Scheme I

$$Cp_{2}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6} \xrightarrow{h\nu} 2CpMo(CO)_{3}$$

$$CpMo(CO)_{3} + L \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_{2}L + CO$$

$$17$$

$$CpMo(CO)_{2}L + L \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_{2}L_{2}$$

$$19$$

$$CpMo(CO)_{2}L_{2} + Cp_{2}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6} \rightarrow$$

$$19$$

$$CpMo(CO)_{2}L_{2} + Cp_{2}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6} \rightarrow$$

$$19$$

$$CpMo(CO)_{2}L_{2}^{+} + Cp_{2}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6}^{-}$$

$$18$$

$$Cp_{2}Mo_{2}(CO)_{6}^{-} \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_{3}^{-} + CpMo(CO)_{3}$$

$$18$$

Scheme II

$$Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6 \xrightarrow{h\nu} 2CpMo(CO)_3$$

$$CpMo(CO)_3 + L \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_2L + CO$$

$$17$$

$$CpMo(CO)_2L + L \rightarrow CpMo(CO)L_2 + CO$$

$$17$$

$$CpMo(CO)L_2 + Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6 \rightarrow$$

$$17$$

$$CpMo(CO)L_2^+ + Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6^-$$

$$CpMo(CO)L_2^+ + Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6^-$$

$$CpMo(CO)L_2^+ + CO \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_2L_2^+$$

$$18$$

$$Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6^- \rightarrow CpMo(CO)_3^- + CpMo(CO)_3$$

$$18$$

According to Scheme II, after the 17-electron CpMo(CO)L₂ complex transfers an electron to Cp₂Mo₂(CO)₆, the resulting CpMo(CO)L₂⁺ intermediate acquires a CO ligand to form the product $CpMo(CO)_2L_2^+$. In the presence of L, one might also expect some $CpMo(CO)L_3^+$ complex to form (eq 2). To test for

$$CpMo(CO)L_2^+ + L \rightarrow CpMo(CO)L_3^+$$
 (2)

the formation of CpMo(CO)L $_3$ ⁺, we irradiated ($\lambda > 405$ nm) Cp $_2$ Mo $_2$ (CO) $_6$ (1.5 × 10⁻² M) in benzene with the tridentate ligand triphos (5 × 10⁻² M) (triphos = bis(2-(diphenylphosphino)ethyl)phenylphosphine).3 If the reaction follows the pathway in Scheme II, the expected cationic product would be $CpMo(CO)(triphos-P,P',P'')^+$; the pathway in Scheme I would yield the bidentate triphos complex CpMo(CO)₂(triphos-P,P')⁺. (Triphos was selected as the ligand because the reaction of CpMo(CO)L₂⁺ with L will be a ring closure step. Such steps are generally fast⁴ and should be competitive with the reaction of CpMo(CO)L₂⁺ with CO.) As in the disproportionation reactions of Cp₂Mo₂(CO)₆ with bidentate phosphines, the photoreaction with triphos was very efficient: the quantum yield for the disappearance of $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6$ is 80 ± 20 ($I = 9 \times 10^{-8}$ einstein/min; $\lambda = 405$ nm). The only products were CpMo(CO)₃ and CpMo(CO)₂(triphos-P,P)^{+,5} The exclusive formation of $CpMo(CO)_2(triphos-P,P')^+$ is explained only by the pathway involving 19-electron intermediates.

Complexes of the type CpMo(CO)L₃⁺ are rare, presumably because of steric crowding between the three L's. Thus, it might be argued that the CpM(CO) (triphos-P,P',P'')⁺ complex cannot exist. However, we point out that CpMo(CO)(triphos-P,P',P'')+ can by synthesized; irradiation ($\lambda = 366$ nm) of a solution of CpMo(CO)₂(triphos-P,P)⁺ leads to the formation of CpMo-(CO)(triphos-P,P',P')⁺.⁶ The quantum yield of reaction 3 is 1,

CpMo(CO)₂(triphos-
$$P,P'$$
)⁺ $\xrightarrow{366 \text{ nm}}$

 $CpMo(CO)(triphos-P,P',P'')^+ + CO$ (3) under either 1 atom of CO or 1 atm of argon. When the logical assumption is made that Mo-CO bond dissociation is the primary

photoprocess, the unit quantum yield indicates that CO cannot significantly compete with the third phosphorus group for the vacant coordination site in CpMo(CO)(triphos-P,P')⁺. This result taken together with the exclusive formation of CpMo(CO)2- $(\text{triphos-}P,P')^+$ is consistent only with Scheme I.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, adminstered by the American Chemical Society, and to the National Science Foundation for the support of this research. We thank Professors Walter Klemperer and Ted Brown for helpful discussions.

(5) CpMo(CO)₂(triphos-P,P)⁺ was identified by its carbonyl region infrared and its ³¹P NMR spectra: ν (C=O) 1966 and 1901 cm⁻¹ as compared with CpMo(CO)₂(diphos-P,P)⁺ (diphos = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane) ν (C=O) 1970 and 1904 cm⁻¹; δ (³¹P) 69.9 and 84.6 (coordinated central and terminal phosphorus atoms) and -10.8 ("dangling" terminal phosphorus atom) (1:1:1). δ (³¹P) values for free triphos are -11.9 (terminal phosphorus atom) and -15.7 (central phosphorus atom). Chemical shifts are relative to 85% H_3PO_4 ; positive shifts are downfield. These chemical shifts are typical of chelated phosphorus atoms in five-membered metallocycles, though somewhat

chelated phosphorus atoms in five-membered metallocycles, though somewhat more positive than those with more electron-rich metal centers. See: Pregosin, P. S.; Kunz, R. W. "³¹P and ¹³C NMR of Transition Metal Phosphine Complexes"; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979, pp 133–138.

(6) CpMo(CO)(triphos-P,P',P')'+ was identified by its carbonyl region infrared spectrum, ν(C=O) 1858 cm⁻¹, as compared with reasonably similar known complexes such as CpMo(CO)(CH₃CN)(PPh₃)₂+, ν(C=O) 1860 cm⁻¹. The ³¹P NMR spectrum of the complex has resonances at δ 90.28 (terminal phosphorus atoms) and 108.0 (central phosphorus atom) (2·1)

(terminal phosphorus atoms) and 108.0 (central phosphorus atom) (2:1).

(7) Treichel, P. M.; Barnett, K. W.; Shubkin, R. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 7, 449-459.

Metathesis of Acetylenes by Molybdenum(VI) Alkylidyne Complexes¹

Laughlin G. McCullough and Richard R. Schrock*

Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received February 14, 1984

The first reported homogeneous system for the metathesis of acetylenes involved Mo(CO)₆ as the catalyst precursor.² This system has been improved and studied in some detail.³ More recently a relatively active catalyst based on MoO₂(acac), has been reported.⁴ However, in no case has the active catalyst been detected. On the basis of the fact that tungsten(VI) alkyidyne complexes⁵ will rapidly metathesize dialkylacetylenes, ⁶ we postulated that molybdenum-based systems also involve molybdenum (VI) alkylidyne complexes as the active species. We set out to test this theory.

⁽³⁾ Photolysis and anaerobic techniques used were the same as those described previously; see ref 1.

⁽⁴⁾ Basolo, F.; Pearson, R. G. "Mechanisms of Inorganic Chemistry"; Wiley: New York, 1958; p 223.

⁽¹⁾ Multiple Metal-Carbon Bonds. 34. For part 33, see: Holmes, S. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Churchill, M. R.; Wasserman, H. J. Organometallics 1984,

⁽²⁾ Mortreux, A.; Delgrange, J. C.; Blanchard, M.; Lubochinsky, B. J. Mol. Catal. 1977, 2, 73.
(3) Devarajan, S.; Walton, O. R. M.; Leigh, G. J. J. Ogranomet. Chem. 1979, 181, 99.

⁽⁴⁾ Bencheick, A.; Petit, M.; Mortreux, A.; Petit, F. J. Mol. Catal. 1982,

⁽⁵⁾ Schrock, R. R.; Clark, D. N.; Sancho, J.; Wengrovius, J. H.; Rocklage, S. M.; Pedersen, S. F. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1645.

One of the most active types of tungsten alkylidyne complexes for the metathesis of dialkylacetylenes is W(CR)(OCMe₃)₃. The analogous molybdenum complex can be prepared in a series of reactions virtually identical with those used to prepare W-(CCMe₃)(OCMe₃)₃; i.e., Mo(CCMe₃)(CH₂CMe₃)₃⁷ is treated with 3 equiv of HCl in the presence of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (dme) to give blue mer-Mo(CCMe₃)(dme)Cl₃,8a which is then treated with LiOCMe3 in ether to give white, pentane-soluble, air- and water-sensitive, sublimable Mo(CCMe₃)(OCMe₃)₃ (1) in high yield.8b $Mo(CCMe_3)(O-i-Pr)_3$ (2) and $Mo(CCMe_3)$ -(OCH₂CMe₃)₃ (3) were prepared similarly. To our surprise pure 1 does not react with 3-heptyne, 9 2-butyne, or diphenylacetylene, while 2 and 3 polymerize 3-heptyne. Some initial and catalytic metathesis products of 3-heptyne are observed, but eventually are consumed, presumably to give polymers. The mechanism of the polymerization reaction is unknown. One possibility is that an intermediate molybdenacyclobutadiene complex readily reacts with more acetylene to give a "molybdenabenzene" complex and that subsequent relatively rapid "ring expansions" ultimately yield polymer. These results suggest that bulky alkoxides are required to prevent polymerization and that Mo is not electrophilic enough in Mo(CCMe₃)(OCMe₃)₃ compared to W in W(CCMe₃)-(OCMe₃)₃ to attract the acetylene through the bulky ligand system. Therefore, we turned to more electron-withdrawing fluoro-tert-butoxide and 2,6-disubstituted phenoxide ligand sys-

 $Mo(CCMe_3)(dme)Cl_3$ reacts with 3 equiv of $MOCMe_x(CF_3)_{3-x}$ (M = Li or K) in ether or dichloromethane to give white Mo-(CCMe₃)[OCMe₂(CF₃)]₃ (4), orange-red mer-Mo(CCMe₃)- $[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_3(dme)$ (5), or purple mer-Mo(CCMe₃)[OC-(CF₃)₃]₃(dme) (6).¹⁰ Only 5 loses dme upon sublimation in vacuo $(60 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C}, 0.1 \, \mu\text{m})$ to give yellow Mo(CCMe₃)[OCMe(CF₃)₂]₃ (7);¹¹ 6 sublimes with dme intact. All of these complexes react readily with dialkylacetylenes to give the expected tert-butyl-containing cleavage product(s) and the expected new alkyidyne complex(es) in high yield and will metathesize 3-heptyne. 12 No significant amount of acetylene polymer is formed in any case, and we were not able to detect intermediate molybdenacyclobutadiene complexes by ¹H NMR at 25 °C in any case. The new alkylidyne complexes are analogous in every way to the parent neopentylidyne complexes. Two exemplary reactions are shown in eq 1 and 2.

$$Mo(CCMe_3)[OCMe_2(CF_3)]_3 \xrightarrow[-Me_3CC = CPr]{4-octyne} Mo(CPr)[OCMe_2(CF_3)]_3 (1)$$

$$Mo(CCMe_3)[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_3(dme) \xrightarrow{+2\text{-butyne}} Mo(CMe)[OMe(CF_3)_2]_3(dme) (2)$$

(6) (a) Wengrovius, J. H.; Sancho, J.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

Mo(CCMe₃)(dme)Cl₃ reacts with 3 equiv of LiOCH(CF₃)₃ in dichloromethane to give mer-Mo(CCMe₃)[OCH(CF₃)₂]₃(dme) (8). 13 Like 6, 8 sublimes (60 °C, 0.1 μ m) with dme intact. 8 also reacts readily with dialkylacetylenes to give new alkylidyne complexes (e.g., eq 3) Again we see no evidence for molybde-

$$\begin{array}{c} Mo(CCMe_3)[OCH(CF_3)_2]_3(dme) \xrightarrow{+3\text{-hexyne}} \\ Mo(CEt)[OCH(CF_3)_2]_3(dme) \end{array} \end{subarray}$$

naccyclobutadiene intermediates or formation of polymeric acetylenes.

Mo(CCMe₃)(dme)Cl₃ reacts with 3 equiv of LiO(2,6-C₆H₃- $(i-Pr)_2$ in ether to give Mo(CCMe₃)[O(2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂)]₃ (9) in high yield.¹⁴ It too reacts rapidly with 3-hexyne or 4-octyne to give the expected tert-butylacetylene and will readily metathesize 3-heptyne. The interesting feature is that in ether 9 reacts with an excess of 3-hexyne to give red crystals of what appears to be a molybdenacyclobutadiene complex (10). When 10 is redissolved in C_6D_6 , a 1:1 mixture of $Mo(CEt)[O(2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2)]_3$ (9) and 3-hexyne is formed. If more 3-hexyne is added to 9', a mixture of 10 and 9' is observed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. ¹⁵ These data suggest the equilibrium shown in eq 4. We expect 10 to be

Mo(CEt)(OR)₃

$$+3-\text{hexyne}$$

$$-3-\text{hexyne}$$

$$R = 2,6-C_6H_3(i-\text{Pr})_2$$

$$9'$$

$$+3-\text{hexyne}$$

$$R = 0$$

roughly a trigonal bipyramidal complex with the MoC₃ ring in the equatorial plane, as has recently been shown to be the case for the analogous tungsten complex. 16 The ready loss of 3-hexyne from 10 can be interpreted in terms of a generally lower electrophilicity of Mo compared to W, as we postulated initially above.

We feel that the principles of acetylene metathesis are now well in hand and that d⁰ alkylidyne complexes are likely to be the active catalysts in most, if not all, systems. How a molybdenum(VI) alkylidyne complex is formed from $Mo(CO)_6^{2,3}$ is hardly obvious. However, it is worth noting in this context that ethylidyne cluster complexes containing Mo(IV) have been isolated from the reaction of acetic acid with Mo(CO)6,17 disproportionation or oxidation in the presence of the appropriate potential ligand (e.g., phenol) could generate molybdenum(VI) alkylidyne complexes. Finally, it is interesting to note that phenols²⁻⁴ and fluoroalcohols² are required "co-catalysts" in the Mo(CO)₆-based systems, further evidence that molybdenum(VI) alkylidyne complexes closely related to those we have reported here are the active catalysts.

Acknowledgment. R.R.S. thanks the National Science Foundation for support (CHE 81-21282), and L.G.M. thanks the DOW Central Research Department for a Graduate Fellowship during the period June 1983-June 1984.

 ^{1981, 103, 3932. (}b) Sancho, J.; Schrock, R. R. J. Mol. Catal. 1982, 15, 75.
 (7) Clark, D. N.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6774. Mo(CCMe₃)(CH₂CMe₃)₃ is now prepared in $35 \pm 5\%$ yield reproducibly. MoO₂Cl₂ (10 g in 100 mL of THF) was added slowly to 6 equiv of Me₃CCH₂MgCl (1 M in ether) at -78 °C. After addition was complete, the mixture was warmed to 25 °C and filtered. All solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with pentane. The pentane was removed in vacuo and the pale yellow product sublimed at 70 °C and 0.001 μ m onto a 0 °C probe.

^{(8) (}a) A solution of HCl in ether (1.65 M, 30 mL, 49.5 mmol) was slowly added to an ether solution of Mo(CCMe₃)(CH₂CMe₃)₃ (6.00 g, 15.9 mmol) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (4.9 mL, 47.1 mmol). The mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 1 h to give a blue solution. The solution was filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a total of 4.20 g (73%) of blue *mer*-Mo-(CCMe₃)Cl₃(dme) in three crops. Anal. Calcd for MoC₉H₁₉O₂Cl₃: C, 29.90; H, 5.30. Found: C, 29.69; H, 5.18 δ (CCMe₃) 341.3 in C₆D₆. (b) Anal. Calcd for MoC₁₇H₃₆O₃: C, 53.12; H, 9.44. Found: C, 52.72; H, 9.30. δ (CCMe₃) 296.1 in C₆D₆.

⁽⁹⁾ Some samples of 1 will metathesize 3-heptyne slowly, but activity stops after an hour or two, and little if any of the expected tert-butyl-containing acetylenes are found. We propose that impurities are the active species.

⁽¹⁰⁾ LiOR in ether for 4; KOR in ether for 5; KOR in dichloromethane

for 6. $\delta(CCMe_3)$ 309.7 for 4, 318.8 for 5, both in C_6D_6 .

(11) Calcd for $MoC_{17}H_{18}O_3F_{18}$: C, 28.83; H, 2.56. Found: C, 28.40; H,

⁽¹²⁾ The slowest to metathesize 20 equiv of 3-heptyne in ether to equilibrium (4) requires ~ 30 min. The fastest (6) requires $\lesssim 1$ min. ($T \approx 25$ °C in all cases.)

⁽¹³⁾ Calcd for MoC₁₈H₂₂O₅F₁₈: C, 28.59; H, 2.93. Found: C, 28.25; H,

⁽¹⁴⁾ Calcd for MoC₄₁H₆₀O₃: C, 70.67; H, 8.68. Found: C, 70.46; H, 8.70. $\delta(CCMe_3)$ 337.2 in C_6D_6 .

⁽¹⁵⁾ In a ¹³C NMR spectrum of a mixture of **10** and **9**′ δ (CEt) for **9**′ is 327.9 (cf. 337.2 ppm in **9**) and δ (C_{α} Et) in **10** is 259.8 (cf. 244.9 ppm in W(C_{3} Et)₃)[O(2,6- C_{α} H₃(i-Pr)₂)]₃¹⁶). These reaction mixtures are extremely

⁽¹⁶⁾ Churchill, M. R.; Ziller, J. W.; Freudenberger, J. H.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics, in press.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Bino, A.; Cotton, F. A.; Dori, Z.; Kolthammer, B. W. S. J. Am. Chem. 1981, 103, 5779.