

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 3317-3320

Discovery of a Potent and Selective COX-2 Inhibitor in the Alkoxy Lactone Series with Optimized Metabolic Profile

Yves Leblanc,^{a,*} Patrick Roy,^a Zhaoyin Wang,^a Chun Sing Li,^a Nathalie Chauret,^a Deborah A. Nicoll-Griffith,^a José M. Silva,^a Yves Aubin,^a James A. Yergey,^a Chi Chung Chan,^a Denis Riendeau,^a Christine Brideau,^a Robert Gordon,^a Lijing Xu,^a Janine Webb,^b Denise M. Visco^c and Petpiboon Prasit^a

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, Merck Frosst Centre for Therapeutic Research, PO Box 1005, Pointe Claire-Dorval, Quebec, Canada H9R 4P8 ^bMerck Research Laboratories, Harlow, Essex, UK ^cMerck Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ, USA

Received 23 July 2002; accepted 13 August 2002

Abstract—The COX-2 inhibitor DFP [5,5-dimethyl-3-(2-propoxy)-4-methanesulfonylphenyl)-2(5H)-furanone] was found to have a long half-life in humans. Analogues have been characterized in order to optimize pharmacokinetics. This has lead to the discovery of 5(S)-(5-ethyl-5-methyl-3-(2-propoxy)-4-methanesulfonylphenyl)-2(5H)-furanone analogue **11** a potent and selective COX-2 inhibitor which is metabolized to a greater extent than DFP upon incubation with rat and human hepatocytes, suggesting a shorter half-life in humans.

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Since the discovery that the cyclooxygenase exists in two isoforms¹ it has been demonstrated that selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors have the desirable therapeutic effects of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) with less gastrointestinal side effects associated with dual COX-1/2 inhibitors.^{2–4}

Heretofore, several groups have reported COX-2 selective inhibitors such as rofecoxib,⁵ celecoxib,⁶ etoricoxib,⁷ valdecoxib,⁸ and others.^{9,10}

Following the discovery of rofecoxib (VIOXX), several efforts were made to identify other selective COX-2 inhibitors in the lactone series. This work lead to the discovery of DFU(1)¹¹ DFP(2).¹² DFP was found to be a potent and highly selective COX-2 inhibitor with excellent pharmacokinetic properties in a variety of species. In addition, pre-clinical data showed that DFP possesses anti-inflammatory activity in animals.¹³ This

COX-2 inhibitor was shown to be potent and selective in human ex vivo assays.¹⁴ However, the human halflife of DFP was found to be long, with a value of 64 h.¹⁴

It has been well documented that metabolic processes play an important role in the excretion of COX-2 inhibitors.^{15–17} In vivo and in vitro studies with DFP in



0960-894X/02/\$ - see front matter \odot 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. P11: S0960-894X(02)00739-4

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-514-428-3096; fax: +1-514-428-4900; e-mail: yves_leblanc@merck.com

animal models showed that metabolism, at the alkoxy side chain, is the key determinant in its clearance.¹⁸ DFP has a very low turn over in human in vitro metabolism experiments¹⁹ thus correlating with the long human half-life. Therefore, modifications made to the structure of DFP, introducing sites for increased metabolism would be expected to provide COX-2 inhibitors with reduced half-life in humans.

With the goal of increasing the metabolism of DFP, modifications at the 3- and 5-positions on the molecule



were considered. As already reported in our previous paper,¹² several 3-alkoxy analogues were prepared. Longer alkoxy groups at the 3-position gave analogues with more metabolism, that correlated with increased clearance in pre-clinical species (data not shown). However, the isopropoxy substituent at the 3-position was found to be the ultimate group for COX-2 inhibitory potency, selectivity and pharmacokinetics in different species. The second approach was to keep the isopropoxy group and modify the substitution at the C-5 position of the lactone ring. It is known from study of several analogues in this series, that the gem-dimethyl groups at the C-5 position are metabolically inert.^{18,20} Thus, trifluoroethyl and ethyl analogues were prepared to provide potential sites for metabolic oxidation. The biological and metabolic profiles of these analogues are described herein.

The analogue 11 was prepared as described in Scheme 1. The α -bromoketone 3^{21} was heated in DMF in the presence of LiCl at 100 °C for 7 h to provide the vinyl ketone compound 4 in 84% yield. Treatment of ketone 4 with (S)-CBS and borane afforded the alcohol 5 in 86% yield with an enantiomeric excess of 88%. The absolute stereochemistry of the alcohol 5 was proven by X-ray crytallographic analysis. The allylic alcohol 5 was then epoxidized using Sharpless conditions to give the epoxy alcohol 6 in 77% yield. After protection of the alcohol as its 1-ethoxyethyl ether, the epoxide 7 was treated with LiCuMe₂ to provide compound 8. The α -hydroxy ketone 9 was obtained by treatment of 8 with NBS in CCl₄. The chiral alcohol 9 was then condensed on isopropoxyacetic acid²¹ in the presence of CMC to give the ester 10. In turn, the ester 10 was heated in CH₃CN in the presence of DBU and isopropyl trifluoroacetate to provide compound 11 in 59% yield. The trifluoroethyl analogue 18 was prepared from 2-methyl-4,4,4-triflurobutyric acid 12 (Scheme 2). The acid was transformed to the acyl chloride 13 followed by a Friedel-Crafts reaction with thiomethylanisole to provide ketone 14. The ketone was hydroxylated with $(EtO)_3P$ /potassium *tert*-butoxide/ O_2^{22} to give 15 in 50% yield. After oxidation with mCPBA, the alcohol 16 was condensed on acetoxyacetyl chloride followed by DBU



Scheme 1.





treatment to provide **17**. The isopropyl moeity was then introduced by treatment with 2-iodopropane in the presence of Ag_2CO_3 to give the final compound **18**.

The racemate trifluoroethyl analogue 18 was found to be four times less potent than DFP in the COX-2 human whole blood assay (COX-2 HWB), as shown in Table 1. In addition, poor in vivo activity was observed with compound 18 in the rat paw edema assay (ED₅₀ 7.8 mg/kg). The ethyl methyl analogue was found to have comparable activity to DFP. The R-(19) and S-(11) enantiomers have similar potency in the COX-2 HWB assay with IC₅₀'s of 0.5 and 0.4 μ M, respectively. Both enantiomers were found to be very selective with IC50's of >100 μ M for the *R*-enantiomer and 86 μ M for the S-enantiomer 11 in the COX-1 HWB assay. However, the pharmacokinetics and the in vivo profile in rats of the S-enantiomer 11 were found to be superior compared to the *R*-enantiomer(19) as described in Tables 2 and 3. As observed with rofecoxib, no gastrointestinal side effects were observed in rats with analogue 11 as demonstrated by the ⁵¹Cr assay to probe the intestinal permeability.9c No chromium leakage was observed with compound 11 at a daily dose of 100 mg/kg bid for 10 days.

Studies were performed to evaluate the overall metabolic profile of 11. In standard incubations with rat

 Table 1. In vitro potency and selectivity data of DFP(2), 18, 19 and

 11

SO₂N O↓ O O		0₂ ^{Me} ,,, C SO; 0 ↓ 0 ↓ 0 ≺	oMe	∠SO ₂ Me		
DFP 2	18	R-enantiomer 19	S-enantion 11	ner		
		IC ₅₀ (µM)				
COX-2 HWB COX-1 HWB	0.3 >100	1.3 n/a	0.5 >100	0.4 >86		

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic data of **11** in rats (DFP in parentheses)

Dose	Half-life	C _{max}	Bioavailability
(mg/kg)	(DFP)	(DFP)	
10	2 h (7.1 h)	1.7 μM (15 μM)	100%

The rat half life of the *R*-enantiomer **19** is < 2 h.

Table 3. Comparison of rofecoxib, DFP (2), (11), *R*-enantiomer (19)and Indomethacin in various in vivo assays $[ED_{50} (mg/kg)]$

Assay	Rofecoxib	DFP (2)	11	19	Indomethacin
Rat paw edema	1.5	0.8	1.2	4.5	2.0
Ray pyresis	0.2	0.3	0.7	4.9	1.0
Rat hyperalgesia	1.0	0.8	0.7	nd	1.4
Rat adjuvant arthritis	0.8	0.3	3.3	nd	0.2

hepatocytes (10⁶ cells, 50 μ M substrate, 2 h),²⁰ the analogue 11 was highly metabolized (25-35%) as opposed to DFP ($\sim 2\%$). These results are in accordance with the shorter half-life of 11, 2 h versus 7 h for DFP.¹² LC/MS studies using APCI-MS¹⁸ and ¹H NMR experiments on a purified sample of the most significant metabolite indicated that the major metabolic pathway for 11 was now occurring on the ethyl side chain at the methylene position, yielding both possible hydroxy diastereomers (data not shown). In order to predict whether the half-life of analogue 11 would also be shorter than DFP in humans, human hepatocyte incubations were performed with both 11 and DFP. As observed for rat hepatocyte incubations, 11 was significantly more metabolized than DFP¹⁹ ($\sim 18\%$ for 11 vs <2% for DFP). The turn-over of 11 in human hepatocytes was faster than that obtained for etoricoxib ($\sim 8\%$),²³ a once-a-day COX-2 drug with an apparent terminal half life of 22 h.24

In conclusion, it has been possible to modulate the degree of metabolism and therefore the pharmacokinetics of this inhibitor class by adding metabolic soft sites to DFP, while maintaining the biological properties essential for a COX-2 inhibitor. The in vitro and in vivo data of analogue **11** are comparable to DFP. The incubation with human hepatocytes suggests that the human half-life of compound **11** should be shorter than that for DFP.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Marc Bilodeau from the Hopital Saint-Luc, Montreal, for providing human liver tissue.

References and Notes

1. (a) Hla, T.; Neilson, K. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1992**, 89, 7384. (b) Holtzman, M. J.; Turk, J.; Shornick, L. P. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *267*, 21438. (c) Herschman, H. R. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **1994**, *13*, 241.

 (a) Prasit, P.; Riendeau, D. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1997, 32, 211. (b) Griswold, D. E.; Adams, J. L. Med. Res. Rev. 1996, 16, 181. (c) Reitz, D. B.; Seibert, K. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1995, 30, 179. (d) Kowaluk, E. A.; Arneric, S. P. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1998, 33, 11.

3. Graul, A.; Martel, A. M.; Castaner, J. Drugs Future 1997, 22, 711.

4. Gans, K. R.; Galbraith, W.; Roman, R. J.; Haber, S. B.; Kerr, J. S.; Schmidt, W. K.; Smith, C.; Hewes, W. E.; Ackerman, N. R. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **1990**, 254, 180.

5. Prasit, P.; Wang, Z.; Brideau, C.; Chan, C.-C.; Charleson, S.; Cromlish, W.; Ethier, D.; Evans, J. F.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gauthier, J. Y.; Gordon, R.; Guay, J.; Gresser, M.; Kargman, S.; Kennedy, B.; Leblanc, Y.; Léger, S.; Mancini, J.; O'Neill, G. P.; Ouellet, M.; Percival, M. D.; Perrier, H.; Riendeau, D.; Rodger, I.; Tagari, P.; Thérien, M.; Vickers, P.; Wong, E.; Xu, L.-J.; Young, R. N.; Zamboni, R.; Boyce, S.; Rupniak, N.; Forrest, M.; Visco, D.; Patrick, D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *9*, 1773.

6. Penning, T. D.; Talley, J. J.; Bertenshaw, S. R.; Carter, J. S.; Collins, P. W.; Docter, S.; Graneto, M. J.; Lee, L. F.; Malecha, J. W.; Miyashiro, J. M.; Rogers, R. S.; Rogier, D. J.; Yu, S. S.; Anderson, G. D.; Burton, E. G.; Cogburn, J. N.; Gregory, S. A.; Koboldt, C. M.; Perkins, W. E.; Seibert, K.; Veenhuizen, A. W.; Zhang, Y. Y.; Isakson, P. C.. J. Med. Chem. **1997**, 40, 1347.

7. Dubé, D.; Brideau, C.; Deschênes, D.; Fortin, R.; Friesen, R. W.; Gordon, R.; Girard, Y.; Riendeau, D.; Savoie, C.; Chan, C.-C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *9*, 1715.

8. (a) Sorbera, L. A.; Leeson, P. A.; Castaner, J.; Castaner, R. M. Drugs Future 2001, 26, 133. (b) Talley, J. J.; Brown, D. L.; Carter, J. S.; Graneto, M. J.; Koboldt, C. M.; Masferrer, J. L.; Perkins, W. E.; Rogers, R. S.; Shaffer, A. F.; Zhang, Y. Y.; Zweifel, B. S.; Seibert, K. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 775. (c) Goldman, M.; Schutzer, S. Formulary 2002, 37, 68 71, 76. 9. (a) Prasit, P.; Black, W. C.; Chan, C. C.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gauthier, J. Y.; Gordon, R.; Guay, D.; Kargman, S.; Lau, C. K.; Li, C.-S.; Mancini, J.; Ouimet, N.; Roy, P.; Tagari, P.; Vickers, P.; Wong, E.; Young, R. N.; Zamboni, R. Med. Chem. Res. 1995, 5, 364. (b) Li, C.-S.; Black, W. C.; Chan, C. C.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gauthier, J. Y.; Gordon, R.; Guay, D.; Kargman, S.; Lau, C. K.; Mancini, J.; Ouimet, N.; Roy, P.; Vickers, P.; Wong, E.; Young, R. N.; Zamboni, R.; Prasit, P. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 4897. (c) Chan, C. C.; Boyce, S.; Brideau, C.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gordon, R.; Guay, D.; Hill, R. G.; Li, C.-S.; Mancini, J.; Panneton, M. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1995, 274, 1531.

10. Masaki, M.; Matsushita, M.; Wakitani, K. Inflammation Res. 1998, 47, 187.

11. (a) Riendeau, D.; Percival, M. D.; Boyce, S.; Brideau, C.; Charleson, S.; Cromlish, W.; Ethier, D.; Evans, J.; Falgueyret, J. P.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gordon, R.; Greig, G.; Gresser, M.; Guay, J.; Kargman, S.; Leger, S.; Mancini, J. A.; O'Neill, G.; Ouellet, M.; Rodger, I. W.; Therien, M.; Wang, Z.; Webb, J. K.; Wong, E.; Chan, C. C. Br. J. Pharmacol. **1997**, *121*, 105. (b) Riendeau, D.; Charleson, S.; Cromlish, W.; Mancini, J.; Wong, E.; Guay, J. Can. J. Phys. Pharmacol. **1997**, *75*, 1088.

12. Leblanc, Y.; Roy, P.; Boyce, S.; Brideau, C.; Chan, C. C.; Charleson, S.; Gordon, R.; Grimm, E.; Guay, J.; Léger, S.; Li, C. S.; Riendieu, D.; Visco, D.; Wang, Z.; Webb, J.; Xu, L. J.; Prasit, P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *9*, 2207.

13. Chan, C. C.; Boyce, S.; Brideau, C.; Charleson, S.; Christen, A.; Evans, J.; Ford-Hutchinson, A. W.; Gordon, R.; Gresser, M.; Guay, J.; Leblanc, Y.; Orevillo, C.; Panneton, M.; Prasit, P.; Rodger, I. W.; Roy, P.; Visco, D.; Webb, J.; Wong, E.; Xu, L.; Young, R. N.; Zamboni, R.; Riendieu, D. *Inflammation Res.* **1997**, *46*, S242.

14. Dallob, A.; De Lepeleire, I.; Van Hecken, A.; Porras, A.; Depré, M.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Flynn, M.; Wildonger, L.; Gottesdiener, K.; Tanaka, W.; De Schepper, P. *Inflammation Res.* **1999**, *48* (Supp. 2), S130.

15. Paulson, S. K.; Hribar, J. D.; Liu, N. W.; Hajdu, E.; Bible, R. H., Jr.; Piergies, A.; Karim, A. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2000**, *28*, 308.

 Kassahun, K.; Mcintosh, I. S.; Shou, M.; Walsh, D. J.; Rodeheffer, C.; Slaughter, D. E.; Greer, L. A.; Halpin, R. A.; Agrawal, N.; Rodrigues, A. D. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2001, 29, 813.
 Halpin, R. A.; Porras, A. G.; Geer, L. A.; Davis, M. R.; Cui, D.; Doss, G. A.; Woolf, E.; Musson, D.; Matthews, C.; Mazenko, R.; Schawrtz, J. I.; Lasseter, K. C.; Vyas, K. P.; Baillie, T. A. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 2002, 30, 684.

18. Nicoll-Griffith, D. A.; Silva, J. M.; Chauret, N.; Day, S.; Leblanc, Y.; Roy, P.; Yergey, J. A.; Dixit, R.; Patrick, D. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2001**, *29*, 159.

19. Chauret, N.; Tremblay, N.; Lackman, R. L.; Gauthier, J.-Y.; Silva, J. M.; Marois, J.; Yergey, J. A.; Nicoll-Griffith, D. A. *Anal. Biochem.* **1999**, *276*, 215.

20. Yergey, J. A.; Trimble, L. A.; Silva, J.; Chauret, N.; Li, C.; Therien, M.; Grimm, E.; Nicoll-Griffith, D. A. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2001**, *29*, 638.

21. Rossen, K.; Volante, R. P.; Ho, G.-J.; Farr, R. N.; Mathre, D. J. PCT Application WO97/45420, 1997.

22. Gardner, J. N.; Carlon, F. E.; Gnoj, O. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 3294.

23. Chauret, N.; Yergey, J. A.; Brideau, C.; Friesen, R. W.; Mancini, J.; Riendeau, D.; Silva, J.; Styhler, A.; Trimble, L. A.; Nicoll-Griffith, D. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1059. 24. Agrawal, N. G. B.; Porras, A. G.; Matthews, C. Z.; Wolf, E. J.; Miller, J. L.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Neu, D. C.; Gottesdiener, K. M. J. Clin. Pharmcol. **2001**, *41*, 1106.