Article

Post-synthetic structural processing in a Metal-organic Framework material as a mechanism for exceptional CO2/N2 selectivity

Witold M. Bloch, Ravichandar Babarao, Matthew R Hill, Christian J Doonan, and Christopher J. Sumby

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/ja4032049 • Publication Date (Web): 12 Jun 2013

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 13, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Post-synthetic structural processing in a Metal-organic Framework material as a mechanism for exceptional CO₂/N₂ selectivity

Witold M. Bloch^a, Ravichandar Babarao^b, Matthew R. Hill^b, Christian J. Doonan^a*, Christopher J. Sumby^a*

^a School of Chemistry and Physics, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

^b CSIRO Materials Science and Engineering, Private Bag 33, Clayton South MDC, Victoria 3169, Australia.

KEYWORDS Metal-organic Framework, gas separation, carbon capture, dynamic behavior, post-synthesis processing

ABSTRACT: Here we report the synthesis and ceramic-like processing of a new Metal-organic Framework (MOF) material, [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O], that shows exceptional selective separation for CO₂ over N₂ (ideal adsorbed solution theory, IAST $S_{ads} = 590$). [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]·*xS* was synthesized in 82% yield by reaction of Cu(NO₃)₂·2.5H₂O with the link bis(4-(4-carboxyphenyl)-1*H*-pyrazolyl)methane (H₂bcppm) and shown to have a 2-D 4⁴-connected structure with an eclipsed arrangement of the layers. Activation of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] generates a pore-constricted version of the material through concomitant trellis-type pore narrowing (*b*-axis expansion and *c*-axis contraction) and a 2-D-to-3-D transformation (*a*-axis contraction) to give the adsorbing form, [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-*ac*. The pore contraction process and 2-D-to-3-D transformation were probed by single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction experiments. The 3-D network and shorter hydrogen bonding contacts do not allow [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-*ac* to expand under gas loading, across the pressure ranges examined, or following re-solvation. This exceptional separation performance associates with a moderate adsorption enthalpy and therefore an expected low energy cost for regeneration.

Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a burgeoning class of crystalline materials that have shown excellent potential for on-board gas storage,¹ molecular separations^{2,3} and catalysis.⁴ The widespread interest in developing MOFs for these applications arises from their robust permanent porosity, high surface areas and modular synthesis.⁵ Notably, MOFs can be readily synthesized from a large range of structurally diverse organic links and metal clusters which facilitates careful and systematic tailoring of their pore architectures and chemistry.⁵ According to their framework properties upon guest removal, Kitagawa has classified MOFs as first, second and third generation.⁶ Second generation materials have rigid permanently porous structures that are not altered upon the removal or introduction of guest molecules; in contrast, third generation materials exhibit a degree of flexibility or dynamic behavior that allows them to tailor their pore structures in accordance with the type of guest molecule.⁷⁻¹⁰ Several types of behavior are possible for dynamic MOFs, including solid-state expansion/contraction (swelling or 'breathing' processes),^{6, n} increases in framework connectivity (e.g. 2-D-to-3-D)^{6, 9} and reversible and non-reversible crystalto-crystal transformations.^{6, 11}

An increasingly topical area in which MOFs have shown excellent potential is for selective capture of CO₂.³ Several strategies have been used to enhance the gas separation performance of MOFs including: generation of exposed metal sites within the framework, either as part of the metal node¹² or appended to the framework via post-synthetic metallation,13 and by functionalizing the pores with Lewis basic sites.^{14, 15} Although these approaches have led to materials with promising performance characteristics, the underlying separation mechanism relies on increasing the affinity of the material for CO₂. Accordingly, these processes are commonly associated with higher enthalpies of adsorption for CO2.3d, 15 Å significant drawback of such strategies is that the enthalpy of adsorption of CO₂ is directly proportional to the energy cost for regeneration of the material subsequent to saturation.¹⁶ For example, MOFs with pores functionalized by alkylamines show enthalpies of adsorption (Qst values) as high as 96 kJmol⁻¹ and typically require temperatures in excess of 100°C to fully regenerate.3d, 15, 16

Alternatively, CO₂ and N₂ can be separated by virtue of their different kinetic diameters (3.30 and 3.64 Å, respectively). Kinetic separations are not intrinsically associated with a large Δ H and therefore offer a lower energy penalty for regeneration of the adsorbent. To effectively separate adsorbates based on size, precise control of the limiting pore diameter is necessary.^{17, 18} In this context extended metal-organic materials with rigid, but systematically tuned pore architectures have been utilized to achieve remarkable selectivities

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

for CO₂ and N₂ separations.¹⁷ Another approach to carefully tune the pore dimensions of MOFs is to utilize third generation materials that have dynamic or flexible structures as this provides an opportunity to closely tailor the required pore diameters.¹⁰ In examples of such materials only certain gases can enter the pores of a material but once inside induce a selective pore expansion or 'gate opening'.⁷ A potential downside of this 'gate-opening' process in gas separations is that once 'opened' these materials may act as adsorbents for all components of the gas mixture.

In this paper we present the synthesis and characterization of a novel MOF material [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] that subsequent to ceramic-like structural processing affords a material displaying exceptional values for CO₂/N₂ selectivity based on ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) calculations. Assynthesized [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] is a flexible 2-D framework with an eclipsed 4^4 net (Figure 1), yet activation generates a material with a rigid structure and pore sizes tuned for kinetic CO₂/N₂ separations. Many examples of 2-D MOFs composed of a 4⁴ net are known, however such materials are notorious for loss of crystallinity upon desolvation⁹ or interlayer sliding¹⁰ due to weak interactions between layers. Here, removal of guest molecules from the pores of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] by heating results in a pore constriction, whilst maintaining the eclipsed arrangement of the 2-D layers, and ultimately a 2-D-to-3-D transformation at higher temperatures. These structural changes were monitored by single crystal and powder X-ray diffraction and gas adsorption measurements. Combined, these structural changes result in constriction of the limiting pore diameter to around 3.6 Å, well within the range that is suitable for kinetic CO₂/N₂ separations.²⁰

Experimental

General Information. Elemental analyses were performed by the Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory at the University of Otago. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed on a Perkin–Elmer STA-6000 under a constant flow of N₂ at a temperature increase rate of 10°C/min. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer Fourier–Transform Infrared (FT–IR) spectrometer on a zinc–selenide crystal. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 MHz or 600 MHz spectrometer at 23°C using a 5 mm probe. Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. The compounds di(1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)methane (2)²¹ and bis(4-iodo-1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)methane (3)²¹ were prepared by reported procedures.

bis(4-(4-carboxyphenyl)-1H-Synthesis of pyrazolyl)methane (H₂bcppm). Bis(4-iodo-1H-pyrazol-1yl)methane (1.01 g, 2.53 mmol), 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid (1.26 g, 7.60 mmol) and an aqueous solution K_2CO_3 (5.00 g, 30 mL distilled water) were combined in DMF (135 mL). After degassing the mixture with Ar for 30 minutes, Pd(PPh₂)₄ (0.442 g, 0.38 mmol, 0.15 equiv.) was added. The resulting mixture was further degassed for 30 minutes and then heated at 90°C for 20 hours. Upon cooling to room temperature, distilled water (200 mL) was added and the reaction mixture filtered. The resulting solution was washed with dichloromethane (5 x 50 mL) and acidified with 20% HNO₂ (pH = 3) to afford a precipitate which was isolated under reduced pressure. The solid was washed with distilled water, ethanol and dried at 130°C to give a white solid of H₂bcppm (0.68 g, 69%) Mp: 350–352°C (decomposes); Found: C 64.2, H 4.0, N 14.4, $C_{21}H_{16}N_4O_4$ ·0.25H₂O requires: C 64.2 H 4.2 N 14.3; ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹): 1701 (C=O), 1613 (C=N), 1568 (C=C), 1508 (C=C); ¹H NMR (300 MHz/DMSO): 6.47 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.72 (d, 4H, H2'/H6'), 7.91 (d, 4H, H3'/H5'), 8.09 (s, 2H, H3 or H5), 8.56 (s, 2H, H3 or H5), 12.8 (s, br, 2H, CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz/DMSO): δ 65.0, 122.0, 125.0, 128.4, 128.6, 130.0, 136.3, 138.3, 167.0.

Synthesis of [**Cu**(**bcppm**)**H**₂**O**]-**x***S* (**S** = **solvate**). In a screw cap vial, Cu(NO₃)₂·2.5H₂O (36.3 mg, 0.16 mmol) and **H**₂**bcppm** (41.5 mg, 0.11 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of DMF (2 mL), distilled water (0.5 mL), ethanol (0.5 mL) and 2 drops of 70% HNO₃. The resulting mixture was heated at 85°C for 16 hours, affording blue block-like crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. The crystals were soaked in DMF (× 3), acetone (× 8) and heated at 120°C under high vacuum for 3 h to yield a green crystalline powder [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-*ac* (ac = activated) (40.8 mg, 82%, based on analysis). v_{max}(neat, cm⁻¹): 3125 (w, C-H), 2800-3300 (br, OH), 1608 (m, C=O), 1581 (m, C=C), 1545 (m, C=C), 1377 (s, C-O) Found C 53.80, H 3.43, N 12.23, C₂₁H₁₆N₄O₅Cu requires: C, 53.90, H, 3.45, N 11.97.

X-ray Crystallography. Single crystals were mounted in paratone-N oil on a plastic loop. X-ray diffraction data were collected with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) using an Oxford Diffraction X-calibur single crystal X-ray diffractometer at 150(2) K. Data sets were corrected for absorption using a multi-scan method, and structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97²² and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 by SHELXL-97,²³ interfaced through the program X-Seed.²⁴ In general, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were included as invariants at geometrically estimated positions, unless specified otherwise in additional details below. Figures were produced using the program CrystalMaker.²⁵ Publication materials were prepared using CIFTAB.²⁶ CCDC 930403 and 930404 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for these structures. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Special Refinement Details for [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]·xS: The structure has large solvent accessible voids. These contained a number of diffuse electron density peaks that could not be adequately identified and refined as solvent. The SQUEEZE routine of PLATON²⁷ was applied to the collected data, which resulted in significant reductions in R_1 and wR_2 and an improvement in the GOF. R_1 , wR_2 and GOF before SQUEEZE routine: 9.82%, 35.53% and 1.53; after SQUEEZE routine: 4.52%, 13.8% and 1.06. There is some disorder in the structure and the aryl ring and carboxylate group were modeled over two positions.

Special Refinement Details for [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-*heated*: The structure has large solvent accessible voids. These contained a number of diffuse electron density peaks. The SQUEEZE routine of PLATON²⁷ was applied to the collected data, which resulted in reasonable reductions in R_1 and wR_2 and an improvement in the GOF. R_1 , wR_2 and GOF before SQUEEZE routine: 25.5%, 60.2% and 1.72; after SQUEEZE routine: 19.4%, 49.5% and 1.56. The data is of relatively poor quality due to the conditions used to generate the sample (heating in an oven at 80°C).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

Powder Diffraction. Powder X-ray diffraction data was collected on a Rigaku Hiflux Homelab system using Cu-Ka radiation with an R-Axis IV++ image plate detector. Samples were mounted on plastic loops using paratone-N and data collected by scanning 90° in phi for 120-300 second exposures. The data was converted into xye format using the program DataSqueeze. Simulated powder X-ray diffraction patterns were generated from the single crystal data using Mercury 2.3.²⁸ Variable Temperature Powder X-ray Diffraction (VT PXRD) was carried out at the Australian Synchrotron on the Powder Diffraction (PD) beamline. The sample was prepared in a glass capillary (0.3 mm) and exposed to X-rays with a wavelength of 0.82544 Å. For further details on the instrument set-up see www.synchrotron.org.au. Further data on the activated [Cu(bcppm]H,O] were collected on a Bruker X'Pert with Co Kα radiation.

Simulation Methods. Geometric pore size distributions were calculated based on a Monte Carlo technique using the procedure described elsewhere.²⁹ The accessible void surface is determined based on a probe diameter of 3.3 Å which is equal to the kinetic diameter of CO₂ using Atom Volumes and Surfaces tool within Materials Studio V6.o.o from Accelrys Software Inc. To locate the preferential CO₂ adsorption site in [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-ac, a simulated annealing method was employed using an in-house code. A UFF force field was used to describe the [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] framework atoms and a three-site model for CO₂ the molecule.³⁰ The total energy of the system is approximated as the sum of all pair-wise intermolecular interactions by a Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential and coulombic interactions. In the simulated annealing method, the temperature is lowered in succession allowing the gas molecule to reach a desirable configuration based on different moves such as rotate, translate and re-position with preset probabilities of occurrence. This process of heating and cooling the system was repeated in several heating cycles to find the local minima. Forty heating cycles were performed where the maximum temperature and the final temperature were 10⁵ K and 100 K, respectively. The NVT ensemble process consisted of 107 equilibration steps followed by 107 production steps, with the properties calculated from the latter steps.

For predicting gas mixture selectivity Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) was employed.³¹ IAST has been widely used and tested to predict gas mixture selectivity in MOF and zeolites and showed good agreement with the predicted simulation selectivity and gas mixture adsorption experiments.^{17, 32} In this work, a dual-site and a three-site Langmuir-Freundlich (LF) equation was used to fit the adsorption isotherm of pure CO₂ and N₂ gas. The fitted parameters (SI Table S₃) were then used to predict the adsorption of a mixture. Adsorption selectivity was calculated by $S_{ads} = \frac{q_1/q_2}{p_1/p_2}$ where q_1 and q_2 are the amount adsorbed at the partial pressure p_1 and p_2 respectively.

Gas adsorption measurements. Gas sorption isotherm measurements were performed on an ASAP 2020 Surface Area and Pore Size Analyzer. A sample of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]·*xS* was soaked and washed at least 8 times with acetone during the course of 2 hours to remove the noncoordinated solvent molecules. The mixture was transferred into an ASAP 2020 analysis tube and the solvent was carefully decanted. After the removal of the residual acetone by drying under an N₂ stream, the sample was activated under vacuum at 120°C for 3 h to obtain [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-*ac*. UHP grade (99.999%) N₂ and CO₂ were used for all measurements. The temperatures were maintained at 77 K (liquid nitrogen bath), 195 K (acetone-dry ice bath), 273 K (ice–water bath), or 293 K (room temperature water), respectively. CO₂ enthalpy plots were obtained with the use of Van't Hoff plots derived from isotherms collected at 273 and 293 K respectively.

Table 1. Crystal data and X-ray experimental data for $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·xS and $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -heated.

Compound	$[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS^b$	[Cu(bcppm)H₂O]- heated	
Empirical for-	$C_{\scriptscriptstyle 21}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 16}CuN_{\scriptscriptstyle 4}O_{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$	$C_{21}H_{16}CuN_4O_5$	
Formula weight	467.02	467.02	
Crystal system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	
Space group	Pnma	Pnma	
a (Å)	0.0005(3)	8.800(3)	
h(A)	19.2590(4)	20.734(2)	
c(Å)	15.3449(5)	13.110(5)	
Volume ($Å^3$)	2955.13(14)	2392.0(12)	
Z	4	4	
D_{calo} (Mg/m ³)	1.052	1.200	
Absorption co-	0.768	0.040	
efficient (mm ⁻¹)	· y -		
F(000)	956	956	
Crystal size	0.21 x 0.14 x 0.08	0.33 x 0.16 x 0.12	
(mm ³)			
Theta range for	2.65 - 29.24	2.79 - 24.99	
data (°)			
Reflections col-	14352	11882	
lected			
Independent	3605	2159	
reflections			
[R(int)]	0		
Completeness to	98.9	99.7	
theta full (%)	• 9 •• [• • • • •]		
Ubserved reflec-	2692 [0.0404]	1133 [0.0602]	
tions $[1>2\sigma(1)]$		2150/2/126	
Data / restraints	3005/0/205	2159/2/120	
Coodness-of-fit	1.062	1562	
on F2	1.002	1.302	
$R \left[I_{2}\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0452	0.1937	
wR (all data)	0.1382	0.4948	
Largest diff	0.587 & -0.325	2.383 & -0.753	
peak and hole			
(e.Å ⁻³)			

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and structure of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]·xS

 H_2 bcppm was synthesized in one step (69% yield) from commercially available 4-carboxyphenylboronic acid and readily synthesized bis(4-iodo-1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)methane.²¹ Reaction of H_2 bcppm with Cu(NO₃)₂ under solvothermal conditions gave $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·xS after 16 h. It was essential to include 0.25 mL of H_2O per 1 mL of DMF to the solvent mixture to obtain the desired material. Although $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·xS could be prepared in DMF with added water, addition of EtOH and HNO₃ (70%, 2 drops) to the solvothermal reaction resulted in the formation of large and regular block-shaped blue crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray crystallography. X-Ray crystallography revealed a 2-D structure with a 4⁴ net (Figure 1a) composed of chelating dipyrazolyl moieties that bridge to adjacent copper atoms via the phenyl-carboxylate groups (Figure 1b). The square pyramidal copper(II) centre is coordinated by one chelating dipyrazolylmethane unit, two monodentate carboxylate donors from two further **bcppm** moieties, and a single water molecule.

As shown in Figure 1b, the 4-connected 2-D layers of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·xS are eclipsed and give rise to regular diamond-shaped channels that propagate along the *a*-axis. The alignment of the windows in $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·xS is driven by strong interlayer hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen atoms of the water ligands in one layer and the carbonyl oxygen atoms from an adjacent layer (Figure 1c and Figure 2, left, O-H···O: d_{H···O} = 2.00 and 2.06 Å, D_{O-H···O} = 2.69 and 2.71 Å, angle= 162.9 and 153.1°). The structure has solvent accessible channels along the *a*-axis (pore diameter of *ca*. 6.9 Å), yet in the *b* and *c*-axes [Cu(bcppm)H_2O]·xS is essentially close-packed (Figure 1c). Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) on a bulk sample [Cu(bcppm)H_2O]·xS indicated that the material was phase pure (Figure 3).

Post-synthetic processing

During activation of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] a distinct color change of the crystalline material, from blue to green, was observed. The activated material, [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-ac, was obtained by heating an acetone solvated sample under vacuum at 120°C for 3h. Upon inspection of the PXRD pattern of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-*ac* we noticed a distinct shift in the spacing of the 011 and 020 planes (refer to SI and Figure 3) indicating a structural modification had occurred. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-ac showed minimal weight loss and indicated that the material is thermally stable to 285°C (Figure SI 1). [Cu(bcppm)H,O]-ac could be resolvated but did not recover the original unit cell dimensions. Even after heating [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-*ac* in methanol at 65°C the original structure could not be regenerated (Figure SI 5), indicating a permanent and irreversible transformation during the post-synthesis processing.



Figure 2. Enlargements of the hydrogen bonding in $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·*xS* (between the 2-D layers) and $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ ·*heated* (left and right respectively).



Figure 1. (a) A schematic representation of the synthesis of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ showing a simplified representation of the structure. (b) A view of the pores of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ along the *a* axis, and (c) a view along the *c* axis.

Page 5 of 10





Figure 3. PXRD patterns of (a) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ (blue, simulated); (b) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ (red); (c) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*heated* (green, simulated); (d) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*ac* (yellow); and (e) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*ac* exposed to 1 bar of CO₂ (khaki).

Despite considerable effort to obtain a structure for [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-ac, the decrease in the observed crystallinity thwarted all approaches to characterise this particular phase by X-ray diffraction methods. Further understanding of the structural changes during post-synthetic processing that lead to [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-ac was provided when single crystals were obtained of a sample heated to 80°C ([Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-heated). This crystal structure revealed that the material has undergone a prominent trellis-like contraction, narrowing along the *c*-axis and elongation of the *b*axis (Figure 4(a) versus 4(b)), and a 2-D-to-3-D transformation. 2-D-to-3-D transformations are commonly encountered in 2-D materials as a result of changes in the coordination sphere of the metal due to desolvation, or through ligand insertion or substitution reactions.³³ In [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]heated the a-axis has been noticeably shortened from 9.9995(3) Å in the as-synthesized material to 8.800(3) Å. This occurs with a change in the coordination environment of the copper(II) centre from five coordinate to a Jahn-Teller distorted octahedral six coordinate (Figure 2, right) and, as a consequence of a now bridging water ligand, to a 3-D structure. This form of the structure is also reinforced by noticeably shorter hydrogen bonding between the bridging water ligand and a carboxylate in the adjacent layer(O-H...O: d_{H...O} = 1.73 Å, $D_{O-H=0}$ = 2.59 Å, angle= 143.3°). These combined structural changes explain why the expanded material cannot be regenerated upon re-solvation and the material is 'locked' in a contracted state. Calculations on an energy minimized form of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-heated reveal the material has a limiting pore diameter of 3.6 Å (pore size maxima of 4.5 Å), perfect for kinetic separation of gases like CO2 and N2. It is also reasonable to suggest that this behavior, along with secondary sphere effects (hydrogen bonding and loss of pore solvent), account for the distinct color change of this material during activation.

We further probed the post synthetic processing of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] by variable temperature synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction experiments. These experiments were undertaken in sealed capillaries and were used to continuously monitor the structural changes of two solvated samples: $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ and $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -acetone (Figure 4). Depending on the boiling point of the solvates included in the framework, PXRD confirms that [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] undergoes the trellis-like structural change, namely elongation and contraction of the *b* and *c*-axis, respectively; a gradual contraction is observed for the as-synthesized form, while an acetone exchanged form displays a rapid transition. The PXRD data was indexed up to 140°C, as after this point the crystallinity diminished and the activated 3-D form [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-ac was obtained. At 140°C the a-axis was reduced by only ~0.33 Å indicating that the water remains coordinated to only one copper centre and the material has yet to undergo the 2-D-to-3-D transition. This transition takes place above 140°C under the experimental conditions (sealed capillary) and is accompanied by reductions of longrange order and a further shift of the 011 and 020 miller planes. We note that peak broadening and a decrease in observed crystallinity is known for layered materials and results from shifts in the interlayer packing^{6, 9} and, in this case, the 2-D-to-3-D transformation. Finally, we observed that the important Miller indices (011 and 020) of the activated material closely match data simulated from the single crystal structure of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-*heated* (Figure 3, Figure SI 4).

To probe the 'locked' nature of [Cu(bcppm)H₂O]-ac we investigated whether the material responds structurally to gas adsorption, at pressures relevant for post-combustion CO₂ capture; i.e. whether adsorption of CO₂ gas is accompanied by an undesirable expansion of the structure. This would amount to 'gate opening', thus increasing the pore diameter and essentially reducing the selectivity of this material in a mixed gas stream. Therefore we performed PXRD experiments on [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-ac in the presence of CO₂. Satisfyingly no change was observed in the PXRD pattern at 1 bar of CO₂ at room temperature (Figure 3e), indicating that the material remains contracted, or in a 'locked' state and retains its constricted pore diameter upon adsorption of CO₂ gas. Furthermore, the material also displays reasonable stability to water, due to its narrow pore dimensions and relatively hydrophobic channel structure, and can be soaked in water for 24 hours without appreciable loss of crystallinity.

Gas adsorption

[Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] was shown to be essentially non porous to N₂ gas at both 77, 273 and 293 K (Figure SI 6 and 7) but porous to CO₂ at 273 and 293 K (Figure 5a). Furthermore, we saw no appreciable uptake of CO_2 at 195 K that confirms that the limiting pore diameters of the material are very close to the kinetic diameter of CO₂ at low temperatures (a likely explanation is that CO2 molecules cannot enter the pores at 195 K due to large diffusional resistances, but at 273 and 293 K the additional thermal energy allows the molecules to overcome these resistances).^{32, 34} A BET surface area was calculated using the adsorption isotherm for CO₂ at 273 K using criteria detailed elsewhere.³⁵ A surface area of 155 m²/g was obtained and this compares favorably with the geometric surface area calculated for $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -heated of 175 m²/g. A pore size distribution obtained from the 273 K CO₂ isotherm (Figure SI 9) provides a limiting pore size of 3.6 Å that matches that calculated from the single crystal structure of

[Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O]-heated.



Figure 4. Representations of the crystal structures of (a) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ and (b) $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*heated* showing the solvent accessible pore surface with a probe of diameter 3.30 Å. (c) Temperature dependant changes of the unit cell axes determined from indexing the PXRD data, open circles: $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, filled circles: $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*acetone*. Open and filled triangles represent the unit cell dimensions from the crystal structures of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ and $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -*heated* respectively. (d) Temperature dependant changes of the unit cell volume. Open black circles: $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red circles: $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$, red triangle: cell volume from the crystal structure of [Cu(bcp

Figure 5a shows that the uptake of N₂ at 293 K reaches a maximum of only 0.09 mmol/g at 1200 mbar. In contrast, CO₂ gas adsorption isotherms at 273 K and 293 K clearly show that the pore structure of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -ac is readily accessible to the smaller adsorbate at these temperatures. The total CO2 uptakes at 293 and 273 K are 1.70 and 1.85 mmol/g, respectively. Inspection of the CO_2 isotherms (Figure 5a) shows steep uptake in the low pressure region with an initial calculated enthalpy of adsorption for CO₂ of 29 kJ/mol. The moderate heat of adsorption (Table 2) is consistent with an absence of strong adsorption sites and can be rationalized by a constricted pore space facilitating interactions with the pore walls. NVT simulated annealing suggests that CO₂ weakly interacts with multiple oxygen and hydrogen atoms that line the pore walls (Figure 5b). The predicted heat of adsorption from NVT simulation is around 29.5 kJ/mol, which is in excellent agreement with the experimental value. Similar multi-point CO₂-framework interactions were recently observed in a MOF material where the pores acted as single molecule traps.¹⁸ The observed hysteresis in the CO₂ isotherms can be attributed to the small pore windows in the MOF. The relatively high CO2 and marginal N2 uptake at ambient temperature the capacity prompted us to investigate of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ to selectively adsorb CO_2 over N_2 . From the single component isotherms the wt% of CO_2 (0.15 atm) and N_2 (0.85 atm) was found to be 4.64% and 0.22%, respectively. The potential for a material to perform separations from a mixed gas stream can be estimated using IAST. In terms of prediction, IAST gives the closest approximation of binary gas selective adsorption performance from single component isotherms.^{17, 32} IAST calculations performed on [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] yield a selectivity for CO₂/N₂ (15/85 wt% mixture) of 590 at 293 K and 1 atm. Table 2 shows that 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

51

57

[Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] outperforms all but one state-of-the art MOF and zeolite materials. This is remarkable given that the material lacks both unsaturated metal centers and amine groups. However, we note that the total CO₂ uptake capacity of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] is moderate compared to high surface area MOFs such as MOF-74. During the course of this work a material, SIFSIX-3-Zn, that also displays exceptional CO₂/N₂ selectivity was reported. SIFSIX-3-Zn has more regular square-shaped channels with dimensions of 3.84 Å and lined with Lewis basic groups on the SiF_6 anions that notably enhance the uptake of CO_2 into the material, giving a Q_{st} of 45 kJmol⁻¹ at low loading.



Figure 5. (a) CO₂ and N₂ isotherms at 293 K. (b) A view showing the location of CO₂ in [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] identified from NVT simulated annealing. Selected distances between the CO₂ molecule and the framework atoms are shown in angstroms.

As outlined elsewhere,¹⁶ for MOFs to be economically viable for CO₂ capture both the selectivity, capacity and enthalpy of adsorption need to be carefully considered. This has led to the employment of various strategies to increase the affinity of a material for CO₂, including Lewis bases,^{14, 15} interpenetration^{3b} and unsaturated metal sites.^{12, 13} Lewis bases are known to imbue materials with exceptional selectivity, however this is commonly associated with a high enthalpy of adsorption.^{14, 15} Coordinatively unsaturated metal sites are another strategy for enhancing CO₂ capture that has been studied and has resulted in excellent selectivities and CO₂ uptakes.^{12, 13} A limitation of this strategy is that other polar molecules, such as H₂O, can competitively bind to these sites and potentially mitigate their influence.³⁷ Further, 'soft' porous materials that display gated adsorption behavior with increased CO₂ pressures have also been investigated,⁷ but additional studies are required to assess the capacity of these materials to separate CO₂ in mixed gas streams.

Recent work has examined the mechanism of CO₂ capture in MOFs where limiting the degrees of freedom in a flexible structure has led to increased selectivity for CO₂ by restricting the limiting pore size of the MOF to the ultramicroporous region.^{17, 18, 32} Due to the larger kinetic diameter of N_2 with respect to CO_2 excellent selectivity can be achieved at relatively moderate adsorption enthalpies. Zhou and co-workers described a MOF material with a dynamic structure that acts as a single molecule trap for CO₂.¹⁸ Similarly, investigations reticulating the chemistry of SIFSIX materials has discovered a framework displaying unprecedented levels of selectivity for CO_2 over N_2 .^{17a} In this present work we have used post-synthesis processing of a 2-D layered material with a dynamic framework to generate a rigid, contracted framework with constricted pores possessing diameters of 3.6 Å. Controlled heating facilitates a rearrangement of the co-ordination sphere and changes in framework connectivity to allow a constricted pore environment to be generated. While in [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] the capacity is somewhat lower than some benchmark materials, the capacity is dictated by need to maintain limiting pore dimensions and is in keeping with other high performing MOF materials that utilize this approach.^{17, 18} Thus, the principle of pore size constriction through a postprocessing mechanism demonstrates the potential to provide MOF materials with desirable characteristics for CO₂ capture.

Table 2. Comparison of IAST calculated adsorption capacity and selectivity for 15/85 CO₃/N, mixture in different porous materials.

Materials	CO₂ loading	N ₂ loading	$S_{\rm ads}^{\ \ c}$	Initial enthalpy of ad-	Reference
	(mmol/g) ⁶	(mmol/g) ⁶		sorption Q _{st} (kJ/mol)	
[Cu(bcppm)H ₂ O]	1.04 ^a	0.010 ^a	590 ^ª	29	This work
MgMOF-74	6.20	0.193	182 ^b	39-47	Ref. 12a, 12c, 12e
NaX zeolite	2.72	0.106	146 ^b	31-37	Ref. 36
mmen-CuBTTri	2.22	0.038	329 ^b	96	Ref. 15a
PPN-6-CH ₂ DETA	3.04	0.039	442 ^b	52	Ref. 14f
UTSA-16	2.37	0.043	315 ^b	33	Ref. 17c
SIFSIX-3-Zn			1818 ^d	45	Ref. 17a

^{a.} Data collected at 293 K.

^{b.} 296 K and 1 atm. From Ref. 17c.

^{c.} 15/85 wt% mixture at 296 K and 1 atm.

^{d.} 10/90 wt% mixture at 298 K and 1 atm.

Conclusion

Here we have reported synthesis the of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O] \cdot xS$ from a link capable of restricted structural flexibility and copper(II) nitrate. The ceramic-like postsynthesis processing that leads to the exceptional selectivity of CO₂ over N₂ for [Cu(bcppm)H₂O] originates from a trellislike movement of the 2-D structure and a 2-D-to-3-D transformation which results in an activated material with a rigid, 'locked' conformation. The rigid activated form of $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ has a limiting pore size of 3.6 Å that is perfectly suited for CO₂/N₂ separations and results in an IAST selectivity that places this compound in the top echelon of materials for carbon capture. Due to a low enthalpy of adsorption for CO₂ the material is also likely to have a notably low energy penalty for regeneration. Our current investigations are centered on further tuning the structure metrics of this material and exploiting this link design in the synthesis of other MOF materials that display dynamic structural changes on post-processing. The outstanding features of [Cu(**bcppm**)H₂O] have led us to commence investigations regarding the inclusion of this material into mixed-matrix membranes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Thermogravimetric analysis, infrared spectra, further details of the PXRD experiments, gas adsorption data, and details of the IAST calculations for $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$, and single crystal data for $[Cu(bcppm)H_2O]$ -pdes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

* School of Chemistry and Physics, The University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia. CJD: Phone +61 8 8313 5770. Fax. +61 8 8313 4358. Email: <u>christian.doonan@adelaide.edu.au</u>; CJS: Phone +61 8 8313 7406. Fax. +61 8 8313 4358. Email: <u>christopher.sumby@adelaide.edu.au</u>

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

Funding Sources

ARC FT0991910 and FT100100400

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CJS and CJD gratefully acknowledge the Australian Research Council for funding (FT0991910 and FT100100400). This research was supported by the Science and Industry Endowment Fund. Aspects of this research were undertaken on the Powder Diffraction beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, Victoria, Australia.

REFERENCES

1) a) Suh, M. P.; Park, H. J.; Prasad, T. K.; Lim, D.-W., *Chem. Rev.*, **2012**, 112, 782-835. b) Murray, L. J.; Dincâ, M.; Long, J. R.; *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**, 38, 1294-1314.

2) Li, J.-R.; Sculley, J.; Zhou, H.-C., *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 869-932.

3) a) Li, J.-R.; Kuppler, R. J.; Zhou, H.-C., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**, 38, 1477-1504. b) D'Alessandro, D. M.; Smit, B.; Long, J. R., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2010**, 49, 6058-6082. c) Li, J.-R.; Ma, Y.; McCarthy, M. C.; Sculley, J.; Yu, J.; Jeong, H.-K.; Balbuena, P. B.; Zhou, H.-C., *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 255, 1791-1823. d) Sumida, K.; Rogow, D. L.; Mason, J. A.; McDonald, T. M.; Bloch, E. D.; Herm, Z. R.; Bae, T.-H.; Long, J. R., *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, 112, 724-781.

4) a) Corma, A.; Garcia, H.; Llabres, F. X.; Xamena, I.; *Chem. Rev.*, **2010**, 110, 4606-4655. b) Czaja, A. U.; Trukhan, N.; Müller, U., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**, 38, 1284-1293. c) Lee, J.; Farha, O. K.; Roberts, J.; Scheidt, K. A.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**, 38, 1450-1459.

5) a) Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M., *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2001**, 34, 319-330. b) Yaghi, O. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Ockwig, N. W.; Chae, H. K.; Eddaoudi, M.; Kim, J., *Nature*, **2003**, 423, 705-714. c) Férey, G., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2008**, 37, 191-214. d) Zhou, H.-C.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M., *Chem. Rev.*, **2012**, 112, 673-674. e) Cook, T. R.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Stang, P. J., *Chem. Rev.*, **2013**, 113, 734-777.

6) a) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2004**, 43, 2334-2375. b) Kitagawa, S.; Uemura, K., *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2005**, 178, 2420-2429.

7) a) Suh, M. P.; Cheon, Y. E.; Lee, E. Y., *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2008**, 252, 1007-1026. b) Horike, S.; Shimomura, S.; Kitagawa, *Nat. Chem.*, **2009**, 1, 695-704. c) Férey, G.; Serre, C., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**, 38, 1380-1399. d) Keene, T. D.; Rankine, D.; Evans, J. D.; Southon, P. D.; Kepert, C. J.; Aitken, J. B.; Sumby, C. J.; Doonan, C. J., *Dalton Trans.*, **2013**, 42, 7871-7879. e) Lyndon, R.; Konstas, K.; Ladewig, B. P.; Southon, P. D.; Kepert, P. C. J.; Hill, M. R., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 3695-3698. f) Bloch, W. M.; Sumby, C. J., *Chem. Commun.*, **2012**, 48, 2534-2536.

8) a) Jia, Q. X.; Wang, Y.-Q., Yue, Q.; Wang, Q.-L.; Gao, E.-Q., *Chem. Commun.*, **2008**, 4894-4896. b) Kitagawa, S.; Uemura, K., *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2005**, 34, 109-119. c) Serre, C.; Mellot-Draznieks, C.; Surblé, S.; Audebrand, N.; Filinchuk, Y.; Férey, G., *Science*, **2007**, 315, 1828-1831. d) Maji, T. K.; Uemura, K.; Chang, H.-C.; Matsuda, R.; Kitagawa, S., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2004**, 43, 3269-3272.

9) a) Uemura, K.; Kitagawa, S.; Fukui, K.; Saito, K., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, 126, 3817-3828. b) Uemura, K.; Kitagawa, S.; Kondo, M.; Fukui, K.; Kitaura, R.; Chang, H.-C.; Mizutani, T., *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2002**, 8, 3586-3600.

10) a) Biradha, K.; Fujita, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2002**, 41, 3392-3395. b) Lim, K. S.; Ryu, D. W.; Lee, W. R.; Koh, E. K.; Kim, H. C.; Hong, C. S., *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2012**, *18*, 11541-11544. c) Biradha, K.; Hongo, Y.; Fujita, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2002**, 41, 3395-3398. c) Nakagawa, K.; Tanaka, D.; Horike, S.;

59 60 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

Shimomura, S.; Higuchi, M.; Kitagawa, S., *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, 46, 4258-4260.

11) Ferey, G.; Serre, C., Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1380-1399.

12) For examples, see: a) Britt, D.; Furukawa, H.; Wang, B.;
Glover, T. G.; Yaghi, O. M., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 2009, 106, 20637-20640. b) Mason, J. A.; Sumida, K.; Herm, Z. R.;
Krishna, R.; Long, J. R., *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 3030-3040. c) Dietzel, P. D. C.; Besikiotis, V.; Blom, R., *J. Mat. Chem.*, 2009, 19, 7362-7370. d) Zhou, W.; Wu, H.; Yildirim, T.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 15268-15269. e) Caskey, S. R.;
Wong-Foy, A. G.; Matzger, A. J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 10870-10871.

13) a) Bae, Y.-S.; Hauser, B. G.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Snurr,
R. Q.; *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2011, 141, 231-235. b)
Fang, Q. R.; Zhu, G. S.; Xue, M.; Sun, J. Y.; Wei, Y.; Qiu, S. L.;
Xu, R. R.; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 3845-3848. c)
Bloch, E. D.; Britt, D.; Lee, C.; Doonan, C. J.; Uribe-Romo, F.
J.; Furukawa, H.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
2010, 132, 14382-14384.

14) For examples, see: a) An, J.; Geib, S. J.; Rosi, N. L., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2009**, 132, 38-39. b) McDonald, T. M.; Lee, W. R.; Mason, J. A.; Wiers, B. M.; Hong, C. S.; Long, J. R., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, 134, 7056-7065. c) Liao, P.-Q.; Zhou, D.-D.; Zhu, A.-X.; Jiang, L.; Lin, R.-B.; Zhang, J.-P.; Chen, X.-M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, 134, 17380-17383. d) Yang, E.; Li, H.-Y.; Wang, F.; Yang, H.; Zhang, J., *CrystEngComm*, **2013**, 15, 658-661. e) Burd, S. D.; Ma, S.; Perman, J. A., Sikora, B. J.; Snurr, R. Q.; Thallapally, P. K.; Tian, J.; Wojtas, L.; Zaworotko, M. J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, 134, 3663–3666. f) Lu, W.; Sculley, J. P.; Yuan, D.; Krishna, R.; Wei, Z.; Zhou, H.-C., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 7480-7484.

15) a) McDonald, T. M.; D'Alessandro, D. M.; Krishna, R.;
Long, J. R. *Chem. Sci.*, **2011**, 2, 2022-2028. b) Demessence, A.;
D'Alessandro, D. M.; Foo, M. L.; Long, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2009**, 131, 8784-8786. c) Gu, J.-M.; Kwon, T.-H.; Park, J.-H.;
Huh, S. *Dalton Trans.*, **2010**, 39, 5608-5610.

16) a) Mason, J. A.; Sumida, K.; Herm, Z. R.; Krishna, R.; Long,
J. R., *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2011**, 4, 3030-3040. b) Wiersum, A.
D.; Chang, J.-S.; Serre, C.; Llewellyn, P. L., *Langmuir*, **2013**,
doi: 10.1021/la3044329.

17) a) Nugent, P.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Burd, S. D.; Cairns, A. J.; Luebke, R.; Forrest, K.; Pham, T.; Ma, S.; Space, B.; Wojtas, L., Eddaoudi, M.; Zaworotko, M. J., *Nature*, **2013**, 495, 80-84. b)
Li, J.-R.; Yu, J.; Lu, W.; Sun, L.-B.; Sculley, J.; Balbuena, P. B.; Zhou, H.-C., *Nature Commun.*, **2013**, 4:1538, doi: 10.1038/ncomms2552. c) Xiang, S.; He, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, H.; Zhou, W.; Krishna, R.; Chen, B., *Nature Commun.*, **2012**, 3:954, doi: 10.1038/ncomms1956. d) Lau, C. H.; Babarao, R.; Hill, M. R.; *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, 49, 3634-3636.

18) Wriedt, M.; Sculley, J. P.; Yakovenko, A. A.; Ma, Y.; Halder, G. J.; Balbuena, P. B.; Zhou, H.-C., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 1-6.

19) For examples, see: a) H. S. Choi and M. P. Suh, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., **2009**, 48, 6865-6869. b) S. Henke, A. Schneemann, A. Wutscher and R. A. Fischer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2012**, 134, 9464-9474. c) J. Seo, R. Matsuda, H. Sakamoto, C. Bonneau and S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2009**, 131, 12792-12800. d) J. Zhang, H. Wu, T. J. Emge and J. Li, Chem. Commun., **2010**, 46, 9152-9154. e) T. K. Kim and M. P. Suh, Chem. Commun., **2011**, 47, 4258-4260. f) D. H. Hong, M. P. Suh, Chem. Commun., **2012**, 48, 9168-9170.

20) Robeson, L. M., *J.Membrane Sci.*, **1991**, 62, 165-185.

21) a) Potapov, A. S.; Khlebnikov, A. I., *Polyhedron*, **2006**, 25, 2683-2690. b) Potapov, A. S.; Khlebnikov, A. I.; Valisevskii, S. F., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, **2006**, 42, 1368-1373.

22) Sheldrick, G. M., Acta Cryst., 1990, A46, 467-473.

23) Sheldrick, G. M., *SHELXL-97*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

24) Barbour, L. J., J. Supramol. Chem., 2001, 1, 189-191.

25) CrystalMaker Software Ltd, *CrystalMaker* 2.3.2, Oxfordshire, UK, 2011.

26) Sheldrick, G. M. *CIFTAB*, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

27) Spek, A. L., *Acta Cryst.*, **1990**, *A*46, C34.

28) Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, *Mercury 2.4*, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010.

29) Gelb, L. D.; Gubbins, K. E., Langmuir, 1999, 15, 305-308.

30) a) Rappe, A. K.; Casewit, C. J.; Colwell, K. S.; Goddard, W. A.; Skiff, W. M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1992**, 114, 10024-10035. b) Hirotani, A.; Mizukami, K.; Miura, R.; Takaba, H.; Miya, T.; Fahmi, A.; Stirling, A.; Kubo, M.; Miyamoto, A., *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **1997**, 120, 81-84.

31) Myers, A. L.; Prausnitz, J. M., AIChE J., 1965, 11, 121-127.

32) Bae, Y.-S.; Farha, O. K., Hupp, J. T.; Snurr, R. Q.; *J Mater. Chem.*, **2009**, 19, 2131-2134.

33) Kole, G. K.; Vittal, J. J., Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 1755-1775.

34) Moon, J.-H.; Bae, Y.-S.; Hyun, S.-H.; Lee, C.-H., *J. Membr. Sci.*, **2006**, **28**5, 343-359.

35) Jagiello, J.; Thommes, M., *Carbon*, **2004**, 42, 1227-1232.

36) a) Belmabkhout, Y.; Pirngruber, G.; Jolimaitre, E.; Methivier, A., *Adsorption*, **2007**, 13, 341-349. b) Cavenati, S.; Grande, C. A.; Rodrigues, A. E., *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **2004**, 49, 1095-1101.

37) Kizzie, A. C.; WongFoy, A. G.; Matzger, A. J., *Langmuir*, **2011**, 27, 6368-6367.

Table of Contents (TOC) graphic

