## ChemComm



## A radical thia-Brook rearrangement \*\*

Béatrice Quiclet-Sire\* and Samir Z. Zard\*

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 5990

Received 5th March 2014, Accepted 14th April 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc01683a

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Geminal mercapto trialkyl- and trialkoxy-silanes undergo an efficient radical chain rearrangement, whereby the silyl group migrates from carbon to sulfur; the starting materials are readily obtained by exploiting the peroxide initiated radical addition of dithiocarbonates (xanthates) to trialkyl- or trialkoxy-vinylsilanes.

We recently found that various xanthates readily add to vinyl trialkoxysilanes allowing access to numerous functional trialkoxysilane derivatives **3** (Scheme 1).<sup>1</sup> This peroxide initiated radical chain addition is flexible, modular, and experimentally very simple to implement.<sup>2</sup> Trialkoxysilanes are of key importance in numerous areas: material sciences, sol gels and organogelators, surface modification and monolayer formation, especially on metal oxides and silica surfaces, supported catalysts *etc.*<sup>3</sup> In the course of this work we stumbled upon an unexpected and very efficient radical thia-Brook rearrangement we now describe.

While the xanthate group in adduct 3 may be reductively removed if needed, it is in fact a protected form of the corresponding thiol 4.<sup>4</sup> The presence of the thiol would indeed offer some further interesting possibilities, either by itself as a crosslinking handle (*via* the disulfide) or as a springboard for a host of transformations through ionic (*e.g.* alkylation) or radical (*e.g.* addition to alkenes, the so-called "thiol click reaction"<sup>5</sup>) processes. With these considerations in mind, we attempted to generate the thiol through the Chugaev reaction<sup>6,7</sup> by simply heating adduct 3a in diphenyl ether at 200 °C. The thermolysis takes place under neutral conditions that should not affect groups sensitive to nucleophilic attack, such as the phthalimido group present in 3a.

In the event, heating xanthate **3a** in diphenyl ether at 200  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h furnished after purification two inseparable products, one of which was indeed the expected thiol **4a** but the other



Scheme 1 A possible route to geminal mercapto trialkoxysilanes.

turned out to be rearranged trialkoxysilane **5a**, as determined by analysis of the NMR spectrum of the mixture. We noticed, furthermore, that, while the combined yield of **4a** and **5a** was generally good, their relative yield varied significantly with the exact experimental conditions. In one experiment, the ratio of **4a**:**5a** by NMR was approximately 2:1 in a combined yield of 82% (reaction time 1 h).

The unexpected formation of rearranged trialkoxysilane **5a** may be rationalised by the mechanism displayed in Scheme 2 and proceeding through a radical thia-Brook rearrangement.<sup>8</sup> In one experiment, we succeeded in isolating a pure sample of thiol **4a** in 53% yield and, indeed, exposing it to di-*t*-butyl peroxide (DTBP) in refluxing chlorobenzene for 1 h afforded a quantitative yield of rearranged silane **5a**. This mechanism also accounts for the variability in the relative yield of **4a** and **5a** initially observed, since the efficiency of the chain reaction leading to the latter depends on the presence of adventitious radical initiators (oxygen, traces of metallic salts, *etc.*).

Whereas the radical Brook rearrangement involving silicon migration from carbon to oxygen is well documented,<sup>9</sup> only one instance of a radical thia-Brook rearrangement has been reported as far as we know.<sup>10</sup> It involves the cleavage of a silicon–silicon bond in going from sulfur radical **10** to silicon radical **11** (Scheme 3). This step was incorporated in a radical sequence allowing the use of thiol **9** in ingenious tin-free reductive dehalogenations and Barton–McCombie deoxygenations.

Rupture of a carbon-silicon bond in a thia-Brook rearrangement, as in the present case, appears to be unprecedented.

Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, CNRS UMR 7652, Ecole Polytechnique,

F-91128 Palaiseau, France. E-mail: beatrice.sire@polytechnique.edu,

samir.zard@polytechnique.edu; Fax: +33 169335972; Tel: +33 169335971

<sup>†</sup> This paper is dedicated with respect to the memory of Professor Adrian G. Brook (University of Toronto).

<sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc01683a





The driving force may be the formation of a carbon radical **7a** stabilised by a sulfur atom, with the possible equilibrium between intermediates **6a** and **7a** being finally driven by the irreversible hydrogen abstraction from another thiol molecule **4a**.

A similar sequence could be accomplished starting from the addition product of xanthate **1a** to vinyl trimethylsilane **2a'** (Scheme 2). Thus, thermolysis of **3a'** indeed furnished the corresponding rearranged derivative **5a'**, but this compound was too labile to chromatographic purification and decomposed to the free thiol **8**, which was isolated in 60% yield. A small amount of un-rearranged thiol **4a'** (*ca.* 10%) was also observed by NMR of the crude reaction mixture. In view of the hydrolytic lability of trimethylsilyl derivatives, the remainder of the study was conducted with the tri(*t*-butoxy)silyl derivatives.

Our next task was to examine the scope of the reaction. Addition of chloropyridinyl xanthates **1b** to vinyl tri(*t*-butoxy)silane **2a** afforded adduct **3b** in 68% yield (Scheme 4). Thermolysis for 1 h gave a mixture of thiol **4b** (42%) and thia-Brook product **5b** (28%). Repetition of the experiment and exposure of the crude product from the thermolysis to DTBP in refluxing chlorobenzene for 2 h furnished **5b** in a better yield (78%). In the case of adduct **3c**, derived from xanthate **1c**, no attempt was made to separate the intermediate thiol. The crude mixture was treated directly with DTBP in refluxing chlorobenzene to furnish the rearranged material **5c** in 62% yield.





An unexpected problem was encountered with substrate **3d**, prepared using xanthate **1d** (Scheme 5). The thermolysis step gave, after chromatographic purification, thiolactone **12** (39%) and a polar mixture of compounds. This mixture was simply



Scheme 5 Unexpected formation of a thialactone.



Conditions: (a) 1,2-ethylenediamine, EtOH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, rt; (b) DTBP, PhCl, reflux Scheme 6 Additional examples of the radical thia-Brook rearrangement.

subjected to the action of DTBP in refluxing chlorobenzene. Purification then afforded aminopyridine 13 (41%) and the radical thia-Brook rearrangement product 5d (55%). Aminopyridine 13 is the leaving group in the formation thiolactone 12. This latter compound would be difficult to obtain by more conventional routes and is interesting in its own right, for example as a crosslinking agent in material science; however, in the present context, its formation by attack of the thiol sulfur on the activated amide is clearly in competition with the desired radical thia-Brook rearrangement.

To circumvent this complication, we resorted to a more traditional cleavage of the xanthate group by aminolysis with 1,2-ethylenediamine.<sup>4</sup> Thus, treatment with xanthate **3d** with 1,2-ethylenediamine in a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of ethanol and ether at room temperature gave the crude thiol **4d**, which was not purified but directly heated in refluxing chlorobenzene with DTBP. This gave the expected rearranged product **5d** in good yield. No thiolactone **12** or the corresponding aminopyridine **13** were observed under these conditions. Because of the lower temperature (heating in refluxing chlorobenzene at 130 °C *vs.* thermolysis in diphenyl ether at 200 °C) and, especially, the presence of the DTBP initiator, the radical chain process overcomes the intramolecular ionic ring-closure leading to thiolactone **12**.

The *O*-isopropyl group in the xanthate is now not needed any more and can be replaced by the simpler *O*-ethyl analogue.

Thus, adduct 3c', obtained in 52% yield by the radical addition of benzotriazole xanthate  $1c'^{11}$  to vinyl tri(*t*-butoxy)silane 2a, was cleaved by 1,2-ethylenediamine into thiol 4c (76% yield) and the latter rearranged quantitatively into tri(*t*-butoxy)silyl sulfide 5c by heating in refluxing chlorobenzene in the presence of DTBP initiator (Scheme 6).

In the same manner, but without purification of the intermediate thiols, xanthates  $3e-g^1$  underwent conversion into the corresponding radical thia-Brook rearrangement products 5e-g(Scheme 6). The possibility of introducing a *geminal* trifluoromethyl acetamido motif is worthy of note.

In summary, we have described a hitherto unknown migration of a silicon group from carbon to sulfur by a radical chain mechanism, a process that may be viewed as a formal radical thia-Brook rearrangement. This provides a route to a plethora of otherwise inaccessible functionalised silyl sulfides 5. The possibility of capturing intermediate carbon radical 7 (Scheme 2) before hydrogen atom abstraction from the thiol has occurred, for example by cyclisation to a suitably located internal alkene, could also be of some synthetic interest. Studies along these lines are underway.

## Notes and references

- 1 B. Quiclet-Sire, Y. Yanagisawa and S. Z. Zard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 2324.
- 2 For reviews of the xanthate transfer, see: (a) S. Z. Zard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 672; (b) B. Quiclet-Sire and S. Z. Zard, Top. Curr. Chem., 2006, 264, 201; (c) B. Quiclet-Sire and S. Z. Zard, Chem. - Eur. J., 2006, 12, 6002; (d) B. Quiclet-Sire and S. Z. Zard, Pure Appl. Chem., 2011, 83, 519; for an account of the discovery of the basic process, see: (e) S. Z. Zard, Aust. J. Chem., 2006, 59, 663.
- 3 (a) S. Fujita and S. Inagaki, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 891; (b) H. Zou, S. Wu and J. Shen, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 3893; (c) M. Llusar and C. Sanchez, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 782; (d) D. B. Cordes, P. D. Lickiss and F. Rataboul, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 2081; (e) S. Onclin, B. J. Ravoo and D. N. Reinhoudt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6282; (f) A. Ulman, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 1533; (g) A. P. Wight and M. E. Davis, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 3589; (h) Z.-L. Lu, E. Lindner and H. A. Mayer, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 3543.
- 4 (a) F. Duus, in *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*, ed. D. H. R. Barton and W. D. Ollis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, vol. 3, pp. 373–487;
  (b) S. Ramachandra Rao, *Xanthates and Related Compounds*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1971.
- 5 (a) C. E. Hoyle and C. N. Bowman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 1540; (b) A. K. Tucker-Schwartz, R. A. Farrell and R. L. Garrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11026; (c) E. L. Tyson, M. S. Ament and T. P. Yoon, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 2046.
- 6 (a) L. Chugaev, Chem. Ber., 1899, 32, 3332; (b) H. R. Nace, Org. React., 1962, 12, 57.
- 7 (a) K. K. K. Goh, S. Kim and S. Zard, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 12274;
   (b) B. Quiclet-Sire and S. Z. Zard, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 5886.
- 8 (a) A. G. Brook, Acc. Chem. Res., 1974, 7, 77; for general reviews, see:
  (b) M. A. Brook, Silicon in Organic, Organometallic, and Polymer Chemistry, Wiley, New York, 1999; (c) H. Moser, Tetrahedron, 2001, 57, 2065.
- 9 For general reviews on organosilanes in radical chemistry, see: (a) C. Chatgilialoglu, Acc. Chem. Res., 1992, 25, 188; (b) C. Chatgilialoglu, Chem. – Eur. J., 2008, 14, 2310; (c) C. Chatgilialoglu, Organosilanes in Radical Chemistry, Wiley, Chichester, 2003.
- 10 M. Ballestri, C. Chatgilialoglu and G. Seconi, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 408, C1.
- 11 A. R. Katritzky, M. A. C. Button and S. N. Denisenko, *Heterocylcles*, 2001, 54, 301.