1999 Vol. 1, No. 10 1615–1618

Facile Autoxidation of 2-(4-Hydroxy-phenyl)-3,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane. The Radical Stabilizing Ability of the Phenoxide Group

Xavier Creary,* Allison Wolf, and Kevin Miller

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

creary.1@nd.edu

Received August 30, 1999

ABSTRACT

 $2-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane undergoes rapid reaction with O_2 at room temperature to give a dioxolane. A chain mechanism involving ring opening of a phenoxy radical is proposed. Conversion of the title compound to the phenoxide results in a remarkably accelerated methylenecyclopropane rearrangement. Computational studies suggest that the intermediate biradical is greatly stabilized by the phenoxide substituent.$

The quantitative ability of substituents to stabilize benzylic radicals has been a topic of interest. A number of free radical stabilization scales have been developed over the years, and these have been recently discussed. A scale developed in our laboratory is based on the thermal rearrangement of substituted methylenecyclopropanes 1 to 3, which proceeds readily at 80 °C through a biradical (Scheme 1). Absent from our scale is the σ value for the p-OH group and the corresponding deprotonated form. The first report on these groups occurred when Adam used his EPR-based method to evaluate the radical stabilizing effect of a number of substituents, including the p-OH and p-O $^-$ substituents. We now wish to report on the synthesis of the phenol derivative 1 (p-OH) as well as the thermal rearrangement of the phenoxide 1 (p-O $^-$).

Scheme 1

$$CH_3$$
 CH_3
 CH_2
 Ar
 Ar
 Ar
 Ar
 Ar
 Ar
 CH_3
 CH

Our initial attempt to prepare the phenol derivative **1** (*p*-OH) involved alkaline hydrogen peroxide oxidation of the boronic acid **4** which we had available from a previous study.² However, this led to formation of the peroxide **5** as the major product isolated after an aqueous workup. We next prepared the *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl-protected methylenecyclopropane **6** by carbenoid addition to 1,1-dimethylallene.⁵ However, attempted desilylation of **6** with tetrabutylammo-

⁽¹⁾ Creary, X.; Engel, P. S.; Kavaluskas, N.; Pan, L.; Wolf, A. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 5634–5643.

⁽²⁾ Creary, X.; Mehrsheikh-Mohammadi, M. E.; McDonald, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3254–3263.

⁽³⁾ Adam, W.; Harrer, H. M.; Kita, F.; Korth, H.-G.; Nau, W. M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1419–1426.

nium fluoride gave, after an aqueous workup, significant amounts of the peroxide **5**, along with the desired **1** (*p*-OH). Careful exclusion of air during the workup is necessary in order to isolate **1** (*p*-OH) (Scheme 2). Further experiments

showed that the phenol 1 (p-OH) readily absorbs oxygen from air at room temperature, giving the peroxide 5. This reaction can be easily monitored by NMR in CDCl₃ and is complete within an hour when the reaction is carried out under an atmosphere of oxygen.

We felt that this remarkably facile autoxidation warranted further investigation. ^{6,7} The following mechanism is proposed. The reaction is initiated by hydrogen atom abstraction of the phenolic hydrogen. Ring opening of the strained cyclopropane bond of **7** gives the allylic radical/quinone methide **8**. Capture of molecular oxygen at the center of highest spin density (the tertiary carbon) leads to **9**, which can cyclize in a 5-exo fashion to give the radical **10**. Hydrogen atom abstraction by **10** from the starting material **1** (*p*-OH) generates the observed product and propagates the cycle by regenerating **7** (Scheme 3).

To support this mechanism, methylencyclopropane $\bf 6$ was thermally rearranged to isopropylidenecyclopropane $\bf 11$ and then desilylated. The resultant phenolic product $\bf 12$ was also found to react rapidly with oxygen at room temperature. The product formed was the identical peroxide $\bf 5$ that was produced when $\bf 1$ (p-OH) was oxidized (Scheme 4). The proposed common intermediate in the oxidation of both $\bf 1$ (p-OH) and $\bf 12$ is the radical $\bf 8$. This study supports our

Scheme 3

suggestion that this intermediate captures oxygen at the center of highest spin density, i.e., the tertiary center. No product 13 that would have resulted from reaction of oxygen at the primary end of the allylic radical 8 is formed. The intermediate peroxy radical 9 has two potential cyclization modes. The lack of formation of product 15 suggests that the 5-exo cyclization process in 9 to form 10 is significantly faster that the 5-endo cyclization that would have led to 14 (and ultimately 15).

10

Further insight into this facile autoxidation of 1 (p-OH) can be gained by examining the substrates 16-18 (Scheme 5). Neither 16 or 17 reacts readily with oxygen at room temperature or even at 80 °C. In the case of the meta analogue 16, this lack of reactivity is attributed to the relatively slow fragmentation of the cyclopropane bond of the *m*-phenoxy radical due to its inability to form a quinone methide structure. In the case of 17, the fragmentation of the cyclopropane bond of phenoxy radical **20** is relatively slow due to smaller ring strain in 20 (relative to 7) as well as the decreased spin delocalization in radical 22 relative to allylic radical 8. Hence, radical chain processes are not readily established for 16 and 17. By way of contrast, phenol 18 is readily oxidized by molecular oxygen at room temperature to peroxide 19. This is attributed to facile cyclopropane bond cleavage in phenoxy radical 21 due to the tertiary benzylic nature of radical 23. Hence a radical chain is readily established.

Attention was next turned to the thermal rearrangement of phenoxide 1 (p-O $^-$). This substrate was generated by

Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 10, 1999

⁽⁴⁾ Olofson, R. A.; Dougherty, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1973**, 95, 582–584.

⁽⁵⁾ Creary, X. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1777-1783.

⁽⁶⁾ Formation of a dioxolane by reaction of singlet oxygen with tetranisylcyclopropane has been reported. See: Akasaka, T.; Fukuoka, K.; Ando, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 7695–76.

⁽⁷⁾ Photosensitized addition of O₂ to cyclopropanes to form dioxolanes has also been reported. See: Schaap, A. P.; Siddiqui, S.; Prasad, G.; Palomino, E.; Lopwz, L. *J. Photochem.* **1984**, 25, 167–181.

reaction of silyl-protected derivative **6** with KOCH₃ in DMSO-*d*₆. This procedure bypassed the need to isolate the

Scheme 6

air-sensitive phenol **1** (p-OH). The thermal rearrangement of anion **1** (p-O $^-$) to isopropylidenecyclopropane **24** occurred readily at room temperature (Scheme 6). By way of contrast, rearrangement of protected material **6** or the parent substrate **1** (Ar = C_6H_5) required 80 °C for convenient rates. Relative rate data are shown in Figure 1. The rate enhancement of **1**

Figure 1. Effect of aryl groups on the relative rearrangement rates of 1 in DMSO- d_6 .

(*p*-O⁻) relative to the unsubstituted (*p*-H) derivative is a factor of 2500 at 25 °C. This is, by far, the largest rate enhancement that we have observed in the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement. The previous record holder was the 4-pyridyl-*N*-oxide substituted methylenecyclopropane, where the rate enhancement was a factor of 75.8 In this case the intermediate biradical was proposed to derive significant stabilization from spin delocalization involving nitroxyl radical forms.

The facile rearrangement of **1** (*p*-O⁻) is attributed to significant stabilization in biradical intermediate **25**. Forms such as **25a** and **25b** are recognizable as ketyl radicals, which possess unusual stability.⁹

Computational studies have also been carried out in order to gain further insight into the apparently large stabilization

Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 10, 1999

⁽⁸⁾ Creary, X.; Mehrsheikh-Mohammadi, M. E.; McDonald, S. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, *54*, 2904–2910.

of benzylic type radical **25** by the *p*-O⁻ substituent. Density functional calculations¹⁰ (B3LYP/6-31+G*) have been carried out on simple benzylic radical **26** as well as compounds **27–29**. The isodesmic reaction energy is 10.0 kcal/mol (9.8

kcal/mol at B3LYP/6-31G*) and is indicative of a large radical stabilization by the p-O $^-$ substituent. By way of contrast, the analogous isodesmic reaction energy of the

p-methyl benzyl radical with toluene is only 0.4 kcal/mol at the B3LYP/6-31+G* level and the reaction energy of the highly stabilized 4-pyridyl-*N*-oxide radical [${}^{\bullet}$ CH₂-C₅H₄NO] with toluene is 5.5 kcal/mol. Calculated spin densities also support the ketyl nature of radical **26**, as reflected by a large amount of positive spin density on the oxygen atom of **26**. Radical anion **26** is isoelectronic with the semiquinone radical anion, [${}^{\bullet}$ O-C₆H₄O ${}^{-}$]. However, the isodesmic reaction of the semiquinone radical anion with toluene gives a calculated reaction energy of 40.1 kcal/mol. This suggests that **26** (which is 10 kcal/mol more stable than the benzyl radical) is substantially less stable than the semiquinone radical anion. The stability order is therefore [${}^{\bullet}$ O-C₆H₄O ${}^{-}$] > [${}^{\bullet}$ CH₂-C₆H₄O ${}^{-}$] > [${}^{\bullet}$ CH₂-C₆H₅].

Our findings are in contrast to those of Adam,³ who reported no unusual radical stabilization by the phenoxide group. We are uncertain of the reason for this large discrepancy, but both our experimental and computational studies indicate that the *p*-O⁻ substituent is the best radical stabilizer examined to date.

In summary, certain phenols substituted in the *p*-position with cyclopropyl groups undergo room-temperature oxidation with molecular oxygen to give dioxolanes. The reaction is believed to involve fragmentation of the strained cyclopropane bond of the intermediate phenoxy radical. In a related study, the anionic phenoxy substituent provides an enormous rate enhancement in the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement and this has been attributed to large stabilization of the radical-like transition state.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

OL9902576

Org. Lett., Vol. 1, No. 10, 1999

⁽⁹⁾ For a discussion, see: Hirota, N. In *Radical Ions*; Kaiser, E. T., Kevan, L., Eds.; Interscience: New York, 1968; pp 35–85.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Frisch, M, J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V.; Foresman, J. B.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 94*, Revision B.3, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.