Enhanced radical-scavenging activity of naturally-oriented artepillin C derivatives[†]

Sushma Manda,^{*a*} Ikuo Nakanishi,^{*ab*} Kei Ohkubo,^{*b*} Yoshihiro Uto,^{*c*} Tomonori Kawashima,^{*b*} Hitoshi Hori,^{*c*} Kiyoshi Fukuhara,^{*d*} Haruhiro Okuda,^{*d*} Toshihiko Ozawa,^{*ae*} Nobuo Ikota,^{*f*} Shunichi Fukuzumi^{**b*} and Kazunori Anzai^{**a*}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 16th October 2007, Accepted 14th November 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 28th November 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b715973k

More than two-fold augmentation in the radical-scavenging activity of artepillin C could be achieved *via* altering the O–H bond dissociation enthalpy of artepillin C by means of structural modifications.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and other free radicals have been implicated as pathological mediators in many clinical disorders. The reactivity of the most frequently encountered active free radicals, such as superoxide anion (O2.⁻), hydroxyl radical ('OH), alkyl radical (R[•]), alkoxy radical (RO[•]), peroxyl radical (ROO[•]), nitric oxide (NO') and lipid peroxyl radical (LOO'), varies, but some may cause severe damage to biological molecules, especially to DNA, lipids and proteins. However, several attempts including the use of naturally occurring and chemically synthesized antioxidants have been made to find out the possible ways for scavenging of these free radicals¹ and synthetic attempts have also been made in the last two decades to develop more potential antioxidants.² The plant-derived phenolic compounds have attracted much attention due to their limited or zero toxicity in in vivo systems. Artepillin C [3-{4-hydroxy-3,5-bis(3-methyl-2butenyl)phenyl-2(E)-propenoic acid] (1H), a major component (>5%) of Brazilian propolis,3 has been reported to show antioxidative activity⁴ alongside other important biological activities.⁵ Recently, we reported the free radical-scavenging activity of artepillin C and also discussed the possible scavenging mechanism.⁶ Since O-H bond dissociation enthalpy (D_{HT}) is known to regulate the antioxidative potency in phenolic compounds,⁷ synthetic approaches towards the lowering of $D_{\rm HT}$ by structural modifications of artepillin C (1H) may result in remarkable changes in its antioxidative activity. We report herein the synthesis of five naturally-oriented artepillin C derivatives

^aRadiation Modifier Team, Heavy-Ion Radiobiology Research Group, Research Center for Charged Particle Therapy, National Institute of Radiological Sciences (NIRS), Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8555, Japan. E-mail: nakanis@nirs.go.jp; Fax: +81-43-255-6819; Tel: +81-43-206-3131

^bDepartment of Material and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, SORST, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

^cFaculty of Engineering, The University of Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan

^dDivision of Organic Chemistry, National Institute of Health Sciences (NIHS), Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8501, Japan

^eDepartment of Health Pharmacy, Yokohama College of Pharmacy, Yokohama 245-0066, Japan

^fSchool of Pharmacy, Shujitsu University, Okayama 703-8516, Japan † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed procedures for the synthesis of **2H–6H**. See DOI: 10.1039/b715973k (2H–6H) (Scheme 1) and their enhanced scavenging activity towards cumylperoxyl radical (PhCMe₂OO[•]). PhCMe₂OO[•], which is less reactive than RO[•], is known to follow the same pattern of relative reactivity with a variety of substrates.⁸ The structure–activity relationship is also discussed based on the results obtained in this study, providing a valuable insight into the development of antioxidants stronger than the naturally occurring ones.

Synthesis of **2H–6H** was based on regioselective *C*-prenylation of corresponding *ortho*-substituted phenols according to our established procedure⁹ (see ESI,† S1). This indicates that the artepillin C derivatives could efficiently scavenge PhCMe₂OO[•]. In the presence of the artepillin C derivatives the decay rate of PhCMe₂OO[•] follows pseudo-first-order kinetics. The pseudo-firstorder rate constant (*k*) exhibits first-order dependence with respect to the concentration of the artepillin C derivatives. From the slopes of the linear plots were determined the second-order rate constants (k_{obs}) for the reaction between artepillin C derivatives and PhCMe₂OO[•] in EtCN at 203 K. The k_{obs} value for the





Table 1 Rate constants (k_{obs}) for scavenging of cumylperoxyl radical by **1H–6H** in EtCN at 203 K, energy difference values (D_{HT}) between phenoxyl radicals and phenols with reference to **1H**, and ionization potential (IP) values determined by density functional theory (DFT) calculations

Compound	$k_{\rm obs}/{\rm mol}^{-1}~{\rm dm}^3~{\rm s}^{-1}$	$D_{\rm HT}/{\rm kcal}~{\rm mol}^{-1}$	IP/kcal mol ⁻¹
1H 2H 3H 4H 5H 6H	$\begin{array}{c} 4.9 \times 10^2 \\ 5.7 \times 10^2 \\ 1.5 \times 10^2 \\ 5.5 \times 10^2 \\ 9.4 \times 10^2 \\ 1.2 \times 10^3 \end{array}$	$0 \\ -2.7 \\ 0.5 \\ -2.1 \\ -4.2 \\ -6.0$	162.4 152.3 164.9 154.3 162.3 153.2

PhCMe₂OO' scavenging by **6H** (1.2 × 10³ mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹) is the largest among the examined artepillin C derivatives and is much larger than that for (+)-catechin (5.0 × 10² mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹), which is one of the strongest antioxidants.^{14,15} The rate constants of the PhCMe₂OO'-scavenging reactions by other derivatives have also been determined and are listed in Table 1. All the artepillin C derivatives, except **3H**, could afford significantly larger k_{obs} values than **1H** (4.9 × 10² mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹). The k_{obs} value of **3H** was found to be smaller than that of **1H**, and this may be due to the absence of an electron-donating alkene group in **3H**. The k_{obs} value increases with an increasingly electron-rich environment in the molecule.

Direct measurements of the rate of the reaction between artepillin C derivatives and PhCMe2OO' were performed in propionitrile (EtCN) at 203 K by means of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR). PhCMe₂OO' is formed via a radical chain process as shown in Scheme 1.10 The photoirradiation of Bu^tOOBu^t results in the homolytic cleavage of the O-O bond to produce Bu^tO[•],¹¹ which abstracts a hydrogen atom from cumene to give cumyl radical, followed by the facile addition of molecular oxygen to cumyl radical. PhCMe2OO' can also abstract a hydrogen atom from cumene in the propagation step to yield cumene hydroperoxide, accompanied by regeneration of cumyl radical (Scheme 1).¹² In the termination step, PhCMe₂OO' decays by a bimolecular reaction to yield the corresponding peroxide and molecular oxygen (Scheme 1).¹³ In the presence of the artepillin C derivatives, the decay rate of PhCMe₂OO' was much faster than that in their absence (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Time course changes of the EPR signal intensity of PhCMe₂OO[•] in the absence and presence of **6H** ($1.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) in EtCN at 203 K. Inset: EPR spectrum of PhCMe₂OO[•].



Fig. 2 Plot of log k_{obs} vs. calculated energy difference values (D_{HT}) between phenoxyl radicals and phenols with reference to 1H.

It was also found that the k_{obs} values for the artepillin C derivatives were linearly correlated with the corresponding energy difference values, equal to $D_{\rm HT}$, (Table 1) between the phenoxyl radicals (1'-6') and the phenols determined by density functional theory (DFT) calculations¹⁶ as shown in Fig. 2. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 3, such a linear correlation cannot be observed between the $k_{\rm obs}$ values and the ionization potential (IP) calculated by DFT (Table 1). These results suggest that the PhCMe₂OO'-scavenging reaction by the artepillin C derivatives may proceed *via* a one-step hydrogen atom transfer rather than *via* an electron transfer oxidation of the artepillin C derivatives by PhCMe₂OO'.

Furthermore, enhancement in the antioxidative activity of the artepillin C derivatives can be explained by the fact that electrondonating (ED) groups reduce the $D_{\rm HT}$ and electron-withdrawing (EW) groups have the reverse effect.^{17,18} By comparing the radicalscavenging efficiency of a series of artepillin C derivatives, we found the same trend and can conclude that the two structural factors are important for the antioxidative potency of the artepillin C derivatives, *i.e.*, the additional presence of ED substituents and the absence of EW groups. In fact, the $k_{\rm obs}$ value for **6H**, which is 2.4 times larger than that of **1H**, can be explained by the presence of two electron-donating groups, methoxy and hydroxypropenyl, in the molecule. Similarly, it can be explained why **3H** is least



Fig. 3 Plot of log k_{obs} vs. calculated ionization potentials (IP) of **1H–6H**.

reactive followed by 1H, 4H, 2H, 5H, and 6H among the examined artepillin C derivatives. By comparing the k_{obs} values for 1H–6H, it is clear that the more electron-rich is the environment the compound has, the lower is its $D_{\rm HT}$ value, and the higher is its PhCMe₂OO' scavenging activity.

In conclusion, structural modification of artepillin C, resulting in the decline of $D_{\rm HT}$, leads to the enhancement of cumylperoxylscavenging activity. This is explained by the fact that **6H**, having six-fold lower $D_{\rm HT}$ as compared to artepillin C, showed more than two-fold higher PhCMe₂OO'-scavenging activity than artepillin C. Such an augmentation in radical-scavenging efficiency may have implications for reducing the excessive amount of radical scavenger used in *in vitro* as well as in *in vivo* studies.

This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientist (B) (Nos. 19790043 and 19750034) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

Notes and references

- G. W. Burton and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 6472;
 V. R. Bowry and K. U. Ingold, Acc. Chem. Res., 1999, 32, 27;
 K. Hayashi, S. Komura, N. Isaji, N. Ohishi and K. Yagi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1999, 47, 1521;
 I. Nakanishi, Y. Uto, K. Ohkubo, K. Miyazaki,
 H. Yakumaru, S. Urano, H. Okuda, J. I. Ueda, T. Ozawa,
 K. Fukuhara, S. Fukuzumi, H. Nagasawa, H. Hori and N. Ikota, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 1452;
 N. Noguchi, Y. Iwaki,
 M. Takahashi, E. Komuro, Y. Kato, K. Tamura, O. Cynshi,
 T. Kodama and E. Niki, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1997, 342, 236.
- M. Wijtmans, D. A. Pratt, L. Valgimigli, G. A. DiLabio, G. F. Pedulli and N. A. Porter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 4370; G. W. Burton, T. Doba, E. J. Gabe, L. Hughes, F. Lee, L. Prasad and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 7053; A. Watanabe, N. Noguchi, A. Fujisawa, T. Kodama, K. Tamura, O. Cynshi and E. Niki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 5438; D. A. Pratt, G. A. DiLabio, G. Brigati, G. F. Pedulli and L. Valgimigli, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 4625; L. Valgimigli, G. Brigati, G. F. Pedulli, G. A. DiLabio, M. Mastragostino, C. Arbizzani and D. A. Pratt, Chem.-Eur. J., 2003, 67, 5190; M. C. Foti, E. R. Johnson, M. R. Vinqvist, J. S. Wright, L. R. C. Barclay and K. U. Ingold, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 5190; K. Mukai, Yukagaku, 1991, 41, 1063.
- 3 H. Aga, T. Shibuya, T. Sugimoto, S. Nakajima and M. Kurimoto, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 1994, 58, 945.
- 4 K. Hayashi, S. Komura, N. Isaji, N. Ohishi and K. Yagi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1999, 47, 1521.
- 5 T. Kimoto, S. Arai, M. Aga, T. Hanaya, M. Kohguchi, Y. Nomura and M. Kurimoto, *Gan to Kagaku Ryoho*, 1996, **23**, 1855; T. Matsuno, S. K. Jung, Y. Matsumoto, M. Saito and J. Morikawa, *Anticancer Res.*, 1997, **17**, 3565; Y. Nakajima, M. Shimazawa, S. Mishima and H. Hara,

Life Sci., 2006, **80**, 370; M. R. Ahn, K. Kunimasa, T. Ohta, S. Kumazawa, M. Kamihira, K. Kaji, Y. Uto, H. Hori, H. Nagasawa and T. Nakayama, *Cancer Lett.*, 2007, **252**, 235.

- 6 I. Nakanishi, Y. Uto, K. Ohkubo, K. Miyazaki, H. Yakumaru, S. Urano, H. Okuda, J. I. Ueda, T. Ozawa, K. Fukuhara, S. Fukuzumi, H. Nagasawa, H. Hori and N. Ikota, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2003, 1, 1452.
- H. Y. Zhang, Y. M. Sun and X. L. Wang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, 9, 502;
 G. A. Russel, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1956, 34, 1074; D. D. M. Wayner,
 E. Lusztyk, K. U. Ingold and P. Mulder, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, 61, 6430;
 M. Lucarini, P. Pedrielli, G. F. Pedulli, S. Cabiddu and C. Fattuoni,
 J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 9263;
 G. Brigati, M. Lucarini, V. Mugnaini and
 G. F. Pedulli, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 67, 4828;
 H. Y. Zhang, Y. M. Sun and X. L. Wang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, 9, 502;
 G. A. Russel, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1956, 34, 1074.
- 8 J. A. Howard, K. U. Ingold and M. Symonds, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1968, 46, 1017.
- 9 Y. Uto, S. Ae, H. Nagasawa and H. Hori, ACS Symp. Ser., 2005, 909, 176.
- 10 R. A. Sheldon, in *The Activation of Dioxygen and Homogeneous Catalytic Oxidation*, ed. D. H. R. Barton, A. E. Martell and D. T. Sawyer, Plenum, New York and London, 1993, pp. 9–30; G. W. Parshall and S. D. Ittel, *Homogeneous Catalysis*, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn, 1992, ch. 10; A. E. Shilov, *Activation of Saturated Hydrocarbons by Transition Metal Complexes*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1984, ch. 4; A. Boettcher, E. R. Birnbaum, M. W. Day, H. B. Gray, M. W. Grinstaff and J. A. Labinger, *J. Mol. Catal A: Chem.*, 1997, **117**, 229.
- 11 J. K. Kochi, *Free Radicals in Solution*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1957; J. K. Kochi, P. J. Krusic and D. R. Eaton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 1877; P. J. Krusic and J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 3938; P. J. Krusic and J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 3942.
- S. Fukuzumi and Y. Ono, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1977, 622;
 S. Fukuzumi and Y. Ono, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1977, 784.
- 13 J. A. Howard, Adv. Free-Radical Chem. (London), 1972, 4, 49.
- 14 I. Nakanishi, K. Miyazaki, T. Shimada, K. Ohkubo, S. Urano, N. Ikota, T. Ozawa, S. Fukuzumi and K. Fukuhara, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2002, 106, 11123.
- 15 I. Nakanishi, K. Ohkubo, K. Miyazaki, W. Hakamata, S. Urano, T. Ozawa, H. Okuda, S. Fukuzumi, N. Ikota and K. Fukuhara, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 2004, **17**, 26.
- 16 Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed on an 8CPU workstation (PQS, Quantum Cube QS8-2400C-064). Geometry optimizations were carried out using the Becke3LYP and $6-31G^*$ basis set for the phenoxyl radical with the unrestricted Hartree–Fock (UHF) formalism as implemented in the Gaussian 03 program Revision C.02. The $D_{\rm HT}$ values were determined by the single point energy calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* basis set with the restricted open shell Hartree–Fock (ROHF) formalism.
- 17 H. Y. Zhang, Y. M. Sun and X. L. Wang, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 2709.
- 18 Y. M. Sun, H. Y. Zhang and D. Z. Chen, Chin. J. Chem., 2001, 19, 657.