Reactive Intermediates

Gold Catalysis: Proof of Arene Oxides as Intermediates in the Phenol Synthesis**

A. Stephen K. Hashmi,* Matthias Rudolph, Jan P. Weyrauch, Michael Wölfle, Wolfgang Frey, and Jan W. Bats

The gold-catalyzed synthesis of highly substituted arenes 2 or benzofurans 3 from furans 1 has proved to be a powerful tool for organic synthesis (Scheme 1).^[1] As reported previously, a number of other transition metals with a d⁸ configuration also catalyze this transformation,^[1b,2] but all are significantly less active than gold(III).^[1b] We had obtained experimental evidence for an intramolecular migration of the furan oxygen atom (which becomes the phenol oxygen atom).^[1a] Such a 1,2-transposition suggested the intermediacy of an arene oxide, but in our initial publication we only dared to propose a simple, organic-type mechanism (pathway I via A and B, Scheme 2).^[1a]

Subsequently, Echavarren and co-workers conducted theoretical studies in which they compared pathways I and



Scheme 1. The gold-catalyzed phenol synthesis. $R^1-R^4 = alkyl$, aryl, alkynyl; $X = CR_2^5$, NR^5 , O (three atoms in the linker), $-CR_2^5NR^6-$ (four atoms in the linker).



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author. II (Scheme 2). According to their calculations, pathway II is favored, and side products observed in Pt^{II} -catalyzed reactions would also correspond to the hydrolysis of intermediate **D** of pathway II.^[2] However, such side products do not



Scheme 2. Possible pathways for the transformation of 1 into 2.

necessarily stem from the catalytic cycle but can be generated in a competing side reaction. Furthermore, the gold-catalyzed reactions were highly selective, and such side products were never observed. Other conceivable pathways would proceed through alkynyl or vinylidene complexes (I or J, pathway IV), or, if the d⁸ precatalyst was reduced in situ, the insertion of a d¹⁰ species into the C(sp²)–O bond (as in the Felkin/Wenkert reaction;^[3] pathway III), followed by insertion of the alkyne to give **F**.

Herein we describe how the arene oxides/oxepins G/H can be enriched and detected readily in the reaction mixture after modification of the energy profile of the reaction by variation of the ligand in the gold complex. Our efforts to gain further experimental mechanistic insight formed the starting point of these studies. Even experiments with substoichiometric amounts of AuCl₃ (30 mol%) led to no detectable concentration of an intermediate. When a mixture at -20°C of AuCl₃ (5 mol%) and the substrate was warmed up gradually, either no reaction was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, or the slow formation of 2 at 0°C. The breaking of four bonds and the formation of four new bonds during the reaction is clearly not a single-transition-state elementary reaction, and thus the failure to detect any intermediates with AuCl₃ simply meant that the first step was the rate-limiting step. If the reaction proceeded by pathway IV, a primary kinetic isotope effect should be observable with 1 deuterated at the alkyne, but this effect was not observed.

To detect intermediates, it is necessary to change the energy profile of the whole reaction; a later step must have the highest energy of activation. When we used **1a** ($\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{Me}$, $\mathbf{R}^2 - \mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{NTs}$; $\mathbf{Ts} = p$ -toluenesulfonyl) and the complex **4**^[4] (X-ray crystal structure shown in Figure 1),^[5] we indeed observed an additional species **5a/6a** (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Crystal structure of 4.



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectrum of the transient species.

Under optimized conditions, 5a/6a can be enriched to account for 80% of the material in the reaction mixture at room temperature (Figure 3) and shows long-term stability when cooled to -20 °C. At room temperature 5a/6a converts directly into 2a (Scheme 3).

Two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy (H,H-COSY, HMQC) of the reaction mixture at -20 °C strongly pointed towards the presence of an arene oxide structure **5a**. The direct isolation of **5a** failed, as the compound always



Figure 3. The amount y of the transient species as a percentage of the material present in the reaction mixture is plotted against time. The transient species can be accumulated to $80\%. \diamond 2a$, $\blacksquare 1a$, $\triangle 5a/6a$.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2798–2801

www.angewandte.org



Scheme 3. Diels-Alder reaction of the transient species.

rearranged to **2a**. From the literature it was known that the 1,3-diene substructure of arene oxides can undergo Diels–Alder reactions with dienophiles such as **7** to provide stable derivatives.^[6] By following this concept, **8** was isolated as a single diastereomer and could even be characterized by X-ray crystal-structure analysis (Scheme 3, Figure 4).^[5]



Figure 4. Crystal structure of 8.

When other complexes, such as 9,^[7] 10^[8] (X-ray crystal structure shown in Figure 5),^[5] 11,^[8] and even PtCl₂ or [{(cod)IrCl}₂] (cod = cyclooctadiene), were used, small transient peaks of 5a were also observed in the ¹H NMR spectra recorded during the reaction.

It is clear that 2a is much lower in energy than 1a. Density functional calculations (B3LYP/6-31G** including zeropoint-energy (ZPE) correction) showed that even 5a and 6aare both 19 kcalmol⁻¹ lower in energy than 1a, and the reaction to give 2a then sets free another 42 kcalmol⁻¹. On the other hand, the experimentally observed relative energies of 5a and 6a could not be reproduced at this level of theory: In the NMR spectra, the signals for the diastereotopic hydrogen atoms of both CH₂ groups adjacent to the tosyl-

Communications



Figure 5. Crystal strucuture of 10.

amide group suggest that **5a** is lower in energy than **6a** and that a possible equilibrium with low concentrations of **6a** must be slow on the NMR timescale; however, calculations with different basis sets (B3LYP/6-31G**, BLYP/6-31G**, or LMP2/6-31G**, each including ZPE correction) gave identical energies within the error of the method (a difference of less than 1 kcal mol⁻¹).^[9,10]

Further support for an arene oxide structure was provided by the following characteristic ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data, which are in good agreement with literature values for a substituted epoxide: The signal for the hydrogen atom on the epoxide is a singlet at $\delta =$ 3.87 ppm^[11] with ¹J_{CH} = 184 Hz;^[12] the tertiary and quaternary carbon atoms of the oxirane ring give rise to signals at $\delta =$ 66.1 and 69.5 ppm, respectively;^[13] the signal for the hydrogen atoms on the methyl group is shifted to high field at $\delta =$ 1.52 ppm, thus indicating an sp³-hybridized neighboring group.

Under the reaction conditions **5a** does not undergo interconversion into other constitutionally isomeric arene oxides, as is known from the "oxygen walk"^[14] in the NIH shift reaction.^[15] The possibility of enriching the intermediate

seems to be quite general; other substrates, such as **2b** (R¹ = mesityl, R²-R⁴ = H, X = NTs), **2c** (R¹ = Me, R²-R⁴ = H, X = NNs; Ns = nosyl), **2d** (R¹ = Me, R²-R⁴ = H, X = O), **2e** (R¹ = 4-Br-Ph, R²-R⁴ = H, X = O), and **2f** (R¹ = Me, R²-R⁴ = H, X = -CH(CH₂O-allyl)O⁻) show the same chemical behavior.

In conclusion, we have provided the first direct experimental evidence for the formation of **2** via **5**. The lack of a primary kinetic isotope effect in the AuCl₃-catalyzed reactions is an argument against pathway IV, by which, furthermore, the isomerization of the metalated arene oxide **L** to the phenolate should be faster than a possible protodemetalation of **L**. Thus, of the many conceivable pathways, only those that proceed via **5**, such as pathways I–III, can be responsible for product formation. With a workup appropriate to the sensitivity of **5**, complex **4** might open a new entry to the entire chemistry^[11] of **5** from simple, readily available starting materials. A further modification of the energy profile of the reaction by ligand variation might reveal even more details of the reaction mechanism.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of 8: 1a (45.0 mg, 150 µmol) was dissolved in CD₃CN, and 4 (2.70 mg, 7.50 µmol, 5 mol %) was added. The reaction was monitored at room temperature by NMR spectroscopy. After five hours, the solution was cooled to -40 °C, and 7 (26.4 mg, 150 µmol) was added. The red solution was stored in the freezer at -25 °C for four days; during this time it became colorless. After column chromatography of the crude material (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (PE/EA) 3:1), 8 (31.3 mg, 44% from 1a) was obtained as a colorless solid. m.p. 158°C; $R_{\rm f}$ (PE/EA 2:1): 0.23; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 1.55$ (s, 3 H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 3.55 (d, ${}^{2}J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, ${}^{2}J =$ 14.6 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.00 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 14.6$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (d, $^{2}J = 11.6$ Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (d, $^{3}J = 5.9$ Hz, 1 H), 5.96 (dt, $^{3}J = 5.9$ Hz, $^{4}J =$ 2.25 Hz,^[a] 1 H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 5 H), 7.40-7.43 (m, 2 H), 7.68 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H); [a] only a mean coupling constant could be determined; ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 16.35$ (q), 21.69 (q), 49.12 (t), 51.22 (d), 51.59 (t), 52.09 (q), 59.39 (d), 71.07 (s), 116.35 (d), 125.97 (d, 2C), 128.41 (d, 2C), 128.81 (d), 129.36 (d, 2C), 130.28 (d, 2C), 131.57 (s), 132.37 (s), 138.96 (s), 144.96 (s), 154.64 (s), 155.36 ppm (s); IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu} = 1767, 1710, 1596, 1497, 1415, 1361, 1319, 1248, 1161,$ 1095, 1076, 1063, 1025, 993, 811, 782, 760, 712, 693, 666 cm⁻¹; MS (FAB(+), 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol): m/z: 479 [M+H]+; MS (EI(-), 70 eV): m/z (%): 177 (42), 119 (64), 91 (100), 65 (22); C₂₄H₂₂N₄O₅S (478.52).

Received: November 19, 2004 Revised: January 19, 2005 Published online: March 30, 2005

Keywords: arene oxides \cdot density functional calculations \cdot gold \cdot homogeneous catalysis \cdot N ligands

a) A. S. K. Hashmi, T. M. Frost, J. W. Bats, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11553-11554; b) A. S. K. Hashmi, T. M. Frost, J. W. Bats, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3769-3771; c) A. S. K. Hashmi, T. M. Frost, J. W. Bats, Catal. Today 2002, 72, 19-72; d) A. S. K. Hashmi, L. Ding, J. W. Bats, P. Fischer, W. Frey, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 4339-4345; e) A. S. K. Hashmi, J. P. Weyrauch, M. Rudolph, E. Kurpejović, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6707-6709; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6545-6547.

- [2] a) B. Martín-Matute, D. J. Cardenas, A. M. Echavarren, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 4890-4893; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4754-4757; b) B. Martín-Matute, C. Nevado, D. J. Cárdenas, A. M. Echavarren, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5757-5766.
- [3] a) E. Wenkert, E. L. Michelotti, C. S. Swindell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2246-2247; b) E. Wenkert, E. L. Michelotti, C. S. Swindell, M. Tingoli, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4894-4899; c) E. Wenkert, V. F. Ferreira, E. L. Michelotti, M. Tingoli, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 719-721; d) P. Kocienski, N. J. Dixon, S. Wadman, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2357-2360.
- [4] L. Canovese, L. Cattalini, G. Marangoni, M. L. Tobe, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1985, 731–735.
- [5] CCDC 256196 (4), 256266 (8), and 256267 (10) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [6] a) M. P. Servé, D. M. Jerina, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2711-2713;
 b) J. R. Gillard, M. J. Newlands, J. N. Bridson, D. J. Burnell, Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 1337-1343;
 c) K. Nojima, C. Isogami, M. Hirobe, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 41, 2106-2108;
 d) A. P. Henderson, E. Mutlu, A. Leclercq, C. Bleasdale, W. Clegg, R. A. Henderson, B. T. Golding, Chem. Commun. 2002, 1956-1957.
- [7] L. S. Hollis, S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4293– 4299.
- [8] A. Dar, K. Moss, S. M. Cottrill, R. V. Parisch, C. A. McAuliffe, R. G. Pritchard, B. Beagley, J. Sandbank, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1992, 1907–1913.
- [9] We thank Dr. G. Rauhut and Prof. Dr. H. Stoll, Institut für Theoretische Chemie der Universität Stuttgart, for their continuous advice while we were carrying out the calculations.
- [10] In reference [2b], Echavarren and co-workers even suggest that the oxepine is the ground state on the basis of PM3 calculations. The difference in energy was estimated to be 10.3–12.7 kcalmol⁻¹.
- [11] a) E. Vogel, H. Günther, Angew. Chem. 1967, 79, 429-446; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1967, 6, 385-401; b) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1996, 25, 289-296; c) L. I. Belen'kii, Comp. Heterocycl. Chem. II 1996, 9, 45-66; d) S. von Angerer, W. Tochtermann, Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben Weyl) 4th ed. 1952-, Vol. E9d, 1998, pp. 1-64.
- [12] P. Laszlo, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1976, 1, 558-568.
- [13] H. Günther, G. Jikeli, Chem. Ber. 1973, 106, 1863-1880.
- [14] P. Y. Bruice, G. J. Kasperek, T. C. Bruice, H. Yagi, D. M. Jerina, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 1673–1674.
- [15] G. Guroff, J. W. Daly, D. M. Jerina, J. Renson, B. Witkop, S. Udenfriend, *Science* 1967, 157, 1524–1530.