Synthesis and Characterization of IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PR₃) (PR₃ = PⁱPr₃, PCy₃): First Base-Stabilized Silylene Complexes of Iridium

Wanzhi Chen, Andrew J. Edwards, Miguel A. Esteruelas,* Fernando J. Lahoz, Montserrat Oliván, and Luis A. Oro*

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

Received November 14, 1995[®]

Summary: The reactions of H_2SiPh_2 with the triflato complexes $Ir(OTf)(TFB)(PR_3)$ afford the base-stabilized silvlene complexes $IrH_2\{Si(OTf)Ph_2\}(TFB)(PR_3)$ (TFB =tetrafluorobenzobarrelene; $PR_3 = P^iPr_3$, PCy_3). The single-crystal X-ray structural analysis of $IrH_2\{Si(OTf)-Ph_2\}(TFB)(P^iPr_3)$ has been performed. The distances Ir-Si(2.337(2) Å) and Si-O(1.790(5) Å) as well as the summation of angles at silicon, ignoring the Si-OTfbond (343.5°), are in agreement with the partial unsaturated character of the base-stabilized silvlene ligand.

Introduction

The development of transition-metal base-stabilized silylene chemistry reached a significant milestone in 1987, when Tilley¹ and Zybill² reported the synthesis and crystal structures of the base-stabilized silylene derivatives [Ru(η^{5} -C₅Me₅){Si(NCCH₃)Ph₂}(PMe₃)₂]BPh₄ and Fe{Si(HMPA)(O^tBu)₂}(CO)₄ (HMPA = hexameth-ylphosphoric triamide), respectively. Over the past few years well-characterized silylene complexes of Cr,³ Mo,^{3g} W,^{3c} Mn,^{3egh,4} Re,⁵ Fe,^{2,3acegh,4b,6} Ru,^{1,7} Os,⁸ and Co³ have been reported. However, no iridium–silylene derivatives are known.

Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 1646. (4) (a) Takeuchi, T.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 835. (b) Corriu, R.; Lanneau, G.; Priou, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 1130.

(5) Lee, K. E.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 309.
(6) (a) Ueno, K.; Tobita, H.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4092. (b) Zybill, C.; Wilkinson, D. L.; Müller, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 583. (c) Zybill, C.; Wilkinson, D. L.; Leis, C.; Müller, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 203. (d) Tobita, H.; Ueno, K.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3415. (e) Leis, C.; Zybill, C.; Lachmann, J.; Müller, G. Polyhedron 1991, 10, 1163. (f) Koe, J. R.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. J. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2479. (g) Ueno, K.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 430, 93. (h) Ueno, K.; Ito, S.; Endo, K.; Tobita, H.; Inomata, S.; Ogino, H. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3309.

(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Zhang, C.; Quinbita, G. E.; Grumbine, S. D.;
(7) (a) Straus, D. A.; Tilley, T. D.; Rheingold, A. L. Polyhedron 1995, 14, 127.

(8) Woo, L. K.; Smith, D. A.; Young, V. G., Jr. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3977.

For several years, we have been exploring the reactivity of square-planar iridium(I) complexes toward silanes.⁹ Thus, recently, we have observed that the reactions of the π -alkyne complexes Ir(acac)(η^2 -CH₃O₂-CC=CCO₂CH₃)(PR₃) with H₂SiPh₂ lead to Ir(acac)-{C[CH(OCH₃)OSiPh₂]=CHCO₂CH₃}(PR₃) (PR₃ = PⁱPr₃, PCy₃), which are a net result of a transformation involving addition of one Si–H bond across the C=O bond and a second across the alkyne triple bond. In these compounds the bonding situation in the Ir–Si–O sequence could be described as a intermediate state between metal–silylene stabilized by an oxygen base and a tetrahedral silicon.¹⁰

As a continuation of our work in this field, we have now carried out the reactions of square-planar iridium-(I) complexes Ir(OTf)(TFB)(PR₃) (TFB = tetrafluorobenzobarrelene; PR₃ = PⁱPr₃, PCy₃) with H₂SiPh₂. During this study, we have isolated the derivatives IrH₂{Si-(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PR₃), which are the first base-stabilized iridium-silylene complexes. In this note, we report their syntheses and structural characterization.

Results and Discussion

The base-stabilized silylene complexes IrH_2 {Si(OTf)-Ph₂}(TFB)(PR₃) (PR₃ = PⁱPr₃ (**6**), PCy₃ (**7**)) can be prepared via the reaction sequence shown in Scheme 1. On treatment with PⁱPr₃ and PCy₃, the bis(tetrafluorobenzobarrelene)iridium(I) compound **1** affords the square-planar derivatives **2** and **3**. The reactions of these compounds with AgOTf lead to complexes **4** and **5**, which react with the stoichiometric amount of diphenylsilane, in toluene at room temperature, to give yellow solutions, from which the base-stabilized silylene derivatives **6** and **7** are separated as white solids in 39% (**6**), and 68% (**7**) yield, respectively, by addition of hexane.

Complexes **6** and **7** were characterized by elemental analysis and IR and ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopies. Complex **6** was further characterized by an X-ray crystallographic study. The molecular structure of **6** is presented in Figure 1. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1.

The coordination geometry around the iridium atom could be rationalized as derived from a highly distorted

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, April 1, 1996. (1) Straus, D. A.; Tilley, T. D.; Rheingold, A. L.; Geib, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5872.

<sup>Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5872.
(2) Zybill, C.; Müller, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 669.
(3) (a) Zybill, C.; Müller, G. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1368. (b)
Probst, R.; Leis, C.; Gamper, S.; Herdtweck, E.; Zybill, C.; Auner, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1132. (c) Leis, C.; Wilkinson, D. L.; Handwerker, H.; Zybill, C.; Müller, G. Organometallics 1992, 11, 514. (d) Handwerker, H.; Paul, M.; Blümel, J.; Zybill, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1313. (e) Corriu, R. J. P.; Lanneau, G. F.; Chauhan, B. P. S. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2001. (f) Handwerker, H.; Leis, C.; Probst, R.; Bissinger, P.; Grohmann, A.; Kiprof, P.; Herdtweck, E.; Blümel, J.; Auner, N.; Zybill, C. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2162. (g) Chauhan, B. P. S.; Corriu, J. R. P.; Lanneau, G. F.; Priou, C.; Auner, N.; Handwerker, H.; Herdtweck, E. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1657. (h) Corriu, R. J. P.; Chauhan, B. P. S.; Lanneau, G. F. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1646.</sup>

^{(9) (}a) Fernández, M. J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Covarrubias, M.; Oro, L. A.; Apreda, M. C.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H. Organometallics **1989**, *8*, 1158. (b) Esteruelas, M. A.; Nürnberg, O.; Oliván, M.; Oro, L. A.; Werner, H. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 3264. (c) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics **1994**, *13*, 4246.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Rodríguez, L. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 263.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $IrH_2{Si(OTf)Ph_2}(TFB)(P^i-Pr_3)$ (6).



octahedron with the triisopropylphosphine and the silylene ligands occupying pseudo-trans positions (P–Ir–Si = 129.51(7)°), at opposite sites of an ideal coordination plane defined by the two *cis*-hydrido ligands (H(1a)–Ir–H(1b) = 97(4)°) and the chelate diolefinic molecule.

The most conspicuous features of the structure are the structural parameters related to the silicon atom, which suggest the presence of partial unsaturated character, and the small Si–Ir–P angle.

As expected for a base-stabilized silylene–iridium(III) complex, the Ir–Si distance of 2.337(2) Å is significantly shorter than those determined previously for the six-coordinate silyliridium(III) compounds $IrH_2(SiEt_3)$ -

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for the Complex IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PⁱPr₃) (6)

-		. ,	
Ir-P	2.355(2)	Ir-Si	2.337(2)
Ir-C(2)	2.239(7)	Ir-C(3)	2.229(7)
Ir-C(5)	2.227(7)	Ir-C(6)	2.214(7)
C(2) - C(3)	1.37(1)	C(5)-C(6)	1.38(1)
Si-O(1)	1.790(5)	Si-C(21)	1.877(7)
Si-C(31)	1.870(7)		
P–Ir–Si	129.51(7)	O(1)-Si-Ir	114.8(2)
C(21)-Si-Ir	119.0(2)	C(31)-Si-Ir	115.9(2)
O(1)-Si-C(21)	95.8(3)	O(1)-Si-C(31)	99.3(3)
C(31)-Si-C(21)	108.6(3)		

 $(COD)(AsPh_3)$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, 2.414(2) Å),¹¹ IrH₂(SiMe₂Ph)(CO){P(p-tol)₃}₂ (2.414(2) Å),¹² IrH(Ph₂-SiC₆H₄)(PMe₃)₃ (2.404(3) Å),¹³ IrH(SiMe₂Cl)₂(CO)(dppe) (dppe = 1, 2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, 2.396(2) Å),and IrH(SiEtF₂)₂(CO)(dppe) (2.360(10) Å).¹⁴ The silylene character of 6 is also supported by the relatively long Si–O(1) bond length of 1.790(5) Å. Typical Si–O single bonds in silvl compounds normally fall in the range 1.63–1.66 Å,¹⁵ whereas metal-silylene complexes stabilized by oxygen bases have Si-O distances between 1.68 and 1.85 Å. The Si-O(1) distance in 6 is longer than the related bond length reported for the complexes $Fe{Si(HMPA)Cl_2}(CO)_4$ (1.683(3) Å),^{3c} Cr{Si(HMPA)-Cl₂}(CO)₅ (1.690(2) Å),^{3c} Fe{Si(HMPA)Me₂}(CO)₄ (1.735(3) Å),^{6c} Cr{Si(HMPA)Me₂}(CO)₅ (1.743(2) Å),^{3c} Fe{Si-(HMPA)(S^tBu)₂}(CO)₄ (1.734(2) Å),^{6e} Fe{Si(HMPA)(O^t- $Bu_{2}(CO)_{4}(1.730(3) \text{ Å})^{2}$ and $Ru(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5}){Si[S(Tol-p)]}$ - $(OTf)_2$ (PMe₃)₂ (1.765(8) Å)^{7d} but shorter than those found in $Os(TTP){Si(THF)Et_2}$ (TTP = meso-tetra-ptolylporphyrin, 1.82(2) Å),⁸ Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅){Si(OTf)Ph₂}- $(PMe_3)_2$ (1.853(5) Å),^{7a} and $Ru(\eta^5-C_5Me_5){Si[S(Tol-p)]_2-$ (OTf)}(PMe₃)₂ (1.856(5) Å).^{7d}

The bond angles at silicon fall into four distinct groups: the C(21)–Si–Ir angle (119.0(2)°) is close to the standard sp² angle (120°), while the C(31)–Si–C(21)(108.6(3)°) is close to a tetrahedral angle of 109°, and the O(1)-Si-Ir (114.8(2)°) and C(31)-Si-Ir (115.9(2)°) angles lie in between, while O(1)-Si-C(21) (95.8(3)°) and O(1)-Si-C(31) (99.3(3)°) are noticeably smaller. It has previously been proposed that for a base-stabilized silvlene compound the summation of angles at silicon, ignoring the Si-base bond, should be between 329 and 360°.^{3,7} For complex **6** the summation of the angles C(21)-Si-Ir, C(13)-Si-Ir, and C(21)-Si-C(31) gives a value of 343.5°, which is very close to the arithmetic mean between the tetrahedral and trigonal values (344.5°) and agrees well with those reported for [Ru- $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})$ {Si(NCCH₃)Me₂}(PMe₃)₂]BPh₄ (344°)^{7d} but is greater than those reported for $M{Si(HMPA)R_2}(CO)_n$ $(M = Fe, n = 4; M = Cr, n = 5; 337-342^{\circ})^{3c}$ and Ru- $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})$ {Si(OTf)R₂}(PMe₃)₂ (R = S(p-Tol) (334°), Ph $(341^{\circ}))^{7d}$ and smaller than that calculated for $[Ru(\eta^{5}-$ C₅Me₅){Si(NCCH₃)Ph₂}(PMe₃)₂]BPh₄ (352°).^{7d}

The small Si–Ir–P angle (129.51(7)°) may be due to different steric requirements, relatively small for the

⁽¹¹⁾ Fernández, M. J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oro, L. A.; Apreda, M. C.;
Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1751.
(12) Rappoli, B. J.; Janik, T. S.; Churchill, M. R.; Thompson, J. S.;

 ⁽¹²⁾ Rappoli, B. J.; Janik, T. S.; Churchill, M. R.; Thompson, J. S.;
 Atwood, J. D. *Organometallics* **1988**, *7*, 1939.
 (13) Aizenberg, M.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1994**,

⁽¹³⁾ Alzenberg, M., Milstein, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **199**4 33, 317.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Hays, M. K.; Eisenberg, R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 2623. (15) Wiberg, N.; Wagner, G.; Müller, G.; Riede, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, *271*, 381.

hydridos and comparatively large for the diene, PⁱPr₃, and silylene ligands. Angular distortions in hydrido complexes are not unusual. We note that in the complex IrH₂(SnPh₃)(TFB)(PCy₃) the angle between the stannyl and phosphine ligands is 129.46(3)°.¹⁶ A similar observation has been reported for the silyl compound IrH₂(SiEt₃)(COD)(AsPh₃), in which the major deviation from the ideal octahedron geometry arises from the Si– Ir–As angle (133.40(4)°).¹¹ For the complex IrH₂-(SnCl₃)(PPh₃)₃ the angle P–Ir–P involving two chemically equivalent phosphine groups, which are pseudotrans to each other, is 145.95(9)°.¹⁷ Values of about 150° have been also reported for the related angle in the complexes *mer*-IrH₃(PPh₃)₃⁸ [(PPh₃)Au(μ -H)IrH₂(P-Ph₃)₃]^{+,19} *mer*-[IrH₂(CO)(PPh₃)₃]^{+,20} and [IrH₂(PPh₃)₂-(C₄H₈S)₂]^{+,21}

In agreement with the structure shown in Figure 1 the IR spectra of 6 and 7 in Nujol contain one absorption at 2155 (6) and 2145 cm⁻¹ (7), attributable to ν (Ir–H). In the ¹H NMR spectra in toluene- d_8 the hydrido resonances appear as a doublet at -15.16 (6) and -14.85 ppm (7) with P-H coupling constants of 18.9 and 18.3 Hz, respectively. At room temperature, the spectra contain two resonances from the diolefin, one due to the aliphatic protons at approximately 4.8 ppm and the other, due to the olefinic protons, at about 3.2 ppm. At -55 °C, the spectra show two aliphatic and two olefinic resonances, in agreement with the arrangement of ligands around the iridium atom (Figure 1). This behavior suggests that, in solution, the complexes 6 and 7 possess rigid structures only at low temperature. At room temperature an intramolecular exchange process takes place, which involves the relative positions of the atoms of the diolefin, similarly to that observed for the dihydrido-silyl and dihydrido-stannyl complexes IrH₂(SiPh₃)(TFB)(PCy₃) and IrH₂(SnPh₃)(TFB)-(PCy₃). The fluxional process could proceed via fivecoordinate intermediates, resulting from the dissociation of the phosphine ligand or of one arm of the chelating tetrafluorobenzobarrelene diolefin.¹⁶ The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra show singlets at 23.0 (6) and 7.1 ppm (7), along with the satellites due to the ²⁹Si isotope. In accordance with the pseudo-trans positions of the silylene and phosphine ligands, the values of the $P-^{29}Si$ coupling constants are 83.5 (6) and 82.4 Hz (7). Under offresonance conditions, both singlets are split into triplets due to the P-H coupling.

The formation of **6** and **7** probably involves the initial oxidative addition of H_2SiPh_2 to **4** and **5** to give hydrido-silyl intermediates of the type IrH(OTf)(SiHPh_2)-(TFB)(PR_3).²² According to general trend shown for these compounds to release phosphine, the dissociation of the phosphine ligands from the hydrido-silyl intermediates could lead to the unsaturated species IrH-(SiHPh_2)(OTf)(TFB), which by an α -elimination reaction should give the silylene derivative IrH_2(=SiPh_2)(OTf)-

(21) Sánchez-Delgado, R. A.; Herrera, V.; Bianchini, C.; Masi, D.; Mealli, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 3766.

(TFB). Following this is attack of the silylene group by the $[OTf]^-$ anion to form the unsaturated dihydrido $IrH_2{Si(OTf)Ph_2}(TFB)$, which by coordination of the phosphine ligand should give **6** and **7**. A similar mechanism has previously been proposed for the formation of $IrH_2{Si[OC(O)CH_3]Ph_2}(TFB)(PR_3)^{9b}$ and $IrH_2-{Si(OR)Ph_2}(CO)_2(PCy_3)^{9c}$

In conclusion, the reactions of Ir(OTf)(TFB)(PR₃) with H₂SiPh₂ afford IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PR₃) (PR₃ = Pⁱ-Pr₃, PCy₃). The single-crystal X-ray structural analysis of IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PⁱPr₃) indicates that the structural parameters at the silicon atom agree well with those previously reported for types of silyl compounds generally known as base-stabilized silylene complexes.

Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried using appropiate drying agents and freshly distilled under argon before use. Complex $IrCl(TFB)_2$ (1) was prepared by a published method.²³

 1H and $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian UNITY 300 or on a Bruker 300 AXR spectrophotometer. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm upfield from Me₄-Si (¹H) and 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P{¹H}). Coupling constants are given in hertz. IR data were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 783 or on a Nicolet 550 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O microanalyzer.

Preparation of IrCl(TFB)(**P**ⁱ**Pr**₃) (2). A suspension of 1 (100 mg, 0.147 mmol) in acetone (10 mL) was treated with PⁱPr₃ (28 μL, 0.147 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. After concentration of the solution to dryness, hexane was added to afford an orange solid. The solution was decanted, and the solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo; yield 73 mg (81.5%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₇ClF₄IrP: C, 41.07; H, 4.43. Found: C, 40.73; H, 4.13. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν(Ir–Cl) 320 (m). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C): δ 5.50 (br, 2H, –CH), 4.18 (br, 2H, =CH), 2.27 (m, 5H, =CH and PC*H*CH₃), 1.31 (dd, *J*_{H–H} = 7.3, *J*_{P–H} = 13.7, 18H, PCHC*H*₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.4 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 28.8 (s).

Preparation of IrCl(TFB)(PCy₃) (3). This compound was prepared analogously to **2**, starting from IrCl(TFB)₂ (100 mg, 0.147 mmol) and PCy₃ (41.2 mg, 0.147 mmmol). Compound **2** was isolated as an orange solid: yield 101 mg (93%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₉ClF₄IrP: C, 49.07; H, 5.35. Found: C, 49.28; H, 5.74. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν(Ir–Cl) 325 (m). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C): δ 5.16 (br, 2H, –CH), 4.05 (br, 2H, =CH), 2.00 (br, 2H, =CH), 1.98–1.09 (m, 33H, PCy₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.4 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 17.7 (s).

Preparation of Ir(OTf)(TFB)(PⁱPr₃) (4). A solution of **2** (100 mg, 0.163 mmol) in acetone (10 mL) was treated with AgOTf (42 mg, 0.163 mmol). After stirring for 1 h in the dark, the suspension was filtered, and the solution was concentrated to ca. 0.5 mL. Hexane was added to afford a yellow solid. The

⁽¹⁶⁾ Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 3486.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kretschmer, M.; Pregosin, P. S.; Albinati, A.; Togni, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **1985**, 281, 365.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Clark, G. R.; Skelton, B. W.; Waters, T. N. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **1975**, *12*, 235.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Lehner, H.; Matt, D.; Pregosin, P. S.; Venanzi, L. M.; Albinati, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, *104*, 6825.

⁽²⁰⁾ Bird, P.; Harrod, J. F.; Than, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1222.

⁽²²⁾ Most of the silyl complexes of iridium(III) previously reported have been obtained by oxidative addition of HSiR₃ to iridium(I) compounds. See: (a) Johnson, C. H.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 6531. (b) Rappoli, B. J.; Janik, T. S.; Churchill, M. R.; Thompson, J. S.; Atwood, J. D. Organometallics **1988**, 7, 1939. (c) Lwo, X.-L.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 2527. (d) Rappoli, B. J.; McFarland, J. M.; Thompson, J. S.; Atwood, J. D. Coord. Chem. **1990**, 21, 147. (e) Hays, M. K.; Eisenberg, R. Inorg. Chem. **1991**, 30, 2623. (f) Schubert, U. Transition Met. Chem. **1991**, 16, 136. (g) Tanke, R. S.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics **1991**, 10, 415. (h) Hostetler, M. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 7629. (i) Cleary, B. P.; Eisenberg, R. Organometallics **1992**, 11. 2335.

<sup>B. P.; Eisenberg, R. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2335.
(23) Usón, R.; Oro, L. A.; Carmona, D.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, F. H.; García-Blanco, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 254, 249.</sup>

solution was decanted, and the solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo; yield 90.2 mg (76%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{27}F_7IrO_3PS$: C, 36.31; H, 3.74; S, 4.41. Found: C, 36.52; H, 4.33; S, 4.13. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , 20 °C): δ 4.92 (br, 2H, -CH), 4.53 (br, 2H, =CH), 1.60 (m, 5H, =CH and PC*H*CH₃), 0.91 (dd, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$, $J_{P-H} = 14.0$, 18H, PCHC*H*₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.4 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 31.1 (s).

Preparation of Ir(OTf)(TFB)(PCy₃) (5). This compound was prepared analogously to **4**, starting from **3** (100 mg, 0.135 mmol) and AgOTf (35 mg, 0.135 mmol). Compound **5** was isolated as a deep yellow solid; yield 89 mg (77%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₉F₇IrO₃PS: C, 43.91; H, 4.64; S, 3.78. Found: C, 43.73; H, 5.53; S, 3.85. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν_{asym} (SO) 1346 (s). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C): δ 4.99 (br, 2H, -CH), 4.54 (br, 2H, =CH), 1.88 (br, 2H, =CH), 1.75-1.10 (m, 33H, PCy₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.4 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 19.2 (s).

Preparation of $IrH_2{Si(OTf)Ph_2}(TFB)(P^iPr_3)$ (6). A solution of 4 (100 mg, 0.137 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with H_2SiPh_2 (27 μ L, 0.137 mmol). The orange solution became pale yellow immediately. This solution was concentrated to ca. 0.5 mL, and addition of hexane caused the precipitation of a white solid. The solution was decanted, and the solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo; yield 49 mg (39%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₃₉F₇IrO₃PSSi: C, 44.78; H, 4.31; S, 3.52. Found: C, 44.33; H, 4.37; S, 3.71. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν (Ir–H) 2155 (m), ν_{asym} (SO) 1366 (s). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C): δ 7.95-7.15 (m, 10H, Ph), 4.77 (br, 2H, -CH), 3.20 (s, 4H, =CH), 1.89 (m, 3H, PCHCH₃), 0.79 (dd, $J_{\rm H-H} = 7.0, J_{\rm P-H} = 13.9, 18$ H, PCHC H_3), -15.16 (d, $J_{\rm P-H} =$ 18.9, 2H, Ir-H). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, -55 °C): δ 4.88 (br, 1H, -CH), 4.34 (br, 1H, -CH), 3.36 (br, 2H, =CH), 2.56 (br, 2H, =CH). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (121.4 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 23.0 (s with ²⁹Si satellites, $J_{P-Si} = 83.5$).

Preparation of IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)(PCy₃) (7). This compound was prepared analogously to **6**, starting from **5** (100 mg, 0.118 mmol) and H₂SiPh₂ (23 μL, 0.118 mmmol). Compound **7** was isolated as a white solid; yield 83 mg (68%). Anal. Calcd for C₄₃H₅₂F₇IrO₃PSSi: C, 49.99; H, 5.07; S, 3.10. Found: C, 50.27; H, 4.94; S, 2.85. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν(Ir–H) 2145 (m), v_{asym} (SO) 1375 (s). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C): δ 7.97–7.12 (m, 10H, Ph), 4.84 (br, 2H, –CH), 3.28 (br, 4H, =CH), 2.06–1.15 (m, 33H, PCy₃), –14.85 (d, *J*_{P–H} = 18.3, 2H, Ir–H). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, –55 °C): δ 4.94 (br, 1H, –CH), 4.53 (br, 1H, –CH), 3.46 (br, 2H, =CH), 2.78 (br, 2H, =CH). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.4 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.1 (s with ²⁹Si satellites, *J*_{P–Si} = 82.4; triplet in off-resonance).

X-ray Structure Analysis of IrH₂{Si(OTf)Ph₂}(TFB)-(PⁱPr₃) (6). Crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction study were obtained from a toluene/pentane solution. An oil-coated rapidly cooled colorless crystalline rectangular block was mounted directly from solution.²⁴ Crystal and collection data: orthorhombic space group Pbca (No. 61); a = 15.798(4), b = 13.527(1), c = 32.403(2) Å; V = 6925(2) Å³ (from 50 reflections $10 \le 2\theta \le 25^{\circ}$); Z = 8, $d_{calcd} = 1.750 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$; (Mo K α) = 4.07 mm⁻¹; crystal dimensions 0.45 × 0.44 × 0.31 mm; 4-circle Stöe AED diffractometer, Mo Kα radiation (0.71073 Å), graphite-oriented monochromator; T = 153 K; $2\theta/\omega$ scan method, max $2\theta = 50^\circ$; 6088 reflections measured, 6070 independent reflections. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and Polarization effects, and a semiempirical absorption correction (ψ scan method²⁵) was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and conventional Fourier techniques.²⁶ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropic by full-matrix least squares. The hydrides and the hydrogens of the TFB ligand were located and freely refined isotropically. Remaining hydrogens were fixed in idealized positions (distance C-H = 0.96 Å). R values: $R_1 (F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0))$, for 4657 reflections) = 0.0388; R_2 (all data) = 0.1068; $R_1(F) = \Sigma ||F_0|$ - $|F_{\rm c}||\Sigma|F_{\rm o}|, R_2(F^2) = \sqrt{\{\Sigma W(F_{\rm o}^2 - F_{\rm c}^2)^2/\Sigma WF_{\rm o}^4\}}, W = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2) + 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2)]/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2)]$ $(xP)^2 + yP$, $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ (where x = 0.03 and y = 63.55). Largest peak and hole in the final difference map: 1.129 and -1.534 e Å⁻³.

Acknowledgment. We thank the DGICYT (Project PB92-0092, Programa de Promoción General del Conocimiento) and the EU (Project: Selective Processes and Catalysis Involving Small Molecules) for financial support. W.C. (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain), A.J.E. (EU, programme *Human, Capital and Mobility,* CT93-0347), and M.O. (Diputación General de Aragón) are grateful for grants.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of atomic coordinates and thermal parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, experimental details of the X-ray study, and complete bond distances and angles (7 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM9508896

(26) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-93, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1993.

 ⁽²⁴⁾ Kottke, T.; Stalke, D. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 615.
 (25) North, A. C. T.; Phillips, D. C.; Mathews, F. S. Acta Crystallogr.
 1968, A24, 351.