Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 1461-1463

Deprotection of ketone dimethylhydrazones using lipases

Takashi Mino,^{a,*} Terumi Matsuda,^b Dai Hiramatsu ^b and Masakazu Yamashita ^b

^aDepartment of Materials Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Chiba University, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
^bDepartment of Molecular Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Doshisha University, Kyotanabe,
Kyoto 610-0394, Japan

Received 21 October 1999; revised 8 December 1999; accepted 10 December 1999

Abstract

Deprotection of ketone dimethylhydrazone compounds with porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL) as a biocatalyst is described. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: hydrazones; hydrazines; ketones; enzymes; hydrolysis.

Hydrazones have been found to be one of the most useful synthetic precursors of aldehydes and ketones. In this method, the key point is the deprotection of hydrazones to their corresponding carbonyl compounds. Recently, the bi-catalyzed, oxalic acid, and TMSCl/NaI methods have been reported. We have also previously reported the CuCl₂-catalyzed and Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed methods. Kamal has reported hydrazone hydrolysis by using baker's yeast as a biocatalyst. However, this method requires a large amount of baker's yeast compared to that of dimethylhydrazone.

On the other hand, lipases have been widely used over the past decade as a routine reagent for the preparation of chiral synthons and optically active natural products in organic synthesis.⁹

We describe here the lipase-catalyzed deprotection of ketone dimethylhydrazones to their corresponding ketones. This reaction is promoted by using lipases such as porcine pancreatic lipase, lipase from *Rhizopus arrhizus*, *Rhizopus niveus*, and *Mucor javanicus*. We used a catalytic amount of porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL) (Scheme 1) because PPL is the most economic of these lipases.

Me
$$PPL$$

Acetone – H_2O
 R_1
 R_2
 R_1 = alkyl, aryl; R_2 = alkyl

Scheme 1.

Table 1 shows representative results of the cleavage of ketone dimethylhydrazones. When the deprotection of aliphatic and alicyclic ketone dimethylhydrazones was carried out in the presence of PPL in

0040-4039/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. *PII:* S0040-4039(99)02318-7

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel: +81 43 290 3385; fax: +81 43 290 3401; e-mail: tmino@planet.tc.chiba-u.ac.jp (T. Mino)

acetone/ H_2O at room temperature, the corresponding deprotected compounds were obtained in good yields (Entries 1–4). However, menthone dimethylhydrazone (**1e**), which has bulky functional groups, such as *iso*-propyl at α -position, was used, and menthone was obtained in only an 11% yield (Entry 5). On the other hand, aromatic ketone dimethylhydrazones such as acetophenone dimethylhydrazone (**1f**) and others were hydrolyzed slowly (Entries 6–12).

Table 1
Deprotection of dimethylhydrazone 1

Entry	Hydrazone 1		Time (h)	Yield (%) ^a
1	NNMe ₂	1a	1	87
2	NNMe ₂ NNMe ₂	1b	3	96
3	NIVINE2	1c	1	96
4	NNMe ₂	1d	96	94
5	NNMe ₂	1e	96	11
6	NNMe ₂	1f	72	82
7	NNMe ₂	1g	48	95
8	NNMe ₂	1h	72	95
9	NNMe ₂	1i	72	96
10	NNMe ₂	1j	96	89
11	NNMe ₂	1k	96	85
12	NNMe ₂	11	96	81

^a GLC yields.

In conclusion, the deprotection of hydrazone compounds was smoothly promoted using a porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL) in an acetone/ H_2O system at room temperature and the reactivities depended on the substrate structures.

1. Typical procedure of deprotection

A solution of ketone dimethylhydrazone 10 (0.5 mmol) in acetone (3 mL) was added to a suspension of PPL (50 mg) in H₂O (5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, and then diluted with ether, washed with brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The evaporation of the solvent and the bulb-to-bulb distillation or silica gel column chromatography gave pure corresponding carbonyl compounds. 11 The yields were determined by GLC using biphenyl as an internal standard.

References

- 1. Bergeiter, D. E.; Momongan, M. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Heathcock, C. H., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 2, pp. 503–526, and references cited therein.
- (a) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd edn.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999.
 (b) Kocienski, P. J. Protecting Groups; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1994.
- 3. Boruah, A.; Baruah, B.; Prajapati, D.; Sandhu, J. S. Synlett 1997, 1251-1252.
- 4. Enders, D.; Hundertmark, T.; Lazny, R. Synlett 1998, 721-722.
- 5. Kamal, A.; Ramana, K. V.; Arifuddin, M. Chem. Lett. 1999, 827–828.
- 6. Mino, T.; Fukui, S.; Yamashita, M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 734-735.
- 7. (a) Mino, T.; Hirota, T.; Yamashita, M. *Synlett* **1996**, 999–1000. (b) Mino, T.; Hirota, T.; Fujita, N.; Yamashita, M. *Synthesis* **1999**, 2024–2026.
- 8. Kamal, A.; Rao, M. V.; Meshram, H. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2657-2658.
- 9. Wong, C.-H.; Whitesides, G. M. Enzymes in Synthetic Organic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 1994.
- 10. Mino, T.; Masuda, S.; Nishio, M.; Yamashita, M. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2633–2635.
- 11. The carbonyl compounds were identified by comparing the retention time of GLC with those of authentic samples or ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and GC–MS.