BEILSTEIN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

Regioselective addition of Grignard reagents to *N*-acylpyrazinium salts: synthesis of substituted 1,2-dihydropyrazines and Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines

Valentine R. St. Hilaire¹, William E. Hopkins¹, Yenteeo S. Miller¹, Srinivasa R. Dandepally¹ and Alfred L. Williams^{*1,2}

Full Research Paper		Open Access
Address: ¹ Biomanufacturing Research Institute and Technology Enterprise	<i>Beilstein J. Org. Chem.</i> 2019, <i>15,</i> 72–78. doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.8	
(BRITE), North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina		
27707, United States and ² Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences,	Received: 22 September 2018	
North Carolina Central University, Durham, North Carolina 27707,	Accepted: 10 December 2018	
United States	Published: 08 January 2019	
Email [.]	Associate Editor: D. YK. Chen	
Alfred L. Williams [*] - awilliams@nccu.edu		
	© 2019 St. Hilaire et al.: licensee Beilstein-Institut.	
* Corresponding author	License and terms: see end of document.	
Keywords:		
<i>N</i> -acylpyrazinium salts; 1,2-dihydropyrazines; Grignard reagents;		
Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines; regioselective addition		

Abstract

The regioselective addition of Grignard reagents to mono- and disubstituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salts affording substituted 1,2-dihydropyrazines in modest to excellent yields (45–100%) is described. Under acidic conditions, these 1,2-dihydropyrazines can be converted to substituted Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines providing a simple and efficient approach towards their preparation.

Introduction

Pyrazine and piperazine ring systems are key structural elements in a large number of biologically active molecules [1-6]. Compounds containing these scaffolds were shown to behave as anticancer agents [2-5], sodium channel blockers [5], and also display antiviral activity [7]. Due to their appearance in an array of biologically active small molecules and natural products, efficient synthetic routes into this class of privileged structures would be very beneficial [7,8]. One approach towards their synthesis involves the addition of nucleophiles to activated pyrazines. We recently showed that 3-alkoxy-substituted N-acylpyrazinium salts can be selectively reduced by tributyltin hydride to afford 1,2-dihydropyrazines in good to excellent yields [9]. There have been other reports involving the addition of TMS-ketene acetals to pyrazinium salts [10-12]. A double nucleophilic addition of bis(trimethylsilyl)ketene acetals to pyrazines activated with methyl chloroformate was found to afford polycyclic γ -lactones in moderate yields [3,10,11]. The work by Garduño-Alva and co-workers demonstrated that these

TMS-ketene acetals can be regioselectively added to substituted *N*-triflate pyrazinium salts to also generate γ -lactones [12].

Grignard reagents have been used as nucleophiles on a variety of *N*-acyl-activated pyridines in the production of natural products and biologically active small molecules [13-20]. To our surprise, there are no reports on the nucleophilic addition of Grignard reagents to *N*-acylpyrazinium salts. A literature search showed this organometallic reagent reacting with pyrazine *N*-oxides towards the one-pot synthesis of *N*-Boc-protected *N*-hydroxy-substituted piperazines in good yields [6]. Methylmagnesium iodide was observed adding to 2-cyano-6morpholinylpyrazine [21]. As a part of our continued exploration into the synthetic utility of *N*-acylpyrazinium salts, herein we report the regioselective addition of Grignard reagents to mono- and disubstituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salts towards the synthesis of 1,2-dihydropyrazines and Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines.

Results and Discussion

Our journey began by first reacting 2-methoxypyrazine with phenyl chloroformate to generate the *N*-acylpyrazinium salt **2** using DCM as the solvent. Next, phenylmagnesium bromide in THF was added at -41 °C. After stirring for 60 min, dihydropyrazine **3a** was isolated in a yield of 40% (entry 1, Table 1). This initial low yield prompted us to switch the Grignard solvent from THF to DCM. Using modified reaction conditions from Andersson and co-wokers [22], phenylmagnesium bromide in DCM was added to **2** at -41 °C and after 35 min, dihydropyrazine **3a** was only isolated in a 32% yield (entry 2, Table 1). The lower yields appear to be caused by the Grignard attacking the carbonyl of the *N*-acyl salt **2** causing the formation of phenyl benzoate. When toluene was used as the solvent,

both **3a** and the ester were obtained in yields of 41% and 39%, respectively (entry 3, Table 1). Changing the solvent to diethyl ether showed no improvement in the yield of **3a** but when THF was used, an excellent yield of 87% was produced (entries 4 and 5, Table 1).

Based on our previously developed selective tin hydride reduction of monosubstituted pyrazinium salts [9], we expected the Grignard reagent to add regioselectively to give 1,2-dihydropyrazine **3a**. DFT calculations support the observations that the isolated regioisomer we obtained was the result of a thermodynamically favored 1,2-addition over a 1,6-addition [9]. It has also been shown that TMS-ketene acetals add selectively to *N*-triflate pyrazinium salts [12]. ¹H NMR analysis confirmed this by showing a rotameric pair of singlets at 3.89 and 3.84 ppm for the methoxy group at C3, a rotameric pair of singlets at 5.89 and 5.58 ppm for the proton at C2 and a rotameric pair of doublets at 6.17, 6.15 ppm and 6.68, 6.63 ppm for the two vinyl protons at C5 and C6, respectively. This result is in agreement with our observation that nucleophiles favored 1,2-addition over a 1,6-addition [9]. A formation of 1,6-dihydropyrazine was not observed.

With THF identified as the optimal solvent to use, we sought to expand the scope of the Grignard addition to various mono- and disubstituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salts (Figure 1). Phenyl chloroformate was the acylating reagent of choice for this study due to benzyl or methyl chloroformates producing products in very poor yields. A variety of alkyl Grignard reagents were shown to add regioselectively to methoxy-substituted salts to give the dihydropyrazines in yields ranging from 48% to 73% (Figure 1, compounds **3b–g**). Aryl Grignard reagents containing an elec-



^aGrignard reagent in THF. ^bIsolated yields. ^cGrignard reagent added as solution in DCM. ^dPhCO₂Ph (39%) was also isolated. ^eTrace amounts of the ester byproduct PhCO₂Ph was isolated.



tron-withdrawing and donating group proceeded to give the desired substituted products in moderate yields (Figure 1, compounds 3h-j). The examination of the Grignard addition to benzyloxy- or *p*-methoxybenzyloxy (PMB)-substituted pyrazinium salts, resulted in obtaining dihydropyrazines in yields that were comparable to the methoxy salts (Figure 1, compounds 4a,b and 5).

We next subjected disubstituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salts to this reaction. Based on our results from the monosubstituted substrates, a 1,2-addition of the Grignard was expected to occur. When an aryl group was present on the ring, trisubstituted dihydropyrazines in good yields ranging from 78–100% were produced (Figure 1, compounds 6, 7a,b and 12). An alkyl substitution with either an ethyl or benzyl group on the pyrazinium salts gave an 81% yield for both 10 and 11 (Figure 1). Reacting a Grignard with pyrazinium salts disubstituted with electron-donating alkoxy groups gave us the desired dihydropyrazine in

moderate to good yields of 45–88% (Figure 1, compounds 8, 9a,b) while the presence of an electron-withdrawing ester group generated 13 in a yield of 49%.

With the substituted 1,2-dihydropyrazines in hand, we next wanted to demonstrate their usefulness for synthesizing substituted Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines. Processes into this structural motif would be very useful due to their presence in a variety of biologically active small molecules and natural products [23-30]. We previously reported that 3-methoxy-1,2-dihydropyrazines can be easily converted to Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines using 1 M HCl_(aq) in methanol [9]. When we applied these acidic conditions on the phenyl-substituted 3-methoxy-1,2-dihydropyrazine **3a**, only a 5% yield of Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazine **14a** was obtained (Table 2, entry 1). This low yield appears to be due to the presence of a ring-opened side product [31]. The yields of the Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines were improved to 61% and 92%, respectively, when the reaction was repeated on phenyl-substi-

Table 2: Conversion of dihydropyrazine to Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines under acidic conditions.									
$R \downarrow N \downarrow OR^{1} \\ R^{2} \downarrow R^{2} \\ PhO \downarrow O \\ 3a, f-g, 4b, 5, 7a \\ R^{2} \downarrow R^{2} \\ PhO \downarrow O \\ R^{2} \\ PhO \downarrow O \\ PhO \\ Ph$									
entry	R	R ¹	R ²	acid	time (min)	product	yield (%) ^a		
1	н	Me	Ph	HCl _(aq) /MeOH	60	H H N Pho 0 14a	5		
2	н	Bn	Ph	HCI _(aq) /MeOH	45	14a	61		
3	Н	PMB	Ph	HCI _(aq) /MeOH	60	14a	92		
4 ^b	Н	Me	Ph	HCI/dioxane	30	14a	93		
5 ^b	Н	Ме	Bn	HCI/dioxane	30	H H H H H H H H H H	95		
6 ^b	н	Me	2-BrBn	HCI/dioxane	45	H H N PhO 0 14c	85		



tuted 1,2-dihydropyrazines containing benzyl and *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) ether groups (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). This enhancement in yield can be attributed to the benzyl and PMB groups' better sensitivity towards removal under acidic conditions [32]. With the aqueous acidic conditions not being suitable for converting 3-methoxy-1,2-dihydropyrazine **3a**, we decided to run this reaction under anhydrous acidic conditions. After stirring dihydropyrazine **3a** in the presence of HCl/ dioxane at 0 °C for 30 min, we were pleased to see that Δ^5 -2oxopiperazine **14a** was obtained in an excellent yield of 93% (Table 2, entry 4). Subjecting both benzyl and 2-bromobenzylsubstituted dihydropyrazines **3f** and **3g** to these acidic conditions gave 95% and 88% yields of **14b** and **14c**, respectively (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). When we examined the use of anhydrous acidic conditions on **4b** and **5**, quantitative yields of Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazine **14a** were obtained (Table 2, entries 7 and 8). Finally, under these conditions, the trisubstituted dihydropyrazine **7a** was easily converted to a disubstituted Δ^5 -2oxopiperazine **15a** in a yield of 73% (Table 2, entry 9).

Following the step-wise conversion of 1,2-dihydropyrazines to Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines, we decided to develop a one-pot approach towards their synthesis (Table 3). As described above, we first synthesized the phenyl-substituted 1,2-dihydropyrazine **4b** by adding a phenyl Grignard reagent to benzyloxy-substituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salt in THF at -41 °C. Next,





1 M HCl_(aq)/MeOH was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After 1 h, the hydrolysis of **4b** was completed to give **14a** in a good yield of 80% (Table 3, entry 1). Disubstituted Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines **15b–d** can be made similarly with yields ranging from 71–80% (Table 3, entries 2–4). This simple approach towards Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines provides access into compounds that can be reduced into mono- and disubstituted 2-oxopiperazines [33,34]. This structure is a common scaffold found in natural products and biologically active small molecules [23].

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that various Grignard reagents can be regioselectively added to mono- and disubstituted *N*-acylpyrazinium salts to give di- and trisubstituted 1,2dihydropyrazines in moderate to excellent yields. Under acidic conditions, the dihydropyrazines can be easily converted to substituted Δ^5 -2-oxopiperazines. These compounds can potentially serve as templates for making substituted 2-oxopiperazines. The investigation into the synthetic utility of this reaction is currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1

Experimental section and NMR spectra. [https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc/content/ supplementary/1860-5397-15-8-S1.pdf]

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant number: SC3GM105572) and the Golden LEAF Foundation, Rocky Mount, NC, for their financial support in setting up the BRITE Institute. HRMS data were obtained at the North Carolina State University Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility.

ORCID[®] iDs

Srinivasa R. Dandepally - https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6943-3029 Alfred L. Williams - https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8998-762X

References

- Lee, Y. B.; Gong, Y.-D.; Kim, D. J.; Ahn, C.-H.; Kong, J.-Y.; Kang, N.-S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20, 1303–1309. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.12.026
- Chetan, B.; Bunha, M.; Jagrat, M.; Sinha, B. N.; Saiko, P.; Graser, G.; Szekeres, T.; Raman, G.; Rajendran, P.; Moorthy, D.; Basu, A.; Jayaprakash, V. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 3906–3910. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.05.020
- Garg, N. K.; Stoltz, B. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2423–2426. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2005.02.054
- Guo, C.; Bhandaru, S.; Fuchs, P. L.; Boyd, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10672–10673. doi:10.1021/ja962646q
- Hirsh, A. J.; Molino, B. F.; Zhang, J.; Astakhova, N.; Geiss, W. B.; Sargent, B. J.; Swenson, B. D.; Usyatinsky, A.; Wyle, M. J.; Boucher, R. C.; Smith, R. T.; Zamurs, A.; Johnson, M. R. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 4098–4115. doi:10.1021/jm051134w
- Walker, J. A.; Liu, W.; Wise, D. S.; Drach, J. C.; Townsend, L. B. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1236–1241. doi:10.1021/jm970532z
- Horton, D. A.; Bourne, G. T.; Smythe, M. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 893–930. doi:10.1021/cr020033s
- Evans, B. E.; Rittle, K. E.; Bock, M. G.; DiPardo, R. M.; Freidinger, R. M.; Whitter, W. L.; Lundell, G. F.; Veber, D. F.; Anderson, P. S.; Chang, R. S. L.; Lotti, V. J.; Cerino, D. J.; Chen, T. B.; Kling, P. J.; Kunkel, K. A.; Springer, J. P.; Hirshfield, J. *J. Med. Chem.* **1988**, *31*, 2235–2246. doi:10.1021/jm00120a002
- Williams, A. L.; St. Hilaire, V. R.; Lee, T. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4097–4102. doi:10.1021/jo202498r
- Rudler, H.; Denise, B.; Xu, Y.; Parlier, A.; Vaissermann, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 3724–3744. doi:10.1002/ejoc.200500162

- 11. Rudler, H.; Denise, B.; Xu, Y.; Vaissermann, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 3449–3451. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2005.03.131
- Garduño-Alva, A.; Ortega-Alfaro, M. C.; López-Cortés, J. G.; Chávez, I.; Barroso-Flores, J.; Toscano, R. A.; Rudler, H.; Álvarez-Toledano, C. *Can. J. Chem.* **2012**, *90*, 469–482. doi:10.1139/v2012-016
- Hiebel, A.-C.; Comins, D. L. *Tetrahedron* 2015, *71*, 7354–7360. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2015.04.086
- Tsukanov, S. V.; Comins, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9074–9085. doi:10.1021/jo501415r
- Cash, B. M.; Prevost, N.; Wagner, F. F.; Comins, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5740–5745. doi:10.1021/jo500878v
- Wu, Y.; Li, L.; Li, H.; Gao, L.; Xie, H.; Zhang, Z.; Su, Z.; Hu, C.; Song, Z. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1880–1883. doi:10.1021/ol500302r
- 17. Sahn, J. J.; Bharathi, P.; Comins, D. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 1347–1350. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2011.12.127
- Gotchev, D. B.; Comins, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9393–9402. doi:10.1021/jo061677t
- Kuethe, J. T.; Comins, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2863–2866. doi:10.1021/jo049943v
- Comins, D. L.; Williams, A. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 2839–2842. doi:10.1016/s0040-4039(00)00298-7
- 21. Foks, H. Acta Pol. Pharm. 1978, 35, 525-528.
- Andersson, H.; Banchelin, T. S.-L.; Das, S.; Gustafsson, M.; Olsson, R.; Almqvist, F. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 284–286. doi:10.1021/ol902619h
- De Risi, C.; Pelà, M.; Pollini, G. P.; Trapella, C.; Zanirato, V. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2010**, *21*, 255–274. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2010.02.008
- 24. Vieth, M.; Siegel, M. G.; Higgs, R. E.; Watson, I. A.; Robertson, D. H.; Savin, K. A.; Durst, G. L.; Hipskind, P. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 224–232. doi:10.1021/jm030267j
- Kitamura, S.; Fukushi, H.; Miyawaki, T.; Kawamura, M.; Konishi, N.; Terashita, Z.-i.; Naka, T. *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *44*, 2438–2450. doi:10.1021/jm0004345
- 26. Endo, A.; Yanagisawa, A.; Abe, M.; Tohma, S.; Kan, T.; Fukuyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6552–6554. doi:10.1021/ja026216d
- Mori, K.; Rikimaru, K.; Kan, T.; Fukuyama, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3095–3097. doi:10.1021/ol048857e
- 28. Lee, S.-C.; Park, S. B. J. Comb. Chem. 2007, 9, 828–835. doi:10.1021/cc0700492 and references within.
- Candelon, N.; Shinkaruk, S.; Bennetau, B.; Bennetau-Pelissero, C.; Dumartin, M.-L.; Degueil, M.; Babin, P. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 2463–2469. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.01.088
- El Kaïm, L.; Grimaud, L.; Reddy Purumandla, S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4728–4733. doi:10.1021/jo200397m
- Major product present was the ring-opened compound 16; see Supporting Information File 1.
- Wuts, P. G. M.; Greene, T. W. Greene's protective groups in organic synthesis, 4th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: Hoboken, NJ, 2007.
- Peng, H.; Carrico, D.; Thai, V.; Blaskovich, M.; Bucher, C.;
 Pusateri, E. E.; Sebti, S. M.; Hamilton, A. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1768–1784. doi:10.1039/b517572k
- 34. Horwell, D. C.; Lewthwaite, R. A.; Pritchard, M. C.; Ratcliffe, G. S.; Ronald Rubin, J. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 4591–4606. doi:10.1016/s0040-4020(98)00092-1

License and Terms

This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>). Please note that the reuse, redistribution and reproduction in particular requires that the authors and source are credited.

The license is subject to the *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry* terms and conditions: (https://www.beilstein-journals.org/bjoc)

The definitive version of this article is the electronic one which can be found at: doi:10.3762/bjoc.15.8