### Accepted Manuscript

Metal-free cross-dehydrogenative coupling of aryl aldehydes to give symmetrical carboxylic anhydrides promoted by the TBHP/*n*Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr system

Mehdi Adib, Rahim Pashazadeh, Saideh Rajai-Daryasarei, Peiman Mirzaei

PII:	S0040-4039(16)30602-5
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.05.069
Reference:	TETL 47688
To appear in:	Tetrahedron Letters
Received Date:	29 February 2016
Revised Date:	10 May 2016
Accepted Date:	18 May 2016



Please cite this article as: Adib, M., Pashazadeh, R., Rajai-Daryasarei, S., Mirzaei, P., Metal-free crossdehydrogenative coupling of aryl aldehydes to give symmetrical carboxylic anhydrides promoted by the TBHP/ *n*Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr system, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2016), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.05.069

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Metal-free cross-dehydrogenative coupling of aryl aldehydes to give symmetrical carboxylic anhydrides promoted by the TBHP/*n*Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr system

Mehdi Adib,<sup>a,\*</sup> Rahim Pashazadeh,<sup>a</sup> Saideh Rajai-Daryasarei,<sup>a</sup> Peiman Mirzaei<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Tehran, PO Box 14155-6455, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

**Abstract**- A novel, efficient and metal-free dual C–O bond formation reaction for the synthesis of carboxylic anhydrides from aryl aldehydes *via* cross-dehydrogenative coupling is described. Heating a mixture of aromatic aldehydes and an aqueous solution of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide as oxidant in the presence of catalytic  $nBu_4PBr$  in chlorobenzene at 80 °C for 3 h afforded the corresponding carboxylic anhydrides in good to excellent yields.

*Keywords*: cross-dehydrogenative coupling, metal-free conditions, dual C–O bond formation, *n*Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr, *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide, carboxylic anhydrides, aldehydes

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +98(21)66495291; E-mail: madib@khayam.ut.ac.ir

The transition-metal-free C–H functionalization of organic substrates is a versatile and powerful tool in current organic chemistry. An overwhelming amount of current C-H activation methods use transition metals while the area of transition metal free C-H activation remains relatively unexplored.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the development of C–H oxygenation of organic molecules using oxidants such as *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), Oxone, O<sub>2</sub>, phthaloyl peroxide (PPO) is a significant challenge and remains highly desirable,<sup>2</sup> because the use of oxidants in the presence or absence of transitionmetals has gained a great deal of attention for the preparation of complex compounds in synthetic organic chemistry. Amazing and unpredictable chemistry of these compounds, has led to the researchers interest in the use of oxidants in their reactions. On the other hand, functionalization of C–H bonds through cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reactions has played a crucial role for the preparation of a wide variety of organic compounds.<sup>3</sup> Very recently, the application of TBHP as a powerful oxidant in combination with Bu<sub>4</sub>NX catalysts has received attention for the formation of C–C, C–N, C–O and C–S bonds.<sup>4</sup>

Carboxylic anhydrides are of great significance for the preparation of a variety of carboxylic acid derivatives such as amides and esters<sup>5</sup> as well as drug and peptide synthesis.<sup>6</sup>

Up to now, a great deal of attention has been focused on finding new approaches for the preparation of carboxylic anhydride derivatives.<sup>7</sup> Xiao and co-workers have reported a remarkable synthesis of carboxylic anhydrides *via* the Pd-catalyzed carbonylation of aryl halides at atmospheric CO pressure<sup>8a</sup> (Scheme 1, route a). Recently, Patel and co-workers reported a nano-CuO catalyzed CDC reaction for the synthesis of symmetrical anhydrides from aldehydes using TBHP as an oxidant<sup>8b</sup> (Scheme 1, route b). Very recently, Saberi and co-workers developed the oxidative self-coupling of aldehydes in the presence of a CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TBHP system<sup>8c</sup> (Scheme 1, route c). As part of our continuing effort to develop efficient methods for the preparation of organic compounds from readily available starting materials,<sup>9</sup> herein, we report the preparation of symmetrical carboxylic anhydrides from inexpensive and readily available aldehydes, promoted by a TBHP/Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr system without the aid of a transition-metal catalyst (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Some recent synthetic strategies for carboxylic anhydrides

To begin our study, we optimized the reaction conditions for the formation of benzoic anhydride 2a from benzaldehyde 1a as a model substrate (Table 1). The effect of various oxidants, reaction solvents, additives and reaction temperature were examined. At first, the reaction was carried out in the presence of TBHP (1 eq.) as oxidant and TBPB (15 mol%) as additive in chlorobenzene at ambient temperature. These reaction conditions proved to be ineffective (Table 1, entry 1) however, when the temperature was raised to 50 °C and 80 °C, the desired product 2a was obtained in 20% and 55% yields, respectively (entries 2,3). By increasing amount of TBHP to 1.2 eq., the yield improved to 70% (entry 4). When the reaction was performed with 30 mol% of TBPB, a maximum yield of 85% was observed (entry 5). We also tested a higher reaction temperature of 100 °C and an increased amount of TBHP (1.5 eq.), however the yield of 2a decreased to 72% and 78% respectively (entries 6 and 7). Furthermore, increasing the reaction time to 6 h led to a lower yield (entry 8). When the quantity of TBPB increased to 40 mol%, the yield of the product did not improve (entry 9). Next, the effects of other additives such as TBAB, Aliquat 336, KI, I<sub>2</sub> and CuI was examined but the results were not acceptable (entries 10–14). Other oxidants were also tested. Benzoyl peroxide (BPO) gave 2a in 65% yield (entry 15) while  $K_2S_2O_8$  as well as  $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$  were ineffective (entries 16, 17). Upon the screening of solvents, we found that PhCl was the most suitable. Carrying out the reaction in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, DCE, PhCH<sub>3</sub> and 1,4-dioxane resulted in decreased yields (entries 18–20, 23). In DMSO,  $H_2O$  and DMSO/ $H_2O$  the reaction did not proceed (entries 21, 22, 24).

Finally, in the absence of TBHP (entry 25) and TBPB (entry 26), formation of 2a was not detected, which indicated that both TBHP and TBPB were crucial to the reaction. Thus, the optimal conditions for the conversion of benzaldehyde 1a to benzoic anhydride 2a were determined: TBHP (1.2 eq.) and TBPB (30 mol%), in chlorobenzene at 80 °C for 3 h (Table 1, entry 5).<sup>10</sup>

Oxidant, additive							
		$2 \times Ph$ H solver	nt Ph O	Ph	1		
		<b>1</b> a	2a				
Entry	Oxidant (eq.) <sup>b</sup>	Additive (mol%) <sup>b</sup>	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Yield (%) <sup>c</sup>		
1	$\text{TBHP}^{d}(1)$	TBPB <sup>e</sup> (15)	PhCl	25	NR <sup>f</sup>		
2	TBHP(1)	TBPB (15)	PhCl	50	20		
3	TBHP(1)	TBPB (15)	PhCl	80	55		
4	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (15)	PhCl	80	70		
5	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	85		
6	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	100	72		
7	TBHP (1.5)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	78		
$8^{g}$	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	65		
9	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (40)	PhCl	80	85		
10	TBHP (1.2)	TBAB (30)	PhCl	80	70		
11	TBHP (1.2)	Aliquat 336 <sup>h</sup> (30)	PhCl	80	35		
12	TBHP (1.2)	KI (30)	PhCl	80	trace		
13	TBHP (1.2)	I <sub>2</sub> (30)	PhCl	80	NR		
14	TBHP (1.2)	CuI (30)	PhCl	80	NR		
15	BPO <sup>i</sup> (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	65		
16	$K_2S_2O_8(1.2)$	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	NR		
17	$(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$ (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	NR		
18	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	55		
19	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	DCE	80	50		
20	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	PhCH <sub>3</sub>	80	50		
21	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	DMSO	80	NR		
22	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	$H_2O$	80	NR		
23	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	1,4-Dioxane	80	trace		
24	TBHP (1.2)	TBPB (30)	DMSO:H <sub>2</sub> O (1:1)	80	NR		
25	-	TBPB (30)	PhCl	80	NR		
26	TBHP (1.2)	_	PhCl	80	NR		

Table 1. Condition screening for the CDC formation of benzoic anhydride 2a from benzaldehyde 1a<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), solvent (0.75 mL), 3 h; <sup>b</sup>With respect to **1a**; <sup>c</sup>Isolated yields; <sup>d</sup>*t*-BuOOH in H<sub>2</sub>O (70 wt%); <sup>e</sup>Tetrabutylphosphonium bromide; <sup>f</sup>NR = no reaction; <sup>g</sup>Reaction time of 6 h; <sup>h</sup>Tricaprylmethylammonium chloride; <sup>l</sup>Benzoyl peroxide.

After optimization of the reaction conditions, a series of aromatic anhydrides  $2\mathbf{a}$ -t were prepared from various aromatic aldehydes  $1\mathbf{a}$ -t (Table 2). Electron-donating substituents, such as CH<sub>3</sub> and methoxy, regardless of their positions on the aryl ring, gave the corresponding anhydrides  $2\mathbf{b}$ -j in 60–86 % yields (entries 2–10). Aromatic aldehydes with electron-withdrawing substituents such as NO<sub>2</sub> and halogen groups

were ineffective in this reaction and the corresponding anhydrides 2k-o were not detected in the reaction mixtures (entries 11–15). Naphthalene carbaldehydes (1p and 1q) were also suitable for this CDC reaction and afforded 1-naphthoic- and 2naphthoic anhydrides 2p and 2q in 70%, 72% yields, respectively (entries 16,17). Cinnamaldehyde was also subjected to the reaction which gave anhydride 2r in 51% yield (entry 18). Furthermore, heteroaromatic aldehydes such as furan-2-carbaldehyde and thiophene-2-carbaldehyde were also examined affording anhydrides 2s and 2t in 76% and 82% yields, respectively (entries 19 and 20).<sup>10</sup>

anhyd	rides 2a-	-t <sup>a</sup>		
		) tBuOOH (1.2 eq)	(	
	2×Ar	H TBPB, PhCl, 80 °C,	3 h Ar	OAr
	1a	-t		2a-t
Entry	1	Ar	2	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	1a	Ph	2a	85
2	1b	$2-CH_3C_6H_4$	2b	78
3	1c	3-CH <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>2</b> c	80
4	1d	$4-CH_3C_6H_4$	2d	78
5	1e	2,5-(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	2e	86
6	1f	2-CH <sub>3</sub> OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>2f</b>	73
7	1g	3-CH <sub>3</sub> OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2g	76
8	1h	$4-CH_3OC_6H_4$	2h	70
9	1i	2,5-(CH <sub>3</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	2i	60
10	1j	3,4-(CH <sub>3</sub> O) <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	2j	63
11	1k	$2-ClC_6H_4$	2k	NR
12	11	$4-ClC_6H_4$	21	NR
13	1m	$4-FC_6H_4$	<b>2m</b>	NR
14	1n	$4-BrC_6H_4$	2n	NR
15	10	$4-O_2NC_6H_4$	20	NR
16	1p	1-Naphthyl	2p	70
17	1q	2-Naphthyl	<b>2</b> q	72
18	1r	trans-PhCH=CH-	2r	51
19	<b>1s</b>	2-Furyl	<b>2s</b>	76
20	1t	2-Thienvl	2t	82

Table 2. Substrate scope for the synthesis of carboxylic

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: aryl aldehyde (1, 0.5 mmol), TBHP (0.6 mmol), TBPB (30 mol%), PhCl (0.75 mL), 80 °C, 3 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.

A reasonable mechanism for the formation of benzoic anhydride 2a is provided in Scheme 2. Initially, TBPB reacts with TBHP to give tert-butoxy A and tertbutylperoxy **B** radicals.<sup>4b,8b,11</sup> Next, the *tert*-butoxy radical **A** removes a hydrogen atom from benzaldehyde 1a to form benzoyl radical C, which can be trapped by tert-

butylperoxy radical **B** through a C–O bond formation to give *tert*-butyl peroxybenzoate<sup>8b,11g</sup> **D**. The latter may undergo O–O bond cleavage to release benzoyloxy radical **E** and return *tert*-butoxy radical **A** to the reaction cycle. Finally, benzoyloxy radical **E** and benzoyl radical **C** are coupled through a C–O bond formation to afford benzoic anhydride **2a**.



Scheme 2. Proposed reaction mechanism for the cross-dehydrogenative self-coupling of benzaldehyde 1a and formation of benzoic anhydride 2a promoted by  $nBu_4PBr/TBHP$  system

To provide evidence for the proposed radical mechanism of the CDC reaction, the reaction of benzaldehyde **1a** under the optimized reaction conditions was carried out in the presence of the radical trap TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl. In this reaction, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidino benzoate **3** was isolated in 95% yield and the desired anhydride **2a** was not detected (Scheme 3). This observation strengthens presence of a radical intermediate in the examined CDC reaction.



Scheme 3. Trapping of benzoyl radical with TEMPO

In conclusion, we have disclosed a practical, and metal-free oxidative crossdehydrogenative self-coupling of aldehydes. This CDC coupling for the preparation of carboxylic anhydrides through dual C–O bond formation was promoted by a  $nBu_4PBr/TBHP$  system using TBHP for oxygen atom transfer. This novel, efficient and economical approach was carried out using the inexpensive TBHP/TBPB system, under mild and neutral reaction conditions, with moderate temperatures, short times and without the aid of a transition metal. To the best of our knowledge this is the first report on the use of tetraalkylphosphonium halides as a catalyst in CDC reactions.

#### Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Research Council of the University of Tehran.

#### **References and notes:**

- (a) Sun, C. L.; Shi, Z. J. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9219–9280; (b) Bering, L.; Antonchick, A. P. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3134–3137; (c) Ueda, M. Nishimura, K. Kashima, R. Ryu, I. Synlett 2012, 23, 1085–1089; (d) Xiao, T.; Li, L.; Lin, G.; Mao, Z. W.; Zhou, L. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4232–4235; (e) Wilkinson, M. C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2232–2235; (f) Hu, W.; Lin, J. P.; Song, L. R.; Long, Y. Q. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1268–1271; (g) Li, X.; Gu, X.; Li, Y.; Li, P. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1897–1900; (h) Cantillo, D.; de Frutos, O.; Rincón, J. A.; Mateos, C.; Kappe, C. O. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 896–899; (i) Samanta, R.; Matcha, K.; Antonchick, A. P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 5769–5804; (j) Hartwing, J. F. Nature 2008, 455, 314– 322; (k) Godula, K.; Sames, D. Science 2006, 312, 67–72; (l) Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 890–931; (m) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174–238; (n) Daugulis, O.; Do, H. Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074–1086.
- (a) Lee, J. M.; Park, E. J.; Cho, S. H.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7824–7825; (b) Ohkubo, K.; Fujimoto, A.; Fukuzumi, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8515–8517; (c) Du, F. T.; Ji, J. X. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 460–465; (d) Thirunavukkarasu, V.; S. Ackermann, L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6206–6209; (e) Zhang, C.; Feng, P.; Jiao. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15257–15262; (f) Yuan, C.; Liang, Y.; Hernandez, T.; Berriochoa, A.; Houk, K. N.; Siegel, D. Nature 2013, 499, 192–196; (g) Adams, A. M.; Du Bois, J. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5,

656–659; (h) Zhang, N.; Cheng, R.; Zhang-Negrerie, D.; Du, Y.; Zhao, K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10581–10587; (i) Wang, Z. F.; Li, L.; Huang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12233–12236; (j) Wang, L.; Sha, W.; Dai, Q.; Feng, X.; Wu, W.; Peng, H.; Chen, B.; Cheng, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2088–2091; (k) Wan, J. P.; Zhou, Y.; Cao, S. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9872–9877.

- (a) Zhang, Y.; Li, C. J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4242–4243; (b) Li, C. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335–344; (c) Zhang, C.; Xu, Z.; Zhang, L.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11088–11092; (d) He, C. Y.; Min, Q. Q.; Zhang, X. Organometallics 2012, 31, 1335–1340; (e) Fan, S.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4950–4953; (f) Mao, Z.; Wang, Z.; Xu, Z.; Huang, F.; Yu, Z.; Wang, R. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3854–3857; (g) Wertz, S.; Leifert, D.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 928–931; (h) Rajamanickam, S.; Majji, G.; Santra, S. K.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5586–5589; (i) Sun, S.; Li, C.; Floreancig, P. E.; Lou, H.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1684–1687; (j) Lee, H.; Yi, C. S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 1899–1904.
- 4. (a) Li, H.; Xie, J.; Xue, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 6479-6482. (b) Shi, E.; Shao, Y.; Chen, S.; Hu, H.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Wan, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3384–3387; (c) Xue, Q.; Xie, J.; Xu, P.; Hu, K.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1365–1368; (d) Majji, G.; Guin, S.; Gogoi, A.; Rout S. K.; Patel B. K. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 3031-3033; (e) Wu, X. F.; Gong, J. L.; Qi, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 5807-5817; (f) Siddaraju, Y.; Lamani, M.; Prabhu, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 3856-3865; (g) Aruri, H.; Singh, U.; Sharma, S.; Gudup, S.; Bhogal, M.; Kumar, S.; Singh, D.; Gupta, V. K.; Kant, R.; Vishwakarma, R. A.; Singh, P.P. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1929–1936; (h) Yadav More, N.; Jeganmohan, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3042-3045; (i) Khatun, N.; Banerjee, A.; Santra, S. K.; Ali, W.; Patel, B. K. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 36461-36466; (j) Banerjee, A.; Santra, S. K.; Khatun, N.; Ali, W.; Patel, B. K. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 15422–15425; (k) Majji, G.; Rajamanickam, S.; Khatun, N.; Santra, S. K.; Patel, B. K. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3440–3446; (1) Behera, A.; Ali, W.; Guin, S.; Khatun, N.; Mohanta, P. R.; Patel, B. K. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 33334-33338; (m) Ali, W.; Behera, A.; Guin, S.; Patel, B. K. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5625-5632.
- 5. (a) Held, H.; Rengstl, A.; Mayer, D. Acetic Anhydride and Mixed Fatty Acid Anhydrides: Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH,

Weinheim, Germany, **2002**. (b) Ogliaruso, M. A.; Wolfe, J. F. *Synthesis of Carboxylic Acids, Esters and Their Derivatives*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, **1991**. pp 198–217.

- (a) Rebek, J. Jr.; Feitler, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1606–1607; (b) Ogliaruso, M. A.; Wolfe, J. F. Synthesis of Carboxylic Acids, Esters, and Their Derivatives, Wiley: New York, 1991; (c) Held, H.; Rengstl, A.; Mayer, D. Acetic Anhydride and Mixed Fatty Acid Anhydrides: Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002.
- (a) Kocz, R.; Roestamadji, J.; Mobashery, S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2913–2914;
  (b) Kazemi, F.; Sharghi, H.; Nasseri, M. A. Synthesis 2004, 205–207; (c) Kazemi, F.; Kiasat, A. R. Phosphorus Sulfur 2003, 178, 2287–2291; (d) Kazemi, F.; Kiasat, A. R.; Mombaini, B. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 3219–3223; (e) Kawamura, Y.; Sato, Y.; Horie, T.; Tsukayama, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7893–7896; (f) Keshavamurthy, K. S.; Vankar, Y. D.; Dhar, D. N. Synthesis 1982, 506–508; (g) Clarke, P. A.; Kayaleh, N. E.; Smith, M. A.; Baker, J. R.; Bird, S. J.; Chan, C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5226–5231; (h) Hu, Y. L.; Zhao, X. E.; Lu, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop. 2011, 25, 255–262; (i) Kikukawa, K.; Kono, K.; Nagira, K.; Wada, F.; Matsuda, T. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4413–4416; (j) Dhimitruka, I.; SantaLucia J., Jr. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 47–50.
- (a) Li, Y.; Xue, D.; Wang, C.; Liu, Z. T.; Xiao, J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1320–1322; (b) Khatun, N.; Santra, S. K.; Banerjee, A.; Patel, B. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 1309–1313; (c) Saberi, D.; Shojaeyan, F.; Niknam, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 566–569; (d) Nuree, Y.; Singha, R.; Ghosh, M.; Roy, P.; Ray, J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 1479–1482; (e) Singha, R.; Ghosh, M.; Nuree, Y.; Ray, J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 1325–1327.
- Adib, M.; Peytam, F.; Zainali, M.; Zhu, L. G.; Wu, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, *56*, 4729–4732; Adib, M.; Soheilizad, M.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Mirzaei, P. *Synlett* 2015, *26*, 1101–1105; Adib, M.; Soheilizad, M.; Zhu, L. G.; Wu, J. *Synlett* 2015, *26*, 177–182; Adib, M.; Janatian Ghazvini, H.; Soheilizad, M.; Saeedi, S.; Tajbakhsh, M.; Amanlou, M. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2015, *98*, 1079–1086; Adib, M.; Sheikhi, E.; Azimzadeh, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, *56*, 1933–1936; Adib, M.; Sheikhi, E.; Haghshenas, P.; Rajai-Daryasarei, S.; Bijanzadeh, H. R.; Zhu, L. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014, *55*, 4983–4986; Adib, M.; Sheikhi, E.; Rezaei, N.; Bijanzadeh, H. R.; Mirzaei, P. *Synlett* 2014, *25*, 1331–1334.

- 10. General procedure for the synthesis of benzoic anhydride (2a). In a 5 mL sealed tube, TBPB (30 mol%, 0.051 g), and TBHP (70 wt% in H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.6 mmol, 0.078 g) were added to a solution of benzaldehyde **1a** (0.5 mmol, 0.053 g), in chlorobenzene (0.75 mL). The resultant mixture was heated at 80 °C for 3 h. After reaction completion as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) was added. The product was extracted with EtOAc (2 × 3 mL). The combined organic extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography using *n*-hexane-EtOAc (15:1) as eluent to afford **2a** as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.52 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 128.9, 129.0, 130.5, 134.6, 162.4.
- 11. (a) Gan, L.; Huang, S.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, A.; Cheng, B.; Cheng, H.; Li, X.; Shang, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13384–13385; (b) Jones, C. M.; Burkitt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6946–6954; (c) Uyanik, M. Okamoto, H. Yasui, T. Ishihara, K. Science 2010, 328, 1376; (d) Feng, J.; Liang, S.; Chen, S. Y.; Zhang, J.; Fu, S. S.; Yu, X. Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1287–1292; (e) Liu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Chen, S.; Shi, E.; Xu, Y.; Wan, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3231–3235; (f) Li, H.; Xie, J.; Xue, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 6479–6482; (g) Rout, S. K.; Guin, S.; Ali, W.; Gogoi, A.; Patel, B. K. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3086–3089.



### **Research highlights**

- Dual C–O bond formation via cross-dehydrogenative coupling reaction
- An efficient synthesis of symmetrical anhydrides under metal-free conditions
- ACCEPTER TBHP/*n*Bu<sub>4</sub>PBr as a novel and efficient catalytic system •