Carbon–Carbon Cross-Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by a Two-Coordinate Nickel(II)–Bis(amido) Complex via Observable Ni^I, Ni^{II}, and Ni^{III} Intermediates**

Michael I. Lipschutz and T. Don Tilley*

Abstract: Recently, the development of more sustainable catalytic systems based on abundant first-row metals, especially nickel, for cross-coupling reactions has attracted significant interest. One of the key intermediates invoked in these reactions is a Ni^{III}-alkyl species, but no such species that is part of a competent catalytic cycle has yet been isolated. Herein, we report a carbon-carbon cross-coupling system based on a two-coordinate Ni^{II}-bis(amido) complex in which a Ni^{III}-alkyl species can be isolated and fully characterized. This study details compelling experimental evidence of the role played by this Ni^{III}-alkyl species as well as those of other key Ni^I and Ni^{II} intermediates. The catalytic cycle described herein is also one of the first examples of a two-coordinate complex that competently catalyzes an organic transformation, potentially leading to a new class of catalysts based on the unique ability of first-row transition metals to accommodate twocoordinate complexes.

ransition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions encompass highly versatile methods for the construction of complex molecules from simple building blocks.^[1] Efficient catalytic systems for a wide range of substrates are utilized in both research laboratories and industry. The most efficient and commonly employed cross-coupling catalysts feature 2ndand 3rd-row transition metals, most notably palladium, to achieve high turnover numbers.^[1a,2] Despite the maturity of these synthetic methods, cross-coupling catalysis continues to attract significant interest, especially with respect to the development of more sustainable catalysts based on abundant 1st-row transition metals.^[1a,j,k,3] Various cross-coupling catalysts with 1st-row metals have been reported, and those based on nickel are particularly promising.^[1g,4]

While nickel catalysts are effective in many of the same transformations known for palladium, recent reports indicate that nickel also promotes coupling reactions of more challenging substrates, including Grignard reagents and unactivated alkyl halides.^[5] These catalysts are thought to employ mechanisms that are distinct from those of palladium and involve one-electron redox processes that feature Ni^{III}-alkyl or -aryl species as key intermediates.^[6] Although a few Ni^{III} species of this type have been isolated and characterized, none have been rigorously proven to be an intermediate in a catalytic cross-coupling reaction.^[7] Thus, a primary objective of research in this area is the acquisition of mechanistic information and defining the role of nickel(III) species in an operative catalytic cycle. In this context, it was of interest to investigate the recently reported nickel(III)-alkyl complex $[(Me)Ni\{N(SiMe_3)DIPP\}_2]$ (1; DIPP = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl)^[7a] and explore its potential relevance as a catalytic intermediate. This investigation led to the discovery and mechanistic elaboration of C-C cross-coupling reactions catalyzed by $[Ni{N(SiMe_3)DIPP}_2]$ (2). The latter complex appears to represent a new class of coupling catalyst that is based on a two-coordinate bis(amido) ligand framework.

Reaction of 1 with one equivalent of PhI or $C_{12}H_{25}I$ in THF did not lead to any observed products within the time (ca. 24 h) that 1 decomposed to ethane and [Ni{N-(SiMe₃)DIPP₂] (2). However, in light of a mechanism for nickel-catalyzed alkyl-alkyl cross-coupling reactions recently proposed by Breitenfeld, Hu et al., [6a] in which the alkyl halide is initially activated by a nickel(II)-alkyl complex, the reduction of 1 to a Ni^{II} analogue was examined. Cyclic voltammetry revealed that 1 undergoes a reversible Ni^{II}/Ni^{III} reduction at -1.30 V ($E_{1/2}$ versus ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/ Fc⁺), $ip_a/ip_c = 0.98$), which suggests that **1** might be readily reduced to the corresponding anionic Ni^{II}-methyl complex. Indeed, reduction of 1 with KC_8 at -30 °C in toluene over 25 min, followed by precipitation from toluene/pentane, provided the blue, anionic nickel(II)-methyl complex $[K{(Me)Ni[N(SiMe_3)DIPP]_2}]$ (3) in 64% yield (Scheme 1).

Analysis of **3** by single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed molecular units containing a T-shaped, three-coordinate nickel center, linked into a polymeric chain through coordination of the potassium ions to the aryl rings of adjacent units (Figure 1). Comparison of this structure to that of the nickel(III) species **1** reveals that the nickel(II) complex **3** possesses a longer average Ni–N bond length (av. 1.891(2) Å Ni^{II}; 1.813(2) Å Ni^{III}), as expected based on a larger covalent radius for the more reduced nickel center. However, the Ni^{II}– C bond length in **3** (av. 1.891(2) Å) is unexpectedly shorter than the corresponding Ni^{III}-C distance in **1** (1.923(4) Å), presumably because of population of a Ni- σ * orbital in the latter (see the Supporting Information). Despite being threecoordinate, **3** is low-spin and diamagnetic, with the methyl

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

^[*] M. I. Lipschutz, Prof. T. D. Tilley Department of Chemistry, University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA) E-mail: tdtilley@berkeley.edu

^[**] We gratefully acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation for this work under grant no. CHE-1265674 and for the Molecular Graphics and Computational facility (College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley) under grant no. CHE-0840505. We also acknowledge the National Institutes of Health for funding of the ChexRay X-ray crystallographic facility (College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley) under grant no. S10-RR027172.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201404577.





Scheme 1. Reactions of related Ni–bis(amido) species. Conditions: a) toluene, 25 min. b) THF, seconds c) toluene, 15 min. d) THF, 30 min. e) benzene, 7 days. f) benzene, 1 day.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Dashed lines indicate intermolecular connectivity in the solid state. Selected bond lengths and angles: Ni-C: 1.879(2) Å; Ni-N: 1.8914(2) Å (av.); N-Ni-N: 161.42(7)°.

resonance appearing as a singlet at -0.95 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Similar to complex **1**, **3** is thermally unstable and decomposes over a period of seven days in C₆D₆ through reductive homolysis to the previously reported anionic nickel(I) complex [K{Ni[N(SiMe_3)DIPP]_2]] (4),^[7a] with elimination of ethane (¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy). Compound **3** exhibits no signs of decomposition after several months as a solid stored at -30 °C.

The accessibility of analogous Ni^{II}– and Ni^{III}–alkyl groups in this system, and the possible roles for such species as intermediates in catalytic C–C cross-coupling reactions, prompted an examination of **2** as a catalyst for the crosscoupling of Grignard reagents with alkyl and aryl halides. As shown in Table 1, **2** is a competent catalyst for the crosscoupling of aryl halides with both aryl and methyl Grignard reagents at ambient temperature. Electron-poor substrates appear to be preferred (e.g. 8-bromoquinoline (93%) versus 3-bromoanisole (<10%); entries 4 and 6, respectively), although electron-rich aryl iodide substrates also performed

Table 1: Substrate used in the Grignard/Ar-X cross-coupling reactions
catalyzed by 5 mol% [Ni{N(SiMe ₃)DIPP} ₂] (2) with 1.1 equiv R'MgBr.

	,	/	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	•
Entry	R-X	R'MgBr	t	Yield [%] (Et ₂ O)	Yield [%] (THF)
	\sim				
1		PhMgBr	30 min	98	92
	I	PhMgBr ^[a]	30 min	100	67
		MeMgBr	1.5 h	74	87
2		EtMgBr	40 min	30	-
	Br				
3		PhMgBr	1 h	68	95
	Br				
4	N	PhMgBr	1.5 h	93	18
		PhMgBr	1.5 h	99	33
5	MeO	MeMgBr	3.5 h	93 ^[b]	-
6		DhMaBr	5 5 h	< 10	56
0	MeO	FIINIgDI	5.5 11		50
7	MeO	PhMgBr	66 h	<10	59
8	N CI	PhMgBr	2 h	78	47
0			C la	02	
9		FUNGER	σn	92	-
10	C 11 1	MeMgBr	45 min	<1	< 10
10	C ₁₂ H ₂₅ I	PhMgBr	45 min	0%	0%
11		PhMgBr	40 min	-	79 % ^[d]

[a] Catalysis performed using 0.5 mol% 2. [b] Yield determined by calibrated GC/FID instead of NMR spectroscopy because of the volatility of the product. [c] 2.1 equiv of PhMgBr were used. [d] Yield is of the ring-opened product 4-phenyl-1-butene.

well. This catalytic system is also effective for more challenging aryl chloride substrates as well as aryl bromides and iodides. Heterocyclic compounds, including coordinating pyridine units, are also well tolerated despite concern that the strong coordination of pyridines to **2** could inhibit catalysis.^[8] Attempts to couple aryl and alkyl Grignards with unactivated alkyl iodides resulted in only trace amounts of the cross-coupled product, with the balance of products going to C12-alkene isomers and dodecane (entry 10).

The use of EtMgBr as the Grignard reagent resulted in a substantially reduced yield compared to MeMgBr (30% versus 74% in the coupling with 1-iodonaphthalene; Table 1, entry 2). To evaluate the stability of the anionic Ni^{II}–ethyl complex, the stoichiometric reaction between **2** and EtMgBr in [D₈]THF was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The addition of EtMgBr resulted in an immediate color change

www.angewandte.org

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

and complete consumption of **2**. Stoichiometric quantities of ethylene were detected in solution, which suggests that β -hydride elimination had occurred. Similar results have been observed for other Ni^{II}–alkyl complexes,^[9] and β -hydride elimination is thought to represent a significant hurdle for Ni-catalyzed carbon–carbon coupling reactions involving alkyl groups.^[10]

Analysis of the isolated, post-catalytic reaction mixture from the catalytic coupling of MeMgBr with PhI revealed that the primary nickel-containing product was anionic **3** (presumably as the BrMg⁺ salt), accounting for 89% of the initial catalyst loading (¹H NMR spectroscopy in $[D_8]$ THF versus internal standard). Small quantities of **4** also present in the post-catalytic reaction mixture may result from a slight thermal decomposition of **3**. The relatively large proportion of molecular Ni complexes present after catalysis suggests that these species may be directly involved in the catalytic cycle. Additionally, the yield and qualitative rate of the coupling reactions were found to be unaffected by the presence of mercury, further supporting the involvement of a homogeneous catalyst.

Given the efficiency of the carbon-carbon coupling catalysis by 2, the relatively broad substrate scope, and the isolation of potential intermediates, it was of interest to explore the catalytic mechanism. Mechanistic studies involved the observation of stoichiometric transformations for candidate intermediates 1-4, and led to the proposed mechanism illustrated in Scheme 2. The initial addition of the Grignard reagent to the neutral nickel(II)-bis(amido) complex 2 occurs to form an anionic nickel(II)-alkyl or -aryl complex (3') analogous to 3. This anionic complex then reduces the organic halide, with formation of an organic radical species and a nickel(III)-alkyl or -aryl complex (1'). The nature of this radical is currently undefined, and especially given the complexity of the reaction mixture, such radical species may not be "free". The organic radical



then combines with a second equivalent of **3'** to form the cross-coupled product. This step may involve an anionic nickel(III) complex **5'**, which rapidly undergoes C–C reductive elimination to give the anionic nickel(I) complex **4**; alternatively, the radical may directly attack the metal-bound alkyl group of **3'**. The nickel(I) anion then reduces the nickel(III) complex resulting from reduction of the alkyl or aryl halide to reform one equivalent each of **2** and **3'**. Based on the measured reduction potentials of **1** (-1.30 V versus Fc/Fc⁺, see above) and **2** (-1.28 V versus Fc/Fc⁺),^[7a] this final redox step is expected to result in an equilibrium mixture of reactants and products.

The feasibility of each step of the proposed catalytic cycle was tested by investigating relevant stoichiometric reactions of 1-4 and the catalytic substrates. The first step of this mechanism, the addition of a carbanion to 2, was easily demonstrated by the reaction of 2 with 1.1 equivalents of MeMgBr at -30 °C in THF over 30 s, which resulted in formation of a solution having the characteristic blue color of 3. Analysis of the products by ¹H NMR and UV/Vis spectroscopy revealed the presence of a diamagnetic species with spectra indistinguishable from those of 3 (see the Supporting Information). The methylation of 2 with MeMgBr to form 3, the resting state of the catalysis, proceeds well within the timeframe of catalysis and in nearly quantitative yield (>98% by UV/Vis spectroscopy).

The stoichiometric (1:1) reaction of **3** with 1-iodonaphthalene was observed to proceed sluggishly over 45 min to give the cross-coupled product 1-methylnaphthalene in only 13% yield. This reaction also produces significant quantities of the nickel(III)-methyl complex **1**, along with 1,1'-binaphthalene (64%) and remaining 1-iodonaphthalene (23%). The addition of one equivalent of **1** to the reaction mixture (**1**, **3**, and 1-iodonaphthalene in a 1:1:1 ratio) did not result in an improved yield of the cross-coupled product (10%), thus indicating that **1** is not an effective trap for the species

produced by reduction of the aryl iodide. In fact, two equivalents of 3 are required for efficient conversion of the aryl halide to product, as indicated by the reaction of 1iodonaphthalene with two equivalents of 3 in THF, which proceeded to completion within minutes to cleanly produce 1-methylnaphthalene in 98% yield. Thus, the crosscoupling of aryl halide requires two equivalents of 3, which appears to be the species that traps the radical rather than 1. Analysis of the postcoupling reaction mixture indicated the presence of all four species 1-4, as expected given the rapid equilibrium that converts 1 and 4 into 2 and 3 (see below).

The nature of the reduced aryl or alkyl halide was probed by using the radical clock substrate (iodomethyl)cyclopropane. The cross-

www.angewandte.org

Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the catalytic coupling of Grignard reagents with aryl halides by **2**. All species have been directly observed except **5**' and Ar.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-6

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

coupling of (iodomethyl)cyclopropane with 1.1 equivalents of PhMgBr catalyzed by 5 mol % 2 resulted in a 79 % yield of 4phenyl-1-butene, the product resulting from rearrangement of the (cyclopropyl)methyl radical (Table 1, entry 11). This result suggests that a radical intermediate results from reduction of the alkyl halide, as shown in the proposed mechanism of Scheme 2. No unrearranged product was observed, and no coupling products of any kind were observed in the absence of **2**.

The last step in the catalytic cycle, the reduction of 1 by 4, to form 2 and 3, was demonstrated by addition of one equivalent of 1 to the $NnBu_4^+$ salt of 4 $(4a)^{[7a]}$ in THF, which resulted in an immediate color change from dark green to dark gray/black. As a consequence of extensive paramagnetic broadening of signals corresponding to the paramagnetic species 1, 2, and 4 in $[D_8]$ THF solutions, it was not possible to accurately measure the equilibrium constant by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. However, all four species 1–4 were detected and the $NnBu_4^+$ salt of 3 was isolated from the other Ni-containing species in 29% yield (¹H NMR spectroscopy in $[D_8]$ THF versus an internal standard; see the Supporting Information), consistent with the equilibrium mixture predicted by the observed redox potentials.

This redox equilibrium step plays an important role in determining the efficiency of catalysis. This is indicated, for example, by stoichiometric reactions of 3 with 1-iodonaphthalene. This reaction produces a low yield of cross-coupled product (13%); however, addition of one equivalent of MeMgBr to the reaction mixture led to a substantially better yield (77%). This is attributed to the effect of the Grignard reagent on the redox equilibrium step of the cycle (Scheme 2). Apparently, the rapid reaction of MeMgBr with 2 to form 3 drives the redox equilibrium to the right (to 2 and 3 and, ultimately, to only 3). Consistently, the addition of one equivalent of MeMgBr to an equilibrium mixture of 1-4 (produced by the reaction of 4a with 1) resulted in an immediate color change from dark gray/black to the characteristic blue color of **3**. Analysis of the resulting products by ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated that all Ni-containing species had been converted into 3. By shifting the equilibrium in this way, the Grignard reagent ensures efficient recycling of 1 and 4 to 3, such that every radical in the cycle has a coupling partner. In the absence of the Grignard reagent, 3 is consumed in the reduction of the aryl halide, and because the redox reaction produces an equilibrium mixture, the Ni by-products are not efficiently recycled. Additionally, 4 was found to react with aryl halides to produce undesired homocoupling products: the reaction of 4 with 1-iodonaphthalene in THF over 1 h produced 1,1'-binaphthalene in 80% yield. This unproductive process is also prevented by the effect of the Grignard reagent on the equilibrium. Notably, this reaction of 4 with aryl halide is substantially slower than the oxidation of 4 by 1, a result predicted by Breitenfeld, Hu et al. for an analogous nickel(I) intermediate in their system.^[6a]

The stoichiometric reactions described above provide compelling support for the proposed mechanism of Scheme 2. Significantly, this mechanism involves several intermediates (1–4) that have been isolated, completely characterized, and

observed to participate in catalytically competent reaction steps. These results lend substantial support to proposed mechanisms for nickel-mediated cross-coupling reactions that feature one-electron redox events for the nickel species,^[4d] and especially corroborate the bimetallic oxidative addition mechanism (featuring comparable but inner-sphere redox events) suggested by Breitenfeld, Hu et al.^[6a] This study also provides experimental mechanistic evidence for the roles of nickel(I) and nickel(III) intermediates in this catalysis. Of particular interest is the demonstration of a function for the nickel(III)-alkyl complex (1), especially given previous speculation about such species in cross-coupling reactions. In catalysis initiated by the bis(amido) complex 2, the neutral nickel(III)-alkyl species appear to participate only in redox reactions, and not directly in any of the key bond-forming or bond-breaking steps. This nickel(III) species is formed as a by-product of the C-X bond activation, and plays a key role in oxidizing nickel(I) in the catalytic mixture back to nickel(II). The latter redox process not only provides the nickel(II) species required for the coupling steps, but removes nickel(I), which is active for the nonproductive homocoupling of the halide substrate.

A somewhat more speculative aspect of this reaction mechanism concerns detail regarding the reaction of the radical species with the anionic nickel(II) complex. This step may involve attack of the radical on the nickel center, to generate the nickel(III) complex 5' (Scheme 2), from which the product is formed by reductive elimination. Alternatively, the organic radical might directly attack the alkyl or aryl ligand of 3' to give 4 and the coupled product. The pathway involving 5' is currently assumed, given spectroscopic observation of related intermediates by Vicic and co-workers^[11] as well as the observation of reductive elimination from Ni^{III}alkyl species by the research groups of Kochi^[12] and Mirica.^[7d] Also, the two-electron Ni^I/Ni^{III} reductive elimination from 5' seems reasonable given that the reverse process, a twoelectron Ni¹/Ni^{III} oxidative addition, has been experimentally demonstrated in the independent synthesis of 1 (Scheme 1).

This catalytic system is noteworthy as one of the few examples of an intact two-coordinate metal complex that competently catalyzes an organic transformation. Previously, [Fe{N(SiMe₃)₂]₂] has been described as an active precatalyst for the hydrosilylation of organic carbonyl groups,^[13] and 2 catalyzes the hydrosilylation of alkenes.^[8] The catalytic mechanism of Scheme 2 is unusual in several respects that appear to leverage the very low coordination number of 2. Direct alkylation of 2 by the Grignard reagent without halogen displacement exploits the inherent electron deficiency of two-coordination to stabilize a strongly reducing, anionic intermediate (3). The various redox processes of the mechanism proceed without ligand exchange, which is facilitated by the ability of this stable two-coordinate framework to support multiple oxidation states. These results suggest that two-coordinate complexes are a promising new class of potential catalysts whose unique chemical properties enable novel metal-mediated transformations.

In conclusion, a well-defined catalytic system for the C–C coupling of aryl halides with Grignard reagents catalyzed by a two-coordinate nickel complex has been identified and

www.angewandte.org

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

evaluated mechanistically. The isolation and identification of the catalytic intermediates as well as the stoichiometric reactions among those intermediates provides strong support for activation of the aryl halide by two metal centers and implicates a nickel(II)–alkyl species as the coupling partner of the generated aryl radical rather than a nickel(III)–alkyl species.

Received: April 22, 2014 Revised: May 13, 2014 Published online:

Keywords: cross-coupling · homogeneous catalysis · mechanism · metal amides · nickel

- [1] a) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak, M. S. Sigman, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417-1492; b) G. Cahiez, A. Moyeux, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1435-1462; c) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457-2483; d) C. Liu, H. Zhang, W. Shi, A. Lei, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1780-1824; e) I. P. Beletskaya, V. P. Ananikov, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1596-1636; f) A. Roglans, A. Pla-Quintana, M. Moreno-Mañas, Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4622-4643; g) B. M. Rosen, K. W. Quasdorf, D. A. Wilson, N. Zhang, A.-M. Resmerita, N. K. Garg, V. Percec, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346-1416; h) A. Suzuki, J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 147-168; i) R. Chinchilla, C. Nájera, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874-922; j) C. Bolm, J. Legros, J. L. Paih, L. Zani, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217-6254; k) B. D. Sherry, A. Fürstner, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500-1511.
- [2] C. C. C. Johansson Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot, V. Snieckus, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 5150–5174; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 51, 5062–5085.
- [3] a) O. Vechorkin, X. Hu, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 2981–2984; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2937–2940; b) A. K. Steib, O. M. Kuzmina, S. Fernandez, D. Flubacher, P. Knochel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15346–15349; c) S. Ge, R. A. Green, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1617–1627; d) R. M.

Bullock, *Catalysts Without Precious Metals*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2010**; e) Z. Mo, Q. Zhang, L. Deng, *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 6518–6521.

- [4] a) Z. Csok, O. Vechorkin, S. B. Harkins, R. Scopelliti, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8156–8157; b) G. D. Jones, J. L. Martin, C. McFarland, O. R. Allen, R. E. Hall, A. D. Haley, R. J. Brandon, T. Konovalova, P. J. Desrochers, P. Pulay, D. A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13175–13183; c) J. Breitenfeld, R. Scopelliti, X. Hu, Organometallics 2012, 31, 2128–2136; d) X. Hu, Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1867–1886.
- [5] a) V. P. W. Böhm, T. Weskamp, C. W. K. Gstöttmayr, W. A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, 112, 1672–1674; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 1602–1604; b) Z. Xi, B. Liu, W. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 3954–3957; c) Y.-C. Xu, J. Zhang, H.-M. Sun, Q. Shen, Y. Zhang, *Dalton Trans.* 2013, 42, 8437–8445.
- [6] a) J. Breitenfeld, J. Ruiz, M. D. Wodrich, X. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12004–12012; b) T. T. Tsou, J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7547–7560; c) S. Biswas, D. J. Weix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192–16197.
- [7] a) M. I. Lipschutz, X. Yang, R. Chatterjee, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15298-15301; b) C.-M. Lee, C.-H. Chen, F.-X. Liao, C.-H. Hu, G.-H. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9256-9258; c) D. M. Grove, G. van Koten, R. Zoet, N. W. Murrall, A. Welch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1379-1380; d) B. Zhang, F. Tang, J. Luo, J. W. Schultz, N. P. Rath, L. M. Mirica, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6499-6504.
- [8] M. I. Lipschutz, T. D. Tilley, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7146– 7148.
- [9] L.-C. Liang, P.-S. Chien, J.-M. Lin, M.-H. Huang, Y. L. Huang, J.-H. Liao, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 1399–1411.
- [10] J. Breitenfeld, O. Vechorkin, C. Corminboeuf, R. Scopelliti, X. Hu, Organometallics 2010, 29, 3686–3689.
- [11] C. P. Zhang, H. Wang, A. Klein, C. Biewer, K. Stirnat, Y. Yamaguchi, L. Xu, V. Gomez-Benitez, D. A. Vicic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 8141–8144.
- [12] T. T. Tsou, J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1634-1635.
- [13] J. Yang, T. D. Tilley, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 10384–10386; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10186–10188.

www.angewandte.org

Angewandte Communications

Communications



Cross-examination: The C–C coupling of aryl halides with Grignard reagents in the presence of a two-coordinate nickel complex has been identified and evaluated mechanistically. A Ni^{III}–alkyl species was isolated and fully characterized and compelling experimental evidence was found of the role played by this species as well as those of other key Ni^I and Ni^{III} intermediates in the catalytic cycle (see scheme, DIPP=2,6-diisopropylphenyl).

6 www.angewandte.org

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-6