Coupling Reactions

Selective P-P and P-O-P Bond Formations through Copper-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative Dehydrogenative Couplings of H-Phosphonates

Yongbo Zhou, Shuangfeng Yin, Yuxing Gao, Yufen Zhao, Midori Goto, and Li-Biao Han*

Demands for efficient phosphorus-element bond-forming reactions to prepare structurally sophisticated phosphorus compounds that are not readily available by classical methods have stimulated studies on new phosphorus bond-forming reactions by transition-metal catalysis.^[1] While catalytic C–P bond-forming reactions through couplings of P–H bonds with organohalides or additions of P–H bonds to C–C unsaturated bonds are well known,^[1] similar phosphorus–heteroatom bond-forming reactions (E–P formations) are limited [Eq. (1)].^[2,3]

C-P formation E-P formation C-P $\leftarrow [M]$ $P-H \xrightarrow{[M]}$ E-P (1) coupling or addition

Herein we disclose the first copper-catalyzed P–P and P– O–P bond-forming reactions. By slightly changing the reaction conditions, copper can efficiently catalyze an aerobic oxidative dehydrogenative coupling of H-phosphonate to selectively produce hypophosphate **1** and pyrophosphate **2** in high yields [Eq. (2)].

$$(\text{RO})_2^{\text{O}} \stackrel{\text{O}}{\overset{\text{H}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\text{H}}}} \xrightarrow{\text{Cat. [Cu]/}}_{\text{air}} (\text{RO})_2^{\text{PH}} \xrightarrow{\text{Cat. [Cu]/}}_{\text{air}} (\text{RO})_2^{\text{PH}} \xrightarrow{\text{Cat. [Cu]/}}_{\text{air}} (\text{RO})_2^{\text{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{O}}_{\text{H}} (\text{RO})_2^{\text{H}} \xrightarrow{\text{O}}$$

Traditional methods for the preparation of $\mathbf{1}^{[4]}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{[5]}$ are through nucleophilic substitution reactions of $(RO)_2P(O)Cl$ with alkali-metal salts such as NaOP(OR)₂ and NaO-P(O)(OR)₂, which suffer from drawbacks such as low yields, tedious procedures, and lack of functionality tolerance. This is

| | [*] | Dr. Y. B. Zhou, Dr. M. Goto, Dr. LB. Han |
|-----|-------|--|
| | | National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology |
| | | (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8565 (Japan) |
| | | Fax: (+81) 29-861-6344 |
| | | E-mail: libiao-han@aist.go.jp |
| | | Prof. Dr. S. F. Yin |
| | | College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University, |
| | | 410082, Changsha (China) |
| | | Y. X. Gao, Prof. Dr. Y. F. Zhao |
| | | Department of Chemistry and Key Laboratory for Chemical Biology of |
| | | Fujian Province, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005 (China) |
| | | Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW |
| - | | under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201003484. |
| | | |
| - 8 | 11: - | the second s |

6852

© 2010 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

particularly true for the preparation of hypophosphates **1**, since $(RO)_2P(O)Cl$ reacts with $NaOP(OR)_2$ to give a complicated mixture.^[4] Phosphorus compounds having $P(O)-P(O)^{[6]}$ and P(O)-O-P(O) linkages are of interest as biologically active substances. The antitumor activity of hypophosphoric acid analogues **1** was noted,^[6e] and a lot of biologically important compounds, such as ADP and ATP, contain P(O)-O-P(O) linkages.

We discovered this new catalytic reaction during a study on the possible coordination of $Z_2P(O)H$ (Z = R or OR) to copper complexes.^[7] $Z_2P(O)H$ exists in equilibrium with a trivalent $Z_2P(OH)$, which can coordinate to a variety of metals.^[7c] Very unexpectedly, we found that a slight change of the substituent Z could lead to the selective generation of both a P–O–P compound **2'** and a P–P compound **1** from a stoichiometric reaction of $Z_2P(O)H$ with copper(II) acetate (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Stoichiometric reactions of $Cu(OAc)_2$ with $R_2P(O)H$ and $(RO)_2P(O)H$ affording **2'** and **1**, respectively.

Thus, a mixture of $nBu_2P(O)H$ (0.15 mmol) and Cu-(OAc)₂ (0.1 mmol) was dissolved in [D₈]THF (0.5 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen. After 2 h, two new signals at $\delta = 55.7$ ppm and 122.6 ppm were observed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy (the integration ratio of the two signals = ¹/₂). The signal at $\delta = 55.7$ ppm was assigned to $nBu_2P(O)OH$ by comparing with an authentic sample, while the signal at $\delta =$ 122.6 ppm was assigned to **3a** as described below.^[8] Complex **3a** is extremely air sensitive. On contact with air, **3a** immediately changes from colorless to blue, and phosphinic acid anhydride **2'** was formed.

Although crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were not obtained from **3a**, by changing the substituent R from *n*Bu to Ph(CH₂)₄, air- and moisture-sensitive colorless crystals of **3b**^[9] suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained in 56% yield (Figure 1). Compound **3b** is a copper(I) carboxylate complex having an interesting tetranuclear structure.^[10] A novel R₂P– O–PR₂ unit, formally generated by the dehydration of two



Figure 1. Top: Molecular structure of **3b** at 20% probability (hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity). Bottom: View of core with substituent R omitted. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Cu1–Cu2 2.6809(6), Cu1–P1 2.1467(8), Cu1–O5 2.004(2), Cu1–O3 2.1291(18), Cu1–O3' 2.1643(18), Cu2–O2 1.983(2), Cu2–O4 2.014(2), Cu2–P2 2.1438(9), O1–P2 1.639(2), O1–P1 1.649(2), O3-Cu1 2.1643(18); P1-Cu1-Cu2 85.42(3), P2-Cu2-Cu1 97.28(3), P1-O1-P2 123.35(12), O1-P1-Cu1 118.37(8), O1-P2-Cu2 108.57(8), O2-Cu2-Cu1 90.85(5), O4-Cu2-Cu1 84.75(6), O5-Cu1-Cu2 85.42(3), O3-Cu1-Cu2 153.99(5), O3'-Cu1-Cu2 75.00(5), P1-Cu1-Cu2 85.42(3), O3-Cu1-O3' 80.14(7), Cu1-O3-Cu1' 99.86(7).

 $R_2P(O)H$ units, chelates a pair of copper(I) atoms, which are further linked into a dimer by intermolecular copper–oxygen interactions. The Cu1–Cu2 length (2.6809(6) Å) is significantly shorter than the sum of their van der Waals radii (2.80 Å),^[11] indicating the existence of a Cu^I–Cu^I interaction.

Surprisingly, a different product was obtained when Hphosphonate $(RO)_2P(O)H$ was employed as the substrate. Thus, when $R_2P(O)H$ was replaced by $(RO)_2P(O)H$, a similar copper complex could not be observed at all. Instead, the exclusive formation of 1 took place [Eq. (3)]. For example, $[(iPrO)_2P(O)]_2$ from dehydrogenative coupling (24 h, 18%) yield) was observed upon mixing a stoichiometric mixture of $(i PrO)_2 P(O) H$ with $Cu(OAc)_2$ in THF. Compound $[(iPrO)_2P(O)]_2$ was obtained quantitatively upon further heating at 70°C for 4 h [Eq. (3)].^[12] Under similar stoichiometric reaction conditions, other H-phosphonates also efficiently reacted with $Cu(OAc)_2$ to give the corresponding dehydrogenetive coupling products 1 in high yields (yields of isolated products: R = Et 90%; R = nBu 92%; $R = nC_{12}H_{25}$ 91%; R = iPr 93%; $R = CH_2Ph$ 94%; $R = -CH_2C$ -(Me₂)CH₂-90%).

$$(\text{RO})_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{H} + \text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2 \xrightarrow{\text{THF}, 70 \,^\circ\text{C}} (\text{RO})_2\text{P} \xrightarrow{\text{P}(\text{OR})_2} (3)$$

Angewandte

Stimulated by the above results of the stoichiometric reactions of $Z_2P(O)H$ with copper(II) acetate, we thought that a catalytic reaction to selectively generate **1** and **2** should be possible. This indeed proved to be the case. After an extensive screening of the reaction conditions, we found that the oxidative dehydrogenative coupling of $(RO)_2P(O)H$ does take place efficiently with a catalytic amount of copper under air. Moreover, it can produce both the P–P hypophosphate **1a** and the P–O–P pyrophosphate **2a** highly selectively by changing the reaction conditions only slightly (Table 1).^[13]

| $(i PrO)_2 P(O) H \xrightarrow{\text{out} 10^{\circ} \text{out} 10^{\circ} \text{out} 10^{\circ}} (i PrO)_2 P - P(O i Pr)_2 + (i PrO)_2 P - O - P(O i Pr)_2$ | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | aii, 25 0, 20 | 1a | 2a | | | | |
| Run | [Cu] [mol %] | Amine [mol%] | Yield (1 a/2 a) [%] ^[b] | | | | |
| 1 2 ^[e] 3 ^[e] | Cu(OAc)2 (2) CuCl (5) | Et ₃ N ^[c] Et ₃ N (20) <i>i</i> Pr ₂ NH (20) | 98/trace (32/1) ^[d] 17/6 9/15 | | | | |
| 4 ^[e] 5 ^[e] 6 ^[e] 7 ^[e] | | (tBuNHCH ₂) ₂ (10) 2,2'-bipyridyl (10) tmeda (10) teeda ^(f) (10) | 16/42 2/44 11/49 71/28 | | | | |
| , 8 ^[g] 9 ^[e,h] 10 ^[e] | CuCl (10) CuCl (2) CuBr ₂ (1) | teeda (20) tmeda (200) tmeda (10) | 97/2 2/97 0/99 | | | | |

[a] (iPrO)₂P(O)H (1 mmol), copper compound, and amine under air for 20 h, unless otherwise noted. [b] Determined by GC. [c] 0.2 mL Et₃N was used; reaction time 4 h. [d] 50 μ L of water was added. [e] Conducted in acetone (1 mL). [f] teeda = Et₂NCH₂CH₂NEt₂. [g] Neat, 1.5 h. [h] 3 h.

Thus, a mixture of (*i*PrO)₂P(O)H and Cu(OAc)₂ (2 mol %) in Et₃N under air was stirred at room temperature for 4 h to afford the P-P coupling product 1a almost quantitatively (less than 0.1% yield of the P-O-P coupling product 2a, Table 1, run 1). The use of Et₃N in the reaction is essential for this reaction. In the absence of Et₃N, only a trace amount of the coupling products could be obtained. This coupling reaction can be carried out in solvents such as acetone, THF, and EtOAc to give 1a in high yields. However, since the copper catalyst was hydrolyzed to the catalytically inactive Cu(OH)₂ (see below), addition of water lowered the yields of the products. For example, when 50 μ L of H₂O was added, only 32% yield of **1a** was obtained after 20 h (run 1). With the exception of Cu(OH)₂, which hardly catalyzes the reaction, a variety of Cu^{II} or Cu^I compounds can serve as good catalysts for this coupling reaction to give high yields of the products. It was noted that both the efficiency of the catalysts and the selectivity of the products are dramatically affected by the amine used. Thus, a screening on amines using CuCl (Table 1, runs 2-9) revealed that bidentate amines are suitable compounds for the copper-catalyzed reactions. Moreover, while N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (tmeda) prefers the formation of 2a (Table 1, run 6), a bulky N,N,N',N'-tetraethylethylenediamine (teeda) leads to a reverse in the selectivity to the products favoring the formation of 1a (Table 1, run 7). Further efforts on the optimization of the reactions^[13] established the best condi-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6852-6855

Communications

tions for the selective generation of both **1a** and **2a**. Thus, **1a** can be produced in 97% yield with greater than 97% selectivity by using a catalyst CuCl/teeda (Table 1, run 8), while **2a** can be obtained in 97% yield with greater than 97% selectivity by replacing tmeda with teeda (Table 1, run 9), or by using CuBr₂/tmeda as the catalyst to produce a quantitative yield of **2a** (Table 1, run 10).^[13]

This catalytic dehydrogenative coupling reaction can be applied to other H-phosphonates to produce the corresponding P–P compounds **1** and the P–O–P compounds **2** in high yields with high selectivity (Table 2). An analogue of a nucleotide that shows unique biological activity (run 7)^[14] could also be used to give the corresponding P–P and P– O–P products in high yields, demonstrating clearly the high potential of the current method to allow access to highly functionalized targets.

 Table 2: Copper-catalyzed selective aerobic oxidative couplings of

 H-phosphonates affording hypophosphates 1 and pyrophosphates 2.^[a]

 $2-10 \mod CuCl \text{ or } Cu(OAc)_2$
 $R^{1}O_{1}O_{1}$
 $1-2 \mod CuBr_2$

| • | Et ₃ N or teeda, air, 25 °C | R ² 0 [~] | 10-15 mol% tmeda, air, 25 °C | - |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Run |] ^[a,b] | Yield [%] ^[c] | 2 ^[d,e] | Yield [%] ^[c] |
| 1 | 0 (<i>i</i> PrO) ₂ P-P(O <i>i</i> Pr) ₂ | 93 ^[a] | O O (<i>i</i> PrO) ₂ P-O-P(O <i>i</i> Pr) ₂ | 99 ^[d] |
| 2 | O O (<i>n</i> BuO) ₂ P-P(O <i>n</i> Bu) ₂ | 80 ^[b] | 0 0 (<i>n</i> BuO)₂P−O−P(O <i>n</i> Bu)₂ | 99 ^[d] |
| 3 | OO (EtO) ₂ P-P(OEt) ₂ | 85 ^[b] | $O_{\mu} O_{\mu} O_{\mu$ | 99 ^[d] |
| 4 | O O O U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U | 84 ^[a] | $ \underset{(n-C_{12}H_{25}O)_2P-O-P(On-C_{12}H_{25})_2}{\overset{\scriptstyle \cup}{P}-O-P(On-C_{12}H_{25})_2} $ | 98 ^[e] |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{c} O O \\ \parallel & \parallel \\ (PhCH_2O)_2 P - P(OCH_2 Ph)_2 \end{array}$ | 83 ^[b] | O O (PhCH ₂ O) ₂ P-O-P(OCH ₂ Ph) ₂ | 90 ^[e] |
| 7 | | 84 ^[b] | | 96 ^[e] |
| 8 | | 78 ^[b] | | 91 ^[e] |

[a] Cu(OAc)₂ (2 mol%), Et₃N (0.2 mL), (RO)₂P(O)H (1 mmol), 4 h. [b] CuCl (10 mol%), teeda (30 mol%), acetone (0.5 mL), (RO)₂P(O)H (1 mmol), 1.5–6 h. [c] Yields of isolated products. [d] CuBr₂ (1 mol%), tmeda (10 mol%), acetone (1 mL), (RO)₂P(O)H (1 mmol), 6 h. [e] CuBr₂ (2 mol%), tmeda (15 mol%), THF (1 mL), (RO)₂P(O)H (1 mmol), 8–24 h.

By referring to the known copper-mediated coupling reactions in the literature, the formation of the P–P compound **1** was rationalized to take place by the coupling of phosphoryl radical (RO)₂(O)P, which was generated by an electron-transfer (ET) process of (RO)₂P(O)H to Cu^{II}.^[15,16] In contrast, the reaction via intermediate **3** should be one of the possible ways for the formation of the P–O–P compound **2**. Although detailed mechanistic aspects remained to be clarified, it was rationalized that a bulky amine could retard the intermolecule reaction pathway for the formation of the P–O–P compound **2**, and favor the formation of the P–P compound **1** by couplings of phosphoryl radicals.

In summary, a copper-catalyzed oxidative dehydrocoupling of $(RO)_2P(O)H$ selectively affording P–P and P–O–P compounds was revealed for the first time. We believe that the present work not only provides an efficient and clean method for the selective preparation of hypophosphate **1** and pyrophosphate **2**, but also is highly informative for the design of new copper-catalyzed oxidative coupling reactions.^[16] Further studies on the clarification of the reaction mechanism and applications to other substrates are underway.

Received: June 8, 2010 Published online: August 16, 2010

Keywords: copper · dehydrogenation · homogeneous catalysis · phosphorus

- a) L.-B. Han, M. Tanaka, Chem. Commun. 1999, 395; b) F. Alonso, I. P. Beletskaya, M. Yus, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3079; c) D. Prim, J. Campagne, D. Joseph, B. Andrioletti, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2041; d) D. S. Glueck, Synlett 2007, 2627; e) A. Schwan, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 218; f) L. Coudray, J.-L. Montchamp, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 3601; g) O. Delacroix, A. C. Gaumont, Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 1851.
- [2] a) S. Greenberg, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, *37*, 1482;
 b) R. J. Less, R. L. Melen, V. Naseri, D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 4929; c) D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, *112*, 322; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 314; d) R. Waterman, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 18; e) A. R. McWilliams, H. Dorn, I. Manners, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2002, *220*, 141.
- [3] A few transition-metal complex mediated dehydrocoupling reactions with R2PH and R2PH·BH3 under an oxygen-free inert atmosphere are known. Selected examples: a) T. L. Clark, J. M. Rodezno, S. B. Clendenning, S. Aouba, P. M. Brodersen, A. J. Lough, H. E. Ruda, I. Manners, Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 4526; b) H. Dorn, R. A. Singh, J. A. Massey, A. J. Lough, I. Manners, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3540; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3321; c) H. Dorn, R. A. Singh, J. A. Massey, J. M. Nelson, C. A. Jaska, A. J. Lough, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6669; d) R. H. Shu, L. J. Hao, J. F. Harrod, H. G. Woo, E. Samuel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12988; e) A. J. Roering, S. N. MacMillan, J. M. Tanski, R. Waterman, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 6855; f) J. D. Masuda, A. J. Hoskin, T. W. Graham, C. Beddie, M. C. Fermin, N. Etkin, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 8696; g) V. P. W. Bohm, M. Brookhart, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 4832; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4694; h) L.-B. Han, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13698; i) R. Waterman, Organometallics 2007, 26, 2492. For metal-catalyzed dehydrocoupling reactions of other heteroatom compounds, see also: j) D. Miles, J. Ward, D. A. Foucher, Macromolecules 2009, 42, 9199; k) T. J. Clark, K. Lee, I. Manners, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 8634; 1) F. Gauvin, J. F. Harrod, H. G. Woo, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 42, 363.
- [4] a) R. W. Kinas, A. Okruszek, W. J. Stec, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 7875; b) W. J. Stec, A. Zwierzak, J. Michalski, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Chim.* 1970, 18, 23; c) J. E. Nycz, R. Musiol, *Heteroat. Chem.* 2006, 17, 310; d) M. Z. Baudler, *Naturforsch.* 1953, 8, 326.
- [5] a) N. Suzuki, T. Kawai, S. Inoue, N. Sano, Y. Izawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 1421; b) Y. Butsugan, K. Nagai, F. Nagaya, H. Tabuchi, K. Yamada, S. Araki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 1707; c) Z. M. Jaszay, I. Petnehazy, L. Toke, Heteroat. Chem. 2004, 15, 447; d) W. S. Wadsworth, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1748; e) A. D. F. Toy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3882.

- [6] a) A. V. Mukovnya, V. L. Tunitskaya, A. L. Khandazhinskaya, N. A. Golubeva, N. F. Zakirova, A. V. Ivanov, M. K. Kukhanova, S. N. Kochetkov, *Biochemistry* **2008**, *73*, 660; b) M. K. Kukhanova, N. F. Zakirova, A. V. Ivanov, L. A. Alexandrova, M. V. Jasco, A. R. Khomutov, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2005**, *338*, 1335; c) M. G. Rose, M. P. Farrell, J. C. Schmitz, *Clin. Colorectal Cancer* **2002**, *1*, 220.
- [7] a) R. Peng, M. Li, D. Li, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 1; b) A.
 Grodzicki, I. Lakomska, P. Piszczek, I. Szymanska, E. Szlyk, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 2232; c) D. M. Roundhill, R. P.
 Sperline, W. B. Beaulieu, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1978, 26, 263.
- [8] The reaction took place as: $3R_2P(O)H + 2Cu(OAc)_2 \rightarrow 3 + R_2P(O)OH + 2AcOH$. However, the exact pathway for the formation of 3 is not clear.
- [9] CCDC 777312 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc. cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [10] a) A. S. Filatov, O. Hietsoi, Y. Sevryugina, N. N. Gerasimchuk, M. A. Petrukhina, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 1626; b) Y. Sevryugina, O. Hietsoi, M. A. Petrukhina, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3853.
- [11] a) S. Sculfort, P. Croizat, A. Messaoudi, M. Benard, M. M. Rohmer, R. Welter, P. Braunstein, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, 121, 9843; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 9663; b) A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1964, 68, 441.
- [12] No possible intermediates of (*i*PrO)₂P(O)H-ligated Cu species could be detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Other products are CuOAc as white precipitate (95% yield of isolated product) and

acetic acid (97% yield measured by NMR spectroscopy), which accounts for the stoichiometry of the reaction: $2(RO)_2P(O)H + 2Cu(OAc)_2 \rightarrow 1 + 2Cu(OAc) + 2AcOH$.

- [13] For details of the experiments, see the Supporting Information. A separate experiment using isolated 1a showed that compound 1a is stable under the reaction conditions for the formation of 2a, indicating that 2a was not formed by further oxidation of 1a.
- [14] a) A. G. Pokrovsky, T. R. Pronayeva, N. V. Fedyuk, E. A. Shirokova, A. L. Khandazhinskaya, N. B. Tarusova, I. L. Karpenko, A. A. Krayevsky, *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 2001, 20, 767; b) X. B. Sun, J. X. Kang, Y. F. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 2414.
- [15] a) T. Punniyamurthy, L. Rout, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2008, 252, 134;
 b) T. Pintauer, K. Matyjaszewski, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 1087;
 c) J. Iqbal, B. Bhatia, N. K. Nayyar, *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 519;
 d) T. Pintauer, K. Matyjaszewski, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2005, 249, 1155.
- [16] Cu-catalyzed oxidative couplings are of high interest, but their mechanisms are not well understood: a) K. Kamata, S. Yamaguchi, M. Kotani, K. Yamaguchi, N. Mizuno, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 2441; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2407; b) P. Siemsen, R. C. Livingston, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2633; c) Y. Wei, H. Q. Zhao, J. Kan, W. P. Su, M. C. Hong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2522; d) C. Zhang, N. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 28; e) T. Hamada, X. Ye, S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 833; f) Y. X. Gao, G. Wang, L. Chen, P. X. Xu, Y. F. Zhao, Y. B. Zhou, L.-B. Han, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7956.