This article was downloaded by: [University Library Utrecht] On: 05 June 2013, At: 11:31 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

Simple and Selective Removal of the t-Butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) Protecting Group on Indoles, Pyrroles, Indazoles, and Carbolines

K. Ravinder $^{\rm a}$, A. Vijender Reddy $^{\rm a}$, K. Chinni Mahesh $^{\rm a}$, M. Narasimhulu $^{\rm a}$ & Y. Venkateswarlu $^{\rm a}$

^a Natural Products Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, India Published online: 25 Jul 2007.

To cite this article: K. Ravinder , A. Vijender Reddy , K. Chinni Mahesh , M. Narasimhulu & Y. Venkateswarlu (2007): Simple and Selective Removal of the t-Butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) Protecting Group on Indoles, Pyrroles, Indazoles, and Carbolines, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 37:2, 281-287

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397910601033724

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Synthetic Communications[®], 37: 281–287, 2007 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN 0039-7911 print/1532-2432 online DOI: 10.1080/00397910601033724



Simple and Selective Removal of the t-Butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) Protecting Group on Indoles, Pyrroles, Indazoles, and Carbolines

K. Ravinder, A. Vijender Reddy, K. Chinni Mahesh, M. Narasimhulu, and Y. Venkateswarlu

Natural Products Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, India

Abstract: A highly selective and efficient deprotection of the N-*t*-butoxy carbonyl (N-Boc) group on indoles, pyrroles, indazoles, and carbolines has been achieved in high yields using a catalytic amount of NaOMe as a base in dry MeOH, at ambient temperature.

Keywords: \pm -butyloxycarbonyl (BOC), indoles, pyrroles, indazoles, carbolines, sodium methoxide

The *t*-butoxy carbonyl group is frequently used as a protecting group for 1° and 2° amines^[1] and amino acids in peptide chemistry^[2] because of its stability in mildly acidic as well as basic conditions. A number of reagents have been known to effect clean removal of this protecting group; most of them involve the use of strong acids such as CF₃COOH, HCl, H₂SO₄, TSOH, and MeOH or Lewis acids such as BF₃ · OEt₂,TMSI, TMSOTf, TiCl₄, SnCl₄, AlCl₃, Sn(OTf)₂, and ZnBr₂.^[3] The deprotection can also be effected with mildly acidic conditions such as montomorillonite K-10 clay catalyst^[4] and silica gel at low pressure.^[5] The N-Boc group can also be removed in the presence of other sensitive functionalities such as *t*-butyl esters and trityl

Received May 25, 2006

IICT Communication No. 060512.

Address correspondence to Y. Venkateswarlu, Natural Products Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division I, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India. E-mail: luchem@iict.res.in groups with the acid catalysts such as 1M HCl in EtOAc,^[6] H₂SO₄ in *t*-BuOAc, or MeSO₃H in *t*-BuOAc/CH₂Cl₂.^[7] It is also deprotected in thermolytic conditions.^[8] Recently, selective deprotection of *t*-butyl ester was reported using a CeCl₃ · 7H₂O-NaI system^[9] or silica gel in refluxing toluene.^[10]

During the synthesis of the natural product tiruchanduramine,^[11] an intermediate **1** was prepared, and we have envisaged the deprotection of triflate^[12] with NaOMe in methanol, while the Boc group is present on the β -carboline group (Scheme 1). As the reaction proceeds, we observed that Boc was deprotected and triflate was still retained. We extended this reaction on various substrates such as substituted pyrroles, β -carbolines, indazoles, and indoles (Table 1).

4-Bromo pyrrole-2-carboxylamide^[13] was isolated from the sponge *Axinella carteri*, collected from Gulf of Mannar, Tamilnadu, India, which was protected with a Boc group using sodium hydride to give compound **2** (entry 2). Compound **2** was reacted with NaOMe in methanol to give **2a** with 92% yield.

Most assays described in Table l were carried out using a catalytic amount of NaOMe in dry methanol, and the reaction time is limited to 3 h. In entry **8** (Table 1), the compound has two acid labile acetonide and Boc protecting groups. Using the present conditions, Boc group can be selectively deprotected, and under any acidic conditions both would have deprotected.

We have performed the same reaction on substituted indazoles where N-Boc is located on indazole and piperazine (entries 5 and 6) and found indazole N-Boc was selectively deprotected to give corresponding amines in excellent yields.

We also performed the reaction on substituted indole compounds (entries 9 and 10) as well as on Boc protected tryptophan (entry 3) and Boc protected tryptamine (entry 4). In entries 3 and 4, the Boc group on indole nitrogen was deprotected in excellent yields, retaining primary amine Boc.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

To a solution of Boc derivative (0.5 mmol) in dry methanol, a catalytic amount of NaOMe (20 mmol%) was added and stirred at ambient temperature for an appropriate time (Table 1). After complete conversion as indicated by



Scheme 1.

Entry	Substrate	Time	Product ^a	Yield $(\%)^b$
1		3 h	NTF	92
2	Br NHBoc Boc 0 2	30 min	Br NHBoc H 2a	92
3	NHBoc Boc 3	3 h	NHBoc H 3a	90
4	NHBoc N Boc 4	3 h	NHBoc NHBoc 4a	94
5	No ₂ No ₂	10 min	No ₂ No ₂	86
6	MeO N Boc Boc 6	30 min	MeC N Boc	90
7	OMe Boc 7	1 h	OMe N N Ta	96
8		2 h	C → C → C → C → C → C → C → C → C → C →	98
9	Br N N B Boc	30 min	Br	92
10	CHO N Boc 10	1 h	CHO NH 10a	96

Table 1. Deprotection of Boc with NaOMe in dry MeOH

 a All products were characterized by $^1{\rm H}$ NMR, IR, and mass spectra. $^b{\rm Isolated}$ and unoptimized yields.

thin-layer chromatography (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with water (10 ml) and extracted into ethyl acetate (2 \times 20 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the pure Boc deprotected product with 85–98% yield.

SPECTROSCOPIC DATA

Compound (1)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3417, 1625, 1618, 1353, 1219 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.80 (9H, s), 1.96 (2H, m), 3.42 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 3.50 (1H, m), 3.84 (2H, m), 4.10 (1H, m), 6.96 (1H, bs, NH), 7.38 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.48 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.74 (bs, NH), 8.04 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.28 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.40 (bt, NH), 8.70 (1H, s), 9.40 (1H, s); FABMS: 555 (M⁺ + 1).

Compound (1a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3415, 1637, 1527, 1350, 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 1.86 (2H, m), 3.54 (2H, m), 3.68 (2H, m), 7.20 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.48 (2H, m), 7.80 (bs, NH), 8.10 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 8.22 (bs, NH), 8.60 (bt, NH), 8.76 (1H, s), 8.78 (1H, s), 11.38 (1H, bs); FABMS: 455 (M⁺ + 1).

Compound (2)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3270, 2965, 1672, 1650, 1460, 1365, 828 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.42 (9H, s), 1.58 (9H, s), 6.58 (1H, d, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.26 (1H, J = 1.2 Hz), 8.30 (bs, NH). LCMSD: 411 (M⁺ + Na).

Compound (2a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3412, 2985, 1670, 1642, 1442, 1365, 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.56 (9H, s), 6.84 (d, J = 1.2 Hz), 7.04 (d, J = 1.2 Hz), 8.06 (bs, NH); LCMSD: 311 (M⁺ + Na).

Compound (4)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3385, 2927, 2360, 1735, 1700, 1457, 1372, 1224, 1166 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.42 (9H, s), 1.65 (9H, s), 2.88 (2H, t,

t-Butyloxycarbonyl Selective Removal

J = 6.4 Hz), 3.42 (2H, m), 4.68 (1H, bt), 7.22 (2H, m), 7.34 (1H, s), 7.48 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 8.09 (1H, d, J = 7.2 Hz); LCMSD: 359 (M⁺ – 1).

Compound (4a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3413, 2976, 2927, 2360, 1691, 1604, 1510, 1456, 1393, 1366, 1336, 1253, 1221, 1169 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.42 (9H, s), 2.88 (2H, t, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 3.40 (2H, m), 4.64 (1H, bs), 6.8 (1H, s), 7.04 (1H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 7.10 (1H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 7.24 (1H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.50 (1H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 8.50 (1H, bs, NH); LCMSD: 283 (M⁺ + Na), 261, 127, 205, 161, 144.

Compound (5)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 2981, 1694, 1522, 1338, 1249, 1155, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.52 (9H, s), 1.70 (9H, s), 3.56 (4H, m), 3.64 (4H, m), 8.24 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.18 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.60 (1H, bs); LCMSD: 447 (M⁺), 391, 335, 126.

Compound (5a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3412, 2926, 2345, 1692, 1518, 1393, 1253, 1169, 1028 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃ + DMSO-d₆): δ 1.40 (9H, s), 3.36 (4H, m), 3.58 (4H, m), 7.26 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 8.02 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz), 12.19 (s, NH); LCMSD: 348 (M⁺ + 1).

Compound (6)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 2978, 2932, 2361, 1697, 1536, 1483, 1340, 1368, 1250, 1156, 1051 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.48 (9H, s), 1.67 (9H, s), 3.45 (4H, m), 3.62 (4H, m), 3.82 (3H, s), 6.96 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.08 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz), 7.94 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz); LCMSD: 455 (M⁺ + Na), 433, 377, 321, 192.

Compound (6a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3412, 2986, 2928, 2312, 1686, 1527, 1468, 1328, 1210 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.50 (9H, s), 3.34 (4H, m), 3.65 (4H, m), 3.88 (3H, s), 6.92 (1H, d, J = 1.7 Hz), 6.98 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 1.7 Hz), 7.22 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz); LCMSD: 333 (M⁺ + 1).

Compound (7)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 2934, 2354, 1665, 1456, 1347, 1245 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.78 (9H, s), 4.02 (3H, s), 7.40 (1H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 7.60 (1H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 8.06 (1H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 8.40 (1H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 8.90 (1H, s), 9.58 (1H, s); EIMS: m/z 326 (08) [M⁺], 270 (22), 226 (100), 168 (96).

Compound (7a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3412, 2364, 1656, 1459, 1337, 1253 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.02 (3H, s), 7.30 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.58 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 7.62 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.10 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.84 (1H, s), 9.18 (1H, s), 10.70 (1H, bs); EIMS: m/z 226 (100) [M⁺].

Compound (8)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 3182, 1938, 1736, 1652, 1440, 1344, 1263, 1171, 1014 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 (3H, s), 1.42 (3H, s), 1.80 (9H, s), 1.96 (2H, m), 3.60 (3H, m), 4.06 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.22 (1H, m), 7.42 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.62 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.12 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.36 (1H, d, J = 8.0 dHz), 8.40 (bt, J = 6.5 Hz, NH), 8.78 (1H, s), 9.42 (1H, s); FABMS: 440 (M⁺)

Compound (8a)

IR (KBr) ν_{max} : 4315, 1637, 1527, 1350, 1220 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.38 (3H, s), 1.46 (3H, s), 1.96 (2H, m), 3.65 (3H, m), 4.12 (1H, dd. *J* = 7.0, 6.0 Hz), 4.28 (1H, m), 7.32–7.46 (1H, m), 7.60 (1H, m), 7.82 (bt, NH), 8.20 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.50 (bt, NH), 8.82 (1H, s), 8.90 (1H, s), 9.54 (1H, bs); FABMS: 340 (M⁺ + 1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K. R., A. V. R., and C. M. thank University Grants Commission (UGC), Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, for providing fellowships, we thank Department of Ocean Development (DOD) for financial assistance, and we thank J. S. Yadav, director Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (IICT)-Hyderabad, India, for his constant encouragement.

REFERENCES

 Green, T. W.; Wults, P. G. M. Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed; John Wiley: New York, 1991.

t-Butyloxycarbonyl Selective Removal

- 2. Bodanszky, M. Principles of Peptide Chemistry; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1984.
- (a) Bose, D. S.; Laxminarayana, V. Synthesis 1999, 66, and references cited therein; (b) Bose, D. S.; Kumar, K. K.; Reddy, A. V. N. Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 445.
- Shaikh, N. S.; Gajare, A. S.; Deshpande, V. H.; Bedekar, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 385.
- 5. Apelquist, T.; Wenbo, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1471.
- 6. Gibson, F. S.; Bermeier, S. C.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3216.
- 7. Lin, L. S.; Lanza, T., Jr.; Delaszlo, S. E.; Truong, A.; Kameneccka, T.; Hagmenn, W. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 7013.
- 8. Rawal, V. H.; Cava, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26 (50), 6141.
- 9. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, K. S. Synlett. 2002, 3, 468.
- 10. Jackson, R. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5163.
- (a) Ravinder, K. Ph.D. Thesis, Osmaina University 2005; (b) Ravinder, K.; Reddy, A. V.; Krishnaiah, P.; Ramesh, P.; Ramakrishna, N.; Laatsch, H.; Venkateswarlu, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, *46*, 5475.
- 12. Logers, M.; Overman, L. E.; Welmaker, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9139.
- Mancini, I.; Guella, G.; Amade, P.; Roussakis, C.; Pietra, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 35, 6271.

Downloaded by [University Library Utrecht] at 11:31 05 June 2013