

Communication

Dual Catalysis Using Boronic Acid and Chiral Amine: Acyclic Quaternary Carbons via Enantioselective Alkylation of Branched Aldehydes with Allylic Alcohols

Xiaobin Mo, and Dennis G Hall

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 12 Aug 2016 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 12, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9

10 11 12

Dual Catalysis Using Boronic Acid and Chiral Amine: Acyclic Quaternary Carbons via Enantioselective Alkylation of Branched Aldehydes with Allylic Alcohols

Xiaobin Mo, Dennis G. Hall*

Department of Chemistry, Centennial Centre for Interdisciplinary Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 2G2

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: A ferrocenium boronic acid salt activates allylic alcohols to generate transient carbocations that react with in situ generated chiral enamines from branched aldehydes. The optimized conditions afford the desired acyclic products embedding a methyl-aryl quaternary carbon centre with up to 90 % yield and 97:3 enantioselectivity ratio, with only water as the by-product. This noble metal-free method complements alternative methods that are incompatible with C-halogen bonds and other sensitive functional groups.

The advent of new strategies for the catalytic activation of organic molecules creates new opportunities to design unconventional bond forming processes. In dual catalysis, two mutually compatible catalysts are combined to independently activate two different substrates and expand the scope of reactions with substrates that are unreactive under traditional conditions.¹ In this perspective, the concept of boronic acid catalysis (BAC), which exploits the ability of boronic acids to form reversible covalent bonds with hydroxyl functionalities, was examined by our group and others in the catalytic direct activation of carboxylic acids and alcohols.² For instance, we recently reported direct boronic acidcatalyzed Friedel-Crafts alkylations of neutral arenes with readily available allylic and benzylic alcohols as a way to circumvent the use of toxic organohalide electrophiles.³ With a view to extend this strategy towards other classes of nucleophiles such as carbonyl enolates, we envisaged the possibility of merging BAC with chiral amine catalysis to achieve alkylation of enamines with carbocations via dual activation of aldehydes and alcohols, respectively.^{4,5} A priori, the combined use of Lewis acidic and Brønsted basic catalysts poses challenging issues of chemoselectivity, including the threat of catalysts' inter-annihilation. Moreover, the use of alcohols as precursors of reactive carbocations can lead to sidereactions like homoetherification or alkylation of the amine catalyst. Cozzi and co-workers overcame these challenges by employing InBr₃ and imidazolidinone catalysts to alkylate linear aldehydes with secondary allylic alcohols in high enantioselectivities.^{4b} Similar approaches to asymmetric allylation of branched aldehydes with allylic alcohols engaging transition metals⁶ as co-catalysts were reported. In 2011, List and

co-workers prepared acyclic quaternary carbon centers with a clever combination of palladium, Brønsted acid, and amine catalysis (Figure 1a).^{6b} Similarly, Carreira and co-workers employed dual iridium and amine catalysis as a complementary strategy to obtain the branched products of allylic alkylation in high enantio- and diastereoselectivity (Figure 1b).^{6d} **Previous work**

Previous work

(a) List; Pd + amine + chiral acid (ref. 6b)



Figure 1. Methods for dual catalytic asymmetric allylation of branched aldehydes with allylic alcohols

As highlighted through these key contributions, the preparation of acyclic quaternary all-carbon centers remains an important objective in organic synthesis.⁷ For instance, methylaryl quaternary carbons are present in a number of bioactive natural products and drug candidates (Figure 2).⁸ Although several methods exist based on the use of chiral auxiliaries,⁹ there are relatively few strategies available through asymmetric catalysis. Furthermore, preparative methods based on palladium catalysis are not orthogonal with functionalities like aryl halides and are often incompatible with nitro or other basic functional groups. Herein, we describe a conceptually novel, noble metal-free methodology based on dual BAC and amine catalysis that is compatible with these functional groups and provides methylated quaternary carbon centers in high enantioselectivity (Figure 1c).



Figure 2. Examples of biologically active compounds containing stereogenic methylated quaternary carbon centers

The initial optimization was performed on the racemic reaction and focused on selecting the best boronic acid cocatalyst and allylic alcohol partner with aldehyde 1a and benzhydrylamine A1^{6d} (see SI). This effort identified the most promising conditions with ferrocenium boronic acid B1 and alcohol 2a in a dichloromethane/hexafluoroisopropanol mixture (v:v=10:1) at 40 °C for 48 h, affording product 3a in 88% yield (Table 1, entry 1). The use of HFIP was critical to increase the solubility of ferrocenium boronic acid **B1** as well as stabilizing the putative carbocation intermediate.¹⁰ Allylic alcohol 2a with para fluorine substituents was employed to best suppress the boronic acid-catalyzed 1,3-rearrangement of allylic alcohols, a process competing with the desired allylation." Even though a limited number of allylic alcohols were suitable (see SI), it is often inconsequential because one of the most compelling synthetic transformation of the diaryl alkene moiety of products like **3a** is oxidative cleavage.

Table 1. Chiral Amine Optimization in the Dual Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation^a

H H Me 1a	H^h + HO Ar Ar 2a: Ar = 4-F	B1 (20 mc amine (20 m DCM:HFIP = 40 °C, 48 h, 0	DI%) mol%) = 10:1, 0.125 M O Me Pr Me 3	Ar Ar a
Perton and the second	$ B(OH)_2 SbF_6 NH_2 A1 $	h NH2 A2	H O TMS A3	CF ₃ CF ₃ CF ₃ CF ₃ CF ₃ CF ₃ A4-8
entry	amine	R	yield (%) ^b	er ^c
1	Aı	-	88	50:50
2	A2	-	86	76.5:23.5
3	A3	-	n.r.	n.d.
4	A4	-SiMe ₃	20	87.5:12.5
5	A5	-SiEt ₃	34	83.5:16.5
6	A6	-Si(i-Pr) ₃	51	85.5:14.5
7	A7	-SiPh ₃	31	85.5:14.5
8	A8	-Si(t-Bu)Me ₂	47	90:10

^aReactions conditions: 0.25 mmol of aldehyde and 0.50 mmol of alcohol in a solvent mixture of dichloromethane (2.0 mL) and hexafluoroisopropanol (0.2 mL) under nitrogen at 40 °C for 48 h. ^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture with 1,4-dinitrobenzene as internal standard. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis of the corresponding alcohol product of aldehyde reduction (see SI).

The enantioselectivity of the allylation was examined by screening over 30 different chiral amines, based on conditions of the optimized racemic reaction (see SI). Chiral primary amines were investigated first because they are less sterically hindered for branched aldehydes.¹² Unfortunately, these amines generally provided poor performance, as exemplified with $A2^{13}$ affording a 76.5:23.5 er (Table 1, entry 2). The more common chiral secondary amines were evaluated even though α -functionalization of branched aldehydes catalyzed by secondary amines is generally more challenging and less successful.¹⁴ When diphenylprolinol trimethylsilyl ether A3¹⁵ was employed, the reaction provided no product (Table 1, entry 3). We hypothesized that this failure may be due to the nucleophilic secondary amine center, which could deactivate the ferrocenium boronic acid B1. To our satisfaction, upon using the less nucleophilic diarylprolinol silvl ether A4, the desired product was observed in high enantioselectivity (87.5:12.5 er) albeit with low yield (20%). Encouraged by this result, we eventually identified A8 as the best amine catalyst providing 47% yield and 90:10 er (Table 1, entry 8). With this optimal chiral amine in hand, we turned our attention to the optimization of other reaction parameters. A brief solvent screening identified toluene/HFIP (v:v=10:1), at a concentration of 0.25 M, as the solvent system providing the highest enantioselectivity albeit, in 32% yield (see SI). Additives (water, acetic acid¹⁶) provided no improvement. According to Bräse and co-workers, the use of microwave irradiation can accelerate enamine formation for branched aldehydes.¹⁷ By applying the same strategy with a catalyst loading of 30 mol%, the yield of 3a near doubled. Catalysts ratio other than 1:1 B1:A8 led to no improvement (see SI). At this stage, a reoptimization of the allylic alcohol showed that **2b** can serve as a cheaper and more effective allylation agent providing a higher yield of 60% while maintaining the enantioselectivity. The remainder of the mass balance consists mostly of unreacted aldehyde and transposed alcohol.

The scope of aldehyde substrate was assessed under the optimal conditions of (Scheme 1). Branched aldehydes with an aryl group bearing electron-donating substituents (-OMe, -Me) provided products 4b and 4c in slightly lower yield and enantioselectivity compared to 4a. While existing methods^{6b} also reported high yield and high enantioselectivity for select aldehydes containing simple aryl substituents (-OMe, -Me, -F), we were delighted to observe a wider functional group tolerance with our reaction system, especially for electron withdrawing aryl substituents. Branched aldehydes with bromo/chloro aryl substituents, which are particularly useful for derivatization by cross-coupling chemistry, were well tolerated and gave high yield and high enantioselectivity in the preparation of products **4d-f**.¹⁸ Highly enantioselective catalytic α-functionalization of aldehydes 1g-j has been shown to be challenging with other methods.^{6f,14d,19} In contrast, with our system, polar basic functional groups such as -CO₂Me, -NO₂, and CF₃ fared well affording products 4gj.²⁰ An aldehyde with a naphthyl group afforded product **4k** in good yield and enantioselectivity. The auspicious applicability of this method towards heterocyclic substrates is highlighted with indolyl product 4l, which despite a lower yield, was obtained in high er. Unfortunately, the α -ethyl aldehyde 1m was not readily applicable. The absolute configuration of the allylation products 4a-m was assigned as (S) based on the derivatization of 4a into a known compound (see SI).²¹





^aReactions conditions: 0.25 mmol of aldehyde and 0.50 mmol of alcohol in 1.1 mL of solvent in a microwave reactor under nitrogen at 60 °C for 12 h. ^bYield of first step were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture with 1,4dinitrobenzene as internal standard. ^cIsolated yield over two steps, of the alcohol product of aldehyde reduction. ^dDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis of the alcohol products **4** (see SI). ^eEnantiomeric ratio of **4i** was obtained by Mosher's acid analysis of the reduced alcohol product.

To demonstrate the practicality of this method, a gram scale reaction with aldehyde **1f** was performed (Scheme 2). Even though a lower yield was observed compared to the exploratory scale of Scheme 1, the enantioselectivity was maintained. Oxidative cleavage of the double bond of product **4f** afforded compound **5** as a key building block possessing correctly differentiated side chains for the quaternary carbon fragment of Servier's NK1/NK3 receptor antagonist,^{8d} which could not be prepared previously in catalytic asymmetric fashion.

Scheme 2. Application of Dual Catalytic Allylation



Mechanistic control experiments were conducted. Ferrocenium boronic acid **B1** was shown to be a superior acid catalyst compared to TFA,^{4a} InBr₃,^{4b} and *p*-TsOH^{5b} for the asymmetric allylation (Scheme 3, top). Though it provides a significantly lower yield, the ferrocenium catalyst devoid of a boronyl group, CpFe(III)CpSbF₆, is also active (Scheme 3, top). This result indicates that cooperative activation involving both Lewis acidic sites of **B1** cannot be ruled out. In the presence of HFIP, with none or trace water, a complex dynamic equilibrium is likely to establish consisting of the free boronic acid, the bis(hexafluoroisopropoxide), the hydroxy (hexafluoroisopropoxide) hemiester, and the corresponding anionic species (Scheme 3). Formation of boronic anhydrides was not detected by mass spectrometry. The possible existence of inter-catalyst interactions was examined by NMR spectroscopy in the reaction solvent (10:1 d8-toluene:HFIP). In the presence of the amine catalyst, a new "B NMR resonance appears at ~5 ppm, possibly indicative of reversible catalyst interactions as a tetrahedral amine-borate, or, more likelv base-promoted formation of а the tri(hexafluoroisopropoxy)borate complex observed by MS (see SI). According to "B NMR analysis, catalyst **B1** appears largely transformed at the end of the reaction. Although a slow destructive interaction between the Fe(III) ion and nucleophilic reagents cannot be ruled out,²² reversible interaction of the catalyst with the water by-product or unreacted substrates is also possible and would require further studies. Altogether, based on these preliminary experiments and previous work^{3b} with catalyst **B1**, an S_{N1} mechanism is proposed with the dual-catalyzed cycles depicted in Scheme 3. Faceselective attack of the in situ formed chiral enamine (H) to the reactive carbocation (E) results in the allylation product. Substitution of boronic acid B1 for a mixture of 2,3,4,5- $F_4HC_6B(OH)_2$ and $Cp_2Fe(III)SbF_6$ provided a lower yield (32%), which lends further support to an ion redistribution mechanism that is possible only with ionic boronic acid **B1**.

In summary, we have disclosed the first application of BAC in asymmetric dual catalysis. This noble metal-free method for allylation of branched aldehydes provides moderate to high yields with high enantioselectivity, and it displays broad functional group tolerance. The reliability of this asymmetric allylation was demonstrated on a gram-scale for the preparation of a quaternary carbon fragment of Servier's NK1/NK3 receptor antagonist. A dual catalyzed $S_{\rm N1}$ mechanistic cycle was proposed. We envision that more dual catalyzed transformations of synthetic interest can be developed based on the BAC concept.

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Controls and Proposed Catalytic Cycle of Dual Catalytic Asymmetric Allylation



ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details, analytical and spectral reproductions for the prepared compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dennis.hall@ualberta.ca

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Discovery Grant to D.G.H.) and the University of Alberta. X.M. thanks Alberta Innovate – Health Solutions for a Graduate Studentship. We thank Dr. Angelina Morales-Izquierdo for help with mass spectrometric analyses, and Dr. Tristan Verdelet for suggestions on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Shao, Z.; Zhang, H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2745. (b) Zhong, C.; Shi, X. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2999. (c) Allen, A. E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 633.

(2) (a) Maki, T.; Ishihara, K.; Yamamoto, H.; *Tetrahedron* 2007, *63*, 8645. (b) Georgiou, I.; Ilyashenko, G.; Whiting, A.; *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009, 42, 756. (c) Zheng, H.; Hall, D. G. *Aldrich. Acta*. 2014, 47, 41.

(3) (a) Ricardo, C. L.; Mo, X.; McCubbin, J. A.; Hall, D. G. *Chem. -Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 4218. (b) Mo, X.; Yakiwchuk, J.; Dansereau, J.; McCubbin, J. A.; Hall, D. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 9694.

(4) (a) Cozzi, P. G.; Benfatti, F.; Zoli, L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 1313. (b) Capdevila, M. G.; Benfatti, F.; Zoli, L.; Stenta, M.; Cozzi,

P. G. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 11237.
(5) For an example of catalytic enantioselective S_{N1} benzylation of

branched aldehydes with benzyl bromides, see: (a) Brown, A. R.; Kuo, W. -H.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9286. For an example of catalytic racemic allylation of branched aldehydes with allylic alcohols, see: (b) Xu, L. -W.; Gao, G.; Gu, F. -L.; Sheng, H.; Li, L.; Lai, G. -Q.; Jiang. J. -X. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, *352*, 1441.

(6) For examples of enantioselective allylation of branched aldehydes by transition metal/amine catalysis, see: (a) Usui, I.; Schmidt, S.; Breit, B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1453. (b) Jiang, G.; List, B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9471. (c) Yoshida, M.; Terumine, T.; Masaki, E.; Hara, S. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10853. (d) Krautwald, S.; Sarlah, D.; Schafroth, M. A.; Carreira, E. M. Science. 2013, 340, 1065. (e) Huo, X.; Yang, G.; Liu, D.; Liu, Y.; Gridnev, I. D.; Zhang, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6776. (f) Wang, P. -S.; Lin, H. -C.; Zhai, Y. -J.; Han, Z. -Y.; Gong, L. -Z. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12218.

(7) (a) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. *Nature*, **2014**, *516*, 181. (b) Marek, I.; Minko, Y.; Pasco, M.; Mejuch, T.; Gilboa, N.; Chechik, H.; Jaya P. Das, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 2682.

(8) (+)-cuparene: (a) Enzell, C.; Erdtman, H.; *Tetrahedron*, **1958**, *4*, 361. LY426965: (b) Rasmussen, K.; Calligaro, D. O.; Czachura, J. F.; Dreshfield-Ahmad, L. J.; Evans, D. C.; Hemrick-Luecke, S. K.; Kallman, M. J.; Kendrick, W. T.; Leander, J. D.; Nelson, D. L.; Overshiner, C. D.; Wainscott, D. B.; Wolff, M. C.; Wong, D. T.; Branchek, T. A.; Zgombick, J. M.; Xu, Y.-C. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2000**, *294*, 688. CCR5 antagonist: (c) Shah,S. K.; Chen, N.; Guthikonda, R. N.; Mills, S. G.; Malkowitz, L.; Springer, M. S.; Gould, S. L.; Julie A. DeMartino, J. A.; Carella, A.; Carver, G.; Holmes, K.; Schleif, W. A.; Danzeisen, R.; Hazuda, D.; Kessler, J.; Lineberger, J.; Miller,M.; Emini E. A.; Mac-Coss, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 977. NK1/NK3 receptor antagonist: (d) Hanessian, S.; Jennequin, T.; Boyer, N.; Babonneau, V.; Soma, U.; la Cour, C. M.; Millan, M. J.; De Nanteuil, G. *ACS Med. Chem.Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 550.

(9) For sexample, see: Kummer, D. A.; Chain, W. J.;Morales, M. R.; Quiroga, O.; Myers, A. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *13*0, 13231; and references cited therein.

(10) Shuklov, I. A.; Dubrovina, N. V.; Börner, A. Synthesis 2007, 2925.
 (11) Zheng, G.; Lejkowski, M.; Hall, D. G. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1305.

(12) Melchiorre, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9748.

(12) Therefore, Γ - Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9748.

(13) Zhang, L.; Fu, N.; Luo, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 986.

(14) (a) Sánchez, D.; Bastida, D.; Burés, J.; Isart, C.; Pineda, O.; Jaume Vilarrasa, J.; *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 536. (b) Kempf, B.; Hampel, N.; Ofial, A. R.; Mayr, H. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2209. For reviews on asymmetric enamine catalysis, see: (c) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5471. (d) Desmarchelier, A.; Coeffard, V.; Moreau, X.; Greck, C. *Tetrahedron*, **2014**, *70*, 2491.

(15) (a) Jensen, K. L.; Dickmeiss, G.; Jiang, H.; Albrecht, Ł.; Jørgensen. K. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 248.

(16) The addition of acetic acid is known to promote a faster E/Z enamine equilibrium for branched aldehydes, see: Burés, J.; Armstrong, A.; Blackmond. D. G. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1273.

(17) Baumann, T.; Bächle, M.; Hartmann, C.; Bräse, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 2207.

(18) To the best of our knowledge, dual catalytic asymmetric Tsuji-Trost type allylation with Pd/amine catalysis on aldehydes with aryl groups bearing bromo substituents has not been achieved, see: ref. 6.
(19) For a comparison of substrate **1h** under Pd/amine/chiral phosphoric acid catalysis similar to ref. 6b, a lower enantioselectivity 67% ee was observed, see: ref. 6f.

- (20) The racemic background enol allylation did not undermine the enantioselectivity as proposed by Bräse and co-workers.¹⁷
 (21) Sonawane, R. P.; Jheengut, V.; Rabalakos, C.; Larouche-Gauthier, R.; Scott, H. K.; Aggarwal, V. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3760.
 (22) Prins, R.; Korswagen, A. R.; Kortbeek, A. G. T. G. J. Organomet.
 - Chem. 1972, 39, 335.

For Table of Contents Only









81x31mm (300 x 300 DPI)