Cu(II) catalyzed oxidation-[3+2] cycloaddition-aromatization cascade: Efficient synthesis of pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinolines[†]

Chenguang Yu,^{ab} Yianan Zhang,^a Shilei Zhang,^a Hao Li^c and Wei Wang*^{ac}

Received 11th August 2010, Accepted 18th October 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0cc03186k

A novel and synthetically efficient Cu(II) catalyzed oxidationdipolar cycloaddition-aromatization cascade reaction has been developed for a "one-pot" synthesis of biologically important pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinolines.

Pyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinolines constitute the core structure of the novel marine natural products lamellarin alkaloids with more than 30 family members.¹ They display a broad spectrum of promising biological properties. For example, lamellarin D is a potent inhibitor of topoisomerase I,² while related lamellarin α -20-sulfonate serves as a selective HIV integrase inhibitor (Fig. 1).³ Lamellarin I is an MDR inhibitor⁴ and its analogue lamellarin K exhibits antitumor activity.⁵ Their intriguing biological activities have provoked significant recent interest in the target-⁶ and diversity-⁷ oriented synthesis. Despite the fact that several strategies involving dipolar⁸ and azadiene Diels-Alder cycloadditions,^{6c} oxidative dimerization^{6b} and double-barreled Heck cyclization⁹ have been reported in the preparation of the pyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinoline core structures, the general observation is that they require multiple synthetic steps and/or highly functionalized substrates. For example, dipolar [3+2] cycloadditions between azomethines and activated double bonds are an efficient approach to hexahydropyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinolines.^{8,10,11} Nevertheless, an extra oxidation step is required to convert the pyrrolidines to the pyrroles. In addition, the method has a limited scope, restricted the substrates bearing electron-donating substituents. to



Fig. 1 Biologically important pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinoline lamellarin alkaloids.

^a Department of Chemistry & Chemical Biology,

Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China ^c Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, These studies highlight the challenges and potentials of the dipolar cycloaddition reactions in construction of the important molecular architecture. More synthetically efficient strategies will allow for the target- and diversity-oriented synthesis of the biologically significant lamellarin alkaloids and the exploration of their biomedical applications.

As a result of high reactivity of the essential azomethines in dipolar cycloaddition reactions,^{8,12} in general, they are formed in situ from corresponding labile imines, whose preparations are nontrivial. The use of readily prepared and stable tetrahydroisoquinolines 1 could offer significant synthetic and economic benefits (Scheme 1). We envisioned that oxidation of tetrahydroisoquinolines 1 would lead to formation of azomethines 2, which were trapped by a dipolarophile such as maleimides 3 for a subsequent [3+2] cycloaddition to give adducts 4. Under the same reaction conditions, the resulting hexahydropyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinolines 4 would be oxidized subsequently to afford the target pyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinolines 5.¹³ The proposal looks simple on paper. However, we faced two challenging issues. First, a direct oxidation of isoquinolines 1 to unstable azomethines 2 has not been reported previously. Moreover, it is unclear if we could find a suitable oxidation system for forming the azomethines 2, while compatible with subsequent dipolar cycloaddition and aromatization processes. In this communication, we wish to disclose the results by the discovery of a new "one pot" approach to the pyrrolo [2, 1-a] isoquinolines from simple starting materials. A novel Cu(II) catalyzed oxidation-[3+2] cycloaddition-aromatization cascade process is developed. Notably, the reaction displays a broad substrate scope that a variety of dipolarophiles including maleimides, maleic anhydride, quinones, and alkynes can effectively engage in the process, thus generating the products with significant structural diversity.

To validate the hypothesis, the reaction of tetrahydroisoquinoline ester **1a** with *N*-*p*-bromophenylmaleimide **3a** in the presence of oxidant TBHP (*t*-butyl hydroperoxide) and a Lewis acid in toluene at room temperature was performed initially (Table 1).¹⁴ It was shown that the catalyst was critical for the process (entries 1–3). Only copper salts delivered the desired product **5a** albeit a low yield (25%). The low yield largely attributed to the incomplete conversion of the 1, 3-dipolar adduct **4a** (38% yield) to **5a**. It was noted that under the oxidative reaction conditions, the C₃-C₄ bond was not oxidized and thus 3,4-dihydroquinoline



Scheme 1 A proposed catalytic oxidation-[3+2] cycloadditionaromatization cascade.

University of New Mexico, MSC03 2060, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA. E-mail: wwang@unm.edu; Fax: (+1) 505-277-2609

^b State Key Laboratory of Chemical Resources Engineering,

⁵⁵⁵ Zuchongzhi Road, Shanghai 201203, China † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectroscopic data for the compounds 5 and 7. See DOI: 10.1039/c0cc03186k

 Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^a



Entry	Cat.	Oxidant	Solvent	$\frac{9}{4a}/5a^b$
1	CuBr	TBHP	Toluene	38/25
2	FeCl ₃	TBHP	Toluene	< 5/ < 5
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TBHP	Toluene	0/0
4	CuBr ₂	TBHP	Toluene	29/30
5	CuCl	TBHP	Toluene	36/11
6	CuCl ₂	TBHP	Toluene	40/12
7	CuOTf·1/2Tol	TBHP	Toluene	33/4
8	$Cu(OTf)_2$	TBHP	Toluene	36/7
9	CuBr	TBHP	CH ₂ Cl ₂	27/16
10	CuBr	TBHP	DME	16/9
11	CuBr	TBHP	MeOH	0/0
12	CuBr	TBHP	EtOAc	32/21
13	CuBr	TBHP	Toluene/H ₂ O (v/v: $9/1$)	30/14
14	CuBr	TBHP	Toluene	0/0
15	CuBr	$(t-BuO)_2$	Toluene	24/26
16	CuBr	$(PhCO_2)_2O$	Toluene	33/29
17	CuBr	PhCO ₃ t-Bu	Toluene	15/5
18	CuBr	$TBHP^{c}$	Toluene	13/42
19	CuBr ₂	$TBHP^{c}$	Toluene	0/55
20	CuBr ₂	$TBHP^{d}$	Toluene	0/52
21	CuBr ₂	TBHP ^e	Toluene	0/76

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: unless specified, to a solution of an ethyl 2-(3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1*H*)-yl)acetate (0.1 mmol) and 60 μ L of TBHP (3.3 equiv.) in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst CuBr₂ in toluene (1.0 mL) was added a *N*-substituted maleimide (or other dipolarophiles) (0.1 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred for 48 h at 50 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} 3.3 equiv. of TBHP used. ^{*d*} 6.6 equiv. of TBHP used. ^{*e*} At 50 °C.

was obtained. Therefore the method is complementary to that was developed by Porco and co-workers, where the quinolines were produced.¹¹ Furthermore, the 3,4-dihydroquinolines can be conveniently converted to the quinolines by DDQ oxidation.¹⁵ We decided to employ Cu salts as catalysts for the optimization of reaction conditions (entries 4-8). It was found that CuBr gave the best total yield (63%, entry 1), while CuBr₂ afforded the better yield of product 5a (30%, entry 4). Survey of solvent effect using CuBr as a catalyst concluded that toluene was the preferred medium for the reaction. TBHP proved to be the most effective among the oxidants including (tBuO)₂, benzoyl peroxide and PhCO₂OtBu probed (entries 1 and 14–17). Increasing the loading of TBHP (3.3 equiv.) resulted in the improvement of the yield of 5a (42%), but still a pronounced amount of 4a remained (entry 18). A cleaner reaction with exclusive formation of 5a was obtained with CuBr₂ and the yield was also improved (55%, entry 19). Further increasing the loading of the oxidant (6.6 equiv.) was not beneficial (entry 20). Nevertheless, elevating the reaction temperature to 50 °C enhanced the yield (76%) dramatically (entry 21).

With the optimized condition in hand, we then explored the scope and limitations of the reaction using various maleimides 3 and tetrahydroisoquinolines 1. As shown in Table 2, the reaction proceeded smoothly with *N*-substituted maleimides, which have significant structural variations bearing electron-neutral (entry 1), donating (entries 2–5) and withdrawing (entries 6–9)

 Table 2
 Reaction scope with maleimides and tetrahydroisoquinolines^a



substituents in aryl groups and aliphatic moiety (entry 10). It appeared that the steric hindrance of the substituents had some degree of influence on the process and relatively lower reaction yields were obtained (entries 2, 4 and 6). Probing the electronic impact of substituents on tetrahydroisoquinolines 1 indicated that such effect was limited (entries 11 and 12). It is noteworthy that the structure of the product **5**I bearing two MeO groups is related to the lamellrin alkaloids.

To demonstrate the powerful Cu(II) promoted oxidation-[3+2] cycloaddition-aromatization cascade with the broader synthetic utility, we also examined other dipolarophiles and gave good yields (Table 3). Maleic anhydride (entry 1), 1, 4-naphtho-quinone (entry 2), activated alkynes (entries 3–5) were found to be effective dipolarophiles engaged in the reaction in good yields. It is noted that high regioselectivity of the reaction was observed and only one regioisomer was formed (entries 4 and 5). Compound **7e** is a known compound, which allows us to establish the structure of the regioisomer.¹⁶ The high regioselectivity may attribute to the steric and electronic effects.¹⁷

A controlled experiment using compound 4a as an example showed that the end products pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinolines 5 indeed were transformed from [3+2] cyclcoaddition adducts 4 (Scheme 2). This indicates that the formed final products go through an oxidation-[3+2] cycloaddtion-aromatization sequence in a cascade manner.

In conclusion, we have developed a synthetically efficient strategy, relying on a novel Cu(II) catalyzed oxidation-dipolar cycloaddition-aromatization cascade for the "one-pot" synthesis of highly valuable pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinolines. A wide range of substrates can effectively participate in the reactions under mild reaction conditions to create structurally diverse products. Notably, the cascade processes involve an unprecedented dipolar cycloaddition reaction with *in situ* formation of azomethine ylides from simple and stable amines. Further investigations of the powerful strategy in the development of new reactions are under way in our laboratory.





^{*a*} Unless specified, see footnote a in Table 1 and supporting information. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} 2 equiv. **6d** used. ^{*d*} Reaction time: 2 h.



Scheme 2 Oxidation of hexahydropyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinoline **4a** to pyrrolo [2, 1-*a*] isoquinoline **5a**.

Financial support provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0704015, W. Wang) and the Chinese Scholarship Council (C. Yu) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- For reviews of lamellarins and related alkaloids, see: (a) C. Bailly, Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents, 2004, 4, 363; (b) S. T. Handy and Y. A. Zhang, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 2005, 37, 411; (c) H. Fan, Peng, J. M. K. Hamann and J.-F. Hu, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 264; (d) D. Pla, F. Albericio and M. Alvarez, Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem., 2008, 8, 746.
- 2 E. Marco, W. Laine, C. Tardy, A. Lansiaux, M. Iwao, F. Ishibashi, C. Bailly and F. Gago, J. Med. Chem., 2005, 48, 3796.
- 3 M. V. R. Reddy, M. R. Rao, D. Rhodes, M. S. T. Hansen, K. Rubins, F. D. Bushman, Y. Venkateswarlu and D. Faulkner, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 1901.

- 4 S. M. Reddy, M. Srinivasulu, N. Satyanarayana, A. K. Kondapi and Y. Venkateswarlu, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, 61, 9242.
- 5 A. R. Quesada, M. D. G. Gravalos and J. L. F Puentes, Br. J. Cancer, 1996, 74, 677.
- 6 Selected examples: (a) A. Heim, A. Terpin and W. Steglich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 155; (b) M. G. Banwell, B. L. Flynn and S. G. Stewart, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 9139; (c) D. L. Boger, C. W. Boyce, M. A. Labroli, C. A. Sehon and Q. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 54; (d) C. P. Ridley, M. V. R. Reddy, G. Rocha, F. D. Bushman and D. J. Faulkner, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2002, 10, 3285; (e) J. T. Gupton, S. C. Clough, R. B. Miller, J. R. Lukens, C. A. Henry, R. P. F. Kanters and J. A. Sikorski, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 207; (f) P. Ploypradith, C. Mahidol, P. Sahakitpichan, S. Wongbundit and S. Ruchirawat, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 866; (g) S. T. Handy, Y. N. Zhang and H. Bregman, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 2362; (h) N. Fujikawa, T. Ohta, T. Yamaguchi, T. Fukuda, F. Ishibashi and M. Iwao, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 594; (i) J. S. Yadav, K. U. Gayathri, B. V. S. Reddy and A. R. Prasad, Synlett, 2009, 43; (j) T. Fukuda, T. Ohta, S. Saeki and M. Iwao, Heterocycles, 2010, 82, 841.
- 7 (a) D. Fernández, A. Ahaidar, G. Danelón, P. Cironi, M. Marfil, O. Pérez, C. Cuevas, F. Albericio, J. A. Joule and M. Álvarez, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2004, **135**, 615; (b) M. Marfil, F. Albericio and M. Álvarez, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 8659; (c) P. Cironi, C. Cuevas, F. Albericio and M. Álvarez, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 8669; (d) P. Ploypradith, R. K. Kagan and S. Ruchirawat, J. Org. Chem., 2005, **70**, 5119; (e) T. Petchmanee, P. Ploypradith and S. Ruchirawat, J. Org. Chem., 2005, **71**, 2892; (f) T. Ohta, T. Fukuda, F. Ishibashi and M. Iwao, J. Org. Chem., 2009, **74**, 8143; (g) L. Shen, X. Yang, B. Yang, Q. He and Y.-Z. Hu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **45**, 11.
- 8 (a) F. Ishibashi, Y. Miyazaki and M. Iwao, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 5951; (b) M. G. Banwell, B. L. Flynn and D. C. R. Hockless, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 2259; (c) S. Ruchirawat and T. Mutarapat, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 1205; (d) P. Cironi, I. Manzanares, F. Albericio and M. Álvarez, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, 5, 2959; (e) P. Ploypradith, T. Petchmance, P. Sahakitpichan, N. D. Litvinas and S. Ruchirawat, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 71, 9440.
- 9 (a) M. G. Banwell, B. L. Flynn, D. C. R. Hockless, R. W. Longmore and A. D. Rae, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1998, **52**, 755; (b) J. Tóth, A. Nedves, A. Dancsó, G. Blaskó, L. Tőke and M. Nyerges, *Synthesis*, 2007, 1003; (c) L. Chen and M.-H. Xu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 2005.
- (a) R. Grigg and F. Heaney, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989,
 3, 198; (b) J. Tóth, L. Váradi, A. Dancsó, L. Töke and M. Nyerges, Synlett, 2007, 1259.
- 11 S. Su and A. J. Porco, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 7744.
- 12 For recent reviews on azomethine ylide cycloadditions, see: (a) C. Najera and J. M. Sansano, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 7, 1105; (b) I. Coldham and R. Hufton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 2765; (c) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, 63, 3235.
- 13 Methods of oxidation of pyrrolidines to pyrroles: (a) J. Toth, L. Varadi, A. Dancso, G. Blasko, L. Toke and M. Nygeres, Synlett, 2007, 1259; (b) P. Gupta and A. P. Bhaduri, Synth. Commun., 1998, 28, 3151; (c) B. Bonnaud and D. C. H. Bigg, Synthesis, 1994, 465 and ref. 15.
- 14 For reviews of Lewis acid catalyzed oxidation of C–N bonds, see:
 (a) K. R. Campos, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, 36, 1069;
 (b) S.-I. Murahashi and D. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, 37, 1490;
 (c) C.-J. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, 42, 335;
 (d) C. J. Scheuermann, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2010, 5, 436.
- 15 D. Pla, A. Marchal, C. A. Olsen, F. Albericio and M. Alvarez, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 8231. We have demonstrated the transformation in high efficiency under a microwave irradiation.



- 16 S. Kano, T. Yokomatsu, Y. Yuasa and S. Shibuya, *Heterocycles*, 1982, 19, 2143.
- 17 M. Nyerges, B. Somfai, J. Tth, L. Tőke, A. Dandes and G. Vlask, Synthesis, 2005, 2039.