DOI: 10.1002/ejoc.201100785

Copper-Catalyzed Domino One-Pot Synthesis of 2-(Arylselanyl)arylcyanamides

Tamminana Ramana^[a] and Tharmalingam Punniyamurthy*^[a]

Keywords: Copper / Selenium / Heterocycles / Cross-coupling / Domino reactions

Domino C–Se cross-coupling of 2-(iodoaryl)selenoureas with aryl iodides has been accomplished in the presence of a copper(I)–1,1-phenanthroline complex at moderate temperature. The reactions involve intra- and intermolecular C–Se cross-

Introduction

The cross-coupling reactions of aryl halides with heteroatom nucleophiles using transition metal catalysts are powerful tools for the construction of carbon-heteroatom bonds that are important in biological, materials, and pharmaceutical sciences.^[1] Recently, the formation of C-N, C-O, and C-S bonds have made considerable progress. Subsequently, a few studies have focused on the construction of C-Se bonds, as this moiety is present in many biologically and pharmaceutically active compounds.^[2,3] Selenides also play an important role in synthetic chemistry as versatile reagents for synthesis.^[4] Similarly, cyanamides have attracted much attention in biological and pharmaceutical sciences because of their unique structure.^[5,6] For example, cvanamides serve as important intermediates for the synthesis of minoxidil^[7] and herbicides.^[8] They also find use as tumor inhibitors.^[9] The development of methods for the synthesis of compounds having these two aforementioned moieties will be thus useful in organic synthesis. In this contribution, we wish to report a novel copper(I)-catalyzed one-pot domino C-Se cross-coupling reaction of 2-(iodoaryl)selenoureas with aryl iodides to afford substituted 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides at moderate temperature in high yield. The reactions are efficient and take place via intra- and intermolecular C-Se cross-coupling reactions. Aryl iodides having electron donating and -withdrawing substituents are compatible. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example available for the synthesis of 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides.

 [a] Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India Fax: +91-361-2690762
E-mail: tpunni@iitg.ernet.in
Supporting information for this article

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201100785.

coupling to give the substituted 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides. Aryl iodides having electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents are compatible, affording the target selanyl ethers in high yield.

Results and Discussion

First, the reaction conditions were optimized with *N*-(2iodo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea and iodobenzene as model substrates (Table 1). Among the set of ligands examined,

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions.



[a] Substrate (0.5 mmol), copper source (5 mol-%), L1–7 (5 mol-%), Cs₂CO₃ (0.75 mmol), and iodobenzene (0.5 mmol) were stirred in solvent (1 mL) at 90 °C. [b] Isolated yield. [c] K₂CO₃ used. [d] K₃PO₄ used. [e] T = 80 °C. [f] Cs₂CO₃ (1 equiv.) used. [g] Catalyst (2.5 mol-%) used.



1,10-phenanthroline (L7) afforded the best results, giving selectively product A in 99% yield (Table 1, Entry 3). In contrast, ethylene diamine (L1), ethylene glycol (L2), L-proline (L3), 2,2'-bipyridine (L4), 8-hydroxyquinoline (L5), and dibenzoylmethane (L6) were found to be less selective, giving **B** or **C** or both as byproducts along with target molecule A. Recrystallization of A in MeOH gave single crystals whose X-ray analysis is presented in Figure 1. A control experiment confirmed that a mixture of A, B, and C were detected without the aid of ligand L7 (Table 1, Entry 2). The catalytic activity of different copper sources was evaluated, and CuI was found to be superior to CuBr, Cu2O, and CuSO₄·5H₂O. DMSO was found to be the solvent of choice, whereas DMF, toluene, and 1,4-dioxane gave inferior results. Of the screened bases, K₂CO₃, K₃PO₄, and Cs₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃ gave the best results. Reaction temperature was another important factor that affected the results, and 90 °C was found to be the optimum temperature. A blank reaction run without a copper salt gave **B** as the sole product and the formation of A was not observed. This result suggests that, in the absence of a copper(I) complex, the base-promoted deselenization takes place to afford cyanamide B.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of 2-(phenylselanyl)-4-methylphenylcyanamide with 50% ellipsoids.^[10] H atoms are omitted for clarity.

The reactivities of other aryl halides were further compared. The reactions with bromobenzene and chlorobenzene gave **C** as the only product. Likewise, reaction of *N*-(2bromo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea with iodobenzene gave a 4:15 mixture of 2-bromo-4-methylphenylcyanamide and **C** in >99% yield, whereas *N*-(2-chloro-4-methylphenyl)selenourea did not undergo any cross-coupling with iodobenzene and afforded 2-chloro-4-methylphenylcyanamide as the sole product in >99% yield. In summary, the optimal conditions in DMSO consist of the combination of CuI (5 mol-%) and **L7** (5 mol-%) along with the presence of Cs₂CO₃ (1.5 equiv.) at 90 °C for 1 h.



Table 2. The cross-coupling of N-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)-selenourea with substituted aryl iodides.^[a]



[a] N-(2-Iodo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea (0.5 mmol), aryl iodide (0.5 mmol), CuI (5 mol-%), 1,10-phenanthroline (5 mol-%), and Cs₂CO₃ (0.75 mmol) were stirred at 90 °C in DMSO (1 mL) in air. [b] Isolated yield.

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Next, to reveal the scope of the procedure, the reaction of a series of substituted aryl iodides was explored with N-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea (Table 2). Aryl iodides having 2-Cl, 2-OMe, 3-NO₂, 4-NH₂, 4-Cl, 4-OMe, and 4-NO₂ substituents readily participated in the C–Se domino cross-coupling reaction to give 2-(aryl selanyl)arylcyanamides in 1–2 h with yields ranging from 75 to 95%. Similarly, aryl iodides with 2,4-Me, 2,5-Me, and 2,6-Me groups and 1-naphthyl iodide gave the corresponding 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides in high yield.

Finally, the reactions of the substituted N-(2-iodo-4methylphenyl)selenoureas with aryl iodides were studied (Table 3). For example, N-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea having 4-Cl, 4-Me, and 4,5-Me substituents underwent domino C–Se cross-coupling with 1-iodo-4-methylbenzene to afford the respective substituted 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides in 1 h with 90–95% yields. These results clearly suggest that the present protocol can be used for the one-pot synthesis of 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides.

Table 3. The cross-coupling of substituted selenoureas with 1-iodo-4-methylbenzene.^[a]



[a] N-(2-Iodoaryl)selenourea (0.5 mmol), 1-iodo-4-methylbenzene (0.5 mmol), CuI (5 mol-%), L7 (5 mol-%), and Cs₂CO₃ (0.75 mmol) were stirred at 90 °C for 1 h in DMSO (1 mL). [b] Isolated yield.

Regarding the mechanism, control experiments were pursued. The cyclization of (2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)selenourea was studied with 0.5 equiv. of Cs_2CO_3 in the absence of an aryl iodide (Scheme 1). The cyclization occurred to give 2aminobenzoselenazole **F** in 0.5 h with 100% selectivity. Next, the reaction of **F** was studied with iodobenzene in the presence of 1 equiv. of Cs_2CO_3 (Scheme 2). Benzoselenazole **F** underwent reaction in the presence of Cu^I –1,10-phenanthroline to give **D** in quantitative yield. The intramolecular cyclization was found to be faster than the intermolecular reaction. These results suggest that the process involves inT. Ramana, T. Punniyamurthy

tramolecular followed by intermolecular C–Se domino cross-coupling reactions (Scheme 3). Thus, the selenourea may undergo chelation followed by oxidative addition with copper(I)–1,10-phenanthroline to give metallocycle b, which could lead to the formation of **F** by reductive elimination. The cross-coupling of **F** with c may afford intermediate d, which could complete the catalytic cycle by reductive elimination of target molecule **D**.

$$Me \xrightarrow{H}_{1} NH_{2} \xrightarrow{Cul (5 \text{ mol}-\%)}_{1,10\text{-phen (5 mol}-\%)} Me \xrightarrow{F}_{299\% \text{ conversion}} NH_{2}$$

Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3. Proposed catalytic cycle.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a novel copper-catalyzed domino C–Se cross coupling of (2-iodoaryl)selenoureas with aryl iodides has been developed to afford the substituted (2-selanylaryl)-



arylcyanamides. The procedure involves intra- and intermolecular C–Se cross-coupling reactions at moderate temperature.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-(Arylselanyl)arylcyanamides: To a stirred solution of 1,10-phenanthroline (5 mol-%) and CuI (5 mol-%) in DMSO (1 mL) was added the *N*-(2-iodoaryl)selenourea (0.5 mmol), aryl iodide (0.5 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (244 mg, 0.75 mmol) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was allowed to stir at 90 °C for the appropriate time. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/hexane). The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The solution was washed successively with 1 N HCl (1×3 mL) and water (3×3 mL). Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation of the solvent gave a residue that was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane) to afford the 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamide in analytically pure form.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Characterization data and copies of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the 2-(arylselanyl)arylcyanamides.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, for generous financial support.

- For some recent reviews, see: a) G. Evano, N. Blanchard, M. Toymi, *Chem. Rev.* 2008, 108, 3054–3131; b) T. A. Choi, R. Czerwonka, R. Forke, A. Jaeger, J. Knoell, M. P. Krahl, T. Krause, K. R. Reddy, S. G. Franzblau, H. J. Knoelker, *Med. Chem. Res.* 2008, 17, 374–385; c) A. Correa, O. García Mancheño, C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 1108–1117; d) F. Monnier, M. Taillefer, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, 121, 7088; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 6954–6971; e) S. Würtz, F. Glorius, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, 41, 1523–1533.
- [2] For example, see: a) T. G. Back, Z. Moussa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13455–13460; b) C. W. Nogueira, G. Zeni, J. B. T. Rocha, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6255–6285; c) C.-M. Anderson, A. Hallberg, T. Hogberg, Adv. Drug Res. 1996, 28, 65–180; d) G. Mugesh, W. W. Du Mont, H. Sies, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2125–2179.
- [3] For some recent examples, see: a) D. Alves, C. G. Santos, M. M. Paixao, L. C. Soares, D. De Souza, O. E. D. Rodrigues,

A. L. Braga, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6635–6638; b) S. Fujiwara, Y. Asanuma, T. Shin-ike, N. Kambe, *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 8087–8090; c) T. Kesharwani, S. A. Worlikar, R. C. Larock, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 2307–2312.

- [4] For some examples, see: a) A. L. Braga, D. S. Ludtke, F. Vargas, R. C. Braga, *Synlett* 2006, 1453–1466; b) A. L. Braga, F. Vargas, J. A. Sehnem, R. C. Braga, *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 70, 9021–9024; c) A. L. Braga, M. W. Paixao, G. Marin, *Synlett* 2005, 1675–1678; d) A. L. Braga, D. S. Ludtke, J. A. Sehnem, E. E. Alberto, *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 11664–11671.
- [5] For some studies, see: a) G. Kaupp, J. Schmeyers, J. Boy, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 2467–2474; b) R. Sato, K. Itoh, H. Nishina, T. Goto, M. Saito, *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 1913–1916; c) S. A. Bakunov, A. V. Rukavishnikov, A. V. Tkachev, *Synthesis* **2000**, 1148–1159; d) C.-Y. Chen, F. F. Wong, J. J. Huang, S.-K. Lin, M.-Y. Yeh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 6505–6507; e) T. Ramana, P. Saha, T. Punniyamurthy, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 84–87.
- [6] For some studies, see: a) S. R. Sandler, W. Karo, Organic Functional Group Preparations, Academic, New York, 1972, vol. 3, pp 286; b) S. Kamijo, T. Jin, Y. Yamamoto, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1858; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1780–1782; c) H. Brand, P. Mayer, A. Schulz, T. Soller, A. Villinger, Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 1050–1058.
- [7] J. M. McCall, R. E. TenBrink, J. J. Ursprung, J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 3304–3306.
- [8] a) L. Y. Hu, J. Guyo, S. S. Magar, J. B. Fischer, K. J. Burke-Howie, G. J. Durant, *J. Med. Chem.* **1997**, *40*, 4281–4289; b) J. R. Robinson, W. H. Brown, *Can. J. Chem.* **1951**, *29*, 1069– 1074.
- [9] For some examples, see: a) G. Gilman, L. S. Goodman, T. W. Rall, F. Murad, *Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, 7th ed., Pergamon Press, New York, 1990, p. 89; b) Q. Jia, T. Cai, M. Huang, H. Li, M. Xian, T. L. Poulos, P. G. Wang, *J. Med. Chem.* 2003, 46, 2271–2274; c) L. Kumar, M. P. Kaushik, A. Mazumdar, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 1910–1916.
- [10] Recrystallization of 2-(phenylselanyl)-4-methylphenylcyanamide in MeOH afforded single crystals whose X-ray data were collected with a Bruker SMART APEX equipped with a CCD area detector using Mo- K_{α} radiation in the scan range 1.20– 28.31°. $C_{14}H_{12}N_2Se$, Mw = 287.22, orthorhombic; space group $Pna2_1$, a = 8.0688(3) Å, b = 19.6845(9) Å, c = 15.9556(7) Å; a= 90°, β = 90°, γ = 90°, V = 2534.23(19) Å³, Z = 8, $\rho_{calcd.}$ = T = 1.506 mg/m^3 ; 296(2) K, crystal dimension $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}^3$; 3786 reflections; F(000) = 1152.0, Final $[I > 2\sigma(I)]; R_1 = 0.0636, wR_2 = 0.1617, R$ Indices (all data) R_1 = 0.0771, WR₂ = 0.1721, GOF (on F^2) = 0.905. CCDC-779997 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

Received: June 2, 2011 Published Online: July 26, 2011