Fluoroform-Derived CuCF₃ for Low-Cost, Simple, Efficient, and Safe Trifluoromethylation of Aryl Boronic Acids in Air**

Petr Novák, Anton Lishchynskyi, and Vladimir V. Grushin*

Aromatic compounds bearing one or more CF₃ groups on the ring are important intermediates and building blocks for the synthesis of numerous modern pharmaceuticals, highly efficient crop-protection agents, and specialty materials.^[1] Trifluoromethylated aromatic compounds are currently manufactured by exhaustive chlorination of a methyl group on the aromatic ring, followed by the Swarts reaction of the resultant ArCCl₃ with HF.^[2] This process shows low functional-group tolerance and is ecologically unfriendly as it involves aggressive and hazardous materials (Cl₂, HF) and generates large quantities of chlorine waste (HCl).

A broader-scope, benign alternative to the Swarts reaction-based process is the direct introduction of a CF₃ group into the desired position on the aromatic ring.^[3] While aromatic electrophiles have been widely used for this purpose since the original pioneering work by McLoughlin and Thrower in the 1960s,^[4] nucelophilic aromatic coupling partners are seldom employed in the synthesis of trifluoromethylarenes. In 2010, Chu and Qing^[5] reported the first example of oxidative trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids with Ruppert's reagent CF₃SiMe₃ using the Chan-Lam^[6] methodology. The reaction smoothly occurred in high yield for a variety of aryl boronic acids in the presence of semicatalytic quantities of [CuOTf]₂·C₆H₆, 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), CF₃SiMe₃ (5 equiv), KF, K₃PO₄, and Ag₂CO₃ as an oxidant in DMF at 45 °C. Almost simultaneously, Senecal, Parsons, and Buchwald^[7] described a similar, yet more economical method, using only a twofold excess of CF_3SiMe_3 and dry O_2 in place of the silver salt. Most recently, aryl boronic acids and aryl boronates were oxidatively trifluoromethylated with K[CF₃B(OMe)₃]/Cu(OAc)₂^[8] with [(phen)CuCF₃],^[9] and also using CF₃SiMe₃ in the presence of catalytic quantities of Cu/phen.^[10] A summary of these reactions is presented in Scheme 1. Alternatively, aryl boronic acids and boronates can be trifluoromethylated with electrophilic CF₃ sources, such as sulfonium salts^[11,12] and Togni's reagent,^[13-15] in the absence of an oxidant and in the presence of catalytic amounts of Cu and a ligand.

The aforementioned methods^[5,7–14] offer good opportunities for the synthesis of benzotrifluorides for medicinal

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201201613.



Scheme 1. Reported oxidative trifluoromethylation reactions of aryl boronic acids and boronates (DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane, M.S. = molecular sieves; Pin = pinacolyl).

chemistry and agrochemical discovery research. Applications on a larger scale are unlikely, however, despite the fact that aryl boronic acids are manufactured and used in significant quantities in a variety of industrial processes.^[16] Let alone the necessity to use silver salts,^[5,10] molecular sieves,^[7] pure oxygen,^[7,8] phen,^[5,7,9,10] and other ligands,^[11] the key problem with scaling up all of the reported trifluoromethylation reactions of boronic acids and their derivatives is the high cost of the CF₃ sources involved. The electrophilic reagents used for this transformation^[11–14] are particularly expensive. All other reactions employ, directly or indirectly,^[17] Ruppert's reagent, which is incomparably cheaper but still cost-prohibitive for applications on a larger scale.

A novel reaction of direct cupration of low-cost fluoroform (CHF₃) has recently been discovered in our laboratories (Scheme 2).^[18] This reaction employs only inexpensive reagents (CuCl, *t*BuOK) and cleanly occurs at ambient temperature to furnish CuCF₃ in up to over 90% yield. While these fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ reagents have already been shown^[18] to efficiently trifluoromethylate various electrophiles, reactions with nucleophilic substrates, such as aryl

🕏 WILEY 🛍

^[*] Dr. P. Novák, A. Lishchynskyi, Prof. V. V. Grushin Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ) Avgda. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona (Spain) E-mail: vgrushin@iciq.es

^[**] The ICIQ Foundation, Consolider Ingenio 2010 (Grant CSD2006-0003), and The Spanish Government (Grant CTQ2011-25418) are thankfully acknowledged for support of this research.



1. CF ₃ H	CUCE
2. stabilization	
with Et ₃ N(HF) ₃	-90 %

Scheme 2. Direct synthesis of CuCF₃ from fluoroform.^[18]

boronic acids, have not been reported. Moreover, considering the available literature data,^[5,7–14] it was impossible to predict whether the "ligandless" fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ would efficiently trifluoromethylate aryl boronic acids in air, the cheapest and most readily available oxidant, and in the absence of costly ligands and promoters/additives.

It was encouraging to find that our $Et_3N\cdot 3$ HF-stabilized^[19] CuCF₃ reagent^[18] in DMF reacted with PhB(OH)₂ (**1a**) in air to give PhCF₃ (**2a**) in up to 99% yield. It was noticed however, that when the reaction was run in an open vessel at ≥ 50 °C, the volatile product could be partially lost because of evaporation. Therefore, for optimization studies (Table 1), **1a**

Table 1: Optimization of trifluoromethylation of p-PhC₆H₄B(OH)₂ (**1b**) in air with fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ in DMF-toluene.^[a]

Ph	Ib	OH) ₂ +	⊦ CuCF ₃	DMF/toluene air, 1 atm	Ph 2b	CF ₃
Entry	CuCF₃ [equiv]	т [°С]	t [h]	Conversion [TLC]	Yield of Ph ₂ [%]	Yield of 2b [%]
1	1.2	25	0.5	<100%	1	89
2	1.5	25	0.5	<100%	2	93
3	2	25	0.5	full	1	98
4 ^[b]	2	25	0.5	full	1	99
5	2	35	0.5	full	7	80
6	2	50	0.25	full	11	74

[a] Yields of **2b** were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy with an internal standard. Yields of biphenyl were determined by GC-MS with calibration. Reaction conditions: phenylboronic acid (0.5 mmol), toluene (5 mL), fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ in DMF in open air. [b] In the absence of toluene.

was replaced with its less-volatile analogue p-PhC₆H₄B(OH)₂ (1b) to eliminate the evaporation problem and thus ensure accurate yield determination. First it was found that additional purification^[20] of **1b** did not affect the yield of **2b**; hence in all subsequent experiments, 1b was used as received from the commercial source. The reaction was originally carried out by adding, at vigorous stirring, a CuCF₃ solution in DMF $(0.36-0.41 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ to **1b** in air at room temperature. As the trifluoromethylation proceeded, the reaction mixture turned viscous. Pre-mixing **1b** with toluene prior to $CuCF_3$ addition was found to have no effect on the yield and selectivity (Table 1, entries 3 and 4), yet facilitated monitoring the reaction by TLC. No bibenzyl was produced in the presence of toluene, which ruled out involvement of free radicals. Although with 1.2 and 1.5 equivalents of CuCF₃ 2b was produced in high yields (89% and 93%, respectively; Table 1, entries 1 and 2), the conversion of **1b** was incomplete. When 2 equivalents of CuCF₃ were used, full conversion was achieved (entry 3). Raising the temperature speeded up the reaction but lowered its selectivity. While at room temperature biphenyl, the side-product from deborylation of **1b** (see below), was formed in only small amounts (1-2%), at 35 and 50 °C the yield of Ph₂ was 7% and 11%, respectively (entries 5 and 6). Full details of the optimization studies are provided in the Supporting Information.

Having identified the optimum reaction conditions (Table 1), we proceeded to explore the scope and limitations of the method. Results of these studies are summarized in Scheme 3. A twofold excess of the trifluoromethylating reagent was employed in the experiments with all of the boronic acids to ensure full conversion and highest possible yield (see above). The use of 2 equiv of the CuCF₃ is justified by its low cost and the obvious reality that independent optimization of the CuCF₃ to substrate ratio would be needed for each particular boronic acid in a potentially practicable process.

As can be seen from Scheme 3, the reaction occurs smoothly at room temperature, reaching completion within 0.4–7 h. The method has a broad scope and tolerates various functionalities, including not only simple alkyl or aryl groups



Scheme 3. Trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids (0.5–1.0 mmol) with fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ (2 equiv) in air. See the Supporting Information for details. Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy with an internal standard. Yields of isolated products are shown in parentheses. [a] At 0 °C.

www.angewandte.org

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

K These are not the final page numbers!

(2b, 2d, 2k, 2m, 2t) but also alkoxy and aryloxy (2c, 2j, 2l, 20, 2s, 2v), carbalkoxy (2i, 2n), silyl (2g), and even formyl (2e, 2q) groups. (Note that previous attempts to trifluoromethylate aryl boronic acids bearing an aldehyde function have been unsuccessful.^[7]) Also important is the fact that like the para and meta isomers, ortho-substituted ArB(OH)₂ are smoothly trifluoromethylated in good (74%, 2q) to excellent (90%, 2u) yield. On the whole, the yields (Scheme 3) are noticeably higher than those previously reported^[5,7-10] for oxidative trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids and boronate esters. For some substrates it was beneficial to perform the reaction at 0 °C rather than at room temperature. Thus, at 0°C, 2e, 2r, 2s, 2t, 2u, and 2y were formed in noticeably higher yield and the side formation of the corresponding deborylation product was minimized. This effect was particularly well-pronounced for the trifluoromethylation of 1y. At 25°C, the yield of 2y was 77% and considerable quantities (up to 15-20%) of benzothiophene side product were produced, whereas at 0°C the reaction furnished 2y in 88% yield and only about 3% of benzothiophene. Overall, the trifluoromethylation reaction exhibits excellent selectivity, as manifested by the yields of around 90% and higher for over two-thirds of the substrates explored (Scheme 3).

The reactions listed in Scheme 3 were run on a 0.5 mmol scale for yield determination by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy with an internal standard and on a 1.0 mmol scale for isolation that was performed for less volatile products **2b**, **2g**, **2h**, **2i**, **2k**, **2l**, **2v**, **2w**, and **2y**. To demonstrate further scalability, the synthesis of **2w** was carried out with 10 mmols of 2-naphthylboronic acid **1w**. In this experiment, 2-trifluoromethylnaphthalene (**2w**) was successfully prepared and isolated in an amount of 1.85 g (91 % yield).

Other salient features of the method are as follows:

- The reaction employs aryl boronic acids that are more atom-economical and readily available than the corresponding boronate esters. However, aryl boronic acids are prone to undergo facile protodeborylation under the reaction conditions used in the previously reported procedures.^[5,7,8] This highly undesired side-process could be reduced by using dry O_2 in the presence of molecular sieves^[7] or by diverting to pinacol boronate esters as the substrate.^[8] In favorable contrast, our method, despite employing aryl boronic acids and non-dried air as the oxidant, does not suffer significantly from the protodeborylation. With a handful of exceptions, only small quantities (<5%, if any) of the corresponding arenes were observed (GC-MS) in all of the reactions carried out at room temperature.^[21] For the trifluoromethylation of **1e**, **1r**, **1s**, 1t, 1u, and 1y that proceeds less selectively at ambient temperature, the side deborylation process can be largely minimized by performing the reaction at 0°C (see above). Indeed, the isolated trifluoromethylated products 2b, 2g, 2h, 2i, 2k, 2l, 2v, 2w, and 2y were all found to be 95–99% pure by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy and GC-MS data. Importantly, no *tert*-butoxylation of ArB(OH)₂ was observed in the reaction, despite the fact that the CuCF₃ reagent solutions always contain tBuOH from the preparation step.^[18]

- The lack of necessity to use costly Ruppert's reagent as the CF₃ source, especially in excess (see above and Ref. [17]) by far overwhelms the issue of employing Cu in stoichiometric amounts. Note that all of the reported oxidative trifluoromethylation methods also use copper in stoichiometric^[7–9] or at best semicatalytic (20–60%)^[5,10] quantities.
- Ligands such as phen are conventionally used in large amounts^[5,7,9,10] for the reported oxidative trifluoromethylation reactions, thus adding considerably to the cost and lowering the overall atom economy of the reaction. In contrast, the reactions employing fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ smoothly occur in the absence of any extra ligands/additives.

Although the mechanism of the Chan–Lam reaction is not fully understood,^[6,22] evidence has been presented for its nonradical character.^[6] This conclusion accords with the lack of signs of involvement of free radicals in our reaction (see above). The trifluoromethylation is most likely initiated by air oxidation of the air-sensitive^[18] Cu^ICF₃ reagent to a Cu^{II}CF₃ species that, being much more electrophilic, undergoes transmetalation with ArB(OH)₂, as shown in the simplified mechanism presented in Scheme 4. Reductive elimination of



Scheme 4. Proposed simplified mechanism for trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids with fluoroform-derived $CuCF_3$.

ArCF₃ from the resultant Cu^{II}(Ar)CF₃ intermediate would complete the transformation, although involvement of Cu^{III} and polynuclear species should not be ruled out.^[6,22] Significantly however, the transmetalation, which is possibly^[22a] the rate-limiting step of the entire process, might be facilitated by the CF₃ ligand. The latter has been shown to promote nucleophile-aided transmetalation reactions, likely because of its stabilization of the d orbitals on the metal in addition to strong electron donation.^[3a,23]

In conclusion, we have demonstrated, for the first time, that low-cost fluoroform-derived CuCF₃ reagents^[18] readily trifluoromethylate aryl boronic acids. The reaction smoothly occurs at room temperature (and even below) and 1 atm of air as the oxidant to give the corresponding benzotrifluorides in excellent yield (up to 99%) and with high selectivity. The method exhibits unprecedentedly high functional-group tolerance for a variety of substrates bearing substituents in the *ortho, meta*, and *para* positions; even aryl boronic acids with formyl groups on the ring have been successfully trifluoromethylated in 74–82% yield. Importantly, use of additional ligands, costly oxidants (Ag^I), drying agents, and pure O₂ is not needed, which makes the reaction not only synthetically useful and inexpensive, but also advantageously simple and safe to run.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1-5

© 2012 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org



Received: February 28, 2012 Revised: May 9, 2012 Published online:

Keywords: arenes \cdot aryl boronic acids \cdot copper \cdot fluorine \cdot trifluoromethylation

- For selected monographs, see: a) J. H. Clark, D. Wails, T. W. Bastock, Aromatic Fluorination, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996; b) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry, Wiley, Weinheim, 2004; c) K. Uneyama, Organofluorine Chemistry, Blackwell, Oxford, 2006; d) I. Ojima, Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, 2009.
- [2] R. Filler, Adv. Fluorine Chem. 1970, 6, 1.
- [3] For reviews, see: a) O. A. Tomashenko, V. V. Grushin, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 4475; b) S. Roy, B. T. Gregg, G. W. Gribble, V.-D. Le, S. Roy, *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 2161; c) M. Schlosser, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, *118*, 5558; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, *45*, 5432; d) D. J. Burton, L. Lu, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1997, *193*, 45; e) M. A. McClinton, D. A. McClinton, *Tetrahedron* 1992, *48*, 6555; f) D. J. Burton, Z. Y. Yang, *Tetrahedron* 1992, *48*, 189.
- [4] a) V. C. R. McLoughlin, J. Thrower, U.S. Patent 3408411, 1968;
 b) V. C. R. McLoughlin, J. Thrower, *Tetrahedron* 1969, 25, 5921.
- [5] L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5060.
- [6] For a recent review, see: J. X. Qiao, P. Y. S. Lam, Synthesis 2011, 829.
- [7] T. D. Senecal, A. Parsons, S. L. Buchwald, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1174.
- [8] B. A. Khan, A. E. Buba, L. J. Gooßen, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1577.
- [9] N. D. Litvinas, P. S. Fier, J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 551; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 536.
- [10] X. Jiang, L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1251.
- [11] J. Xu, D.-F. Luo, B. Xiao, Z.-J. Liu, T.-J. Gong, Y. Fu, L. Liu, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 4300.
- [12] C.-P. Zhang, J. Cai, C.-B. Zhou, X.-P. Wang, X. Zheng, Y.-C. Guc, J.-C. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 9516.
- [13] T. Liu, Q. Shen, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2342.
- [14] T. Liu, X. Shao, Y. Wu, Q. Shen, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 555; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 540.
- [15] a) Note that Togni's reagent^[15b-d] has recently been used for direct aromatic trifluoromethylation;^[15e] b) P. Eisenberger, S. Gischig, A. Togni, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 2579; c) I. Kieltsch, P. Eisenberger, A. Togni, *Angew. Chem.* 2007, *119*, 768; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 754; d) I. Kieltsch, P. Eisenberger, K. Stanek, A. Togni, *Chimia* 2008, *62*, 260; e) E. Mejía, A. Togni, *ACS Catal.* 2012, *2*, 521.

- [16] See, for example: a) D. G. Hall Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis Medicine and Materials, Vol. 1 and 2, Wiley, Weinheim, 2011; b) J. Magano, J. R. Dunetz, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2177; c) C. Torborg, M. Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 3027; d) R. Zenk, S. Partzsch, Chim. Oggi 2003, 21, 70.
- [17] Both K[CF₃B(OMe)₃] (Ref. [8]) and [(phen)CuCF₃] (Ref. [9]) are prepared from Ruppert's reagent. For the synthesis of K[CF₃B(OMe)₃], see: a) A. A. Kolomeitsev, Kadyrov, J. Szczep-kowska-Sztolcman, M. Milewska, H. Koroniak, G. Bissky, J. A. Barten, G.-V. Röschenthaler, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 8273; b) T. Knauber, F. Arikan, G.-V. Röschenthaler, L. J. Gooßen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 2689; for the preparation of [(phen)-CuCF₃], see: c) H. Morimoto, T. Tsubogo, N. D. Litvinas, J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem.* 2011, *123*, 3877; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 3793.
- [18] a) A. Zanardi, M. A. Novikov, E. Martin, J. Benet-Buchholz, V. V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20901; b) Patent pending.
- [19] A reviewer raised a safety concern about the use of Et₃N·3HF (TREAT HF) as the stabilizer. While care should be taken handling TREAT HF, this reagent does not etch borosilicate glass and is not nearly as dangerous/corrosive as other HF sources, such as liquid HF, aqueous HF, and Py·HF (70% HF). Furthermore, upon the stabilization of the CuCF₃ solutions with TREAT HF, the latter reacts with the *t*BuOK present to give KF and *t*BuOH.^[18] Therefore, if 1/3 mol of TREAT HF is used per 1 mol of the CuCF₃, as recommended,^[18] the resultant stabilized reagent solution does not contain HF in any form. For a review of the TREAT HF reagent, see: M. A. McClinton, *Aldrichimica Acta* **1995**, *28*, 31.
- [20] M. Genov, A. Almorín, P. Espinet, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 9346.
- [21] Small quantities (<0.1-3%) of ArOH and ArCl were detected in some of the reaction mixtures by GC-MS. The chlorine originated from the CuCF₃ reagents that were prepared using CuCl.^[18] In the reaction of **1i** on a 1 mmol scale, a larger quantity of *p*-EtO₂CC₆H₄OH (ca. 10–15%) was produced.
- [22] See, for example: a) A. E. King, T. C. Brunold, S. S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5044; b) M. Tromp, G. P. F. van Strijdonck, S. S. van Berkel, A. van den Hoogenband, M. C. Feiters, B. de Bruin, S. G. Fiddy, A. M. J. van der Eerden, J. A. van Bokhoven, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, D. C. Koningsberger, Organometallics 2010, 29, 3085.
- [23] a) V. V. Grushin, W. J. Marshall, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4632; b) V. V. Grushin, W. J. Marshall, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12644; c) V. I. Bakhmutov, F. Bozoglian, K. Gómez, G. González, V. V. Grushin, S. A. Macgregor, E. Martin, F. M. Miloserdov, M. A. Novikov, J. A. Panetier, L. V. Romashov, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1315; d) A. G. Algarra, V. V. Grushin, S. A. Macgregor, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1467.

These are not the final page numbers!

Communications



Trifluoromethylation

P. Novák, A. Lishchynskyi, V. V. Grushin* __

Fluoroform-Derived CuCF₃ for Low-Cost, Simple, Efficient, and Safe Trifluoromethylation of Aryl Boronic Acids in Air

Easy does it: Aryl boronic acids undergo smooth and selective trifluoromethylation with low-cost fluoroform-derived CuCF_3 in DMF in non-dried air. The reaction occurs under mild conditions

R

B(OH)₂ + CuCF₃

公 R 1 atm, 25 °C HCF no ligands, no promoters, up to 99% yield no additives, no drying agents 25 examples

DMF, Air

(1 atm, room temperature), exhibits unprecedented functional-group tolerance, and affords trifluoromethylated aromatic compounds in up to 99% yield.

CF₃