

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/saa

Synthesis, molecular modeling and spectroscopic characterization of nickel(II), copper(II), complexes of new 16-membered mixed-donor macrocyclic schiff base ligand incorporating a pendant alcohol function

Sulekh Chandra^{a,*}, Ruchi^a, Kushal Qanungo^b, Saroj K. Sharma^b

^a Department of Chemistry, Zakir Husain College (University of Delhi), J.L. Nehru Marg, New Delhi 110002, India ^b Department of Applied Science and Humanitics, EET, Mody Institute of Technology and Science, Leishmangerh 222211, Paiesthan J

^b Department of Applied Science and Humanities, FET, Mody Institute of Technology and Science, Lakshmangarh 332311, Rajasthan, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 25 September 2010 Received in revised form 18 April 2011 Accepted 27 April 2011

Keywords: Macrocycles Shiff base Copper(II) and Nickel(II) Complexes UV-vis EPR spectra Molecular modeling

1. Introduction

Interest in pendant armed macrocycles is growing on account of their unique coordination and structural properties [1]. Macrocyclic ligands containing a hetero atom are important complexing agents for neutral molecules [2–5]. There is an emerging interest in the synthesis of macrocyclic complexes of transition metals and their interesting properties [6]. The formation of macrocyclic complexes depends significantly on the dimension of internal cavity, rigidity of macrocycles, nature of its donor atoms and the complexing properties of the anion involved in coordination [7,8]. Due to their resemblance with natural proteins like hemerythrin enzymes, chemical properties of macrocyclic complexes have received much attention as an active part of metalloenzyme [9-11] as biomimic model compounds [12]. A considerable number of Schiff-base complexes have potential biological interest and are used as more or less successful models of biological compounds [13,14]. Additionally, some of the salicylidene derivates show photochromism in the solid state [15,16]. It has been suggested that the azomethine linkage is responsible for the biological activities of Schiff bases such as antitumor, antibacterial, antifungal and herbicidal activities [17-20]. Moreover metal complexes of Schiff bases derived

ABSTRACT

Complexes of Cu(II) and Ni(II) of the composition [M(L)X] [where M = Ni(II), Cu(II) and X = Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, CH₃COO⁻] were synthesized with 1,5-dioxo-9,10-diaza-3,ol-tribenzo-(7,6,10,11,14,15) peptadecane, a N₂O₂ macrocyclic ligand. The complexes were characterized by elemental analysis, molar conductance measurements, UV-vis, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, EPR and molecular modeling studies. All the complexes are non-electrolyte in nature. On the basis of spectral studies, an octahedral geometry has been assigned for Ni(II) complexes and a tetragonal geometry for Cu(II) complexes.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

from salicylaldehyde and diamine can increase its dimensionality and can form supramolecular architectures through O–H…N and N–H…O type hydrogen bonds [21]. In this paper we report the synthesis of pentadentate Shiff base ligand, in order to investigate the coordination mode of ligand, complexes of nickel(II) and copper(II) were synthesized and characterized by UV–vis, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, EPR and molecular modeling studies.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and instruments

Solvents were dried and purified before being used according to published procedures [22]. Other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used as received. Elemental analysis of C, H and N were performed on a Carlo-Erba EA 1106 elemental analyzer. Molar conductance was evaluated on an ELICO Conductivity Bridge (Type CM82 T). Magnetic susceptibility was measured at room temperature on a Gouy balance using CuSO₄·5H₂O as a callibrant. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer-137 instrument as KBr pallets. Electronic spectra were recorded in DMSO on a Shimadzu UV mini-1240 spectrometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (300 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advanced DPX-300 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent. EPR spectra of all the complexes were recorded at room temperature (RT) and liquid nitrogen temperature (LNT) on an E4-EPR

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 01122911267; fax: +91 11 23215906. *E-mail address*: schandra_00@yahoo.com (S. Chandra).

^{1386-1425/\$ –} see front matter $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.saa.2011.04.063



Scheme 1.

spectrometer using the DDPH as the g-marker. The complexes were modeled by MOPAC 2007 program in gas phase using level of theory.

2.2. Synthesis of ligand and complexes

2.2.1. Synthesis of 2-[3-(-formyl phenoxy)-2-hyroypropoxy] benzaldehyde **(I)**

2-[3-(-Formyl phenoxy)-2-hyroypropoxy] benzaldehyde was prepared by the method of Lindoy and Armstrong [23] with minor modification. Sodium hydroxide (8.0 g, 0.2 mol) in water (400 mL) was added to salicylaldehyde (20.98 mL, 0.2 mol) in ethanol (20 mL). The mixture was heated up to 60 °C and then 1,3 dichloro-2-propanol (9.54 mL, 0.1 mol) was added. In this mixture sufficient ethanol was added to produce a homogenous solution. The solution was refluxed for 70 h (pH \cong 8) at 80 °C then cooled at 0 °C. The cream colored solid product was recrystalized in 2:1 ethanol-water. The resulting crystals were collected by filtration and dried in a vacuum desicator over P₄O₁₀.

2.2.2. Synthesis of macrocyclic ligand (L1)

To a hot ethanolic solution (20 mL) of 2-[3-(-formyl phenoxy)-2-hyroypropoxy] benzaldehyde (6 g, 0.02 mol), a hot solution of o-phenyldiamine (2.162 g, 0.02 mol) was added with constant stirring. The solution was heated at 40–50 °C ($pH \cong 8$) for 5 h. On cooling at 0 °C, an orange colored product was precipated out. It was filtered, washed several times with cold ethanol and dried over P₄O₁₀ (Scheme 1).

2.2.3. Synthesis of complexes

The complexes were synthesized by refluxing ethanolic solution (30 mL) of ligand (1 m mol) with an aqueous ethanolic solution (30 mL) of corresponding metal salt (1 m mol) for 8 h at 55 °C. On cooling overnight at 0 °C, the colored complex was precipitated out, which was filtered, washed with cold ethanol and dried under vacuum over P_4O_{10} (Fig. 1).

3. Result and discussion

On the basis of elemental analysis the complexes were assigned to possess the composition as shown in Table 1. The molar conductance data for Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes revealed that these complexes behave as non-electrolytes [24]. Thus the general formula of the complexes may be given as [M(L)X] where M = Cu, Ni and $X = Cl^-$, NO_3^- , CH_3COO^- .

3.1. IR spectra

In ligands (I) bands corresponding (OH) and C=O groups appeared at 3447 cm^{-1} and 1636 cm^{-1} and in ligand (L¹) bands corresponding to OH and C=N groups appeared at 3294 cm^{-1} and 1612 cm^{-1} respectively.

On complexation the absence of band corresponding to OH indicate the coordination of O with metal. A band corresponding to v(C=N) shifts to the lower wave number (20–30 cm⁻¹)



Fig. 1. Structure of the complexes.

I	a	1	D	1	e	1

Molar conductance and elemental analysis data of ligand and its complexes.

Ligand/complexes	Molar cond. $(\Omega^{-1} \operatorname{cm}^2 \operatorname{mol}^{-1})$	Color	M.P. (0 °C)	P. (0 °C) Yield (%)	Elemental analysis found (calculated) %			
					М	С	Н	Ν
$L_1 = (C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_3)$	-	Orange	144	70	-	74.70 (74.67)	5.08 (5.12)	7.69 (7.63)
[Ni(L)Cl] (NiC ₂₃ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₃ Cl)	10	Redish Brown	>300	65	12.71 (12.64)	59.35 (59.32)	4.11 (4.09)	5.97 (6.03)
$[Ni(L)NO_3](NiC_{23}H_{18}N_3O_6)$	14	Brown	>300	62	11.91 (11.96)	56.18 (56.24)	3.83 (3.87)	8.53 (8.55)
$[Ni(L)CH_3COO)](NiC_{25}H_{21}N_2O_5)$	12.6	Redish Brown	>300	75	12.06 (12.03)	61.57 (61.51)	4.36 (4.30)	5.68 (5.74)
[Cu(L)Cl] (CuC ₂₃ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₃ Cl)	11	Brown	217	68	13.53 (13.53)	58.78 (58.84)	4.02 (4.05)	6.02 (5.97)
$[Cu(L)NO_3](CuC_{23}H_{18}N_3O_6)$	9.7	Brown	222	70	12.86 (12.81)	55.67 (55.70)	3.87 (3.83)	8.42 (8.47)
$[Cu(L)CH_3COO](CuC_{25}H_{21}N_2O_5)$	13.5	Dark Brown	>300	78	12.80 (12.86)	60.98 (60.91)	4.22 (4.26)	5.61 (5.68)

which indicates that the coordination takes place through the nitrogen atom of azomethine groups. Some new bands appeared at $360-390 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $450-560 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. These may be due to v(M-N) and v(M-O) vibrations respectively. Nitrato complexes show bands at 1458–1442 cm⁻¹ (v_5), 1043–1020 cm⁻¹ (v_2) and 1312–1303 cm⁻¹ (v_1) for Cu(II) and Ni(II) complexes [25–27]. The value of $\Delta(\upsilon_5 - \upsilon_1)$, i.e. 146 and 139 cm⁻¹ suggests the unidentate coordination of NO₃⁻ ions. The bands corresponding to v(M-Cl)are observed at 345–320 cm⁻¹ indicating the presence of (M–Cl) bond. IR spectra for acetate complexes of Ni(II) and Cu(II) shows the medium intensity bands at 1600-1655 cm⁻¹ and 1338-1376 cm⁻¹ assigned to $v_a(C-O)$ and $v_s(C-O)$ respectively. The difference between two frequencies is \sim 289 cm⁻¹ and \sim 265 cm⁻¹ respectively for Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes, which is greater than that for bidentate acetates ion by \sim 217 cm⁻¹ and for uncoordinated acetate ion by \sim 143 cm⁻¹. It supports strongly that one acetates ions are coordinated to the metal ion in unidentate fasion [28].

3.2. Mass spectra

The electron impact mass spectra show a peak at 372 amu, which is also the molecular ion peak, corresponding to the molecular mass 371 amu. It also shows a series of peaks, i.e. 197 amu, 212 amu, 319 amu, 322 amu corresponding to various fragments as shown in Fig. 2. Their intensity gives an idea of stability of fragments.

Table 2

Magnetic moment and electronic spectral data of the complexes.

Complex	$\mu_{ m eff}$ (B.M.)	λ_{max} (cm ⁻¹)
[Ni(L)Cl]	3.20	9671, 13,140, 22,123, 26,736
[Ni(L)NO ₃]	3.18	9285, 13,140, 22,075, 25,906
[Ni(L)CH ₃ COO]	3.01	9671, 11,111, 16,075, 25,109
[Cu(L)Cl]	1.95	9671, 11,098, 27,397
[Cu(L)NO ₃]	1.92	9671, 12,804, 28,818
$[Cu(L)CH_3COO]$	2.02	9758, 11,098, 27,548

3.3. 3.3. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.643 (s, 1H, HC=N); 7.32–7.40 (m, 4H, ArH); 7.22–7.26 (2d, 2H, ArH); 7.01–7.07 (1d, 1H, ArH); 6.90–6.98 (t, 2H, ArH); 5.29–5.45 (quintet, 1H, CH); 5.09–5.19 (d, 4H, CH₂); (1H OH washed out by D₂O) ppm.

¹³C NMR (CDCL₃) δ 163.601 (C=N); 161.264, 132.292, 125.405, 119.142, 114.444 (Ar); 146.426, 127.656, 123.620 (Ar); 69.429 (OCH₂); 67.585 (CHOH); ppm.

3.4. Electronic spectra

Ni(II) complexes show magnetic moments in the range 3.01–3.20 B.M. at room temperature(Table 2). The electronic spectra of the Ni(II) complexes recorded in the DMSO solution at room temperature show bands in the region 9285–13,140,



Fig. 2. Mass spectra of ligand.

Table 3
Ligand field parameters of the complexes

Complex	Dq	$B(cm^{-1})$	β	LFSE (kJ mol ⁻¹)
[Ni(L)Cl]Cl	967	416	0.39	138
[Ni(L)NO ₃]	967	419	0.40	138
[Ni(L)CH ₃ COO]	1111	523	0.50	159

16,075–22,172, 25,109–26,736 cm⁻¹ (Table 2) characteristic of an octahedral geometry [29]. The ground state in Ni(II) octahedral coordination is ${}^{3}A_{2g}$. Thus, these bands are assignable three spin allowed transitions ${}^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2g}(F)$, ${}^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(F)$ and ${}^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(P)$ respectively. Various ligand field parameters calculated for Ni(II) complexes are given in Table 3.

The magnetic moment values for Cu(II) complexes lie in the 1.95–2.02 BM range corresponding to one unpaired electron (Table 2). The electronic spectra of these complexes display bands in the range 9671–9758, 11,098–12,804, 27,548–28,818 cm⁻¹. These bands may be assigned to the transitions ${}^{2}B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{2}A_{1g} (d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} \rightarrow d_{z^{2}})(\nu_{1}), {}^{2}B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{2}B_{2g} (d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} \rightarrow d_{zy})(\nu_{2})$ and ${}^{2}B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{2}E_{g} (d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} \rightarrow d_{zy}, d_{yz})(\nu_{3})$ respectively. The complexes may be considered to possess a tetragonal geometry. The energy level sequence will depend on the amount of tetragonal distortion due to ligand field and Jahn-Teller effect [30].

3.5. EPR spectra

The X-band EPR spectra of Cu(II) complexes were recorded at RT and LNT. The g_{iso} values and the geometric parameter G, i.e. the measurement of exchange interaction between the copper centers were evaluated by using the expressions:

$$g_{iso} = \frac{g_{||} + 2g_{\perp}}{3}$$

$$G = \frac{g_{||} - 2.0023}{g_{\perp} - 2.0023}$$

$$= \frac{4k_{||}^2 \Delta E_{xz}}{k_{\perp}^2 \Delta E_{xy}}$$

The evaluated value of *g* tensor parameters shows the order as $g_{||} > g_{\perp} > 2.0023$ which reveals that $d_{x^2-y^2}$ is the ground state [31] and also indicates that the unpaired electron is localized in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of the Cu(II) ion and the spectral figures are characteristic of the axial symmetry (Table 4). The tetragonal elongated structure is thus confirmed for the aforesaid complexes [32]. The complexes in the present study show the value of *G* < 4 which indicates the effective interaction between the copper centers [33].

3.6. Molecular modeling

3.6.1. Experimental/materials and methods

The molecules (a) ligand, (b) ligand–Cu(II) complex, (c) ligand–Ni(II) complex were modeled by MOPAC 2007 [33] program in gas phase using PM6 level of theory [34]. Selected parts of the complexes not containing the metal ion were preoptimised using molecular mechanics methods. Several cycles of energy minimization had to be carried for each of the molecules. Geometry was optimized using eigen vector following. The Root Mean Square

Table 4
EPR parameters and orbital reduction parameters of Cu(II) complexes.

Fig. 3. Geometry optimized structure of ligand.



Fig. 4. Geometry optimized structure of ligand-Ni(II) complex.

Gradient for molecules was all less than one. Self Consistent Field was achieved in each case.

3.6.2. Results

The ligand is in highly folded form in the optimized structure (Fig. 3). The mean planes of the two flanking phenyl groups are at an angle of 60.35° with each other and at 67 and 82° with the central phenyl group. The C(sp²)–N bond lengths are 1.29 and 1.42 Å the C(sp²)–O bonds are 1.39 and C(sp³)–O are 1.44 Å are close to literature values. The N–N bond distance is 2.9 Å and O–O distance is 4.2 Å.

The ligand–Ni(II) complex has distorted octahedral geometry with the equatorial positions being occupied by the two O and two N donor atoms (c) (Fig. 4). The Ni(II) ion lies nearly on the mean

•	•	., .			
Complex	A	g⊥	g _{II}	g _{iso}	G
	RT/LNT	RT/LNT	RT/LNT	RT/LNT	RT/LNT
[Cu(L)Cl]	95/90	1.9962/2.03185	2.0885/2.03185	2.0269/2.1426	1.0462/1.1636
[Cu(L)NO ₃]	66/63	1.9847/2.0006	2.1548/2.3221	2.0414/2.1077	1.0857/1.1607



Fig. 5. Geometry Optimized structure of ligand-Cu(II) complex.

plane (0.06 Å above) of the equatorial NNOO donor system. The mean planes of the two flanking phenyl groups are at angle of 73.40° with each other and at 38.3 and 47.9° with the central phenyl group. The N–N and O–O bite distances are 2.77 and 3.22 Å respectively. The equatorial Ni(II)–O distances are 2.12 and 1.95 Å respectively and the Ni(II)–N distances being 1.88 and 1.97 Å. The axial positions are occupied by one oxygen atom of the ligand and a chloride ion. The Cl49–Ni1–O27 bond angle is near to perpendicular (98.93°). The axial Ni(II)–O distance being 1.83 Å and the Ni(II)–Cl distance is 2.15 Å. The Cl149–Ni1–O27 bond angle is near to perpendicular (98.93°).

The ligand–Cu(II) complex has tetragonal geometry with the equatorial positions being occupied by the two O and two N donor atom (Fig. 5). The Cu(II) ion lies on the mean plane of the equatorial NNOO donor system. The mean planes of the two flanking phenyl groups are at an angle of 60.87° with each other and at 36.1 and 39.9° with the central phenyl group. The N–N and O–O bond distances are 2.74 and 3.20 Å respectively. The equatorial Cu(II)–O distances are 2.00 and 1.99 Å respectively and the Cu(II)–N distances being 2.04 and 1.93 Å. The axial positions are occupied by one oxygen atom of the ligand and a chloride ion. The O27–Cu1–Cl49 bond angle is nearly perpendicular (91.1°), while O27–Cu1–O43 angle is 78.6°. The axial Cu(II)–O distance is 1.84 Å and the Cu(II)–Cl distance is 2.24 Å [35–39].

4. Conclusion

Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes with a pentadentate macrocyclic ligand have been prepared and characterized. On the basis of above spectral studies and molecular modeling a distorted octahedral geometry has been assigned for Ni(II) and tetragonal geometry for Cu(II) complexes. Various ligand field and bonding parameters have been calculated and discussed.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the UGC (New Delhi) for financial support, SAIF, IIT (Bombay) for recording ESR spectra, the Head, App Sci. and Dean FET for recording molecular modeling data.

References

- E.V. Rybak-Akimova, A.Y. Nazarenko, S.S. Silchenco, Inorg. Chem. 38 (1999) 2974–2980.
- [2] A.J. Blake, J. Casebo, F.A. Devillanova, L. Escriche, A. Garau, F. Isaia, V. Lippolis, R. Kivekas, V. Muns, M. Schroder, R. Sillanpaa, G. Verani, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1999) 1085–1200.
- [3] M.D. Satana, A.A. Lozano, G. Garcia, G. Lopez, J. Perez, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2005) 104–109.
- [4] R.M. Izatt, J.S. Bradshaeo, S.A. Nielon, J.D. Lamb, J.J. Christensen, Chem. Rev. 85 (1985) 271–339.
- [5] R. Izatt, K. Pawlak, J.S. Bradshaw, Chem. Rev. 91 (1991) 1721–2085.
- [6] H. Khanmohammodi, S. Amani, H. Lanng, T. Riieffer, Inorg. Chim. Acta 360 (2007) 579–587.
- [7] D.S. Kumar, V. Alexander, Polyhedron 18 (1999) 1561–1568.
 [8] J.C.G. Bunzil, G.R. Choppin, Lanthanide Probes in Life, Chemical and Earth Sci-
- ences, Elsevier, Amesterdem, 1989.
- [9] S. Ilhan, H. Temel, J. Mol. Struct. 89 (2008) 157–162.
 [10] S. Chandra, A.K. Sharma, Spectrochim. Acta A 72 (2009) 851–857.
- [11] A. Choudhary, S. Dave, R. Swaroop, R.V. Singh, J. Ind. Chem. Soc. 79 (2002) 371–373.
- [12] S. Chandra, L.K. Gupta, Spectochim. Acta A 62 (2005) 307-312.
- [13] E. Tas, A. Kilic, M. Durgun, L. Kupecik, I. Yilmaz, S. Arslan, Spectochim. Acta A 75 (2010) 811–818.
- [14] K.S. Suslick, T.J. Reinert, J. Chem. Educ. 62 (1988) 974–983.
- [15] L. Mishra, K. Bindu, S. Bhattacharya, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 7 (2004) 777–780.
 [16] M.D. Cohen, G.M.J. Schmidt, J. Phys. Chem. 66 (1962) 2442–2445.
- [17] S.Y. Yu, S.X. Wang, Q.H. Luo, L.F. Wang, Polyhedron 12 (1993) 1093-1096.
- [18] S. Rekha, K.R. Nagasundara, Indian J. Chem. A 45 (2006) 2421–2425.
- [19] A.A. Jarrahpour, M. Motamedifar, K. Pakshir, N. Hadi, M. Zarei, Molecules 9 (2004) 815–824.
- [20] P.G. Ramappa, K.B. Somasekharappa, Indian J. Chem. A 33 (1994) 66-68.
- [21] H. Ünver, Z. Hayvali, Spectrochim. Acta A 75 (2010) 782-788.
- [22] A.A. Khander, S.A. Hosseini-Yazdi, Polyhedron 22 (2003) 1481–1487.
- [23] L.F. Lindoy, L.F. Armstrong, Inorg. Chem. 14 (1975) 1322–1326.
- [24] W.J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev. 7 (1971) 81-122.
- [25] S. Chandra, L.K. Gupta, Spectrochim. Acta A 60 (2004) 2767-2774.
- [26] S. Chandra, L.K. Gupta, Spectrochim. Acta A 60 (2004) 3079-3085.
- [27] K. Nakamoto, Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds,
- Wiley Interscience, New York, 1970.
- [28] S. Chandra, L.K. Gupta, Spectrochim. Acta A 60 (2004) 2411–2417.
 [29] (a) M. Shakir, A.K. Mohamed, O.S.M. Nasman, Polyhedron 15 (1996) 3490–3497;
- (b) S. Chandra, K. Gupta, Trans. Met. Chem. 27 (2002) 329-332;
 (c) V.V. Pavlishchuk, S.P. Kulotilov, A.W. Addison, R.J. Butcher, E. Sinn, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2000) 335-341.
- [30] K.G. Kocwin, W. Wojciechowski, Trans. Met. Chem. 21 (1996) 312-316.
- [31] J.W. Searl, R.C. Smith, S.J. Wayard, Proc. Phys. Soc. 74 (1959) 491-502.
- [32] B.J. Trzebiatowska, J. Lisowski, A. Vogt, P. Chmielewskti, Polyhedron 7 (1988) 337-380.
- [33] B.J. Hathaway, D.E. Billing, Coord. Chem. Rev. 5 (1970) 143-207.
- [34] J.J.P. Stewart, Stewart Computational, Chemistry, Version: 7.334W, MOPAC, 2007.
- [35] J.J.P. Stewart, Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods V: modification of NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements, J. Mol. Mod. 13 (2007) 1213–1219.
- [36] M.X. Li, M. Shao, H. Dai, B.L. An, W.C. Lu, Y. Zhu, C.X. Du, Chin. Chem. Lett. 16 (2005) 1405–1407.
- [37] S.K. Deya, B. Bag, D.K. Dey, V. Gramlich, Y. Li, S. Mitra, Z. Naturforsch. 58 (2003) 1009–1014.
- [38] A. Datta, N.K. Karan, S. Mitra, G. Rosair, Z. Naturforsch. 57 (2002) 999–1002.
- [39] K.Y. Choi, Y.S. Kim, H. Ryu, I.H. Suh, G.H. Choo, J.G. Kim, M.J. Kim, Kor. J. Crystallogr. 11 (2000) 147–150.