Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



View Article Online

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 2991

Conversion of esters to thioesters under mild conditions[†]

Yijun Shi, 跑 ^a Xuejing Liu, *^a Han Cao,^a Fusheng Bie,^a Ying Han,^a Peng Yan,^a Roman Szostak, 跑 ^b Michal Szostak ២ *^c and Chengwei Liu ២ *^d

We report conversion of esters to thioesters *via* selective C–O bond cleavage/weak C–S bond formation under transition-metal-free conditions. The method is notable for a general and practical transition-metal-free system, broad substrate scope and excellent functional group tolerance. The strategy was successfully deployed in late-stage thioesterification, site-selective cross-coupling/thioesterification/decarbonylation and easy-to-handle gram scale thioesterification. Selectivity and computational studies were performed to gain insight into the formation of weak C–S bonds by C–O bond cleavage, which contrasts with the traditional trend of nucleophilic additions to carboxylic acid derivatives.

Received 31st January 2021, Accepted 16th March 2021 DOI: 10.1039/d1ob00187f

rsc.li/obc

1. Introduction

The chemistry of carboxylic acid derivatives represents the cornerstone of organic synthesis.¹ While it is traditionally accepted that thioesters are more reactive than esters due to better leaving group aptitude (*e.g.* $pK_a = 15.2$, MeOH *vs.* $pK_a = 10.3$, MeSH) (Fig. 1A),² reversing the traditional reactivity trends represents a highly attractive approach in chemistry.

In this context, thioesters are fundamental building blocks in biochemistry and organic synthesis.³ The versatile utility of thioesters includes their role in the synthesis of cellular components, such as fatty acids and terpenes.^{3a} Furthermore, thioesters are key intermediates in various processes involving ATP.^{3b} In chemistry, the key role of thioesters is as acylating reagents.¹ Moreover, thioesters contain the privileged sulfur moiety, which has gained prominence in sulfur therapeutics (Fig. 1B).⁴ Among methods for the synthesis of thioesters, typical route involves the reaction of acyl chlorides with metal thiolates.⁵ Other methods involve displacement of halides with thiocarboxylates, condensation of carboxylic acids with thiols,^{6,7} Mitsunobu reaction of alcohols with thioacetic acids,⁸ and carbonylation reactions in the presence of thiols.⁹ Recently, major progress has been made using carboxylic acid derivatives as electrophiles in metal-catalysis.^{10,11} In particular, amides have emerged as powerful electrophiles by the selective N–C bond cleavage driven by amide bond destabilization and twist in order to decrease the $n_N \rightarrow \pi^*_{C=0}$ conjugation.^{12–14} Aromatic esters have also been employed in cross-coupling reactions *via* O–C cleavage, wherein the high energy barrier is alleviated by electronic delocalization to lower the $n_O \rightarrow \pi^*_{C=O}$ conjugation and enable high chemoselectivity in the cross-coupling.¹⁵ Furthermore, as the field has begun to mature, these processes have been expanded to carboxylic acids *via* O–C bond activation,^{16,17} and thioesters *via* S–C bond activation, providing convenient methods for thioether synthesis.¹⁸

As such, recent studies spurred by electronic-activation^{10,11} have shown that direct interconversion between carboxylic acid functional groups is possible, involving amide to amide,¹⁹ amide to ester,²⁰ amide to thioester,²¹ and ester to amide²² interconversion using both transition-metal-catalysis and transition-metal-free conditions (Fig. 1C). While metal-catalyzed manifolds show promise for future developments, it should be noted that from environmental and practical standpoints transition-metal-free processes are vastly preferred.²³ In general, the acyl X-C functional group reactivity is in the following order: amides < esters < thioesters.¹⁹⁻²² Therefore, the conversion from more reactive thioesters to less reactive esters is readily available.²⁴ In contrast, due to the high leaving group aptitude of thiolates,^{1,2} there is no driving force for the conversion from esters to thioesters under typical conditions, and at present there are no general methods for the conversion of esters to thioesters available. While it should be noted that limited examples of ester to thioester conversion have been reported,²⁵ these methods are limited by the use of highly acti-

^aShandong Lunan Coal Chemical Research Institute of Engineering and Technology, Zaozhuang University, 1 Bei'an Road, Zaozhuang, Shandong 277160, China. E-mail: lxj3786749@126.com

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Wroclaw University, F. Joliot-Curie 14, Wroclaw 50-383, Poland

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Rutgers University, 73 Warren Street, Newark, NJ 07102, USA. E-mail: michal.szostak@rutgers.edu

^dChemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, 12 Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, United Kingdom. E-mail: chengwei.liu@chem.ox.ac.uk

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterization data. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ob00187f



Fig. 1 (A) Reactivity order of carboxylic acid derivatives. (B) Pharmaceutically-relevant sulfur scaffolds. (C) Recently reported interconversions between amides, esters, and thioesters. (D) Thioesterification of esters (this work).

vated substrates (*e.g.* 4-NO₂-C₆H₄), polar solvents (*e.g.* DMF) that are impractical from the synthetic standpoint, and limited substrate scope.

In particular, there are no general methods for the synthesis of S-aryl thioesters, which provide a pathway for decarbonylative thioether synthesis via decarbonylation.¹⁸ As part of our program on the reactivity of carboxylic acid derivatives, 11-14,20,21 we report a general and practical method for the conversion of esters to thioesters via selective C-O bond cleavage/weak C-S formation under transition-metal-free bond conditions (Fig. 1D). The present method shows the following advantages: (1) mild conditions and significantly expanded substrate scope superseding previous methods; (2) practical and readily available KOAc as an activator in easily removable non-polar solvent, which are beneficial over previous protocols; (3) versatile synthetic applications in late-stage thioesterification of pharmaceuticals, including tandem protocols; (4) the conversion of esters

to thioesters in a tandem C–Br/C–O/C–S bond activation for the synthesis of thioethers; (5) easy-to-handle gram scale synthesis of thioesters; (6) mechanistic and selectivity studies on the C–O/C–S bond cleavage. Overall, the method may open up new applications in engaging the versatile aryl ester bonds^{22b,c} in a plethora of transformations.

2. Results and discussion

The proposed thioesterification was examined using phenyl benzoate (1a) and 4-methoxybenzenethiol (2a) as model substrates (see ESI[†]). We were delighted to find that although no reaction was observed in the absence of base (Table ESI-1,† entry 1,<2% conversion), promising results were obtained using Na₂CO₃, which delivered the desired product in 75% yield (Table ESI-1,† entry 2). Examination of different bases (Table ESI-1,† entries 1-9) resulted in identifying KOAc as the optimal base, which provided significantly improved yields of the desired product (Table ESI-1,† entry 7). It is important to note that Cs₂CO₃ and NaOAc were ineffective (Table ESI-1,† entries 4 and 6), while K_2CO_3 and K_3PO_4 delivered the product in modest yields (Table ESI-1,† entries 3 and 5). Next, the effect of solvent was examined (Table ESI-1, † entries 9 and 10), revealing that tetrahydrofuran is the optimal solvent for this transformation. Further examination of the reaction conditions revealed that the reaction proceeds at lower temperatures (Table ESI-1,† entries 11 and 12), albeit with a decreased efficiency. Moreover, optimization of the reaction stoichiometry revealed that the reagent stoichiometry could be decreased to 1.5 equiv. of thiol and 2.0 equiv. of base with a minimal decrease in the reaction efficiency (Table ESI-1,† entries 13 and 14). It is interesting to note that the sodium and potassium cations seem necessary, while the acetate counterion is more important in the current system. We believe that this effect is related to the stability of the thioester products under the reaction conditions.

With the optimal conditions in hand, we next investigated the substrate scope of this KOAc-mediated conversion of aryl esters to thioesters via C-O bond cleavage/weak C-S bond formation (Fig. 2, top). As shown, we first selected 4-methoxybenzenethiol (2a) as a standard nucleophile. A wide range of unactivated esters bearing electron-neutral (3a-b) and electron-withdrawing substituents (3c-k) is well compatible with this transformation. Polycyclic aromatic substrates, such as naphthyl (3b) are also well tolerated, delivering the desired thioester product in 98% yield. Furthermore, halides, such as fluoro (3d), chloro (3e) and bromo (3f) are readily accommodated, delivering handles for further modification. Of note, halides are rarely compatible with transition-metal-mediated interconversion methods.¹⁹⁻²² Moreover, cyano group (3g) is also compatible with this method. Importantly, the substrate containing two different ester groups (3h) underwent highly chemoselective thioesterification, delivering the product resulting from the nucleophilic addition to the aromatic ester bond (cf. aliphatic ester, vide infra). Furthermore, it is

Paper



Fig. 2 Thioesterification of esters under transition-metal-free conditions. Conditions: ester (1.0 equiv.), thiophenol (3.0 equiv.), KOAc (4.5 equiv.), THF, 80 °C, 15 h. Isolated yields. See ESI for details.†

noteworthy that electrophilic functional groups, such as ketones (**3i**) can be readily employed under these mild conditions. Moreover, *ortho*-substitution as well as *meta*-substitution is well compatible as demonstrated by using the fluoro- (**3j**) and chloro-functionalized substrates (**3k**). Importantly, this method can also be used to convert electron-rich heterocyclic substrates, such as 2-furyl and 2-thienyl to give the desired thioesters (**3l**-**m**) in excellent yields. Finally, we were delighted to find that α -alkyl ester (**3n**) is also compatible with this method, delivering the thioester product in 59% yield.

Next, we tested differently substituted esters on the aryl moiety (Fig. 2, middle). Notably, *para*-CF₃-functionalized ester (**1o**) delivered the desired thioester in excellent yield. Furthermore, the substrate containing two ester functional groups (**1p**) showed exquisite chemoselectivity in the reaction, delivering the product in 98% yield. Moreover, hindered *ortho*substituted ester (**1q**) showed promising reactivity. Aliphatic esters are fully recovered from the reaction conditions, as expected from the excellent chemoselectivity observed in the intramolecular competition substrates (**3h**, **1p**).

Finally, we tested the generality of the method with respect to the thiol component (Fig. 2, bottom). It is noteworthy that the method tolerates fully unbiased electron-neutral (**30–p**), electron-donating (**3a**) and electron-withdrawing (**3q**) substrates. Furthermore, steric hindrance is also tolerated (**3r**), delivering the product in 51% yield.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the method, we performed several studies (Fig. 3). First, in late-stage derivatization, we were delighted to find that this KOAc-mediated protocol could be applied to the direct thioesterification of an aryl ester of Probenecid, antihyperuricemic, (**1r**) to give the desired product in 98% yield in the presence of the sulfonamide bond (Fig. 3A). Second, to exemplify the potential of this method in sulfide synthesis, we demonstrated the site-selective Suzuki cross-coupling, thioesterification, decarbonylation sequence *via* C–Br/acyl C–O/acyl C–S bond cleavage (Fig. 3B). It is noteworthy that the direct Suzuki cross-coupling in the presence of



Fig. 3 (A) Synthesis of probenecid thioester. (B) Sequential synthesis of sulfide. (C) Intermolecular competition experiments. (D) Gram scale synthesis via simple filtration. See ESI for details.[†]

thioester group was not feasible due to thioester degradation. This sequential approach highlights the strategic deployment of bench-stable carboxylic acid derivatives in aryl/acyl interconversion reactions using Pd-, Ni- and transition-metal-free reactions.^{10,11,19-22}

Preliminary mechanistic studies were conducted to gain insight into this intriguing process. We hypothesize that the observed reactivity is a balance of several contributing factors.

(1) First, intermolecular competition studies were conducted (Fig. 3C). Thus, aromatic esters are inherently more reactive than their alkyl counterparts (3v: 3o > 20: 1). Furthermore, electron-deficient esters are inherently more reactive than electron-rich esters (3w: 3x = 15: 85). In addition, electron-rich thiols are more reactive than their electron-deficient counterparts (3a: 3y = 83: 17). Finally, aromatic thiols are more reactive than *S*-alkyl thiols (3o: 3z = 64: 36). This experiment suggests that although the reaction is slightly slower with aliphatic thiols, *S*-alkyl thiols should be suitable for the reaction. Thus, we have investigated aliphatic thiols. For the synthesis of PhCOSEt, the desired product was obtained in 22% yield under standard conditions. Overall, these effects are consistent with nucleophilic addition to the ester bond.

(2) Next, resonance energies of the thioester bond in PhCOSPh and ester bond in PhCO₂Ph were calculated using the COSNAR method.²⁶ Geometry optimization was performed at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level (see ESI[†]). Extensive studies

have shown that this level is accurate in predicting structural and energetic properties of carboxylic acid derivatives. Resonance energy of the S–C(O) bond in PhCOSPh is 7.6 kcal mol⁻¹, which is much lower than the resonance energy of the O–C(O) bond in PhCO₂Ph of 16.1 kcal mol⁻¹. However, seminal studies by Liebman and Greenberg demonstrated that esters retain a large part of the resonance energy in the transition state.²⁷ Therefore, we obtained a detailed rotational profile of the thioester bond in PhCOSPh and ester bond in PhCO₂Ph by systematic rotation along the O–C–X–C angle (see ESI†). The rotational barrier was determined to be 8.04 kcal mol⁻¹ (90° O–C–S–C angle), and 8.07 kcal mol⁻¹ (90° O–C–O–C angle).

(3) Furthermore, the thiolate is a better leaving group than alkoxide ($pK_a = 10.0$, PhOH *vs.* $pK_a = 6.6$, MeSH);² however, thiolates are significantly more nucleophilic than alkoxides (N = 22.6, RCH₂S- *vs.* N = 16.0, RCH₂O-).²⁸

Overall, these preliminary studies are consistent with the relative facility of the nucleophilic addition to the acyl bond of aryl esters to give a weak S–C(O) acyl bond in thioesters *via* preferential collapse of the tetrahedral intermediate. An additional factor that could be involved is the relative solubility of the reaction components.

Finally, to demonstrate the practicality of the method, we conducted a gram scale reaction, which provided the desired thioester product in 83% yield after simple filtration (Fig. 3D), attesting to the practicality of the developed protocol.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a general and practical method for converting esters to thioesters via C-O bond cleavage/weak C-S bond formation. The method is notable for operationally-simple and general reaction conditions, broad functional group tolerance and excellent chemoselectivity with respect to aryl esters and sensitive acyl groups. This approach tolerates a range of functional groups that are incompatible with previous methods. The utility has been demonstrated in late-stage thioesterification, sequential bond activation and large scale synthesis. We anticipate that studies on the reversal of the traditional reactivity trend of carboxylic acid derivatives will provide a powerful re-routing toolbox of the carboxylic acid reactivity in various aspects of synthetic chemistry. Future studies will focus on expanding the scope of the method to aliphatic thiols as well as investigation of transition-metal-catalyzed conditions to establish room temperature thioesterification including unactivated ester derivatives.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Zaozhuang University, Shandong Lunan Coal Chemical Research Institute of Engineering and Technology, Zaozhuang Science and Technology Development Program (no. 2018GH19), NSF (CAREER CHE-1650766) and Rutgers University for financial support. We thank the Wroclaw Center for Networking and Supercomputing (no. WCSS159). We thank Md. Mahbubur Rahman (Rutgers University) for helpful discussions. The Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer used in this study was supported by College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science at Zaozhuang University.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) F. A. Carey and R. J. Sundberg, *Advanced Organic Chemistry: Part B: Reactions and Synthesis*, Springer, New York, 2001; (b) M. B. Smith and J. March, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, Wiley, New York, 2007.
- Ugur, A. Marion, S. Parant, J. H. Jensen and G. J. Monard, *Chem. Inf. Model.*, 2014, 54, 2200–2213.
- 3 (a) A. L. Lehninger, D. L. Nelson and M. M. Cox, *Principles of Biochemistry*, Worth Publishing, New York, 2002;
 (b) C. de Duve, *Am. Sci.*, 1995, 83, 428-437.
- 4 For general reviews sulfur-containing drugs, see:
 (a) E. A. Ilardi, E. Vitaku and J. T. Njardarson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 57, 2832–2842;
 (b) B. R. Beno, K. S. Yeung, M. D. Bartberger, L. D. Pennington and N. A. Meanwell, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, 58, 4383–4438;
 (c) K. A. Scott and J. T. Njardarson, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2018, 376, 1–34;

(d) M. Feng, B. Tang, S. H. Liang and X. Jiang, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **16**, 1200–1216; (e) N. Wang, P. Saidhareddy and X. Jiang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2020, **37**, 246– 275.

- 5 S. Patai, Chemistry of Functional Groups: Carboxylic Acids and Esters, Wiley, New York, 1969.
- 6 S. Fujiwara and N. Kambe, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2005, **251**, 87–140.
- 7 Y. Mori and M. Seki, Org. Synth., 2007, 84, 285.
- 8 R. Volante, Tetrahedron Lett., 1981, 22, 3119–3122.
- 9 V. Hirschbeck, P. H. Gehrtz and I. Fleischer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 7092–7107.
- 10 (a) L. J. Goossen, N. Rodriguez and K. Goossen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3100–3120; (b) N. Rodriguez and L. J. Goossen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5030–5048; (c) Y. Wei, P. Hu, M. Zhang and W. Su, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 8864–8907.
- 11 G. Li, S. Ma and M. Szostak, *Trends Chem.*, 2020, **2**, 914–928.
- 12 (a) G. Meng and M. Szostak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015,
 54, 14518–14522; (b) S. Shi, G. Meng and M. Szostak,
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 6959–6963.
- 13 C. Liu and M. Szostak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12718–12722.
- 14 F. Bie, X. Liu, Y. Shi, H. Cao, Y. Han, M. Szostak and C. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 15676–15685.
- (a) R. Takise, R. Isshiki, K. Muto, K. Itami and J. Yamaguchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 3340–3343;
 (b) C. A. Malapit, M. Borrell, M. W. Milbauer, C. E. Brigham and M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5918–5923.
- 16 C. Liu, C. L. Ji, X. Hong and M. Szostak, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 16721–16726.
- 17 C. Liu, C. L. Ji, Z. X. Qin, X. Hong and M. Szostak, *iScience*, 2019, **19**, 749–759.
- 18 C. Liu and M. Szostak, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 2130– 2133.
- 19 For interconversion from amides to amides (transamidation), see. (a) G. Li, C. L. Ji, X. Hong and M. Szostak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 11161–11172; (b) T. Ghosh, S. Jana and J. Dash, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 6690–6694.
- 20 For interconversion from amides to esters, see: (a) L. Hie,
 N. F. Fine Nathel, T. K. Shah, E. L. Baker, X. Hong,
 Y.-F. Yang, P. Liu, K. N. Houk and N. K. Garg, *Nature*, 2015,
 524, 79–83; (b) G. Li, P. Lei and M. Szostak, *Org. Lett.*,
 2018, 20, 5622–5625; (c) D. Ye, Z. Liu, H. Chen, J. L. Sessler
 and C. Lei, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, 21, 6888–6892; (d) N. Wu,
 C. Li, J. Mi, Y. Zheng and Z. Xu, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, 22, 7118–7122.
- 21 For interconversion from amides to thioesters, see:
 (a) M. M. Rahman, G. Li and M. Szostak, *Synthesis*, 2020, 1060–1066;
 (b) Q. Wang, L. Liu, J. Dong, Z. Tian and T. Chen, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, 43, 9384–9388.
- 22 For interconversion from esters to amides (amidation), see:
 (a) L. Hie, N. F. Fine Nathel, X. Hong, Y.-F. Yang,
 K. N. Houk and N. K. Garg, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016,
 55, 2810–2814; (b) T. B. Halima, J. K. Vandavasi, M. Shkoor

and S. G. Newman, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 2176–2180; (c) T. B. Halima, J. Masson-Makdissi and S. G. Newman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 12925–12929; (d) See, ref. 19.

- 23 L. Summerton, H. F. Sneddon, L. C. Jones and J. H. Clark, Green and Sustainable Medicinal Chemistry: Methods, Tools and Strategies for the 21st Century Pharmaceutical Industry, RSC, Cambridge, 2016.
- 24 (a) W. K. Chan, S. Masamune and G. O. Spessard, Org. Synth., 1983, 61, 48; (b) N. A. McGrath and R. T. Raines, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 752–761.
- 25 For most pertinent examples, see: (a) I. A. Oskina and V. M. Vlasov, *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 44, 561–569;
 (b) I. A. Oskina and V. M. Vlasov, *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010,

46, 326–330; (*c*) D. A. Watson, X. Fan and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 73, 7096–7101; (*d*) A. K. Chakraborti, S. Rudrawar, G. Kaur and L. Sharma, *Synlett*, 2004, 1533–1536 For specific examples of thiol-mediated deacylations, see: (*e*) M. Li, X. Han and B. J. Yu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 6842–6845; (*f*) E. Iglesias and I. Brandariz, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 45740–45748.

- 26 A. Greenberg and C. A. Venanzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 6951–6957.
- 27 J. F. Liebman and A. Greenberg, *Biophys. Chem.*, 1974, 1, 222–226.
- 28 T. B. Phan, M. Breugst and H. Mayr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3869–3874.