Journal of Materials Chemistry C

PAPER



View Article Online

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c3tc32505a

Received 18th December 2013 Accepted 1st April 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c3tc32505a

www.rsc.org/MaterialsC

Introduction

Organic conjugated polymers exhibit unique optoelectronic properties that make them applicable in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), organic photovoltaic cells (OPCs), and organic field-effect transistors (OFETs).^{1–11} Unlike traditional inorganic materials, the structures of organic conjugated polymers are readily tunable for various purposes through chemical reactions. They also offer attractive advantages such as flexibility, light weight, inexpensive preparation, and solution-processability. Since the first report of a p-channel OFET based on a polythiophene film grown electrochemically in 1986,^{11,12} impressive advances have been made in enhancing the hole mobility through developments in both chemical structures and fabrication techniques. Several materials exhibit a hole

Synthesis and properties of air-stable n-channel semiconductors based on MEH-PPV derivatives containing benzo[c]cinnoline moieties†

Jyh-Chien Chen,*^a Hsin-Chung Wu,^a Chi-Jui Chiang,^a Tuo Chen^a and Li Xing^b

In this study we synthesized three novel poly[2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene] (MEH-PPV) derivatives that differ in terms of their ratios of heterocyclic benzo[c]cinnoline moieties: P50 containing only MEH-PPV/benzo[c]cinnolinevinylene (BZCV) alternating segments and P25 and P10 containing both MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments and MEH-PPV block segments. UV-Vis and PL spectra of these polymers revealed values of λ_{max}^{UV} and λ_{max}^{PL} that ranged from 440 to 489 and from 492 to 551 nm, respectively; these wavelengths blue-shifted upon incorporating additional benzo[c]cinnoline moieties. We also observed energy transfer from the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments to the MEH-PPV block segments. P50, which featured an alternating structure in its main chain, displayed a solvatochromic effect, emitting green light in non-polar solvents and yellow light in polar solvents. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels of these polymers, measured using cyclic voltammetry, ranged from -5.11 to -5.62 and from -3.08 to -3.31 eV, respectively. The incorporation of electron-withdrawing benzo[c]cinnoline moieties enhanced the electron affinity and improved the oxidative stability of the polymers. A bottom-gate, top-contact organic field-effect transistor (OFET) based on P50 exhibited nchannel behavior under ambient conditions, with an electron mobility of 7.8×10^{-3} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ and an on/off ratio greater than 10⁴. No significant variation in electron mobility can be observed after this OEFT was stored under ambient conditions up to 30 days. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that air-stable n-channel MEH-PPV derivatives have ever been reported. It indicated that p-type MEH-PPV can be transformed into n-type materials upon incorporation of benzo[c]cinnoline moieties.

> mobility of greater than 1 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹,¹³⁻¹⁷ with some even exceeding 8 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹.¹⁷ Although progress has also been made in the development of n-type electron-transporting conjugated polymers to enhance the performance of n-channel OFETs,^{4-11,18} air-stable n-type conjugated polymers exhibiting high electron mobility remain rare. In most cases, their electron mobility must be measured in a dry box.

> Poly[2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylenevinylene] (MEH-PPV) is a p-type conjugated polymer possessing a low ionization potential. Electron-withdrawing groups have been incorporated into the backbones or side chains of MEH-PPVs with the goal of enhancing the electron affinity, the electron injection/transporting ability, and the corresponding OLED performance.¹⁹⁻²⁴ In addition, intra- or intermolecular charge transfer, energy transfer, lower-lying energy levels for the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO), and changes in emissive colors have also been observed for various MEH-PPV derivatives.19-24 The hole mobility of MEH-PPVs has been investigated using various methods, including the space-charge limited current (SCLC), the time of flight (TOF), and OFETs.²⁵⁻³⁰ OFETs based on pristine MEH-PPV can exhibit ambipolar properties under a N2 atmosphere when MEH-PPV has been

^aDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, No. 43 Sec. 4 Keelung Road, Taipei, 10607, Taiwan. E-mail: jcchen@mail.ntust.edu.tw; Fax: +886-2-27376544; Tel: +886-2-27376526

^bPfizer Worldwide Research and Development, 200 Cambridge Park Drive, Cambridge, MA 02140, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c3tc32505a

annealed, a crosslinked polymer has been used as the dielectric, and calcium has been used as the contact electrodes.³¹ Nevertheless, several following examples of OFETs based on electronrich MEH-PPVs, or MEH-PPV derivatives containing electronwithdrawing 1,3,4-oxadiazole moieties, have exhibited only p-channel characteristics, with hole mobility in the range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-5} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹.^{32,33} To the best of our knowledge, no MEH-PPV based OFETs have ever been reported to exhibit ntype characteristics under ambient conditions.

Benzo[*c*]cinnoline and its derivatives are planar, nitrogencontaining heterocyclic compounds that can be physiologically active.³⁴ The synthesis of benzo[*c*]cinnoline typically involves reductive cyclization of 2,2'-dinitrobiphenyl.³⁵ Their optical properties are sensitive to solvent environments. For example, enhanced photoluminescence quantum yields and phosphorescence have been observed in alcoholic solvents.^{36–38} It has also been calculated that benzo[*c*]cinnoline moieties can lower HOMO and LUMO energy levels more effectively than phenanthrene moieties.³⁹ From a previous study of the electrochemical properties of poly(1,3,4-oxadiazole)s, we reported that benzo[*c*] cinnoline can indeed lower the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of conjugated polymers.⁴⁰

In this present study, we used the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction to synthesize the MEH-PPV derivatives **P50**, **P25**, and **P10**, which differ in terms of the ratios of benzo[c]cinnolinevinylene (BZCV) moieties. We recorded UV-Vis and photoluminescence spectra of these polymers to investigate the energy transfer between the MEH-PPV block segments and the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments. We also employed solvents of different dielectric constants to examine solvatochromism. We measured, through cyclic voltammetry, the effect of introducing the electron-withdrawing benzo[c]cinnoline moieties on the polymers' HOMO and LUMO energy levels.Finally, to investigate the effects of the <math>benzo[c]cinnolinemoieties on the carrier mobility, we fabricated OFETs andmeasured their performance.

Experimental

Materials

4,4'-Dibromo-2,2'-dinitrobiphenyl (1), 3,8-dibromobenzo[*c*]cinnoline (2), and 3,8-dicyanobenzo[*c*]cinnoline (3) were synthesized according to our previous report.⁴⁰ 2-Methoxy-5-(2ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenyldialdehyde (5) and 2-methoxy-5-(2ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-bis(diethoxyphosphinyl-methyl)-benzene (6) were prepared following literature procedures.^{41,42} Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) used in cyclic voltammetric measurements was recrystallized twice with ethyl acetate and dried at 120 °C under reduced pressure overnight. The other reagents were purchased from commercial companies and used as received. All of the solvents used in this study were purified according to standard methods prior to use.

Measurements

Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp capillary melting point apparatus. Proton (¹H NMR) and carbon (¹³C

NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively, on a Bruker Avance-500 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were obtained with a Digilab-FTS1000 FTIR. Mass spectroscopy was conducted using a Finnigan TSQ 700 mass spectrometer. Molecular weights were measured on a JASCO gel permeation chromatography (GPC) system (PU-980) equipped with an RI detector (RI-930) and a Jordi Gel DVB mixed bed column (250 mm \times 10 mm), using dimethylacetamide (DMAc) as the eluent, and calibrated with polystyrene standards. Thermal gravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed in nitrogen with a TA TGA Q500 thermogravimetric analyzer operated at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted under a N₂ atmosphere using a PerkinElmer DSC 4000 analyzer operated at a heating rate of 20 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹. UV-Vis spectroscopy was performed using a JASCO V-670 UV-Vis/ NIR spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence (PL) was measured using a JASCO FP-8500 spectrofluorometer. The PL quantum yields $(\Phi_{\rm PL})$ of the polymers in tetrahydrofuran (THF) were measured using 10^{-5} M quinine sulfate in 1 N H_2SO_4 as the reference standard ($\Phi_{PL} = 0.546$). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was conducted at room temperature using a CH Instrument 611C electrochemical analyzer and a threeelectrode electrochemical cell comprising a working electrode (polymer film coated on ITO glass), a reference electrode [Ag/Ag⁺, referenced against ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/ Fc⁺), 0.09 V], and a counter electrode (Pt gauze)-operated at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. CV of polymer films was performed using an electrolyte solution of 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) in MeCN. The potential windows for the oxidative and reductive scans were from 0 to +2.0 and from 0 to -2.0 V, respectively.

Synthesis of the monomer

3,8-Dicarbaldehydebenzo[*c*]cinnoline (4).



To a 250 mL, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a condenser was added 3,8-dicyanobenzo[c]cinnoline (3) (1.00 g, 4.35 mmol) in chlorobenzene (150 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 9 mL (10.09 mmol) of diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL-H) (20% in hexane) was slowly added with a syringe, the reaction mixture was further stirred at 0 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into a vigorously stirred 300 mL solution of methanol-water (1:1, v/ v) with an ice bath for 30 min. After 100 mL of 3.0 M HCl solution was added and stirred for 10 min, the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phase was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After the solvent was evaporated, the collected solid was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetatechloroform (2:8) as the eluent to afford 0.22 g (yield: 22%) of yellow powder. mp > 275 °C (decompose). ¹H NMR (500 MHz,

Paper

DMSO- d_6 , δ , ppm): 10.41 (s, 2*H*, aldehyde-H_d), 9.34 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2*H*, Ar-H_a), 9.17 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2*H*, Ar-H_c), 8.49 (dd, $J_1 = 8.5$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, 2*H*, Ar-H_b). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ , ppm): 192.54, 145.00, 137.54, 134.55, 129.50, 124.63, 123.80. Anal. calcd: C, 71.18; H, 3.41; N, 11.86. Found: C, 70.65; H, 3.66; N, 11.51. EIMS (*m*/*z*): calcd for C₁₄H₈N₂O₂, 236.1; found, 236.1 [M⁺].

Synthesis of polymers

P50.



To a 50 mL, three-neck, round-bottom flask were added 3,8-dicarbaldehydebenzo[c]cinnoline 4 (0.2361 g, 1.0 mmol), 2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-bis(diethoxyphosphinyl-methyl)-benzene 6 (0.5363 g, 1.0 mmol), and 40 mL of dry DMF. 1.0 M t-BuOK (6 mL, 6.0 mmol, in THF solution) was slowly added with a syringe under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h under N₂ before being poured into water. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with hot MeOH (Soxhlet apparatus) for 12 h, and then dried at 100 °C overnight under reduced pressure to afford a deep-red solid 0.2118 g (yield: 43%).

P25.



The polymer was synthesized following the same procedure as **P50** with compound **4** (0.1180 g, 0.5 mmol), compound **5** (0.1461 g, 0.5 mmol), and compound **6** (0.5363 g, 1.0 mmol) to afford a red solid 0.2291 g (yield: 44%).

P10.



The polymer was synthesized following the same procedure as **P50** with compound **4** (0.0472 g, 0.2 mmol), compound **5** (0.2337 g, 0.8 mmol), and compound **6** (0.5363 g, 1.0 mmol) to afford a red solid 0.3440 g (yield: 64%).

Fabrication of organic field-effect transistors

OFET devices were fabricated with a bottom-gate, top-contact configuration. A heavily doped n⁺-Si/SiO₂ wafer was used as the substrate, wherein n⁺-Si and thermally grown SiO₂ functioned as the gate electrode and dielectric, respectively. The wafer was washed sequentially with acetone and isopropanol. The SiO₂ dielectric surface was treated with a monolayer of n-octadecyltrichlorosilane (OTS) prior to spin-coating to prevent electrontrapping by the OH groups. An anhydrous solution of P50 (1 mg mL⁻¹) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) was spin-coated (1000 rpm, 40 s) onto the modified SiO_2/n^+ -Si substrate at room temperature and then the sample was dried on a hot plate under N_2 in a glove box to afford a thin film of P50 (ca. 50 nm). The drain and source electrodes were deposited by thermally evaporating gold (Au) through a shadow mask. The channel width (W) and length (L) were 2000 and 50 μ m, respectively. An OFET device based on MEH-PPV was also fabricated for comparison.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of the monomer

We prepared the monomer 3,8-dicarbaldehydebenzo [c] cinnoline (4) through a four-step synthesis (Scheme 1). 4,4'-Dibromo-2,2'dinitrobiphenyl (1) was prepared from 2,5-dibromonitrobenzene through an Ullmann coupling reaction; it was then reduced by LiAlH₄ to form heterocyclic 3,8-dibromobenzo[c]cinnoline (2). We obtained 3,8-dicarbaldehydebenzo c cinnoline (4) after converting the bromo groups of 2 to cyano groups40 and then reducing them in the presence of DIBAL-H. Fig. S1 in the ESI[†] displays the ¹H NMR spectrum of 4. The signal at 10.41 ppm indicates that the cyano groups had been successfully converted into aldehyde groups. The ¹H NMR spectrum combined with the results from mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis confirms the formation of highly pure 3,8-dicarbaldehydebenzo[c]cinnoline (4). Compounds containing dialdehyde groups might be utilized for polymerization of conjugated polymers containing carbon-carbon double bond or carbon-nitrogen double bond linkages. In this research, a series of polymers containing carbon-carbon double bond linkages were synthesized by the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction.



Synthesis of polymers

Scheme 2 presents our approach for the synthesis of the conjugated polymers **P50**, **P25**, and **P10** through Horner-Wadsworth–Emmons reactions of compounds **4**, **5**, and **6** in ratios of 1:0:1, 0.5:0.5:1, and 0.2:0.8:1, respectively. The number-average molecular weights (M_n) of **P50**, **P25**, and **P10** were all greater than 14 000 g mol⁻¹, as measured through GPC using DMAc as the eluent (Table 1). These conjugated polymers contain both 2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylvinylene (MEH-PPV) and benzo[*c*]cinnolinevinylene (BZCV) segments. Based on the monomer feed ratios, **P50** is a complete alternating polymer of MEH-PPV and BZCV segments, while **P25** and **P10** contain both MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments and MEH-PPV block segments.

Assuming that all of the monomers were consumed, the theoretical ratios of the BZCV and MEH-PPV segments in P25 and P10 should be 25:75 and 10:90, respectively. The actual contents of BZCV segments in the main chains of P25 and P10, determined using elemental analysis, were, however, 20 and 6%, respectively, presumably because of the low yields (43-64%) of these polymerization processes. This finding also suggests that the reactivity of 3,8-dicarbaldehydebenzo[c]cinnoline (4) is lower than that of 2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenyldialdehyde (5). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of P10 are shown in the ESI (Fig. S2 and S3).[†] Although we did not observe (DSC) a glass transition for P50 at temperatures of up to 350 °C, P25 and P10 underwent glass transitions at 148 and 117 °C, respectively. These polymers also exhibited good thermal stability, with the decomposition temperatures at 5% weight loss (T_d) all higher than 340 °C (Table 1). In the chosen solvents [NMP, DMF, DMAc, 1,2dichlorobenzene (DCB), chloroform (CF), and THF], we determined the qualitative solubilities (Table 2) using 0.001 g of polymer in 1 mL of the solvent. P50 was soluble upon heating in NMP, but only partially soluble in other tested solvents. P10 was soluble in all solvents. The polymers became less soluble upon increasing the content of BZCV, presumably because the planarity and rigidity of the benzo[c]cinnoline moieties induced closer interchain packing and stronger intermolecular interactions.

Optical properties

Fig. 1(a) presents UV-Vis and PL spectra of **P50**, **P25**, **P10**, and MEH-PPV (each 10^{-5} M in THF); Table 3 summarizes the data.

The maximum absorption wavelengths (λ_{max}^{UV}) appeared in the range from 440 to 489 nm, with the emission peaks $(\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{PL}})$ ranging from 492 to 551 nm. The shoulders evident in the PL spectra indicate the vibronic features of these polymers.43-45 The absorption wavelengths and emission peaks underwent blueshift upon increasing the content of BZCV segments in the conjugated copolymers. The maximum absorption (λ_{max}^{UV}) and emission $(\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{PL}})$ of the **P50** solution (in THF) appeared at 440 and 492 nm, respectively. Because P50 is a completely alternating conjugated polymer, consisting of MEH-PPV and BZCV segments, we can consider these two peaks as indicators of the absorption and emission from the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments. On the other hand, we consider those peaks (absorption at 497 nm, emission at 554 nm) for the pristine MEH-PPV polymer to be indicators of the presence of the MEH-PPV block segments. We also characterized the polymers in terms of their optical properties in the solid state [Fig. 1(b) and Table 3]. The absorption edges had red-shifted to 700 nm or beyond, relative to those of the solution samples. Thus, the effective conjugation lengths of the polymers in the form of their solid films were longer than those in solution. The emission peaks were also red-shifted within the range from 584 to 604 nm.

Conjugated polymers with donor-acceptor systems often exhibit red-shifted absorption wavelengths and lower band gaps as a result of intramolecular (or intermolecular) charge transfer (ICT) between their electron-withdrawing and electrondonating moieties.² ICT is an important effect that can be used to harvest more light energy and generate excitons more efficiently in photovoltaic applications. Although this effect can be related to planarity in the main chain or to the formation of quinoid structures,46 such behavior is not always observed in every donor-acceptor conjugated system. In this present study, for example, we could not detect any donor-acceptor ICT effect. Incorporating electron-withdrawing BZCV moieties into MEH-PPV did not lead to red-shifted absorption wavelengths or lower band gaps. Likewise, the ICT effect was not observed either in other MEH-PPV systems containing various electron-withdrawing moieties.^{19,20,22,24,47} It is possible that MEH-PPV segments are not appropriate donors for the ICT effect. In addition, because most donor-acceptor conjugated polymers contain thiophene moieties (or their derivatives) as their donors, it is the thiophene-acceptor-thiophene structures that



Scheme 2 Synthesis ro	ute to polymers
-----------------------	-----------------

generate charge transfer most effectively.^{48–52} In some cases, the absorption edge can extend into the near-infrared region.^{50–52} We hypothesize that polymerization of electron-withdrawing benzo[*c*]cinnoline (BZC) moieties with thiophene derivatives, or the synthesis of thiophene–BZC–thiophene structures, might induce ICT effects; accordingly, we are currently investigating the development of BZC-containing thiophene-based conjugated polymers for photovoltaic applications.

It has been investigated that conjugated polymers with two different segments showed dual emissions from these two segments separately.^{53,54} Fig. 1(a) reveals that **P25** exhibited two emission peaks at 549 and 500 nm. From a comparison with the emission peaks of pristine MEH-PPV and **P50**, we attribute these two emissions as arising from the MEH-PPV block segments (549 nm) and the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments (500 nm). In addition, we observe a spectral overlap between the absorption of MEH-PPV and the emission of **P50**. It is speculated that the emissive energy from the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments.^{55,56} Thus, we used light at the value of λ_{max}^{UV} of **P50** (440 nm) to excite **P25** and **P10** in THF; Fig. 2(a) reveals a major emission peak at 549 nm and a shoulder at 490 nm. We

Table 2	Solubility	of	polymers ^a
---------	------------	----	-----------------------

	Solvent	Solvent ^b								
Polymer	NMP	DMAc	DMF	DCB	CF	THF				
P50	+	±	±	±	±	±				
P25	++	++	+	±	\pm	\pm				
P10	++	++	++	++	++	++				
^a Abbreviat	ions:	NMP,	N-methyl-2	-pyrrolidir	none;	DMF,				
dimethylfo	rmamide;	DMAc,	dimethyla	acetamide;	DCB,	1,2-				
dichlorobe	nzene; CF,	chlorofori	n; THF, teti	ahydrofur	an. ^b Qua	litative				
solubility	was deterr	nined usi	ng 0.001 g	of polym	er in 1	mL of				
solvent. ++	(soluble a	t room ten	nperature); +	 (soluble) 	on heatin	g at 60				

suspect that the emission peak at 549 nm, which we attribute to the emission of the MEH-PPV block segments, resulted from the MEH-PPV block segments directly absorbing the excitation radiation at 440 nm. It is also possible that the MEH-PPV block segments received the energy transferred from the emission of the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments, because of the overlap between the emission peak of the MEH-PPV/BZCV

°C); and \pm (partially soluble on heating at 60 °C).

Table 1	able 1 Molecular weights and thermal properties of polymers									
Polymer	$M_{\rm n}{}^a$	PDI^{a}	Yield (%)	$\operatorname{BZCV}^{b}(\%)$ actual	$\operatorname{BZCV}^{c}(\%)$ theoretical	$T_{d}^{d} (^{\circ}C)$	$T_{\rm g}^{\ e}$ (°C)	Char yield ^f (wt%)		
P50	54 000	1.51	43	50	50	372	g	57		
P25	$14\ 000$	1.64	44	20	25	363	148	32		
P10	30 000	1.98	64	6	10	348	117	20		

^{*a*} Obtained from GPC using DMAc as solvent and calibrated with polystyrene standards. ^{*b*} Actual percentage of BZCV, calculated by elemental analysis. ^{*c*} Theoretical percentage of BZCV, calculated from feed ratio. ^{*d*} Measured by TGA at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ in nitrogen. ^{*e*} Measured by DSC at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ in nitrogen. ^{*f*} Residual weight percentage at 800 °C in nitrogen. ^{*g*} Not observed.



Fig. 1 UV-Vis absorption and PL emission spectra (upon excitation by λ_{max}^{UV} radiation) of the tested polymers (a) in THF at 10^{-5} M and (b) in the solid state.

Table 3 Optical properties of polymers

	Soluti	on ^a (nn	n)	Film			
Polymer	λ_{max}^{UV}	λ_{max}^{PL}	FWHM	$\Phi_{\mathrm{PL}}{}^{b}\left(\% ight)$	λ_{max}^{UV}	λ_{onset}^{UV}	λ_{\max}^{PL}
P50	440	492	72	23	428	550	584
P25	476	549	59	18	469	610	604
P10 MEH-PPV	489 497	551 554	40 40	18 25	510 511	610 600	604 600

^{*a*} Measured in THF at a concentration of 10^{-5} M. ^{*b*} Estimated using quinine sulfate (dissolved in 1 N H₂SO_{4(aq)}, assuming a value of $\Phi_{\rm PL}$ of 0.546) as the standard.

alternating segments and the absorption peak of the MEH-PPV block segments. Fig. 2(b) presents our proposed mechanism.⁵⁷

The emission shoulder peak of **P25** at 490 nm in Fig. 2(a) suggests incomplete energy transfer between the MEH-PPV/ BZCV alternating segments and the MEH-PPV block segments. We attribute this behavior to the short lifetime of the exciton of the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments, leading to extinction prior to absorption by the MEH-PPV block segments; it might also be possible that the extinction coefficient of the MEH-PPV block segments was too low to absorb the emission energy completely.

In the case of **P10**, we do not observe the emission shoulder at 490 nm in Fig. 2(a), presumably because of the low concentration of the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments relative to the MEH-PPV block segments.

The optical properties of small molecules and polymers containing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups can be sensitive to the solvent polarity, that is, they display solvatochromism, as a result of intramolecular charge transfer.24,58-62 In this study, we investigated the solvatochromism of P50, which consists of an alternating structure, with the dielectric constants of the solvents used as an index of polarity. Fig. 3(a) displays UV-Vis and PL spectra of P50 in the non-polar solvents THF and DCB (dielectric constants: <15) and in the polar solvents DMAc and NMP (dielectric constants: >15). The absorption spectra of P50 in these different solvents are similar, with no perceptible redshifts of the peak maximum. In contrast, the PL emission spectra reveal not only red-shifted peaks but also gradually broadening curves upon increasing the solvent polarity. In addition, Fig. 3(b) reveals that P50 in the different solvents emitted light of different colors after excitation at 365 nm: green in THF and DCB and yellow in DMAc and NMP. We attribute this positive fluorosolvatochromism to the higher dipole moment in the excited state than in the ground state, due to photoexcited charge transfer. Thus, the excited state would be stabilized more in polar solvents, leading to redshifted peaks in the PL emission spectra.63-67

In general, molecules having larger dipole moments in the excited state than in the ground state also exhibit more redshifted absorptions upon increasing the solvent polarity. In this study and in some other cases,68 however, only the signals in the PL spectra were red-shifted. This phenomenon might be explained by differences in the times required for absorption, emission, and molecular reorientation in the solvents. The absorption of light by molecules occurs within a period of approximately 10^{-15} s. It takes approximately 10^{-10} s for solvents to reorient. Thus, no red-shift in absorption spectra would be observed if a solvent did not have sufficient time to reorient. In contrast, the fluorescence lifetime typically ranges from 10^{-8} to 10^{-9} s, much longer than the time required for reorientation. Such molecules in the excited state will be exposed to the reoriented solvents and, therefore, the energy of the excited state will be lower as a result of stabilization provided by the reoriented solvents, leading to red-shifts in PL spectra.68

To further investigate the solvatochromism of **P50** in polar solvents, we tested its behavior in mixed solvents of NMP and water at volume ratios from 5:1 to 1:1. We chose water for this study because it has a very high dielectric constant (80.1; thereby allowing tuning of the solvent polarity upon mixing with NMP) and because the optical properties of heterocyclic benzo[*c*]cinnoline rings are sensitive to solvents capable of hydrogen bonding^{37,38} (*i.e.*, we suspected that hydrogen bonding between water and the benzo[*c*]cinnoline ring might also influence the optical properties of **P50**.

Paper



Fig. 2 (a) PL emission spectra of P50, P25, and P10 in THF at 10^{-5} M excited by 440 nm radiation. (b) Proposed model of the excitation and emission mechanism with intramolecular energy transfer between the MEH-PPV/BZCV alternating segments and the MEH-PPV block segments.

Table 4 lists the dielectric constants we calculated for mixed solvents containing NMP and water at various volume ratios. The absorption spectra of P50 in these NMP-water mixtures changed only slightly, similar to the behavior displayed in Fig. 3(a). Fig. 4(a) presents PL spectra of P50 in the various NMP-water mixtures; we observe red-shifting of λ_{max}^{PL} and peak broadening upon increasing the dielectric constant and water content of the mixed solvent. This effect might be also due to hydrogen bonding between heterocyclic benzo[c]cinnoline and water. Accordingly, we tested another mixed solvent system -NMP and MeOH - to investigate the PL solvatochromism of P50. We chose MeOH because it has a dielectric constant (32.7) similar to that of NMP (31.7) while also allowing hydrogen bonding with the benzo[c]cinnoline moieties. Fig. 4(b) displays PL spectra of P50 in NMP/MeOH mixed solvents at volume ratios of 3 : 1 and 1 : 1. The values of λ_{max}^{PL} and the peak shapes in these PL spectra barely changed. Even though hydrogen bonding existed in the NMP-MeOH mixtures, the dielectric constants hardly changed at all. Thus, a comparison of Fig. 4(a)and (b) allows us to conclude that the PL solvatochromism of P50 resulted only from the solvent polarity and was independent of hydrogen bonding.





Fig. 3 (a) UV-Vis absorption and PL emission spectra of **P50** in THF, DCB, DMAc, and NMP at 10^{-5} M. (b) Colors emitted from dilute solutions of **P50** on exposure to light (365 nm) from a UV lamp.

Electrochemical properties

We used CV to characterize the electrochemical redox behavior of our polymers. Fig. S4[†] presents cyclic voltammograms of P50, P25, and P10 in the form of thin films. We observe irreversible anodic and cathodic scans for each polymer. The onset potentials for oxidation and reduction of these polymers ranged from 0.40 to 0.91 and from -1.4 to -1.63 eV, respectively. We calculated the HOMO [ionization potential (IP)] and LUMO [electron affinity (EA)] energy levels from the onset potentials of oxidation and reduction, respectively. The absolute energy level of ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) is assumed to be 4.8 eV below the vacuum level. The external Fc/Fc^+ redox standard $E_{1/2}$ was determined to be 0.09 V versus Ag/Ag⁺ in acetonitrile by our electrochemical measuring system.⁷¹ Table 5 summarizes the CV data. The calculated HOMO and LUMO energy levels of P50, P25, and P10 ranged from -5.62 to -5.11 and from -3.31 to -3.08 eV, respectively. The energy band gaps calculated from these electrochemical measurements ranged from 1.94 to 2.31 eV. Among these polymers, P50 had the lowest-lying HOMO and LUMO energy levels (-5.62 and -3.31 eV, respectively).

In a previous study,⁴⁰ we found that nitrogen-containing heterocyclic benzo[*c*]cinnoline has good electron affinity; accordingly, the introduction of benzo[*c*]cinnoline moieties into

conjugated polymers would lower their HOMO and LUMO energy levels. In this study, we found that **P50**, **P25**, and **P10** all had HOMO and LUMO energy levels (Table 5) lower than those of MEH-PPV ($E_{\rm HOMO} = -5.07$ eV; $E_{\rm LUMO} = -2.90$ eV).⁷² In addition, their HOMO and LUMO energy levels were more low-lying upon increasing the content of benzo[c]cinnoline.

To provide further evidence for the observed electrochemical properties of these polymers, we performed theoretical calculations. The method was described in the ESI.[†] Fig. 5 displays the calculated HOMO and LUMO contours of MEH-PPV and **P50**. For MEH-PPV, the HOMO and LUMO orbitals are localized mainly on the phenyl rings. For **P50**, the electron density of the HOMO is localized mainly on the phenylenevinylene segment, while that of the LUMO is localized mainly on the BZCV segment. The simulation suggests that the first electron added to **P50** is most likely received by the electron-withdrawing benzo[*c*]cinnoline moieties and adjacent vinylene bonds.

Several nitrogen-containing heterocyclic moieties have also been incorporated into MEH-PPV to adjust the polymer's HOMO and LUMO energy levels. For example, MEH-PPV derivatives containing quinoxaline (PPV-1),²⁰ triazole (PPV-2),⁴⁷ and diketopyrrolopyrrole (PPV-3)73 with an alternating structure have been reported. Table 6 summarizes their electrochemical properties. The LUMO energy level of P50 ($E_{LUMO} = -3.31 \text{ eV}$) is comparable with those of PPV-2 ($E_{LUMO} = -3.35 \text{ eV}$) and PPV-3 $(E_{\rm LUMO} = -3.36 \text{ eV})$, but lower than that of PPV-1 $(E_{\rm LUMO} =$ -2.63 eV). It indicates that the electron affinity of benzo[c]cinnoline is stronger than that of quinoxaline (PPV-1) and comparable with those of triazole (PPV-2) and diketopyrrolopyrrole (PPV-3). The HOMO energy level of P50 (E_{HOMO} = -5.61 eV) is the lowest among these reported MEH-PPV derivatives containing nitrogen-containing heterocyclic rings. It suggests that P50 would have good oxidative stability.

Organic field-effect transistor performance

The carrier mobility of polymers was measured by the OFET method. OFET devices based on **P50**, **P25**, **P10**, and MEH-PPV were fabricated in a bottom-gate, top-contact configuration using gold as the source and drain electrodes, and heavily doped n^+ -Si as the gate electrode. The dielectric layer was OTS-treated SiO₂. The spin-coated polymer films were all annealing free. All of the OFET measurements were performed under ambient conditions. Fig. 6 displays the typical output and transfer characteristics of the OFET based on **P50**. We



Fig. 4 (a) PL emission spectra of **P50** in NMP–H₂O (volume ratios: 5:1, 4:1, 3:1, 2:1, and 1:1) at 10^{-5} M. (b) PL emission spectra of **P50** in NMP/CH₃OH (volume ratios: 3:1 and 1:1) at 10^{-5} M.

calculated the carrier mobility (μ) from the saturation regime in the transfer curves, according to the equation

$$I_{\rm DS} = \mu (WC/2L) (V_{\rm G} - V_{\rm T})^2$$

where *W* and *L* are the semiconductor channel width and channel length, respectively. $C (17 \text{ nF cm}^{-2})$ is the capacitance of the gate dielectric layer. $V_{\rm T}$ and $V_{\rm G}$ are the threshold and gate voltages, respectively. We determined the threshold voltage from the linear relationship between $I_{\rm DS}^{1/2}$ versus $V_{\rm G}$ by extrapolating to $I_{\rm DS} = 0$. Table 7 shows the carrier mobility, on/off ratios, and threshold voltages of MEH-PPV and its derivatives.

Table 4 Optical	able 4 Optical properties of P50 in different solvents										
Optical property	THF $(7.6)^{a}$	DCB (9,9)	DMAc (37.8)	NMP (31.7)	$5:1^{b}(37.9)^{c}$	4 : 1 (39.23)	3:1(41.24)	2:1 (44.74)	1:1 (52.31)		
λ_{\max}^{PL}	492	496	500	516	545	560 0.46	562	577	583		

^{*a*} Dielectric constant of the solvent. ^{*b*} Volume ratio of NMP and water. ^{*c*} Dielectric constant is calculated using the equation: $\varepsilon_{\text{mix}} = [(\varepsilon_{\text{NMP}}^{1/3} - \varepsilon_{\text{water}}^{1/3}]^3$, where ε_{NMP} and $\varepsilon_{\text{water}}$ are the dielectric constants of NMP and water, respectively, and Φ_{NMP} is the volume fraction of NMP.^{69 d} Estimated using quinine sulfate (dissolved in 1 N H₂SO_{4(aq)}, assuming a value of Φ_{PL} of 0.546) as the standard. Refractive indices of mixed solvents were calculated using the Lorentz–Lorenz equation.⁷⁰

Table 5 Electrochemical properties of polymers

Polymer	$E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$	$E_{\mathrm{onset}}^{\mathrm{red}}\left(\mathrm{V}\right)$	$E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}^{b}(\mathrm{eV})$	$E_{\rm LUMO}^{c} (\rm eV)$	$E_{\rm g}^{{ m ec}d}$ (eV)	$E_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{opt}e}\left(\mathrm{eV} ight)$
P50	0.91	-1.40	-5.62	-3.31	2.31	2.25
P25	0.52	-1.63	-5.23	-3.08	2.15	2.21
P10	0.40	-1.54	-5.11	-3.17	1.94	2.21
MEH-PPV ^f	_	_	-5.07	-2.90	2.17	2.21

^{*a*} Measured by cyclic voltammetry. ^{*b*} $E_{\text{HOMO}} = -(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}} + 4.80 - 0.09) \text{ (eV)}$. ^{*c*} $E_{\text{LUMO}} = -(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{red}} + 4.80 - 0.09) \text{ (eV)}$. ^{*d*} $E_{\text{g}}^{\text{ec}} = \text{IP}(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}) - \text{EA}(E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{red}}) \text{ (eV)}$. ^{*e*} Calculated using the equation: 1240/ λ_{onset} . ^{*f*} Ref. 72.



Fig. 5 Calculated HOMO and LUMO contours of MEH-PPV and P50.

The OFET based on MEH-PPV, with the above-mentioned configuration, exhibited only p-channel characteristics, with a hole mobility $(2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ on the same order as

those reported in the literature.^{27,30} When the gate voltage was positive, the OFET based on MEH-PPV was inactive under ambient conditions, consistent with the p-type nature of MEH-PPV. The OFET based on P10 only exhibited p-channel characteristic with a hole mobility of 7.7 \times 10⁻⁴ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. In contrast, we could not determine the hole mobilities of P25 and P50. The OFETs of these two polymers only exhibited n-channel characteristics with electron mobilities of 1.6 \times 10 $^{-3}$ and 7.8 \times 10⁻³ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, threshold voltages of 12 and 10 V, respectively. Their on/off ratios were both greater than 10⁴. These data were measured in air without annealing. These results indicate that p-type MEH-PPV can be transformed into n-type materials by introducing an appropriate amount of electron-withdrawing benzo[c] cinnoline moieties on the main chain. This can be explained by the lower LUMO and HOMO energy levels resulted from the presence of the heterocycle. The lower LUMO energy level could facilitate electron injection, due to a lower energy

-Ar-	$E_{ m onset}^{ m ox}$ (V)	$E_{\mathrm{onset}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ (V)	$E_{\rm HOMO}$ (eV)	$E_{\rm LUMO}$ (eV)	$E_{g}^{ m ec}$ (eV)	Ref.
	0.91	-1.40	-5.62	-3.31	2.31	This study
PPV-1	0.88	-1.77	-5.28	-2.63	2.65	20
	0.32	-1.45	-5.12	-3.35	1.77	47
	0.79	-1.18	-5.19	-3.36	1.94	73
PPV-3						

barrier between the gold electrodes and the polymers, thereby facilitating electron mobility. In addition to enhanced airstability, the lower HOMO energy level decreased the hole-transporting ability, such that **P25** and **P50** did not exhibit the characteristics of p-type materials.

In most cases, n-type OFETs reported previously have displayed complete inactivity in air or when prepared without annealing.^{4,74,75} To the best of our knowledge, this paper reports the first example of OFET devices based on n-channel MEH-PPV derivatives that functions under ambient conditions. The electron mobility measured in air without annealing (1.6–7.8 × 10^{-3} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) is at least two orders of magnitude higher than the only comparable value (3 × 10^{-5} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) reported in the literature, although that system used calcium electrodes, a crosslinked polymer as the dielectric, and was measured under a N₂ atmosphere.³¹

In order to further investigate the long-term air-stability, the performance of the OFET based on **P50** was monitored during a 30 day period under ambient conditions. Fig. 7 shows the electron mobility on 10, 20, and 30 days after the device was fabricated. The electron mobility barely changes after 30 days from 7.8×10^{-3} to 6.5×10^{-3} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, which are in the same order of magnitude without significant variation. It indicates that the OFET based on **P50** can exhibit excellent airstability.



Fig. 6 Characteristics of an OFET based on P50, measured in air. (a) Output curves for various gate voltages and (b) transfer curves measured at a value of $V_{\rm DS} = 30$ V.

View Article Online

 Table 7
 Performance of OFETs based on polymers^a

Polymer	$\mu_{\rm h} ({\rm cm}^2 {\rm V}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1})$	$\mu_{\rm e} ({\rm cm}^2 {\rm V}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1})$	$I_{\rm on}/I_{\rm off}$	$V_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{V} ight)$
P50	b	$7.8 imes10^{-3}$	10^4	10
P25	_	$1.6 imes 10^{-3}$	10^4	12
P10	$7.7 imes10^{-4}$	_	10^4	$^{-5}$
MEH-PPV	2.5×10^{-4}	—	10^4	-6

^a Measured under ambient conditions. ^b Not available.



Fig. 7 Air-stability of the OFET based on P50.

Conclusions

We have successfully synthesized MEH-PPV derivatives containing different amounts of benzo[c]cinnolinevinylene (BZCV) segments on the main chain by the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction. These new conjugated polymers exhibited blue-shifts in their UV-Vis and PL spectra. P50, with completely alternating MEH-PPV/BZCV segments, exhibited PL solvatochromism that resulted solely from solvent polarity. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels were lower because of the introduction of electron-withdrawing benzo[c]cinnoline moieties. We also demonstrate that p-type MEH-PPV can be transformed into n-type materials (P25 and P50) when electronwithdrawing benzo[c] cinnoline moieties were introduced. The OFET electron mobility $(1.6-7.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ measured under ambient conditions without annealing is two orders of magnitude higher than that of pristine MEH-PPV reported in the literature. The OFET based on P50 also showed good airstability up to 30 days. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that n-channel MEH-PPV derivatives with excellent air-stability have ever been reported.

Notes and references

- 1 L. Akcelrud, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2003, 28, 875-962.
- 2 C. L. Chochos and S. A. Choulis, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, 36, 1326–1414.
- 3 M.-C. Choi, Y. Kim and C.-S. Ha, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, 33, 581–630.

J. Mater. Chem. C

- 4 C. R. Newman, C. D. Frisbie, D. A. Da Silva Filho, J. L. Brédas, P. C. Ewbank and K. R. Mann, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 4436– 4451.
- 5 J. Zaumseil and H. Sirringhaus, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1296–1323.
- 6 A. R. Murphy and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **10**7, 1066–1096.
- 7 A. Facchetti, Mater. Today, 2007, 10, 28-37.
- 8 Y. Wen and Y. Liu, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 1331-1345.
- 9 J. E. Anthony, A. Facchetti, M. Heeney, S. R. Marder and X. Zhan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 3876–3892.
- 10 X. Zhao and X. Zhan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 3728-3743.
- 11 H. Usta, A. Facchetti and T. J. Marks, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, 44, 501–510.
- 12 A. Tsumura, H. Koezuka and T. Ando, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1986, **49**, 1210–1212.
- 13 W. Zhang, J. Smith, S. E. Watkins, R. Gysel, M. McGehee, A. Salleo, J. Kirkpatrick, S. Ashraf, T. Anthopoulos, M. Heeney and I. McCulloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11437–11439.
- 14 H. N. Tsao, D. M. Cho, I. Park, M. R. Hansen, A. Mavrinskiy, D. Y. Yoon, R. Graf, W. Pisula, H. W. Spiess and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 2605–2612.
- 15 J. S. Ha, K. H. Kim and D. H. Choi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 10364–10367.
- 16 J. Lee, A. R. Han, J. Kim, Y. Kim, J. H. Oh and C. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 20713–20721.
- 17 H. Chen, Y. Guo, G. Yu, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, D. Gao, H. Liu and Y. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 4618–4622.
- 18 M. Sommer, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 3088-3098.
- 19 J. A. Mikroyannidis, I. K. Spiliopoulos, T. S. Kasimis, A. P. Kulkarni and S. A. Jenekhe, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2004, 42, 2112–2123.
- 20 P. Karastatiris, J. A. Mikroyannidis, I. K. Spiliopoulos, A. P. Kulkarni and S. A. Jenekhe, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 7867–7878.
- 21 B. C. Thompson, L. G. Madrigal, M. R. Pinto, T. S. Kang, K. S. Schanze and J. R. Reynolds, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 1417–1431.
- 22 W.-F. Su, K.-M. Yeh and Y. Chen, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2007, 45, 4377–4388.
- 23 L. Huo, Z. Tan, X. Wang, Y. Zhou, M. Han and Y. Li, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2008, **46**, 4038–4049.
- 24 P. Karastatiris, J. A. Mikroyannidis and I. K. Spiliopoulos, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2008, 46, 2367–2378.
- 25 Y. Zhang, B. de Boer and P. W. M. Blom, *Phys. Rev. B:* Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2010, **81**, 085201.
- 26 A. R. Inigo, C. H. Tan, W. Fann, Y. S. Huang, G. Y. Perng and S. A. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 504–508.
- 27 M. Muratsubaki, Y. Furukawa, T. Noguchi, T. Ohnishi,E. Fujiwara and H. Tada, *Chem. Lett.*, 2004, 33, 1480–1481.
- 28 W. W. Zhu, S. Xiao and I. Shih, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2004, **221**, 358–363.
- 29 Y. R. Liu, J. B. Peng and P. T. Lai, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2007, **253**, 6987–6991.
- 30 S.-i. Watanabe, H. Tanaka, S.-i. Kuroda, A. Toda, H. Tomikawa, S. Nagano and T. Seki, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2012, 5, 021602.

- 31 L.-L. Chua, J. Zaumseil, J.-F. Chang, E. C.-W. Ou, P. K.-H. Ho, H. Sirringhaus and R. H. Friend, *Nature*, 2005, 434, 194–199.
- 32 H. Lee, D. Vak, K. J. Baeg, Y. C. Nah, D. Y. Kim and Y. Y. Noh, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol., 2013, **13**, 3321–3330.
- 33 C. A. Amorim, M. R. Cavallari, G. Santos, F. J. Fonseca, A. M. Andrade and S. Mergulhão, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2012, 358, 484–491.
- 34 X. Shao, X. Luo, X. Hu and K. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 15393–15402.
- 35 O. V. Vinogradova and I. A. Balova, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 2008, 1–22.
- 36 J. Waluk, A. Grabowska and B. Pakulstroka, J. Lumin., 1980, 21, 277–291.
- 37 C. T. Lin and J. A. Stikeleather, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1976, 38, 561–566.
- 38 H. Inoue, Y. Hiroshima and K. i. Tomiyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1981, 54, 2209–2210.
- 39 M. J. Sienkowska, J. M. Farrar, F. Zhang, S. Kusuma, P. A. Heiney and P. Kaszynski, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2007, 17, 1399–1411.
- 40 J.-C. Chen, C.-J. Chiang, L.-C. Peng, T. Chen, L. Xing and S.-W. Liu, *Polymer*, 2011, **52**, 6011–6019.
- 41 L. Huo, C. He, M. Han, E. Zhou and Y. Li, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2007, **45**, 3861–3871.
- 42 M. Kubo, C. Takimoto, Y. Minami, T. Uno, T. Itoh and M. Shoyama, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 7314–7320.
- 43 G. Padmanaban and S. Ramakrishnan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 2244–2251.
- 44 P. J. Lynch, L. O'Neill, D. Bradley, H. J. Byrne and M. McNamara, *Macromolecules*, 2007, 40, 7895–7901.
- 45 Y. Jin, J. Y. Kim, S. Song, Y. Xia, J. Kim, H. Y. Woo, K. Lee and H. Suh, *Polymer*, 2008, **49**, 467–473.
- 46 H. A. M. van Mullekom, J. A. J. M. Vekemans, E. E. Havinga and E. W. Meijer, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, *R*, 2001, 32, 1–40.
- 47 S.-H. Chen and Y. Chen, Macromolecules, 2005, 38, 53-60.
- 48 E. Wang, M. Wang, L. Wang, C. Duan, J. Zhang, W. Cai, C. He, H. Wu and Y. Cao, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 4410– 4415.
- 49 M. Zhang, X. Guo, X. Wang, H. Wang and Y. Li, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 4264–4270.
- 50 E. Wang, L. Hou, Z. Wang, S. Hellström, F. Zhang, O. Inganäs and M. R. Andersson, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 5240–5244.
- 51 H. Zhou, L. Yang, S. C. Price, K. J. Knight and W. You, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7992–7995.
- 52 X. Guo, H. Xin, F. S. Kim, A. D. T. Liyanage, S. A. Jenekhe and M. D. Watson, *Macromolecules*, 2011, 44, 269–277.
- 53 F. B. Dias, S. Pollock, G. Hedley, L. O. Pålsson, A. Monkman,
 I. I. Perepichka, I. F. Perepichka, M. Tavasli and M. R. Bryce,
 J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 19329–19339.
- 54 Q. Hou, Q. Zhou, Y. Zhang, W. Yang, R. Yang and Y. Cao, *Macromolecules*, 2004, 37, 6299–6305.
- 55 L. Zhang, S. Hu, J. Chen, Z. Chen, H. Wu, J. Peng and Y. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 3760–3769.
- 56 S.-H. Chen and Y. Chen, *Macromolecules*, 2004, 38, 53-60.
- 57 J. Wakita, S. Inoue, N. Kawanishi and S. Ando, *Macromolecules*, 2010, 43, 3594–3605.

- 59 S. A. Jenekhe, L. Lu and M. M. Alam, *Macromolecules*, 2008, 34, 7315-7324.
- 60 Q. Fang and T. Yamamoto, *Macromolecules*, 2004, **37**, 5894–5899.
- 61 J. Du, Q. Fang, X. Chen, S. Ren, A. Cao and B. Xu, *Polymer*, 2005, **46**, 11927–11933.
- 62 J. Natera, L. Otero, L. Sereno, F. Fungo, N.-S. Wang, Y.-M. Tsai, T.-Y. Hwu and K.-T. Wong, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 4456–4463.
- 63 Q. Fang, B. Xu, B. Jiang, H. Fu, X. Chen and A. Cao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1468–1470.
- 64 W.-C. Wu, C.-L. Liu and W.-C. Chen, *Polymer*, 2006, 47, 527–538.
- 65 G.-S. Liou, N.-K. Huang and Y.-L. Yang, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2007, 45, 48–58.
- 66 H.-W. Chang, K.-H. Lin, C.-C. Chueh, G.-S. Liou and W.-C. Chen, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2009, 47, 4037–4050.

- 67 Y.-C. Kung, W.-F. Lee, S.-H. Hsiao and G.-S. Liou, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2011, 49, 2210–2221.
- 68 J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Springer, Singapore, 3rd edn, 2006.
- 69 K. L. Gering, L. L. Lee, L. H. Landis and J. L. Savidge, *Fluid Phase Equilib.*, 1989, 48, 111–139.
- 70 M. S. Altuwaim, K. H. A. E. Alkhaldi, A. S. Al-Jimaz and A. A. Mohammad, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 2012, **48**, 39–47.
- 71 T. Yasuda, T. Imase and T. Yamamoto, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 7378–7385.
- 72 Y. Li, Y. Cao, J. Gao, D. Wang, G. Yu and A. J. Heeger, Synth. Met., 1999, 99, 243–248.
- 73 G. Zhang, H. Xu, K. Liu, Y. Li, L. Yang and M. Yang, Synth. Met., 2010, 160, 1945–1952.
- 74 S. Zhang, Y. Wen, W. Zhou, Y. Guo, L. Ma, X. Zhao, Z. Zhao, S. Barlow, S. R. Marder, Y. Liu and X. Zhan, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2013, 51, 1550–1558.
- 75 X. Zhao, Y. Wen, L. Ren, L. Ma, Y. Liu and X. Zhan, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 4266–4271.

Paper