clin. Biol.* 2001, *8*, 350-358; c) J. R. Mascola, Vaccine 2002, 20, 1922-1925.
- [3] D. R. Burton, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2002**, *2*, 706–713.
- [4] a) C. N. Scanlan, R. Pantophlet, M. R. Wormald, E. O. Saphire, R. Stanfield, I. A. Wilson, H. Katinger, R. A. Dwek, P. M. Rudd, D. R. Burton, *J. Virol.* 2002, *76*, 7306–7321; b) R. W. Sanders, M. Venturi, L. Schiffner, R. Kalyanaraman, H. Katinger, K. O. Lloyd, P. D. Kwong, J. P. Moore, *J. Virol.* 2002, *76*, 7293–7305.
- [5] D. A. Calarese, C. N. Scanlan, M. B. Zwick, S. Deechongkit, Y. Mimura, R. Kunert, P. Zhu, M. R. Wormald, R. L. Stanfield, K. H. Roux, J. W. Kelly, P. M. Rudd, R. A. Dwek, H. Katinger, D. R. Burton, I. A. Wilson, *Science* **2003**, *300*, 2065–2071.
- [6] Z. Y. Zhang, I. R. Ollmann, X.-S. Ye, R. Wischnat, T. Baasov, C.-H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 734–753.
- [7] F. Burkhart, Z. Y. Zhang, S. Wacowich-Sgarbi, C.-H. Wong, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1314–1317; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1274–1277.
- [8] K.-K. T. Mong, C.-H. Wong, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 4261– 4264; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4087–4090.
- [9] T. K.-K. Mong, C.-Y. Huang, C.-H. Wong, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2135–2142.
- [10] T. K.-K. Mong, H.-K. Lee, S. G. Durón, C.-H. Wong, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2003, 100, 797–802.
- [11] a) P. Grice, S. V. Ley, J. Pietruszka, H. W. M. Priepke, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 206–208; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 197–200; b) P. Grice, S. V. Ley, J. Pietruszka, H. M. I. Osborn, H. W. M. Priepke, S. L. Warriner, Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 431–440; c) D. K. Baeschlin, L. G. Green, M. G. Hahn, B. Hinzen, S. J. Ince, S. V. Ley, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 173–197; for other syntheses of oligomannoses, see: d) D. M. Ratner, O. J. Plante, P. H. Seeberger, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 5, 826–833; e) I. Matsuo, M. Wada, S. Manabe, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Otake, K. Kato, Y. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3402–3403.
- [12] a) N. E. Franks, R. Montgomery, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1968, *6*, 286–298; b) C. S. Callam, T. L. Lowary, *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, *66*, 8961–8972.
- [13] T. Ogawa, K. Katano, K. Sasajima, M. Matsui, *Tetrahedron* 1981, 37, 2779–2786.

Received: October 16, 2003 [Z53105]

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1000-1003