Radical Addition Reactions of Phosphorus Hydrides: Tuning the Reactivity of Phosphorus Hydrides, the Use of Microwaves and Horner-Wadsworth-**Emmons-Type Reactions**

Christopher M. Jessop,^[a] Andrew F. Parsons,^{*[a]} Anne Routledge,^[a] and Derek J. Irvine^[b]

Keywords: Radical reactions / Heterocycles / Synthetic methods / Cyclisation

The reactivity of phosphorus hydrides in radical addition reactions are compared, and the substituents on phosphorus are shown to affect the efficiency of the reactions. The change in reactivity is attributed to the different bond dissociation energies of the P-H bonds, which have been calculated. Phosphorus hydrides with particularly weak P-H bonds are shown to undergo radical additions by microwave irradiation, in the absence of conventional initiators. These radical addition reactions produce phosphonothioates, phosphinothioates and phosphane sulfides, which react in HWEtype reactions, to afford substituted alkenes.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2006)

Introduction

An important area of modern research is the development of synthetic radical reactions that use non-metal hydrides.^[1] This research is partly driven by the need to move away from toxic metal hydrides, chiefly tributyltin hydride. Tributyltin hydride is still used extensively in small-scale synthesis, but the problems of toxicity and product purification have limited its use, particularly on a large scale in the chemical industry.^[2]

In recent years, the use of phosphorus hydrides as replacements for tributyltin hydride in radical reductions has been investigated. Of particular interest has been the use of phosphorus hydrides to reduce organohalides and xanthates. Following Barton's seminal work on reductions using dialkyl phosphites [(RO)₂P(O)H],^[3] the use of other phosphorus hydrides,^[4] most notably hypophosphorous acid (and its salts),^[5] have been reported. The mechanisms of these reactions involve intermediate phosphorus-centred radicals that, for example, abstract halogen atoms from organohalides.

Phosphorus-centred radicals also add to C=C bonds. Examples of addition of phosphanes,^[6] diphenylphosphane oxide [Ph₂P(O)H],^[7] hypophosphites,^[8] diethyl phosphite [(EtO)₂P(O)H, 1]^[9] and diethyl thiophosphite [(EtO)₂-P(S)H, 2]^[9] to various alkenes have been reported. Work within our own group^[10] included the investigation of the addition of 1 and 2 to various electron-rich alkenes and dienes using AIBN or Et₃B/O₂ as the initiator. As shown from the examples in Scheme 1, $(EtO)_2P(S)H(2)$ is significantly more reactive than $(EtO)_2P(O)H(1)$. The use of 1.1 to 5 equiv. of $(EtO)_2P(S)H(2)$ gives addition products in excellent yields, whereas typically at least 5 equiv. of (EtO)₂P(O)H (1) are required to achieve similar yields of addition products. This effect has been observed by other groups^[9] and is attributed to $(EtO)_2P(S)H$ (2) having a weaker P-H bond than $(EtO)_2P(O)H(1)$.



Scheme 1. Comparison of (EtO)₂P(O)H (1) with (EtO)₂P(S)H (2) in radical additions.

Although the pronounced difference in reactivity between phosphites $[(RO)_2P(O)H]$ and thiophosphites $[(RO)_2-$ P(S)H] is known, the importance of the two alkoxy substituents on the reactivity of the phosphorus hydrides in radical additions has not been well investigated.^[6] Initially, this paper describes how the reactivity of the phosphorus hy-



[[]a] Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

Unigema,

P. O. Box 90, Wilton, Middlesbrough, Cleveland, TS90 8JE, UK Supporting information for this article is available on the

WWW under http://www.eurjoc.org or from the author.

FULL PAPER

drides can be tuned by varying the substituents on phosphorus. This is followed by an investigation to develop a new method for initiating radical additions of phosphorus hydrides, which uses microwaves. Finally, the reactivity of the organophosphorus adducts (from the radical reactions) in Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons-type reactions (HWE) are compared for the first time.

Results and Discussion

Comparing the Reactivity of Different Phosphorus Hydrides in Radical Additions

The influence of the phosphorus substituents in radical additions was investigated using the phosphorus hydrides 1-5 (Figure 1). The hydrides 1 and 4 are commercially available, 2 was prepared (in 95% yield) by heating 1 with Lawesson's reagent,^[9b] 3^[11] was prepared (in 95% yield) by a sequence of reactions including a DCC-mediated coupling between phenylphosphinic acid and ethanol, then treatment with Lawesson's reagent; and 5 was obtained (in 61% yield) by heating diphenylphosphane with sulfur.^[6a] A series of experiments were carried out investigating the reactions of equimolar mixtures of two of the phosphorus hydrides with 1-octene and Et_3B/O_2 (at room temp. in toluene) (Table 1). The ratio of the addition products 6-10 was then determined from the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures (each of the addition products 6–10 were prepared independently by reaction of 1-octene with each of the five hydrides 1–5). These results show that *O*-ethyl phenylphosphinothioate (3) adds more rapidly to 1-octene than diethyl thiophosphite (2) and particularly, diethyl phosphite (1) (Table 1, Entries 1–2). Diphenylphosphane oxide (4) is more reactive than diethyl phosphite (1), but less reactive





Figure 1. Phosphorus hydrides and the adducts from addition to 1-octene.

than diethyl thiophosphite (2) (Entries 3–4). However, this may not be a true reflection of the reactivity of 4 because it is only partially soluble in toluene at room temp. – related reactions at higher temperatures (using AIBN as the initiator) produced similar yields of adducts derived from 4 and 2. Finally, diphenylphosphane sulfide $(5)^{[10c]}$ adds more rapidly to 1-octene than *O*-ethyl phenylphosphinothioate (3) (Entry 5).

Table 1. Results from the competitive addition reactions.

Entry	Phosphorus hydrides	Adducts (ratios ^[a])		
1	1 + 2	6/7 (0:100) ^[b]		
2	2 + 3	7/8 (33:66)		
3	$1 + 4^{[c]}$	6/9 (0:100)		
4	$2 + 4^{[c]}$	7/9 (65:35)		
5	3 + 5	8/10 (21:79)		

[a] Determined from the ¹H NMR spectra (octyl adducts **6–10** were prepared independently to verify analysis of the mixtures by ¹H NMR spectroscopy). [b] A similar result has been reported by Piettre.^[9c] [c] Diphenylphosphane oxide (**4**) is only partially soluble in toluene at room temp.

These investigations show that the replacement of EtO with Ph groups, on phosphorus, increases the rate of addition of the phosphorus hydride to the C=C bond of 1octene. Also, phosphorus hydrides with P=S bonds are considerably more reactive than those with P=O bonds. These results are summarised by the order of reactivity shown in Figure 2.

The order of reactivity of phosphorus hydrides 1-5 (to 1-octene) can be explained by the theoretical bond dissociation energies (BDE) of the P–H bonds, calculated using density functional theory (DFT) (Figure 2). The substituents on phosphorus affect the BDEs of the P–H bonds, and it is seen that phosphorus hydrides with the weakest P–H bonds add fastest to the C=C bond of 1-octene. In these chain reactions, addition of the phosphorus radical to the C=C bond forms an intermediate carbon-centred radical that abstracts a hydrogen atom from the phosphorus hydride, the faster the rate of hydrogen-atom abstraction and formation of the organophosphorus product 6-10.

The different BDEs of P–H bonds also explain the contrasting products from the reaction of the unsaturated phosphorus hydrides **11–14** with Et_3B/O_2 (Scheme 2). The thiophosphites **11** and **13** were prepared (in 44–48% yield) from the reaction of diphenyl phosphite^[12,13] with 1-octanol in pyridine, followed by the reaction with triethylamine, then allyl alcohol or 3-buten-1-ol (and pivaloyl chloride in pyridine) and finally, heating with Lawesson's reagent. The *O*-alkenyl phenylphosphinothioates **12** and **14** were formed



Figure 2. Order of reactivity of phosphorus hydrides to 1-octene.



Scheme 2. Reactions of unsaturated phosphinothioates with triethylborane.

(in 31–41% yield) by a DCC-promoted coupling of phenylphosphinic acid with allyl alcohol or 3-buten-1-ol followed by thionation using Lawesson's reagent.

When O-allyl O-octyl thiophosphite (11) was stirred overnight in cyclohexane with triethylborane (0.6 equiv.), no cyclic product was isolated, only recovered starting material in 50% yield. (The low recovery of starting material may be attributed to the formation of oligomers and/or polymers). In contrast, the reaction of O-allyl phenylphosphinothioate (12) with triethylborane (under the same conditions) gave the oxaphospholane 17 in 40% yield - the formation of 17 is explained by 5-endo-trig cyclisation^[14] of the phosphorus-centred radical 15 to give 16. These results are explained by considering how the P-H BDEs of 11 and 12 affects the two hydrogen-atom abstraction steps leading to the oxaphospholane products. Firstly, because the phosphinothioate 12 contains a weaker P-H bond than 11, the phosphorus-centred radical 15 is formed faster than the corresponding phosphorus-centred radical from 11. Secondly, the hydrogen-atom abstraction reaction of the carbon-centred radical 16 with phosphinothioate 12 (to form 17) is expected to be faster than the corresponding reaction starting from 11.

The hydrides 13 and 14 also react differently with Et₃B/ O2. Whereas hydride 13 undergoes a 6-endo-trig radical cyclisation to form the oxaphosphinane 20 (only a trace amount of a 5-ring product was observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum), the hydride 14 produces a mixture of the oxaphospholane 18 (as a single isomer) and oxaphosphinane 19, by 5-exo-trig and 6-endo-trig radical cyclisation, respectively. It is possible that the phosphorus-centred radicals derived from 13 and 14 undergo reversible cyclisation on to the C=C bond to produce the 6-ring products (via the thermodynamically more stable secondary carbon radicals). Alternatively, the primary radicals derived from 5-exo-trig cyclisation of 13 and 14 may undergo a neophyl rearrangement (by addition to the P=S bond followed by fragmentation) to form the 6-endo products. However, the 5-ring product (18) is also formed from 14, because the P-H bond in 14 is relatively weak. Hence, the primary carbon radical produced on 5-exo-trig cyclisation is able to abstract a hydrogen atom from 14 at a sufficiently fast rate to compete with radical fragmentation/rearrangement of the five-membered ring.

Microwave-Assisted Reactions

Although common initiators (including AIBN or Et₃B) promote radical addition reactions of the phosphorus hydrides 1–5, it was of interest to investigate whether radical reactions of hydrides with particularly weak P-H bonds could be initiated simply by heating. Initially, 1.2 equiv. of phosphinothioate 21 (prepared in 53% yield by a DCCpromoted coupling of phenylphosphinic acid with 1-octanol, followed by reaction with Lawessons reagent) was heated to reflux with diallyl ether (1 equiv.) in cyclohexane, but this gave the tetrahydrofuran 22 in only 39% yield (as a 2:2:1:1 mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers) after 60 h (Table 2, Entry 1). Perhaps, the intermediate phosphoruscentred radical is produced by the reaction of 21 with the dissolved oxygen in the cyclohexane. The corresponding cyclisation of diallyl ether, with Et₃B (0.3 equiv.) as the initiator, gave 22 in 67% yield after 1 h (Table 2, Entry 2). In both reactions, mixtures of four diastereoisomers of 22 were formed - the two major isomers presumably have the C-3/ C-4 substituents in a cis configuration (as predicted by a chair-like transition state for the radical cyclisation).

Because cyclisation by conventional heating was so slow and gave only a modest yield of product, our attention turned to the use of microwave irradiation. Remarkable decreases in reaction times and, in some cases, cleaner reactions and higher yields have been reported by the use of microwaves, although there are few examples of microwaveassisted radical reactions.^[15] Initially, the phosphinothioate **21** (1.2 equiv.) was treated with diallyl ether, in a sealed tube in dioxane at 150 °C, using microwave irradiation for 1 h. This afforded the desired tetrahydrofuran **22** in 70% yield (Table 2, Entry 3). Pleasingly, the yield of **22** obtained by the use of microwave irradiation is considerably higher than by the use of conventional heating, and the reaction time is considerably shorter.

A number of solvents were tested for the cyclisation of diallyl ether using **21**, including toluene and DMF, with the

5

5

2

Entry

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24 (59/2:1^[c])

25 (70/1.9:1^[c])

26 (19/2:1^[c])

Table 2. Microwave-assisted cyclisations of 1,6-dienes.

Ph

Ph

EtO



[a] Diastereoisomer ratio determined	1 from the ¹ H NMR s	spectrum. [b] The	diastereoisomer ratio	could not be	e determined due	e to the
presence of conformers [c] cis/trans	ratio					

former being eventually shown to be the most effective one, and tetrahydrofuran **22** was isolated in 93% yield after 1 h (Table 2, Entry 4). Pyrrolidines can also be efficiently prepared. Reaction of **21** with *tert*-butyl diallylcarbamate, at 150 °C (by microwave heating) in toluene for 1 h, afforded the pyrrolidine **23** in 60% yield (Table 2, Entry 5).

Ph

Ph

EtO

 \mathbf{O}

0

NBoc

Diphenylphosphane sulfide (5) also reacts with 1,6dienes under the same conditions. The reaction of 5 with diallyl ether produced the tetrahydrofuran 24 in 59% yield, whereas the reaction with *tert*-butyl diallylcarbamate gave the pyrrolidine 25 in 70% yield (Table 2, Entries 6–7).

Interestingly, the reaction of diethyl thiophosphite (2) with diallyl ether in the microwave afforded the tetrahydrofuran 26 in only 19% yield (Table 2, Entry 8). The modest yield is consistent with the results reported earlier, which showed that phosphorus hydrides bearing Ph (rather than OEt) substituents are more reactive because of the weaker P–H bonds.

Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons-Type Reactions

Corey first investigated the use of non-stabilised phosphonothioates in Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons-type (HWE) reactions.^[16] Previous studies within our own group have since shown that organophosphorus adducts, produced from radical additions of diethyl thiophosphite (**2**) to alkenes, can undergo HWE-type reactions.^[17] This offers a general approach to tri- and tetrasubstituted alkenes. For example, heating diallyl ether with **2** and AIBN forms the phosphonothioate **26**, which on immediate deprotonation, followed by the reaction with benzophenone affords the trisubstituted alkene **27** in excellent yield (Table 3, Entry 1).

Although HWE-type reactions of phosphonothioates (such as **26**) have been investigated, we were not aware of the corresponding reactions using phosphinothioates or phosphane sulfides. To investigate if non-stabilised phosphinothioates and phosphane sulfides could also undergo HWE-type reactions, the compounds **22** and **24** were deprotonated and reacted with benzophenone (Table 3, Entries 2 Table 3. HWE-type reactions.

microwave, 150 °C, toluene, 1 h

microwave, 150 °C, toluene, 1 h

microwave, 150 °C, toluene, 1 h



[[]a] Determined from the ¹H NMR spectrum. [b] Not isolated, prepared in-situ from the reaction of 2 with diallyl ether. [c] *cis/trans* ratio.

and 3). It is pleasing to see that both compounds react to produce the desired trisubstituted alkene 27. Interestingly, for 24, it appears that the *cis* isomer reacts faster than the *trans* isomer (unreacted 24 was recovered as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers in 42% yield).

Conclusions

This work has shown that phosphorus hydrides add to various C=C bonds, and this offers a mild, efficient and general approach to organophosphorus derivatives including phosphonothioates, phosphinothioates and phosphane sulfides. These radical additions can be initiated using conventional initiators or, in some cases, by microwaves. The use of microwaves is particularly attractive because much shorter reaction times are required to form products, when compared to conventional heating or use of initiators. It has also been shown that the substituents on the phosphorus hydrides affects the rate of addition of these compounds to C=C bonds. The experimental results are explained by the different energies of the P–H bonds, which are calculated using density functional theory. Finally, the importance of the development of a concise approach to phospho-

nothioates, phosphinothioates and phosphane sulfides is demonstrated by the use of these compounds in HWE-type reactions.

Experimental Section

General Remarks: IR spectra were recorded with an ATI Mattson Genesis FTIR spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded with a Joel EX 270, a Bruker DMX 300, a Jeol ECX 400 or a Bruker AMX 500 MHz spectrometer. The carbon spectra were assigned with DEPT experiments. Coupling constants (*J*) were recorded in Hertz to the nearest 0.5 Hz in the ¹H NMR spectra and to the nearest 1 Hz in the ¹³C NMR spectra. Mass spectra were recorded with a Fisons Instruments VG Analytical Autospec Spectrometer system. Microwave reactions were performed in a CEM Focused Microwave Synthesis System. Thin layer chromatography was performed on Merck aluminium-backed silica gel plates. Compounds were visualised under a UV lamp, using alkaline potassium permanganate solution, cerium(rv) sulphate/molybdic acid solution and/or iodine.

Density functional theory calculations employed the hybrid B3LYP functional^[18] as implemented in Gaussian03.^[19] Singly polarized 6-31G(d,p) basis sets were used on all atoms.^[20] Fully unconstrained geometry optimisations on the parent molecules and the radicals were followed by computation of analytic Hessians in order to identify the species as minima and to obtain the zero-point and thermal corrections to enthalpies (at 298.15 K and 1 atm). Gasphase bond dissociation energies (BDEs) were then determined as enthalpy changes for the homolytic cleavage of the P–H bond: BDE = H(radical) + H(hydrogen) - H(parent). Density functional theory calculations of the Si–H bond dissociation energies in (Me₃Si)₃SiH and Et₃SiH compare well with those reported previously^[21] (i.e. 331 vs. 343 kJ·mol⁻¹ and 377 vs. 386 kJ·mol⁻¹).

A Typical Competition Reaction: Competition Between Diethyl Phosphite (1) and Diethyl Thiophosphite (2): To a stirred solution of diethyl thiophosphite (2) (0.137 g, 0.89 mmol), diethyl phosphite (1) (0.123 g, 0.89 mmol) and 1-octene $(0.100 \text{ g}, 0.140 \text{ cm}^3)$, 0.89 mmol) in toluene (15 cm³) at room temp. under nitrogen was added a 1 M solution of triethylborane in hexanes (0.27 cm³, 0.27 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1.5 h. Further triethylborane (0.27 cm³, 0.27 mmol, 1 M solution in hexanes) was added and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. Kugelrohr distillation (75 °C, 2 Torr) removed the remaining phosphorus hydrides from the mixture affording the crude product. Water (50 cm³) and EtOAc (50 cm³) were added to the crude mixture, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo affording O,O-diethyl octylphosphonothioate (7) (0.234 g, 99%) as a colourless oil. $R_{\rm f} = 0.4$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 9:1). IR (CDCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 2954$ (s), 2856 (s), 1051 (s, P-O), 1026 (s, P-O), 956 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.13-3.90$ (m, 4 H, 2×POCH₂CH₃), 1.92–1.82 (m, 2 H, PCH₂), 1.63–1.55 (m, 2 H, PCH₂CH₂), 1.30–1.00 (m, 12 H, $6 \times CH_2$), 1.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, $2 \times POCH_2CH_3$), 0.81 (t, J =7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 62.1 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 7 \text{ Hz}, 2 \times \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3$), 34.5 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 111 \text{ Hz}, \text{PCH}_2$), 31.7 ($CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 30.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 18 Hz, $PCH_2CH_2CH_2$), 29.0, 28.9 (2×*C*H₂), 22.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 2 Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.5 (*C*H₂), 16.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 7 Hz, 2×POCH₂CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃) ppm. ${}^{31}P$ NMR (109.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 100.5 ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 267 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 267.1542, $C_{12}H_{28}O_2PS$ requires 267.1548.

O-Ethyl Octyl(phenyl)phosphinothioate (8): Colourless oil. $R_f = 0.3$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 9:1). IR (CDCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 3054$ (s), 2954 (s), 2929 (s), 2856 (s), 1437 (s, P-C_{ipso}), 1034 (s, P-O), 949 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.88–7.77 (m, 2 H, CH, aromatic), 7.50-7.34 (m, 3 H, CH, aromatic), 4.13-3.94 (m, 1 H, POCH_AH_B), 3.79–3.61 (m, 1 H, POCH_AH_B), 2.17–1.87 (m, 2 H, PCH_2), 1.30–1.00 (m, 12 H, 6× CH_2), 1.18 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, $2 \times \text{POCH}_2\text{CH}_3$, 0.78 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 133.3 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 95 Hz, C_{ipso}, aromatic), 131.8 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP}$ = 3 Hz, CH, aromatic), 131.0 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 10 Hz, $2 \times CH$, aromatic), 128.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 12$ Hz, $2 \times CH$, aromatic), 60.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 6 Hz, POCH₂CH₃), 36.2 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 80 Hz, PCH_2), 31.6 ($CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 30.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 18 Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 29.0, 22.5 ($3 \times CH_2$), 22.3 (d, ${}^2J_{CP} = 2$ Hz, $PCH_2CH_2CH_2$), 16.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 7 Hz$, $POCH_2CH_3$), 14.0 (CH₃) ppm. ³¹P NMR (109.3 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 94.1 ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 299 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺] 299.1590, C₁₆H₂₈OPS requires 299.1599.

Octyl(diphenyl)phosphane Oxide (9): Colourless oil. $R_{\rm f} = 0.4$ (EtOAc/MeOH, 20:1). IR (CDCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 3053$ (s), 2955 (s), 2929 (s), 2856 (s), 1437 (s, P–C_{*ipso*}), 1271 (s, P–O), 1182 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.68-7.60$ (m, 4 H, 4 × CH, aromatic), 7.44–7.32 (m, 6 H, 6 × CH, aromatic), 2.21–2.12 (m, 2 H, PCH₂), 1.55–1.47 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.35–1.24 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.20–1.08 (m, 8 H, 4 × CH₂), 0.76 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 132.8$ (d, ¹J_{CP} 98, 2 × C_{*ipso*}, aromatic), 131.5 (d, ⁴J_{CP} 2, 2 × CH, aromatic), 130.6 (d, ²J_{CP} 9, 4 × CH, aromatic), 128.5 (d, ³J_{CP} 11, 4 × CH, aromatic), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 30.2 (d, ³J_{CP} 15, PCH₂CH₂), 29.5 (d, ¹J_{CP} 72, PCH₂), 28.9, 22.4 (3 × CH₂), 21.2 (d, ²J_{CP} 4, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 13.9 (CH₃) ppm. ³¹P NMR (109.3 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 33.7$ ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): *m*/z (%) = 315 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 315.1869. C₂₀H₂₈OP requires 315.1878.

Octyl(diphenyl)phosphane Sulfide (10): Colourless oil. $R_{\rm f} = 0.4$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 3:2). IR (CDCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 2929$ (s), 1438 (s, P-C_{*ipso*}), 934 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.87$ -7.73 (m, 4 H, 4×CH, aromatic), 7.50–7.43 (m, 6 H, 6×CH, aromatic), 2.50–2.38 (m, 2 H, PCH₂), 1.70–1.47 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.45– 1.24 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.25–1.16 (m, 8 H, 4×CH₂), 0.85 (t, J =7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 132.8$ (d, ¹ $J_{\rm CP} = 80$ Hz, 2×C_{*ipso*}, aromatic), 131.3 (d, ⁴ $J_{\rm CP} = 3$ Hz, 2×CH, aromatic), 131.0 (d, ² $J_{\rm CP} = 10$ Hz, 4×CH, aromatic), 128.5 (d, ³ $J_{\rm CP} =$ = 12 Hz, 4×CH, aromatic), 32.5 (d, ¹ $J_{\rm CP} = 56$ Hz, PCH₂), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₃), 30.6 (d, ³ $J_{\rm CP} = 17$ Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 29.0, 22.5 (3×CH₂), 22.1 (d, ² $J_{\rm CP} = 3$ Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 14.0 (CH₃) ppm. ³¹P NMR (109.3 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 43.4$ ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): *m/z* (%) = 331 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 331.1641. C₂₀H₂₈PS requires 331.1649.

2-Phenyl-1,2 λ ⁵**-oxaphospholane-2-thione (17):** To a stirred solution of *O*-allyl phenylphosphinothioate (**12**) (0.100 g, 0.51 mmol) in cyclohexane (30 cm³) at room temp. under nitrogen was added a 1 M solution of triethylborane in hexanes (0.15 cm³, 0.15 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h, then further triethylborane (0.15 cm³, 0.15 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and purification with column chromatography (silica; light petroleum/EtOAc, 4:1) afforded the title compound **17**^[22] (0.040 g, 40%) as a colourless liquid. $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.3 (light petroleum/EtOAc, 4:1). IR (CDCl₃): \tilde{v} = 2957 (s), 1437 (s, P– C), 1022 (s, P–O), 945 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.98–7.86 (m, 2 H, 2×CH, aromatic), 7.59–7.46 (m, 3 H, 3×CH, aromatic), 4.55–4.30 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CH₂CH_EH_FP), 2.33–2.20 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₂CH_E*H*_FP and OCH₂C*H*_CH_DCH₂P), 2.17–1.90 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CH_C*H*_DCH₂P) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 133.8 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 103 Hz, *C*_{*ipso*}, aromatic), 132.0 (d, ⁴*J*_{CP} = 3 Hz, CH, aromatic), 130.7 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 11 Hz, CH, aromatic), 128.5 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 13 Hz, 2×CH, aromatic), 63.5 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 7 Hz, POCH₂CH₂CH₂), 32.2 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 75 Hz, PCH₂), 23.6 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 6 Hz, POCH₂CH₂CH₂) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃) *m*/*z* (%) = 199 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 199.0345. C₉H₁₂OPS requires 199.0347.

3-Methyl-2-phenyl-1,2\lambda^5-oxaphospholane-2-thione (18) and 2-Phenyl-1,2\lambda^5-oxaphosphinane-2-thione (19): To a stirred solution of *O***-(3-butenyl) phenylphosphinothioate (14) (0.200 g, 0.94 mmol) in cyclohexane (30 cm³) at room temp. under nitrogen was added a 1 M solution of triethylborane in hexanes (0.28 cm³, 0.28 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h. Further triethylborane (0.28 cm³, 0.28 mmol, 1 M solution in hexanes) was added and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The solution was concentrated** *in vacuo***. Purification with column chromatography (silica; 7:3, light petroleum/ EtOAc) afforded thiones 19** (0.112 g, 56%) and **18** (0.042 g, 21%) as colourless oils.

2-Phenyl-1,2\lambda^5-oxaphosphinane-2-thione (19): $R_f = 0.4$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 7:3). IR (CDCl₃) $\tilde{v} = 2948$ (s), 1437 (s, P-C_{ipso}), 1022 (s, P–O), 958 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.00– 7.94 (m, 2 H, 2×CH, aromatic), 7.58-7.46 (m, 3 H, 3×CH, aromatic), 4.62 (tdd, J = 11.5, 6.5 and 3.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH_A-H_BCH₂CH₂P), 4.22–4.10 (m, 1 H, OCH_AH_BCH₂CH₂P), 2.50– 2.35 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH_DP), 2.35–2.25 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CH₂CH_CH_DP), 2.15–2.00 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.93–1.75 (m, 2 H, CH₂) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 133.2 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 108 Hz, C_{ipso} , aromatic), 132.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP}$ = 3 Hz, CH, aromatic), 130.7 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 11 Hz, CH, aromatic), 128.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 18 Hz, CH, aromatic), 65.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 6 Hz, POCH₂CH₂CH₂), 33.4 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 67 Hz, PCH₂), 26.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 6 Hz, PCH₂CH₂), 20.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 7 Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 213 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 213.0504. C₁₀H₁₄OPS requires 213.0503.

3-Methyl-2-phenyl-1,2 λ^5 **-oxaphospholane-2-thione (18):** (isolated as a single diastereoisomer). $R_{\rm f} = 0.2$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 7:3). IR (CDCl₃) $\tilde{v} = 2948$ (s), 1437 (s, P–C_{*ipso*}), 1022 (s, P–O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.80-7.70$ (m, 2 H, 2×CH, aromatic), 7.58–7.40 (m, 3 H, 3×CH, aromatic), 4.57–4.42 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 2.70–2.55 (m, 1 H, PCH), 2.50–2.25 (m, 2 H, OCH₂CH₂CHP), 0.92 (dd, J = 19.5 and 7.5 Hz, 3 H, PCHCH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 132.2$ (d, ⁴ $J_{\rm CP} = 3$ Hz, CH, aromatic), 131.9 (d, ² $J_{\rm CP} = 11$ Hz, 2×CH, aromatic), 131.5 (d, ¹ $J_{\rm CP} = 112$ Hz, $C_{$ *ipso* $}$, aromatic), 128.3 (d, ³ $J_{\rm CP} = 12$ Hz, 2×CH, aromatic), 70.0 (d, ² $J_{\rm CP} = 2$ Hz, POCH₂), 41.7 (d, ¹ $J_{\rm CP} = 62$ Hz, PCH), 33.1 (d, ³ $J_{\rm CP} = 4$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 13.0 (CH₃) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃) *m/z* (%) = 213 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 213.0504. C₁₀H₁₄OPS requires 213.0503.

2-(Octyloxy)-1,2\lambda^5-oxaphosphinane-2-thione (20): To a stirred solution of *O*-(3-butenyl) *O*-octyl thiophosphite (**13**) (0.100 g, 0.38 mmol) in cyclohexane (20 cm³) under nitrogen was added a 1 M solution of triethylborane in hexanes (0.11 cm³, 0.11 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h. Further triethylborane (0.11 cm³, 0.11 mmol, 1 M solution in hexanes) was added and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. Purification with column chromatography (silica; 19:1, light petroleum/EtOAc) afforded the thione **20** (0.065 g, 65%) as a colourless liquid. $R_f = 0.2$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 19:1). IR (CDCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 2928$ (s), 2856 (s), 1013 (br. m, P–O), 931 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ (major 6-*endo* product) 4.34–4.22 (m, 1 H, OCH_AH_B).

4.20–4.00 [m, 3 H, POCH₂ (chain) and OCH_A H_B], 2.20–1.60 [8 H, m, PCH₂, POCH₂CH₂ (ring), POCH₂CH₂ (chain) and PCH₂CH₂], 1.43–1.20 (m, 10 H, 5×CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₂CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): (major 6-endo product) 69.2 [d, ² $J_{CP} = 8$ Hz, POCH₂ (ring)], 65.6 [d, ² $J_{CP} = 9$ Hz, POCH₂ (chain)], 31.9 (d, ¹ $J_{CP} = 98$ Hz, PCH₂), 31.7 [CH₂ (chain)], 30.2 [d, ³ $J_{CP} = 7$ Hz, POCH₂CH₂ (chain)], 29.1, 29.0, [2×CH₂ (chain)], 25.9 [d, ² $J_{CP} = 7$ Hz, PCH₂CH₂ (ring)], 25.6 [d, ³ $J_{CP} =$ 14 Hz, POCH₂CH₂ (ring)], 22.6 [2×CH₂ (chain)], 21.4 [d, ³ $J_{CP} =$ 9 Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂ (ring)], 14.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₃) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 265 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 265.1393. C₁₂H₂₆O₂PS requires 265.1391.

The presence of trace quantities of the minor 5-*exo* product in the crude material was indicated by the ¹³C NMR spectrum: δ = 36.9 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 95 Hz, PCH), 11.7 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 3 Hz, PCHCH₃) ppm.

Typical Procedure for a Microwave-Assisted Reaction: Synthesis of *O*-Octvl (4-Methyltetrahydrofuran-3-yl)methyl(phenyl)phosphinothioate (22): To a stirred solution of O-octyl phenylphosphinothioate (21) (0.100 g, 0.37 mmol) in dioxane (5 cm³) was added diallyl ether (0.029 g, 0.036 cm³, 0.31 mmol). The solution was heated to 150 °C in a sealed tube in a microwave and stirred for 1 h at this temperature. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. Purification with column chromatography (silica; light petroleum/ EtOAc, 4:1) afforded the (phenyl)phosphinothioate 22 (0.080 g, 70%) as a colourless oil, as a 2:2:1:1 mixture of inseparable $(3R^*, 4S^*, 8R^*)/(3R^*, 4S^*, 8S^*)/(3R^*, 4R^*, 8R^*)/(3R^*, 4R^*, 8S^*)$ diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum. $R_{\rm f} = 0.2$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 4:1). IR (CDCl₃); $\tilde{v} = 2928$ (s), 2856 (s), 1437 (s, P- C_{ipso}), 1095 (s, P–O) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major 3R*,4S*,8R* and 3R*,4S*,8S* diastereoisomers) 7.95-7.84 (m, 2 H, 2×CH, aromatic), 7.57–7.45 (m, 3 H, 3×CH, aromatic), 4.10– 3.86 (m, 2 H, POC H_AH_B and OCHH), 3.88 and 3.71 (dd and t, J = 8.0, 6.0 and 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.65-3.19 (m, 2 H, POCH_AH_B and 2×OCHH), 2.81–2.59 (m, 1 H, PCH₂CH), 2.39–2.25 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 2.20-2.00 (m, 2 H, PCH₂), 1.65-1.55 (m, 2 H, POCH₂CH₂), 1.37-1.19 (m, 10 H, 5×CH₂), 0.96 and 0.86 (2×d, J = 7.0 and 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CHC H_3), 0.88 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, CH_2CH_3) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major 3R*,4S*,8R* and 3R*,4S*,8S* diastereoisomers) 133.4, 133.2 $(2 \times d, {}^{1}J_{CP} = 96 \text{ and } 96 \text{ Hz}, C_{ipso}, \text{ aromatic}), 132.2, 132.1 (2 \times d,$ ${}^{4}J_{CP}$ = 3 and 3 Hz, CH, aromatic), 131.4, 131.3 (2×d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 12 and 11 Hz, $2 \times C$ H, aromatic), 2×128.5 ($2 \times d$, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 12 and 12 Hz, 2×CH, aromatic), 74.7, 74.6 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 71.7, 71.4 (2×d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 5 and 7 Hz, OCH₂CHCH₂), 2×64.8 (2×d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 7 and 7 Hz, $2 \times POCH_2CH_2$), 37.0, 36.8 ($2 \times d$, ${}^2J_{CP} = 2$ and 3 Hz, PCH₂CH), 36.5, 36.3 (2×d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 17 and 15 Hz, CHCH₃), 35.0, 34.9 (2×d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 81 and 81 Hz, PCH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 30.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 8 Hz, $POCH_2CH_2$), 29.1, 29.0, 25.6, 22.6 (4×CH₂), 14.0 (CH_2CH_3) , 13.4, 13.3 $(CHCH_3)$ ppm. MS (CI, NH_3) : m/z (%) = 369 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 369.2016. C₂₀H₃₄O₂PS requires 369.2017.

The presence two minor $(3R^*,4R^*,8R^*)$ and $(3R^*,4R^*,8S^*)$ diastereoisomers were indicated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 4.10-3.93$ (m, 2 H, POC*H* and OC*H*), 3.93 and 3.80 (2×dd, J = 8.0, 6.0 and 8.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, OC*H*), 3.65–3.12 (m, 2 H, POC*H* and 2×OC*H*), 2.50–2.30 (m, 1 H, PCH₂C*H*), 2.00–1.83 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 1.02 and 0.95 (2×d, J = 6.5 and 6.5 Hz, 3 H, CHC*H*₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2\times74.1$ (OCH₂CHCH₃), 73.5, 73.4 (2×d, ³ $J_{CP} = 6$ and 8 Hz, OCH₂CHCH₂), 41.6, 41.3 (2×d, ² $J_{CP} = 2$ and 3 Hz, PCH₂CH), 41.1, 41.0 (2×d, ³ $J_{CP} = 15$ and 15 Hz, CHCH₃), 39.5, 39.3 (2×d, ¹ $J_{CP} = 81$ and 81 Hz, PCH₂), 15.6, 15.4 (CHCH₃) ppm. tert-Butyl 3-Methyl-4-{[(octyloxy)(phenyl)phosphonothioyl]methyl}-1-pyrrolidinecarboxylate (23): Colourless oil (60%). $R_{\rm f} = 0.4$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 4:1). IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{v} = 3055$ (s), 2929 (s), 2858 (s), 2361 (s), 1695 (s, C=O), 1437 (s, P- C_{ipso}), 1110 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): (major peaks): $\delta = 7.98-7.86$ (m, 2 H, 2×CH, aromatic), 7.55–7.45 (m, 3 H, 3×CH, aromatic), 4.12–3.99 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 3.69–2.73 (m, 5 H, 2×NCH₂ and CHCHCH₃), 2.37-1.59 (m, 5 H, PCH₂, CHCH₃ and OCH₂CH₂), 1.45 [9 H, s, $C(CH_3)_3$], 1.42–1.19 (m, 10 H, 5× CH_2), 0.86 and 0.83 (2×d, 2×J) = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, $2 \times CH_3$) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): (major peaks): $\delta = 152.1$ (CO₂), 132.0 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 81$, C_{inso} , aromatic), 130.3–126.5 (m, $5 \times CH$, aromatic), 78.5 [OC(CH₃)₃], 62.8 (OCH₂), 51.1, 50.2 (NCH₂), 47.3, 47.0, 33.8, 30.0 (CHCH₂N, CHCH₃, PCH₂ and OCH₂CH₂), 29.2 [OC(CH₃)₃], 27.1, 26.5, 23.7, 20.7 (CH₂), 13.3, 12.2 (CH₃) ppm. ³¹P NMR (109.3 MHz, CDCl₃): (major peak): δ = 92.5 ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 468 (28) [M + H⁺], 368 (100). HRMS: found: M + H⁺, 468.2704. C₂₅H₄₂NO₃PS requires 468.2726.

(4-Methyltetrahydrofuran-3-yl)methyl(diphenyl)phosphane Sulfide (24): Colourless oil (59%, 2:1 cis/trans mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum). $R_{\rm f} = 0.4$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 1:1). IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{v} = 3050$ (s), 2960 (s), 2875 (s), 1437 (s, P–C_{*ipso*}), 1103 (s) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer) 7.92–7.79 (m, 4 H, 4×CH, aromatic), 7.51–7.38 (m, 6 H, 6×CH, aromatic), 3.82 (dd, J = 8.0 and 6.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.67 (dd, J = 8.0 and 7.5 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.41 (dd, J = 8.0 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 3.27 (dd, J = 8.0 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHH), 2.80–2.20 (m, 4 H, PCH₂, PCH₂CH and CHCH₃), 0.93 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, *CH*₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer) 133.0 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 81 Hz, C_{ipso}, aromatic), 132.3 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 80 Hz, C_{ipso} , aromatic), 131.4 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP}$ = 3 Hz, 2 × CH, aromatic), 131.0–130.7 (m, 4 × CH, aromatic), 128.4 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 12 \text{ Hz}, 2 \times CH$, aromatic), 128.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 12 \text{ Hz},$ $2 \times CH$, aromatic), 74.2 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 71.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 5$ Hz, OCH₂CHCH₂), 36.7 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 2 Hz, PCH₂CH), 36.6 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ = 8 Hz, CHCH₃), 30.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 57 Hz, PCH₂), 13.3 (CH₃) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 317 (100) [M + H⁺], 100%). HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 317.1120. C₁₈H₂₂OPS requires 317.1129.

The presence of the minor *trans* diastereoisomer was indicated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.89 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, OC*H*H), 3.66 (dd, J = 8.0 and 7.5 Hz, 1 H, OC*H*H), 3.22 (dd, J = 8.0 and 8.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH*H*), 3.20 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH*H*), 0.96 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 132.8 (d, ¹ J_{CP} = 80 Hz, C_{ipso} , aromatic), 132.6 (d, ¹ J_{CP} = 80 Hz, C_{ipso} , aromatic), 132.6 (d, ³ J_{CP} = 3 Hz, OCH₂CHCH₂), 41.2 (d, ² J_{CP} = 2 Hz, PCH₂CH), 41.1 (d, ³ J_{CP} = 13 Hz, CHCH₃), 35.4 (d, ¹ J_{CP} = 57 Hz, PCH₂), 15.3 (CH₃) ppm.

tert-Butyl 3-[(Diphenylphosphinothioyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-pyrrolidinecarboxylate (25): Colourless oil (70%, 1.9:1 *cis/trans* mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum). R_f = 0.5 (light petroleum/EtOAc, 1:1). IR (CH₂Cl₂): \tilde{v} = 2975 (s), 2932 (s), 1685 (s, C=O), 1409 (s, P–C_{*ipso*}), 1103 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer, mixture of conformers) 7.95–7.78 (m, 4 H, 4×CH, aromatic), 7.55–7.40 (m, 6 H, 6×CH, aromatic), 3.60–2.70 (m, 4 H, 2×NCH₂), 2.60–2.10 (m, 4 H, PCH₂, PCH₂CH and CHCH₃), 1.36 [br. s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃], 0.90 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer, mixture of conformers) 154.3, 153.9 [NC(O)O], 133.0 (br. d, ¹J_{CP} = 81 Hz, 2 × *C_{ipso}*, aromatic), 131.7– 131.0 (m, 2×CH, aromatic), 130.8–130.5 (m, 4×CH, aromatic), 128.7–128.3 (m, 4×CH, aromatic), 78.8, 78.7 [OC(CH₃)₃], 52.5– 51.4 (br. m, NCH₂), 49.0–48.5 (br. m, NCH₂), 40.3–39.2 (br. m, CH), 36.4–34.3 (br. m, CH), 31.4 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 57$ Hz, PCH₂), 28.3 [C(CH₃)₃], 13.6 (CHCH₃) ppm. MS (CI, NH₃): m/z (%) = 416 (20) [M + H⁺], 316 (100), 360 (40). HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 416.1811. C₂₃H₃₁NO₂PS requires 416.1813.

The presence of the minor *trans* diastereoisomer was indicated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.00$ (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 35.0$ (d, ¹ $J_{CP} = 56$ Hz, PCH₂), 15.2 (CHCH₃) ppm.

O,O-Diethyl (4-Methyltetrahydrofuran-3-yl)methylphosphonothioate (26): Colourless oil (19%, 2:1 cis/trans mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum). $R_{\rm f} = 0.2$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 4:1). IR (CHCl₃): $\tilde{v} = 2990 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (s), 2976 (s), 2862 (s), 1059 (s, P–O), 1030 (s, P–O), 955 (s, P=S) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* isomer) 4.15–3.98 (m, 4 H, $2 \times POCH_2CH_3$, 3.94 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.92 (dd, J= 8.5 and 6.0 Hz, 1 H, $OCH_{C}H_{D}$), 3.56 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_{A} - $H_{\rm B}$), 3.47 (dd, J = 8.5 and 4.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH_C $H_{\rm D}$), 2.65–2.53 (m, 1 H, PCH₂CH), 2.35–2.25 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 2.08–1.58 (m, 2 H, CH_2P), 1.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, $2 \times POCH_2CH_3$), 0.88 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* isomer) 74.7 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 71.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 8$ Hz, OCH_2CHCH_2), 62.4 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 7 Hz, $POCH_2CH_3$), 62.2 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 7 Hz, POCH₂CH₃), 37.0 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 3 Hz, PCH₂CH), 36.3 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 13 \text{ Hz}, CHCH_{3}, 33.0 \text{ (d, } {}^{1}J_{CP} = 112 \text{ Hz}, CH_{2}P), 2 \times 16.1$ $(2 \times d, {}^{3}J_{CP} = 7 \text{ and } 7 \text{ Hz}, 2 \times \text{POCH}_{2}CH_{3}), 13.3 \text{ (CHCH}_{3}) \text{ ppm.}$ MS (CI, NH₃): *m*/*z* (%) = 253 (100) [M + H⁺]. HRMS: found: [M + H⁺], 253.1030. C₁₀H₂₂O₃PS requires 253.1027.

The presence of the minor *trans* diastereoisomer was indicated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.98$ (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 74.3$ (OCH₂CHCH₃), 73.6 (d, ³ $J_{CP} = 5$ Hz, OCH₂CHCH₂), 41.7 (d, ² $J_{CP} = 4$ Hz, PCH₂CH), 40.8 (d, ³ $J_{CP} = 17$ Hz, CHCH₃), 37.2 (d, ¹ $J_{CP} = 113$ Hz, PCH₂), 15.6 (CHCH₃) ppm.

Typical Procedure for the HWE-type Reaction: Synthesis of 3-(2,2-Diphenylvinyl)-4-methyltetrahydrofuran (27) From O-Octyl (4-Methyltetrahydrofuran-3-yl)methyl(phenyl)phosphinothioate (22): To a stirred solution of the phosphinothioate 22 (0.130 g, 0.35 mmol) (as a 3.7:3.7:1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum) in dry THF (4 cm³) at -78 °C under nitrogen was added a 1.23 M solution of sBuLi in THF (0.57 cm³, 0.71 mmol) dropwise. The solution was warmed to -20 °C within 0.75 h and then cooled to -78 °C. A THF solution (2 cm³) of benzophenone (0.129 g, 0.71 mmol) was added. The solution was warmed to room temp. and then stirred for 12 h. Water (50 cm³) and EtOAc (50 cm³) were added to the crude mixture, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2×50 cm³). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification with column chromatography (silica; light petroleum/EtOAc, 9:1) afforded the tetrahydrofuran 27 (0.057 g, 72%) as a colourless oil, as a 3.7:1 cis/trans mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers from the ¹H NMR spectrum. $R_{\rm f} = 0.3$ (light petroleum/EtOAc, 9:1). IR $(CDCl_3)$: $\tilde{v} = 3059$ (s), 3023 (s), 2964 (s), 2869 (s), 1657 (m, C=C) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer) 7.40–7.15 (m, 5 H, $5 \times CH$, aromatic), 6.04 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1 H, C=CH), 3.92 (dd, J = 7.5 and 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH_CH_D), 3.89 (dd, J = 6.5 and 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.69 (dd, J = 8.0 and 6.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_A H_B), 3.53 (dd, J = 8.0 and 5.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_C H_D), 2.97 (dq, J = 10.5 and 6.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CHCH), 2.35–2.26 (m, 1 H, OCH_2CHCH_3), 1.08 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, $CHCH_3$) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (major *cis* diastereoisomer) 143.7 (C_{ipso} ,

FULL PAPER

aromatic), 142.9 (CH=*C*), 140.0 (C_{ipso} , aromatic), 129.8 (4×*C*H, aromatic), 128.1 (4×*C*H, aromatic), 127.2 (2×*C*H, aromatic), 127.0 (C=*C*H), 75.0 (O*C*H₂CHCH₃), 72.9 (O*C*H₂CHCH), 43.0 (OCH₂CHCH), 38.2 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 13.9 (CH₃) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 264 (100) [M⁺], 205 (100), 180 (65). HRMS: found: [M⁺], 264.1512. C₁₉H₂₀O requires 264.1515.

The presence of the minor *trans* diastereoisomer was indicated by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.92$ (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, C=CH), 4.03 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH_CH_D), 3.96–3.85 (m, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.60 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.27 (dd, J = 8.5 and 8.0 Hz, 1 H, OCH₂CH_D), 3.40–2.90 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CHCH), 2.59–2.43 (m, 1 H, OCH₂CHCH₃), 0.93 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃) ppm. ¹³C NMR (67.9 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 144.2$ (C_{ipso} , aromatic), 141.9 (CH=C), 139.9 (C_{ipso} , aromatic), 128.1 (4×CH, aromatic), 127.1 (2×CH, aromatic), 126.8 (C=CH), 75.2 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 73.4 (OCH₂CHCH), 49.4 (OCH₂CHCH), 41.7 (OCH₂CHCH₃), 15.1 (CH₃) ppm.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article). Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for 11, 12 and 21. Compounds 13 and 14 were prepared using the same approach as outlined for 11 and 12, respectively.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dimitrios Pantazis and Dr John McGrady (University of York) for calculation of the BDEs, Nathalie Chedeville for some preliminary studies on the use of microwaves, and the BBSRC and Uniqema for funding.

- a) B. C. Gilbert, A. F. Parsons, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2
 2002, 367–387; b) P. A. Baguley, J. C. Walton, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3072–3082.
- [2] A. F. Parsons, Chem. Br. 2002, 38, 42-44.
- [3] D. H. R. Barton, D. O. Jang, J. C. Jaszberenyi, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6838–6842.
- [4] a) D. Leca, L. Fensterbank, E. Lacôte, M. Malacria, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2005, *34*, 858–861; b) T. A. Khan, R. Tripoli, J. J. Crawford, C. G. Martin, J. A. Murphy, *Org. Lett.* 2003, *5*, 2971–2974; c) S. Lang, M. Corr, N. Muir, T. A. Khan, F. Schönebeck, J. A. Murphy, A. H. Payne, A. C. Williams, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, *46*, 4027–4030.
- [5] For recent examples see: a) H. Nambu, A. H. Alinejad, K. Hata, H. Fujioka, Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 8927–8929; b) H. Nambu, G. Anilkumar, M. Matsugi, Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 77–85; c) S. C. Roy, C. Guin, K. K. Rana, G. Maiti, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 2435–2439.
- [6] a) D. Semenzin, G. Etemad-Moghadam, D. Albouy, O. Diallo, M. Koenig, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2414–2422; b) C. Lopin, G. Gouhier, A. Gautier, S. R. Piettre, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9916–9923.
- [7] P. Rey, J. Taillades, J. C. Rossi, G. Gros, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 6169–6171.
- [8] a) S. Caddick, D. Hamza, D. B. Judd, M. T. Reich, S. N. Wadman, J. D. Wilden, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 2363–2366; b)
 S. Deprèle, J.-L. Montchamp, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6745–6755; c) O. Dubert, A. Gautier, E. Condamine, S. R. Piettre, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 359–362.

- [9] a) S. R. Piettre, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, *37*, 2233–2236; b) S. R. Piettre, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, *37*, 4707–4710; c) A. Gautier, G. Garipova, O. Dubert, H. Oulyadi, S. R. Piettre, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 5673–5676; d) T. F. Herpin, W. B. Motherwell, B. P. Roberts, S. Roland, J.-M. Weibel, *Tetrahedron* 1997, *53*, 15085–15100.
- [10] a) C. M. Jessop, A. F. Parsons, A. Routledge, D. Irvine, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 6169–6171; b) C. M. Jessop, A. F. Parsons, A. Routledge, D. J. Irvine, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 5095–5098; c) A. F. Parsons, D. J. Sharpe, P. Taylor, *Synlett* 2005, 2981–2983.
- [11] R. Hussong, H. Heydt, M. Regitz, Phosphorus Sulfur Relat. Elem. 1985, 25, 201–212.
- [12] J. Jankowska, M. Sobkowski, J. Stawinski, A. Kraszewski, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 3355–3358.
- [13] N. U. Mohe, K. J. Padiya, M. M. Salunkhe, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2003, 11, 1419–1431.
- [14] H. Ishibashi, T. Sato, M. Ikeda, Synthesis 2002, 695–713.
- [15] a) M. Lamberto, D. F. Corbett, J. D. Kilburn, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 1347–1349; b) C. Wetter, A. Studer, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 174–175; c) K. Olofsson, S.-Y. Kim, M. Larhed, D. P. Curran, A. Hallberg, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 4539–4541; d) F. Wiesbrock, R. Hoogenboom, U. S. Schubert, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2004, 25, 1739–1764.
- [16] E. J. Corey, G. T. Kwiatkowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 5654–5656.
- [17] a) M. P. Healy, A. F. Parsons, J. G. T. Rawlinson, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1597–1600; b) T. Hunt, A. F. Parsons, R. Pratt, Synlett 2005, 2978–2980.
- [18] a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652; b) A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098–3100; c) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785–789.
- [19] Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery Jr, T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- [20] a) P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1972**, *66*, 217–219; b) W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972**, *56*, 2257–2261; c) M. M. Francl, W. J. Pietro, W. J. Hehre, J. S. Binkley, M. S. Gordon, D. J. DeFrees, J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *77*, 3654–3665.
- [21] a) C. Chatgilialoglu, Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 188–194; b)
 J. M. Kanabus-Kaminska, J. A. Hawari, D. Griller, C. Chatgilialoglu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5267–5268.
- [22] A. G. Rowley, R. R. F. Steedman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin. Trans. 2 1983, 1113–1119.

Received: November 18, 2005 Published Online: December 29, 2005