Article

Visible-Light-Induced Decarboxylative Cyclization/Hydrogenation Cascade Reaction to Access Phenanthridin-6-yl(aryl)methanol by an **Electron Donor–Acceptor Complex**

Wei Shi, Fang Ma, Pinhua Li, Lei Wang,* and Tao Miao*



Without the need of any external photosensitizer, oxidant, and reductant, this method offers a mild and green approach for the synthesis of diverse alcohols in moderate to good yields. A mechanism indicated that an electron donor-acceptor complex-



driven decarboxylation, radical addition/cyclization, and in situ photochemical reduction of ketones to alcohols could be involved in the reaction.

INTRODUCTION

 α -Oxocarboxylic acids are stable and readily available, and the carboxyl group is a latent activating group, which can be easily removed in organic synthesis. Therefore, α -oxocarboxylic acids have often been employed as acyl synthons by decarboxylative transformations in recent decades.¹ For example, Pd-, Cu-, and Rh-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-couplings, in which metalmediated decarboxylation affords acyl anion equivalents, are an attractive and useful strategy for the synthesis of diaryl ketones with aryl halides, arylboronic acids, and C-H moieties.² In 2014, the Lei group demonstrated a novel approach of the silver-catalyzed radical decarboxylation for the synthesis of 6acyl phenanthridines from α -oxocarboxylates and isocyanides (Scheme 1a).³ Recently, with the rise of visible-light photoredox catalysis, photocatalytic decarboxylation of α oxocarboxylic acids has provided an effective and environmentally benign avenue to generate acyl radicals for the preparation of carbonyl compounds.⁴ Despite these significant advances, synthetic challenges still remain because these methods need to employ expensive metal catalysts, strong oxidants, or additives. Furthermore, compared to extensive research on preparation of various aryl ketones via acyl anions or radicals, α -oxocarboxylic acids have not been utilized in the synthesis of functionalized alcohols, especially by in situ reduction of aryl ketones. Generally, reduction of carbonyl compounds serves as an efficient and direct synthetic pathway to their corresponding alcohols and is typically performed using complex hydrides as reducing agents or with molecular hydrogen in combination with precious metal catalysts. However, most of these protocols require either a stoichiometric amount of hydride reagents or high catalyst loadings and elevated temperatures, which limit their synthetic application and functional group compatibilities. Therefore,

Scheme 1. (a) Ag-Catalyzed Oxidative Radical Decarboxylation/Cyclization of α -Oxocarboxylates and Isocyanides for the Synthesis of 6-Acyl Phenanthridines; (b) Electron Donor-Acceptor (EDA) Driven Three-Component Reactions of Isocvanides, Alkynes, and Sulfinic Acids; (c) Decarboxylation/Hydrogenation Cascade Reaction for the Synthesis of Phenanthridin-6yl(aryl)methanol

previous work :



developing a green, sustainable, and metal-free decarboxylation/hydrogenation cascade reaction for the synthesis of

Received: August 7, 2020



diverse alcohols from α -oxocarboxylic acids would be highly desirable.

EDA complexes resulting from the association of an electron donor molecule (D) with an electron acceptor molecule (A) can be excited by visible light to generate radical species for the synthetically valuable transformations without the need of any external photocatalyst.⁶ During the past decades, the search for more environmentally friendly processes has led chemists toward the investigation of photochemical reactions driven by EDA complexes, and great achievements including catalytic asymmetric alkylation,⁷ perfluoroalkylation,⁸ and intramolecular cyclization⁹ have been achieved. These promising results imply that EDA complexes may find more applications in organic synthesis. Inspired by these recent works and in continuation of our interest on radical transformation driven by EDA complexes (Scheme 1a,b),¹⁰ herein, we disclose a visible-light-induced decarboxylative cyclization/hydrogenation cascade for the synthesis of phenanthridin-6-yl(aryl)methanol from α -oxocarboxylic acids at room temperature without any external photosensitizer, oxidant, and reductant (Scheme 1c).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our initial investigation, we chose phenylglyoxylic acid (1a) and 2-isocyanobiphenyl (2a) as the model substrates to identify the optimal reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 1. At first, we were delighted to find that

| | + NC base solvent N ₂ , rt, 10 h | | + CO ₂ |
|-------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1a | 2a | 3a ^{Oŀ} | 1 |
| entry | solvent | base | yield (%) ^b |
| 1 | CH ₂ Cl ₂ | pyridine | 41 |
| 2 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 78 |
| 3 | CHCl ₃ | pyridine | 50 |
| 4 | THF | pyridine | 46 |
| 5 | EtOAc | pyridine | 65 |
| 6 | acetone | pyridine | 48 |
| 7 | cyclohexane | pyridine | 21 |
| 8 | DMF | pyridine | 0 |
| 9 | DMSO | pyridine | 0 |
| 10 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | Et ₃ N | 0 |
| 11 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | DMAP | 0 |
| 12 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | K ₂ CO ₃ | 0 |
| 13 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 45 ^c |
| 14 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 60^d |
| 15 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 55 ^e |
| 16 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 0 ^{<i>f</i>} |
| 17 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 0 ^g |
| 18 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 0 ^{<i>h</i>} |
| 19 | 1,1,2-trichloroethane | pyridine | 0 ^{<i>i</i>} |

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^{*a*}

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: phenylglyoxylic acid (1a, 0.75 mmol), 2isocyanobiphenyl (2a, 0.25 mmol), the base (6.0 equiv), and the solvent (2 mL) at room temperature under a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) irradiation for 10 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yield based on 2a. ^{*c*}Under an air atmosphere. ^{*d*}Phenylglyoxylic acid (1a, 0.50 mmol). ^{*e*}Pyridine (4.0 equiv). ^{*f*}In the darkness. ^{*g*}Under an oil bath to 60 °C in the darkness. ^{*h*}Under a 3 W blue LED (450 ± 5 nm) irradiation. ^{*i*}Under a 3 W green LED (530 ± 5 nm) irradiation.

visible-light irradiation of 1a and 2a in CH_2Cl_2 for 10 h under a N_2 atmosphere furnished the corresponding product 3a in 41% yield, and the structure of 3a was confirmed by the singlecrystal X-ray diffraction (Table 1, entry 1). Further survey of solvents showed that 1,1,2-trichloroethane shows the best efficiency as a 78% yield of 3a was provided (Table 1, entry 2). Other solvents including CHCl₃, THF, EtOAc, acetone, and cyclohexane were less effective and gave the inferior results (Table 1, entries 3-7). N.N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were not suitable solvents and completely inhibited the reaction (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). Furthermore, pyridine was an essential parameter in the formation of 3a, and other bases such as Et₃N, 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), and K₂CO₃ instead of pyridine were to be ineffective in the reaction. Therefore, the basicity of the base plays a vital role in visible-light-induced tandem transformation (Table 1, entries 10-12). When the model reaction was conducted under an air atmosphere, product 3a was obtained in 45% yield (Table 1, entry 13). In addition, decreased yields were observed when the amount of pyridine and phenylglyoxylic acid was reduced (Table 1, entries 14 and 15). It should be noted that the reaction did not proceed in the absence of light even when the reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 10 h (Table 1, entries 16 and 17). Similarly, no product was detected under the irradiation with a blue LED (450 \pm 5 nm) or a green LED (530 \pm 5 nm) (Table 1, entries 18 and 19). All these results indicated that this tandem reaction is a photoinduced process.

With optimized conditions in hand, we next examined the scope of the α -oxocarboxylic acid component in this decarboxylative cyclization/hydrogenation cascade reaction. As described in Scheme 2, a wide range of 2-oxo-2phenylacetic acids with different substituents on the phenyl ring could be applied to react with 2a, providing the desired products 3a-3n in moderate-to-good yields. The reactions of substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylacetic acids with an electrondonating group, such as methyl, ethyl, tert-butyl, methoxy, or methylthio group, gave the products (3b-3h) in 70-78% yields. On the other hand, substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylacetic acids with an electron-withdrawing group including fluoro, chloro, bromo, or iodo were also good reaction partners and generated the corresponding products 3i-3m in 50-73% yields. It was worth mentioning that the reaction showed good tolerance toward the halogen groups, which provide useful handles for further transformations through transition-metalcatalyzed classic cross-coupling reactions. In the case of disubstituted 2-oxo-2-phenylacetic acid, the reaction underwent smoothly, affording product 3n in 65% yield. Heterocyclic α -oxocarboxylic acids, such as 2-oxo-2-(thien-2yl)acetic acid, were also investigated, providing the corresponding product 30 in 34% yield.

Subsequently, the substitution effect on both aromatic rings of biphenyl isocyanide **3** was investigated, and the results are summarized in Scheme **3**. Once again, the reaction was not sensitive to the electronic nature of the substituents, as evidenced by the moderate-to-good yields of 3p-3ag. Me-, F-, and Cl-substituted 2-isocyanobiphenyls on the para position of the isocyano moiety reacted efficiently with **1**, affording the corresponding products in yields ranging from 61 to 85% (3p-3s). Furthermore, 2-isocyanobiphenyls containing substituents, such as Me, OMe, *t*-Bu, F, Cl, CF₃, and SMe on the para position of the non-isocyanide phenyl ring, are all suitable substrates for this transformation, and the corresponding

Scheme 2. Scope of α -Oxocarboxylic Acids^{*a*}



^{*a*}[Reaction conditions: α -oxocarboxylic acid (1, 0.75 mmol), 2isocyanobiphenyl (2a, 0.25 mmol), pyridine (6.0 equiv), and 1,1,2trichloroethane (2.0 mL) at room temperature under a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) irradiation for 10 h; isolated yield based on 2a].

products (3t-3ab) were obtained in moderate-to-good yields. In the other case, biphenyl isocyanides with two substituted groups on both aromatic rings were also effective to give the corresponding 3ac-3ae in 58-71% yields. When the position of substituents on 2-isocyanobiphenyls was changed, 2 reacted smoothly with 1a, affording the expected products 3af and 3ag in 71 and 51\% yield, respectively.

To gain insights into this photoinduced decarboxylative/ hydrogenation transformation, a series of optical absorption spectra of phenylglyoxylic acid (1a), 4'-chloro-2-isocyano-1,1'biphenyl (2b), pyridine, and a combination of these components were recorded in 1,1,2-trichloroethane solution. As depicted in Figure 1, after mixing 1a with 2b, the solution developed a yellowish color, while its optical absorption spectrum showed a bathochromic displacement in the visiblelight region, which is diagnostic of an EDA complex (Figure 1). Following Job's plot method, the stoichiometry of the EDA complex between 1a and 2b in solution was found to be 1:1 (for details, see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). Meanwhile, the association constant K_{EDA} was calculated to be 5.02 M⁻¹ in 1,1,2-trichloroethane using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation (Figure S2).¹¹ These results indicate that the EDA complex is formed between 1a and 2b, and visible-light irradiation of the transiently generated EDA complex is critical to afford radical species for reaction development.

More experiments were conducted to understand the mechanism of this reaction better. When 6-benzoyl phenan-

Scheme 3. Scope of Biphenyl Isocyanides and α -Oxocarboxylic Acids^a



^{*a*}[Reaction conditions: α -keto acid (1, 0.75 mmol), biphenyl isocyanide (2, 0.25 mmol), pyridine (6.0 equiv), and 1,1,2-trichloroethane (2.0 mL) at room temperature under a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) irradiation for 10 h; isolated yield based on 2].



Figure 1. Optical absorption spectra of the reaction components.

thridine (4a) was added to the reaction mixture of 1a and 2c under optimized reaction conditions, the corresponding hydrogenated product 3a was obtained in 44% yield, along with 3x and 4b (Scheme 4a). Moreover, under 3 W LED (420 \pm 5 nm) irradiation for 10 h, 6-benzoyl phenanthridine (4a) can also be hydrogenated to generate 3a in 52% yield in the presence of 3.0 equiv 1a (Scheme 4b). UV-visible (UV-vis) measurements revealed that 4a can be excited with light at a wavelength of 420 nm because of its obvious absorption band in the wavelength below 480 nm (for details, see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). Then, two radical-trapping experiments were performed. It is found that the formation of product 3a was completely suppressed in the presence of TEMPO as a radical scavenger under optimized reaction conditions, and instead, TEMPO adducts I and II from benzoyl and hydrogen radicals were detected by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), providing evidence for the free-radical mechanism (Scheme 4c). On the other

Scheme 4. Investigation of the Reaction Mechanism



hand, to further confirm the existence of intermediates for the hydrogenation process, the reaction of 4a and 1a with TEMPO was also carried out under optimized conditions. The ESI-MS analysis of the reaction mixture showed the existence of hydrogen and important carbon radical addition intermediates II and III (Scheme 4d). These results suggest that photoexcitation of 4a could trigger the hydrogen radical abstraction to form carbon radicals in the hydrogenation step. Deuterium experiments indicated that H₂O and acids are the sources of hydrogen by using D-1a and D₂O (for details, see Figure S7ac in the Supporting Information). Furthermore, the intermolecular kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) experiment confirmed that $K_{\rm H}/K_{\rm D}$ for the arene C–H bond was 1.0, indicating that the cleavage of the arene C-H bond was not the ratedetermining step, and free-radical cyclization was involved in this transformation (for details, see Figure S7d). A further control experiment suggested that the photochemical reduction of ketones to alcohols could be involved in the ratedetermining step, with the starting material in one pot under optimized conditions (for details, see Figure S7e).

On the bases of these experimental results and previous reports,⁶⁻¹⁰ a plausible mechanism is proposed in Scheme 5. First, an EDA complex was formed between α -oxocarboxylic acid 1 and 2a in the presence of pyridine. Upon visible-light irradiation, this EDA complex generates a radical-ion pair by single-electron transfer from the donor to the acceptor. Second, the fragmentation of the radical-ion pair produces carboxylic radical A and radical anion B. After the release of CO₂ from carboxylic radical A, the resultant acyl radical C added to isonitrile produces another radical intermediate D, which undergoes the intramolecular radical cyclization to form radical intermediate E. Then, 6-acyl phenanthridine 4 is formed with the assistance of carboxylic radical A, along with 1 equiv of α -oxocarboxylic acid. Meanwhile, radical anion B formed in the previous process can abstract a hydrogen cation to generate imidoyl radical G through a resonance, which stores a hydrogen radical. Finally, 6-acyl phenanthridine 4 is excited under an irradiation of 3 W LED (420 \pm 5 nm) and is reduced by 1 and G to yield the corresponding alcohol 3.

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of 3



CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a visible-light-induced decarboxylative cyclization/hydrogenation cascade reaction of α -oxocarboxylic acids and 2-isocyanobiphenyls under external photosensitizer-, oxidant-, and reductant-free conditions. This reaction provides a green and facile method for direct synthesis of diverse alcohols with good functional group compatibility under mild reaction conditions. Mechanistic investigation revealed that the decarboxylative cyclizations driven by key photoactive species of the EDA complex and the subsequent photochemical reduction of 6-acyl phenanthridine could be involved in the reaction. Further exploration and applications of new EDA complexes generated from α -oxocarboxylic acids and other organic molecules in organic synthesis are still ongoing in our laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. The reactions were carried out with magnetic stirring under a nitrogen atmosphere. All ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 or 600 MHz Bruker FT-NMR spectrometer (400:600 and 100:150 MHz, respectively) in CDCl₃ as a solvent and recorded in parts per million relative to the internal standard tetramethylsilane. ¹H NMR data are reported as follows: δ , chemical shift; coupling constants (*J* are given in hertz, Hz); and integration. Abbreviations to denote the multiplicity of a particular signal were s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), and br (broad singlet). High-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) data of the products were collected on an Agilent Technologies 6540 UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS using ESI.

The chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers without further purification unless otherwise specified. Products were purified by flash chromatography on 100-200 mesh silica gels, SiO₂. All manipulations that require heating for starting materials were conducted with an oil bath. The light source was purchased from Philips Electronics Ltd. The material of the irradiation vessel is borosilicate glass, and the solution was placed at a distance of ~1.5 cm from the 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm).

General Experimental Procedure. General Procedure for the Synthesis of α -Oxocarboxylic Acids 1. A representative procedure for the preparation of 2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid is described as follows:¹² 1-(p-tolyl)ethan-1-one (0.66 g, 4.0 mmol), selenium dioxide (SeO₂, 0.67 g, 6.0 mmol), and pyridine (4.0 mL) were added to a Schlenk flask and under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 6 h. After the reaction, the solution was filtered and washed with EtOAc (10 mL). The combined organic layer and volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure. NaOH (2 M, 8.0 mL) was added after the concentrate. The solution

was extracted with EtOAc twice, and the organic layer was separated. The combined aqueous layer was treated with HCl (12 M, 5.0 mL). The mixture was extracted with EtOAc thrice, the combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: AcOEt/hexane = 10:1), and 2-oxo-2-(*p*-tolyl)acetic acid (0.64 g, 81% yield) was obtained as a white solid. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): δ 8.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.96 (br, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): δ 182.8, 161.5, 150.4, 131.7, 127.8, 124.9, 14.5. HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₉H₉O₃S, 197.0267; found, 197.0271.

Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 1, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: AcOEt/hexane = 10:1) to afford 2-(4-cyclohexylphenyl)-2-oxoacetic acid as a yellow solid (0.79 g, 85% yield). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO): δ 8.62 (br, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.56–2.59 (m, 1H), 1.87 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.77 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.36–1.46 (m, 4H), 1.24–1.30 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO): δ 184.8, 163.4, 156.7, 131.4, 129.7, 127.5, 44.9, 33.9, 26.6, 25.9. HRMS (ESI) [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₇O₃, 233.1172; found, 233.1168.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Arylisocyanides 2. All 2-arylisocyanides were prepared according to a reported method.¹³ A representative procedure for the preparation of 4'-chloro-2-isocyano-1,1'-biphenyl (2b) is described as follows: 2-iodoaniline (1.10 g, 5.0 mmol), (4-chlorophenyl)boronic acid (0.94 g, 6.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (70.2 mg, 0.10 mmol, 0.02 equiv), and K₂CO₃ (2 M, 10 mL) were added to a Schlenk flask and dissolved in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (5 mL) under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 16 h. After the reaction, water was added and extracted with EtOAc twice. The combined organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1), and 4'-chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-amine (0.86 g, 84%) was obtained as a white solid.

In a 50 mL two-necked flask, acetic formic anhydride, which was prepared from the reaction of acetic anhydride (0.50 mL) with formic acid (0.60 mL) at room temperature for 10 min, was added dropwise to a stirred a solution of 4'-chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-amine (4.0 mmol, 0.81 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (10.0 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After the reaction, volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure. N-(4'-Chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-formamide (0.83 g, 90%) was obtained as a white solid. This compound was used for the subsequent dehydration without further purification.

N-(4'-Chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)formamide (0.70 g, 3.0 mmol) was dissolved in CHCl₃ (10.0 mL) and Et₃N (2.4 mL, 16 mmol) under a N₂ atmosphere and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. Then, phosphoryl chloride (0.72 mL, 7.2 mmol, 3.0 mL of CHCl₃ solution) was added dropwise slowly to this mixture. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction temperature was down to room temperature and the mixture was stirred for another 1 h. After the reaction, the resulting mixture was cooled to 0 $^\circ\mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Then, the mixture was quenched and neutralized by a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃. After vigorous stirring for 1 h, water (10.0 mL) was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10.0 mL) twice. The combined organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 20:1). 4'-Chloro-2-isocyano-1,1'-biphenyl (2b, 0.45 g, 70%) was obtained as a white solid.

General Procedure for Synthesis of 3. A typical experimental procedure for the synthesis of 3a is described as follows: phenylglyoxylic acid (1a, 0.75 mmol), 2-isocyanobiphenyl (2a, 0.25 mmol), and pyridine (6.0 equiv) were added into an air-tight reaction tube (10 mL) with 2.0 mL of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. The tube containing the reactants and solvent was evacuated using a pump and back-filled with high-purified nitrogen (>99.99%). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under irradiation with a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) for 10 h. After the reaction, 1,1,2-

trichloroethane was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5:1) to provide the desired product **3a** (55.6 mg) in 78% yield.

Phenanthridin-6-yl(phenyl)methanol (**3a**). White solid (55.6 mg, 78% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.58 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.66 (m, 3H), 7.51 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.39 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.0, 143.0, 141.8, 133.4, 130.8, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.8, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 124.4, 123.4, 122.5, 122.1, 72.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₆NO, 286.1226; found, 286.1222.

Phenanthridin-6-yl(p-tolyl)methanol (**3b**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (53.1 mg, 71% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.57 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.67 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 141.8, 140.1, 137.6, 133.3, 130.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 127.7, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 124.4, 123.4, 122.5, 122.1, 72.5, 21.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO, 300.1383; found, 300.1387.

Phenanthridin-6-yl(m-tolyl)methanol (*3c*). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (46.4 mg, 62% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.66 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 3H), 7.04–7.03 (m, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 2.24 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.1, 142.9, 141.8, 138.4, 133.3, 130.7, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 124.9, 124.4, 123.4, 122.4, 122.1, 72.8, 21.3. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO, 300.1383; found, 300.1383.

(4-Ethylphenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3d**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (52.5 mg, 67% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.57 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.69 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 2.56 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 144.0, 141.8, 140.3, 133.3, 130.7, 129.6, 128.9, 128.3, 127.8, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 124.4, 123.5, 122.5, 122.1, 72.5, 28.5, 15.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₀NO, 314.1539; found, 314.1543.

(4-(tert-Butyl)phenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3e**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (69.1 mg, 81% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.65 (td, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 4H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 1.23 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 150.8, 141.8, 139.9, 133.3, 130.7, 129.6, 128.8, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.0, 125.7, 124.4, 123.5, 122.4, 122.1, 72.3, 34.4, 31.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₄NO, 342.1852; found, 342.1854.

(4-Cyclohexylphenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (3f). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (63.4 mg, 69% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.54 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.66 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (td, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28

(d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (br, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 2.40 (br, 1H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 5H), 1.42–1.22 (m, 5H); $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 147.7, 141.8, 140.3, 133.3, 130.7, 129.5, 128.8, 127.6, 127.1, 126.0, 124.4, 123.4, 122.4, 122.1, 72.4, 44.2, 26.8, 26.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₂₆NO, 368.2009; found, 368.2009.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3g**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (66.2 mg, 84% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.55 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.77–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.66 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.23, 159.22, 141.7, 135.4, 133.3, 130.7, 129.5, 129.0, 128.9, 127.3, 127.1, 126.0, 124.4, 123.4, 122.4, 122.1, 114.1, 72.2, 55.1. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO₂, 316.1332; found, 316.1330.

(4-(*Methylthio*)*phenyl*)(*phenanthridin-6-yl*)*methanol* (**3***h*). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (53.9 mg, 65% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.64 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.8, 141.6, 139.8, 138.2, 133.2, 130.7, 129.5, 128.9, 128.2, 127.3, 127.2, 126.7, 125.8, 124.3, 123.2, 122.4, 122.0, 72.2, 15.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NOS, 332.1104; found, 332.1103.

(4-Fluorophenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (3i). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (45.5 mg, 60% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.58 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.73 (m, 2H), 7.68 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 2H), 6.95 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.4 (C-F, 1*J*_{C-F} = 246.0 Hz), 158.8, 141.7, 138.9 (C-F, 3*J*_{C-F} = 3.0 Hz), 133.4, 130.8, 129.6 (C-F, 3*J*_{C-F} = 2.0 Hz), 129.5, 129.0, 127.4, 127.3, 125.8, 124.4, 123.2, 122.6, 122.1, 115.6 (C-F, 2*J*_{C-F} = 21.3 Hz), 71.9. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅FNO, 304.1132; found, 304.1127.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3***j*). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (46.4 mg, 58% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.5, 141.7, 141.5, 133.8, 133.5, 130.9, 129.6, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 127.5, 127.4, 125.8, 124.5, 123.2, 122.7, 122.2, 72.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅ClNO, 320.0837; found, 320.0833.

(3-Chlorophenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3k**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (44.0 mg, 55% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.29–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.3, 144.9, 141.7, 134.6, 133.5, 131.0, 130.1, 129.6, 129.1, 128.2, 128.0, 127.51, 127.46, 126.1, 125.7, 124.5, 123.2, 122.7, 122.2, 72.2. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₄ClNO, 320.0837; found, 320.0834. (4-Bromophenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (31). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (66.5 mg, 73% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.62 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.4, 142.0, 141.7, 133.5, 131.9, 130.9, 129.60, 129.55, 129.1, 127.5, 127.4, 125.7, 124.5, 123.2, 122.7, 122.2, 122.0, 72.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅BrNO, 364.0332; found, 364.0334.

(4-lodophenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3m**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (51.4 mg, 50% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.4, 142.6, 141.7, 137.9, 133.4, 130.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.0, 127.5, 127.4, 125.7, 124.5, 123.2, 122.7, 122.2, 93.7, 72.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅INO, 412.0193; found, 412.0194.

(3,5-Dimethylphenyl)(phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3n**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (50.9 mg, 65% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.55 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.8–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.66 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 2.20 (s, 6H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.1, 142.8, 141.7, 138.3, 133.3, 130.6, 129.7, 129.6, 128.8, 127.3, 127.1, 126.0, 125.6, 124.4, 123.5, 122.4, 122.1, 72.8, 21.2. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₀NO, 314.1539; found, 314.1539.

Phenanthridin-6-yl(thiophen-2-yl)methanol (**3o**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a yellow solid (24.6 mg, 34% yield); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.64 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (td, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (td, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.89–6.87 (m, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.4, 147.0, 141.9, 133.5, 131.0, 129.7, 129.0, 127.5, 127.4, 126.7, 125.9, 125.8, 124.5, 123.4, 122.6, 122.1, 67.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₄NOS, 292.0791; found, 292.0789.

(2-Methylphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3p**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (45.7 mg, 61% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.50 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.20 (m, 3H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 2.59 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.9, 143.1, 140.0, 137.1, 133.0, 130.5, 130.4, 129.2, 128.7, 127.9, 127.8, 127.1, 125.8, 124.2, 123.4, 122.4, 121.7, 72.6, 21.9. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO, 300.1383; found, 300.1383.

(2-Fluorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3q**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (51.6 mg, 68% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.36 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.20–8.17 (m, 1H), 8.06 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (td, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.4 (C–F, 1*J*_{C–F} = 245.9 Hz), 158.3, 142.8, 138.5, 132.7

(C–F, $4J_{C-F} = 4.3 \text{ Hz}$), 131.7 (C–F, $3J_{C-F} = 9.0 \text{ Hz}$), 130.7, 128.8, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 125.9, 125.7 (C–F, $3J_{C-F} = 9.0 \text{ Hz}$), 123.3, 122.6, 117.7 (C–F, $2J_{C-F} = 24.2 \text{ Hz}$), 107.1 (C–F, $2J_{C-F} = 23.4 \text{ Hz}$), 72.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅FNO, 304.1132; found, 304.1128.

(2-Chlorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (3r). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (56.8 mg, 71% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.41–8.38 (m, 2H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.36 (br, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.4, 142.7, 140.1, 133.1, 132.2, 131.0, 130.9, 129.4, 128.8, 128.03, 127.95, 127.7, 126.0, 125.4, 123.4, 122.4, 121.7, 72.7. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅ClNO, 320.0837; found, 320.0835.

(2-Chlorophenanthridin-6-yl)(4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (3s). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (74.3 mg, 85% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43–8.41 (m, 2H), 8.12 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.33–6.29 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.6, 159.3, 140.1, 135.1, 133.1, 132.2, 130.9, 129.3, 129.0, 127.9, 126.1, 125.4, 123.4, 122.5, 121.7, 114.2, 72.2, 55.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₇ClNO₂, 350.0942; found, 350.0943.

(8-Methylphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (3t). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (53.9 mg, 72% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.74–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.63 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.19 (m, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.35 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.6, 143.0, 141.4, 137.3, 132.5, 131.2, 129.4, 128.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.1, 125.3, 124.5, 123.5, 122.3, 121.9, 72.6, 21.7. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO, 300.1383; found, 300.1384.

(8-Methoxyphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3u**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (52.0 mg, 66% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.42–8.39 (m, 2H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.2, 157.9, 142.9, 140.8, 129.4, 128.8, 128.0, 127.8, 127.6, 127.2, 124.50, 124.47, 124.0, 121.5, 121.4, 106.0, 73.0, 55.2. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO₂, 316.1332; found, 316.1333.

(8-(tert-Butyl)phenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3v**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (68.3 mg, 80% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 8.41 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 8.19 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (td, *J* = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 1.26 (s, 9H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.0, 150.1, 143.1, 141.4, 131.1, 129.3, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.82, 127.78, 127.0, 124.3, 123.1, 122.2, 121.9, 73.0, 34.9, 31.0. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₄NO, 342.1852; found, 342.1854.

Phenyl(8-(trifluoromethyl)phenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3**w). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (53.9 mg, 61% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.69 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (s, 1H), 8.29 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (dd, *J* =

8.6, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (td, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.40 (br, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 142.5, 142.4, 135.6, 130.2, 129.9, 129.1 (C–F, $2J_{C-F} = 32.8$ Hz), 129.0, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 126.7 (C–F, $3J_{C-F} = 3.0$ Hz), 123.65(C–F, $1J_{C-F} = 271.0$ Hz), 123.66, 123.5(C–F, $4J_{C-F} = 4.3$ Hz), 122.7, 122.5, 72.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₅F₃NO, 354.1100; found, 354.1102.

(8-Fluorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3**x). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (52.3 mg, 69% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.50–8.47 (m, 1H), 8.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (td, *J* = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.44 (s, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.9 (C–F, 1*J*_{C-F} = 247.6 Hz), 158.2 (C–F, 4*J*_{C-F} = 4.0 Hz), 142.3, 141.3, 129.9 (C–F, 4*J*_{C-F} = 1.8 Hz), 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 125.0 (C–F, 3*J*_{C-F} = 8.5 Hz), 124.5 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 123.9, 121.8, 120.0 (C–F, 2*J*_{C-F} = 23.8 Hz), 110.6 (C–F, 2*J*_{C-F} = 21.7 Hz), 72.8. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅FNO, 304.1132; found, 304.1134.

(8-Chlorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3y**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (43.2 mg, 54% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.48 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.23 (m, 3H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 6.31 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.1, 142.4, 141.7, 133.3, 131.7, 131.4, 129.7, 129.3, 128.9, 128.2, 127.74, 127.69, 125.3, 124.33, 124.27, 123.8, 122.0, 72.7. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅CINO, 320.0837; found, 320.0837.

(8-(Methylthio)phenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3z**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (50.5 mg, 61% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.47 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (td, *J* = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.69–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 3H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.9, 142.9, 141.3, 138.8, 130.6, 129.6, 128.9, 128.6, 128.1, 127.9, 127.4, 124.3, 123.9, 122.7, 121.8, 121.1, 73.0, 15.3. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NOS, 332.1104; found, 332.1104.

(8-Methoxyphenanthridin-6-yl)(p-tolyl)methanol (**3aa**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a yellow solid (56.0 mg, 68% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (td, *J* = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.26 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.24 (s, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.3, 158.1, 140.9, 140.0, 137.7, 129.5, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.2, 124.6, 124.5, 124.0, 121.6, 121.4, 106.1, 72.8, 55.3, 21.1. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₀NO₂, 330.1489; found, 330.1484.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(8-methoxyphenanthridin-6-yl)methanol (**3ab**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (61.2 mg, 70% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.46 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (td, *J* = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 6.25 (s, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.4, 157.5, 141.5, 140.8, 133.9, 129.5, 129.2, 129.0, 128.0, 127.8, 127.4, 124.6, 124.4, 124.3, 121.6, 121.5, 106.0, 72.2, 55.4. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₇ClNO₂, 350.0942; found, 350.0941. (8-Fluoro-2-methylphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (3ac). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of 3, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (46.0 mg, 58% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.54 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (td, J = 9.2, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (td, J = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 3H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 2.63 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 160.9 (C–F, 1 J_{C-F} = 247.4 Hz), 157.1, 142.5, 139.7, 137.7, 130.5, 129.8, 129.4, 129.0, 128.2, 127.8, 125.1 (C–F, 3 J_{C-F} = 8.5 Hz), 124.6 (C–F, 3 J_{C-F} = 8.1 Hz), 123.9, 121.5, 119.8 (C–F, 2 J_{C-F} = 23.8 Hz), 110.5 (C–F, 2 J_{C-F} = 21.7 Hz), 72.8, 22.0. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₇FNO, 318.1289; found, 318.1288.

(2-*Fluoro-8-methylphenanthridin-6-yl*)(*phenyl*)*methanol* (**3ad**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (47.6 mg, 60% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (td, *J* = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.43 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.4 (C–F, 1*J*_{C–F} = 245.7 Hz), 158.0 (C–F, 4*J*_{C–F} = 2.3 Hz), 142.9, 138.2, 138.1, 132.5, 131.6 (C–F, 3*J*_{C–F} = 9.0 Hz), 130.6 (C–F, 4*J*_{C–F} = 4.1 Hz), 128.7, 127.9, 127.7, 125.8 (C–F, 3*J*_{C–F} = 9.2 Hz), 125.4, 123.5, 122.5, 117.2 (C–F, 2*J*_{C–F} = 24.2 Hz), 106.9 (C–F, 2*J*_{C–F} = 23.3 Hz), 72.5, 21.8. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₇FNO, 318.1289; found, 318.1289.

(2-Chloro-8-fluorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3a**e). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (60.0 mg, 71% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 8.38 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (td, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.25 (m, 3H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 161.4 (C–F, 1 J_{C-F} = 249.0 Hz), 158.7, 142.1, 139.8, 133.7, 131.1, 129.4, 129.0, 128.3, 127.7, 125.2 (C–F, 3 J_{C-F} = 8.7 Hz), 125.0, 124.9 (C–F, 3 J_{C-F} = 8.0 Hz), 121.6, 120.3 (C–F, 2 J_{C-F} = 25.0 Hz), 110.9 (C–F, 2 J_{C-F} = 21.9 Hz), 72.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₄CIFNO, 338.0742; found, 338.0741.

(3-Methylphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3af**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (53.1 mg, 71% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.53 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.41 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.21 (m, 3H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 2.61 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.9, 143.0, 141.9, 139.2, 133.4, 130.7, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 127.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.9, 123.1, 122.3, 122.1, 121.9, 72.7, 21.5. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₈NO, 300.1383; found, 300.1381.

(10-Chlorophenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanol (**3ag**). Following the general procedure for the synthesis of **3**, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane/AcOEt = 5:1) to afford a white solid (40.8 mg, 51% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.79 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.69 (td, *J* = 8.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 3H), 6.46 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.6, 142.7, 134.5, 131.8, 130.4, 129.8, 129.3, 128.8, 128.1, 127.7, 127.1, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 125.3, 123.6, 72.9. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₅ClNO, 320.0837; found, 320.0835.

Phenanthridin-6-yl(phenyl)methanone (**4***a*). White solid; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.70 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 7.89–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.79–7.73

(m, 2H), 7.65 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.8, 157.5, 142.6, 136.2, 133.9, 133.3, 131.2, 130.8, 130.6, 129.1, 128.5, 128.2, 127.8, 127.3, 124.4, 123.8, 122.3, 122.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₁₄NO, 284.1070; found, 284.1071.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of **3a** from **1a** and **4a**. Phenylglyoxylic acid (**1a**, 0.75 mmol), phenanthridin-6-yl(phenyl)methanone (**4a**, 0.25 mmol), and pyridine (6.0 equiv) were added into an air-tight reaction tube (10 mL) with 2.0 mL of 1,1,2trichloroethane. The tube containing the reactants and solvent was evacuated using a pump and back-filled with high-purified nitrogen (>99.99%). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under irradiation with a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) for 10 h. After the reaction, 1,1,2-trichloroethane was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 7:1) to provide the desired product **3a** (38.5 mg) in 52% yield.

General Procedure for the Reaction of 1a, 2c, and 4a. Phenylglyoxylic acid (1a, 0.75 mmol), 2-isocyano-4'-methoxy-1,1'biphenyl (2c, 0.25 mmol), phenanthridin-6-yl(phenyl)methanone (4a, 0.25 mmol), and pyridine (6.0 equiv) were added into an airtight reaction tube (10 mL) with 2.0 mL of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. The tube containing the reactants and solvent was evacuated using a pump and back-filled with high-purified nitrogen (>99.99%). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under photoirradiation with a 3 W LED (420 ± 5 nm) for 10 h. After the reaction, volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 9:1) to provide the hydrogenated product 3a (30 mg) and 3x (18 mg) in 42 and 23% yield, respectively, and produce 4b in 12% yield (9.4 mg).

(8-Methoxyphenanthridin-6-yl)(phenyl)methanone (**4b**). White solid; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.61 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.56–8.54 (m, 1H), 8.18–8.17 (m, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.74–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.47 (m, 4H), 3.87 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.9, 159.0, 156.1, 141.8, 136.3, 133.9, 130.9, 130.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 125.2, 124.7, 123.9, 122.5, 121.7, 106.6, 55.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₁₆NO₂, 314.1176; found, 314.1175.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c01916.

Characterization of EDA complexes, mechanism investigation, and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds (PDF)

Crystallographic data of 3a (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Lei Wang Advanced Research Institute and Department of Chemistry, Taizhou University, Taizhou, Zhejiang 318000, P. R. China; Department of Chemistry; Key Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, P. R. China; State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China;
 orcid.org/0000-0001-6580-7671; Email: leiwang88(*a*) hotmail.com
- Tao Miao Department of Chemistry; Key Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, P. R. China; State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China; Email: taomiao@chnu.edu.cn

Authors

- Wei Shi Advanced Research Institute and Department of Chemistry, Taizhou University, Taizhou, Zhejiang 318000, P. R. China; Department of Chemistry; Key Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, P. R. China
- Fang Ma Department of Chemistry; Key Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, P. R. China;
 orcid.org/0000-0001-7178-7232
- Pinhua Li Department of Chemistry; Key Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui 235000, P. R. China; State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-8528-8087

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c01916

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21772062, 22071171, and 21602072) and the National Science Foundation of Anhui Education Department (KJ2019ZD66 and KJ2017A388).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Penteado, F.; Lopes, E. F.; Alves, D.; Perin, G.; Jacob, R. G.; Lenardão, E. J. α -Keto Acids: Acylating Agents in Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 7113–7278. (b) Xu, W.-T.; Huang, B.; Dai, J.-J.; Xu, J.; Xu, H.-J. Catalyst-free Singlet Oxygen-promoted Decarboxylative Amidation of α -Keto Acids with Free Amines. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3114–3117. (c) Wei, Y.; Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Metal-catalyzed Decarboxylative C-H Functionalization. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8864–8907. (d) Collet, F.; Song, B.; Rudolphi, F.; Gooßen, L. J. Development of Decarboxylative Coupling Processes for the Synthesis of Azomethines and Ketones. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *2011*, 6486–6501.

(2) (a) Gooßen, L. J.; Rudolphi, F.; Oppel, C.; Rodríguez, N. Synthesis of Ketones from α -Oxocarboxylates and Aryl Bromides by Cu/Pd-catalyzed Decarboxylative Cross-coupling. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3043-3045. (b) Fang, P.; Li, M.; Ge, H. Room Temperature Palladium-Catalyzed Decarboxylative ortho-Acylation of Acetanilides with α -Oxocarboxylic Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11898-11899. (c) Wang, H.; Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H. Decarboxylative Acylation of Cyclic Enamides with α -Oxocarboxylic Acids by Palladium-Catalyzed C-H Activation at Room Temperature. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4358-4361. (d) Li, H.; Li, P.; Zhao, Q.; Wang, L. Unprecedented ortho-Acylation of Azoxybenzenes with α -Oxocarboxylic Acids by Pd-Catalyzed C-H Activation and Decarboxylation. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9170-9172. , and references cited therein (3) Liu, J.; Fan, C.; Yin, H.; Qin, C.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, X.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. Synthesis of 6-Acyl Phenanthridines by Oxidative Radical Decarboxylation-Cyclization of α -Oxocarboxylates and Isocyanides. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2145-2147.

(4) (a) Wang, G.-Z.; Shang, R.; Cheng, W.-M.; Fu, Y. Decarboxylative 1,4-Addition of α -Oxocarboxylic Acids with Michael Acceptors Enabled by Photoredox Catalysis. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 4830–4833. (b) Chu, L.; Lipshultz, J. M.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Merging Photoredox and Nickel Catalysis: The Direct Synthesis of Ketones by the Decarboxylative Arylation of α -Oxo Acids. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 7929–7933. (c) Tan, H.; Li, H.; Ji, W.; Wang, L. Sunlight-Driven Decarboxylative Alkynylation of α -Keto Acids with Bromoacetylenes by Hypervalent Iodine Reagent

Catalysis: A Facile Approach to Ynones. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2015**, 54, 8374–8377. (d) Liu, J.; Liu, Q.; Yi, H.; Qin, C.; Bai, R.; Qi, X.; Lan, Y.; Lei, A. Visible-light-mediated Decarboxylation/Oxidative Amidation of α -Ketoacids with Amines under Mild Reaction Conditions Using O₂. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 502–506., and references cited therein

(5) (a) Johnstone, R. A. W.; Wilby, A. H.; Entwistle, I. D. Heterogeneous Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation and Its Relation to Other Methods for Reduction of Organic Compounds. *Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *85*, 129–170. (b) Smith, M. March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure; Wiley: Hoboken, 2006; pp 1703–1869. (c) The Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation; Elsevier, C. J., De Vries, J. G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007. (d) Samec, J. S. M.; Bäckvall, J.-E.; Andersson, P. G.; Brandt, P. Mechanistic Aspects of Transition Metal-Catalyzed Hydrogen Transfer Reactions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, *35*, 237–248.

(6) For selected reviews, see: (a) Foster, R. Electron Donor-Acceptor Complexes. J. Phys. Chem. 1980, 84, 2135-2141.
(b) Rosokha, S. V.; Kochi, J. K. Fresh Look at Electron-Transfer Mechanisms via the Donor/Acceptor Bindings in the Critical Encounter Complex. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 641-653. (c) Lima, C. G. S.; de M Lima, T.; Duarte, M.; Jurberg, I. D.; Paixão, M. W. Organic Synthesis Enabled by Light-Irradiation of EDA Complexes: Theoretical Background and Synthetic Applications. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1389-1407. (d) Crisenza, G. E. M.; Mazzarella, D.; Melchiorre, P. Synthetic Methods Driven by the Photoactivity of Electron Donor-Acceptor Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 5461-5476.

(7) (a) Arceo, E.; Jurberg, I. D.; Álvarez-Fernández, A.; Melchiorre, P. Photochemical Activity of a Key Donor-Acceptor Complex can Drive Stereoselective Catalytic α -Alkylation of Aldehydes. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 750–756. (b) Silvi, M.; Arceo, E.; Jurberg, I. D.; Cassani, C.; Melchiorre, P. Enantioselective Organocatalytic Alkylation of Aldehydes and Enals Driven by the Direct Photoexcitation of Enamines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6120–6123. (c) Bahamonde, A.; Melchiorre, P. Mechanism of the Stereoselective α -Alkylation of Aldehydes Driven by the Photochemical Activity of Enamines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8019–8030. (d) Cao, Z.-Y.; Ghosh, T.; Melchiorre, P. Enantioselective Radical Conjugate Additions Driven by a Photoactive Intramolecular Iminium-ion-based EDA Complex. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3274.

(8) (a) Nappi, M.; Bergonzini, G.; Melchiorre, P. Metal-Free Photochemical Aromatic Perfluoroalkylation of α -Cyanoarylacetates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 4921–4925. (b) Liu, Y.; Chen, X.-L.; Sun, K.; Li, X.-Y.; Zeng, F.-L.; Liu, X.-C.; Qu, L.-B.; Zhao, Y.-F.; Yu, B. Visible-Light Induced Radical Perfluoroalkylation/Cyclization Strategy to Access 2-Perfluoroalkyl Benzothiazoles/Benzoselenazoles by EDA complex. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 4019–4024. (c) Jiang, H.; He, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Yu, S. Radical Alkynyltrifluoromethylation of Alkenes Initiated by an Electron Donor-Acceptor Complex. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 1240–1243. (d) Cheng, Y.; Yuan, X.; Ma, J.; Yu, S. Direct Aromatic C-H Trifluoromethylation via an Electron-Donor-Acceptor Complex. *Chem.*—*Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 8355–8359.

(9) (a) Zhu, M.; Zhou, K.; Zhang, X.; You, S.-L. Visible-Light-Promoted Cascade Alkene Trifluoromethylation and Dearomatization of Indole Derivatives via Intermolecular Charge Transfer. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, 20, 4379–4383. (b) Ghosh, S.; Kumar, G.; Naveen, N.; Pradhan, S.; Chatterjee, I. EDA Complex Directed N-Centred Radical Generation from Nitrosoarenes: A Divergent Synthetic Approach. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, 55, 13590–13593.

(10) (a) Yang, M.; Cao, T.; Xu, T.; Liao, S. Visible-Light-Induced Deaminative Thioesterification of Amino Acid Derived Katritzkysalts via Electron Donor-Acceptor Complex Formation. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 8673–8678. (b) Zhang, J.; Li, Y.; Xu, R.; Chen, Y. Donor-Acceptor Complex Enables Alkoxylradical Generation for Metal-Free C(sp³)-C(sp³) Cleavage and Allylation/Alkenylation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 12619–12623. (c) Liu, J.-L.; Zhu, Z.-F.; Liu, F. Oxycyanation of Vinyl Ethers with 2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-N-oxopiper-idinium Enabled by Electron Donor-Acceptor Complex. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 720–723. (d) Kandukuri, S. R.; Bahamonde, A.; Chatterjee,

pubs.acs.org/joc

I.; Jurberg, I. D.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Melchiorre, P. X-Ray Characterization of an Electron Donor-Acceptor Complex that Drives the Photochemical Alkylation of Indoles. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1485-1489. (e) Li, Y.; Miao, T.; Li, P.; Wang, L. Photo-Driven Synthesis of C6-Polyfunctionalized Phenanthridines from Threecomponent Reactions of Isocyanides, Alkynes, and Sulfinic Acids by Electron Donor-Acceptor Complex. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1735-1739. (f) Li, Y.; Ma, F.; Li, P.; Miao, T.; Wang, L. Hydrogen and Sulfonyl Radical Generation for the Hydrogenation and Arylsulfonylation of Alkenes Driven by Photochemical Activity of Hydrogen Bond Donor-Acceptor Complexes. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 1606-1616. (g) Lygin, A. V.; de Meijere, A. Isocyanides in the Synthesis of Nitrogen Heterocycles. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9094-9124. (h) Qiu, G.; Ding, Q.; Wu, J. Recent Advances in Isocyanide Insertion Chemistry. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 5257-5269. (i) Song, B.; Xu, B. Metal-Catalyzed C-H Functionalization Involving Isocyanides. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 1103-1123. (j) Zhang, B.; Studer, A. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Nitrogen Heterocycles via Radical Cascade Reactions UsingIsonitriles as Radical Acceptors. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3505-3521.

(11) Benesi, H. A.; Hildebrand, J. H. A Spectrophotometric Investigation of the Interaction of Iodine with Aromatic Hydrocarbons. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1949**, *71*, 2703–2707.

(12) (a) Furukawa, K.; Inada, H.; Shibuya, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Chemoselective Conversion from α -Hydroxy Acids to α -Keto Acids Enabled by Nitroxyl-Radical-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidation. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 4230–4233. (b) Crich, D.; Zou, Y. Catalytic Oxidation Adjacent to Carbonyl Groups and at Benzylic Positions with a Fluorous Seleninic Acid in the Presence of Iodoxybenzene. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3309–3311.

(13) (a) Zhang, B.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Studer, A. 6-Trifluoromethylphenanthridines through Radical Trifluoromethylation of Isonitriles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10792–10795. (b) Kobiki, Y.; Kawaguchi, S.-i.; Ogawa, A. Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis of α -Diimines from Triarylbismuthines and Isocyanides. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3490–3493.