Ultrasonics Sonochemistry xxx (2014) xxx–xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ultrasonics Sonochemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ultson

Short Communication

Metal free synthesis of homoallylic alcohols promoted by ultrasound

Jucleiton José R. Freitas [a], Túlio R. Couto [a], Italo H. Cavalcanti [a], Juliano C.R. Freitas [b], Roberta A. Oliveira [a,*], Paulo H. Menezes [a,*]

[a] Departamento de Química Fundamental, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE 50740-540, Brazil
[b] Centro de Educação e Saúde, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Olho D'agua da Bica, s/n, Cuité, PB 58175-000, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 18 September 2013
Received in revised form 5 March 2014
Accepted 1 April 2014
Available online xxxx

Keywords:
Potassium organotrifluoroborates
Allylation
Green chemistry

ABSTRACT

The use of ultrasound irradiation to promote the allylation of aldehydes containing different functionalities with potassium allyltrifluoroborates is described. The method features the use of a minimum amount of acetone as solvent, without any other catalyst or promoter. The products were obtained in high yields, short reaction times, at room temperature and without the need of further purification.

1. Introduction

The addition of an allylic organometallic reagent to a carbonyl compound is an important synthetic method, not only to afford the formation of a new carbon–carbon bond and the introduction of two new functionalities, an alcohol and a double bond, but also because these can be used for further transformations [1].

Thus, the development and application of allylic organometallic reagents have attracted attention with several approaches already described based on the use of allylic organometallics [2] or organometalloid [3] reagents, as well as electrochemical based methods [4].

Some allylic organometallics present drawbacks such as the difficulty to handle due to its Lewis base character, which requires the use of strictly anhydrous conditions and because of competing Wurtz coupling reactions [5].

Thereby, the search for more efficient synthetic methods based on the use of less expensive and easy to handle reagents have attracted considerable interest from chemists. Moreover, the development of methods focusing on environmentally benign reactions has become particularly prominent [6]. In this context, the use of ultrasound in organic synthesis has attracted considerable attention, not only because it can easily promote organic transformations which normally requires drastic conditions, but also because it can enhance the reaction rate and increase the yield [7].

Herein, we wish to describe an environmentally benign reaction for the synthesis of homoallylic alcohols based on the reaction of potassium allyltrifluoroborate and aldehydes containing different functional groups promoted by ultrasound without the use of any metal or additive.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

All solvents used were previously purified and dried in agreement with the literature [8]. Compounds **1a–o** and *E*-crotylboronic acid pinacol ester were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used as received. All other commercially available reagents and solvents were used as received. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel 60 plates (F254) using UV light, vanillin and *p*-anisaldehyde as visualizing agents. All compounds were sufficiently pure for use in further experiments, unless indicated otherwise.

2.2. Instrumentation

^{1}H (300 MHz) NMR and ^{13}C (75 MHz) NMR data were recorded in $CDCl_3$ or DMSO-d_6. The chemical shifts are reported as delta (δ) units in parts per million (ppm) relative to the solvent residual peak as the internal reference. ^{11}B (128 MHz) and ^{19}F (376 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d_6. Spectra were calibrated using $BF_3{\bullet}Et_2O$ (0.0 ppm) as external reference in the case of ^{11}B NMR and chemical shifts were referenced to external CF_3CO_2H

* Corresponding authors. Tel.: +55 81 2126 7473; fax: +55 81 2126 8442.
E-mail addresses: paulo.menezes@pq.cnpq.br, pmenezes@ufpe.br (P.H. Menezes).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.001
1350-4177/

(0.0 ppm) in the case of ^{19}F NMR. Coupling constants (J) for all spectra are reported in Hertz (Hz). The sonication was performed in an 8890E-DTH ultrasonic cleaner (with a frequency of 47 kHz and a nominal power 35 W; Cole Parmer Co.). The reaction flask was located at the maximum energy area in the cleaner, the surface of reactants was slightly lower than the level of the water. The reaction temperature was controlled by water bath.

2.3. Typical procedures

2.3.1. Synthesis of potassium allyltrifluoroborate, **2**

To a solution of $B(OMe)_3$ (8.15 mL, 7.59 g, 73.2 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added dropwise allylmagnesium chloride (30 mL, 60 mmol, 2.0 M in THF) at −78 °C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min. The ice bath was removed. The yellow solution with a white precipitate was allowed to reach the room temperature over a 1 h period. Then, it was cooled to 0 °C and KHF_2 (23.4 g, 300 mmol) was added in one portion. This was followed by the dropwise addition of H_2O (30 mL). The ice bath was removed. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then concentrated under high vacuum. The white solid was extracted with hot acetone (4 × 100 mL). The extracts were filtered through a Celite pad and the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to afford a white solid. The solid was purified by dissolving in the minimum amount of hot acetone, followed by cooling to room temperature and precipitation with Et_2O. The solution was allowed to stand for 20 min to complete precipitation. The precipitate was collected and dried under high vacuum to yield 3.37 g (38%) of the title compound as a white solid powder, which can be stored at room temperature without degradation. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 5.85–5.74 (m, 1H, CH_2=CH), 4.56 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH_2=CH), 4.49 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, CH_2=CH), 0.92 (br s, 2H, CH_2BF_3K); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 142.9, 108.9; ^{11}B NMR (128 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 4.21 (q, $J_{11B,19F}$ = 61.3 Hz, BF_3K); ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ −136.4 ($J_{19F,11B}$ = 61.3 Hz, BF_3K). The NMR data are in agreement with previously reported literature values [3a].

2.3.2. Synthesis of potassium E-crotyltrifloroborate, **4**

To a solution of *E*-crotylboronic acid pinacol ester (0.5 g, 2.75 mmol) in MeOH (12 mL) was added dropwise a 4.5 M solution of KHF_2 (2.0 mL) over a 30 min at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for additional 30 min at room temperature and concentrated under high vacuum. The residual solids were extracted with 20% MeOH in acetone (3 × 10 mL). The combined extracts were concentrated close to the saturation point and Et_2O was added until no more precipitation was observed. The solid was collected, washed with Et_2O (2 × 10 mL), and dried under high vacuum to give 300 mg (60%) of the title compound as a white powdered solid. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6): δ 5.43–5.36 (m, 1H, CH_3–CH), 4.96–4.91 (m, 1H, CH=CH–CH_2), 1.51 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H, CH_3–CH), 0.92 (br s, 2H, CH_2BF_3K); RMN ^{13}C (75 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 135.0, 117.9, 18.2; RMN ^{11}B (128 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 6.21 (q, $J_{11B,19F}$ = 61.4 Hz, BF_3K); RMN ^{19}F (376 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ −136.4 ($J_{19F,11B}$ = 61.4 Hz, BF_3K). The NMR data are in agreement with previously reported literature values [3i].

2.3.3. General procedure for the allylation of aldehydes (**1a–o**) with potassium allyltrifluoroborate (**2**) promoted by ultrasound

To a solution of the appropriate aldehyde **1a–o** (1.0 mmol) in acetone (0.5 mL) was added potassium allyltrifluoroborate **2** (177 mg, 1.20 mmol). The mixture was placed in an ultrasound bath for the time indicated on Table 2 and then diluted with EtOAc (5 mL) and washed with water (2 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried over $MgSO_4$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield **3a–q** without the need of further purification. The data for all synthesized compounds match with the literature [3a].

*2.3.3.1. (**3a**) (E)-1-Phenylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.40–7.21 (m, 5H, H_{Aryl}), 6.61 (dd, J = 15.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H, PhC*H* = CH), 6.24 (dd, J = 15.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H, PhCH = C*H*), 5.86 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H, C*H* = CH_2), 5.22–5.14 (m, 2H, CH = CH_2), 4.40–4.33 (m, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.50–2.33 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 1.78 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 136.5, 134.0, 131.5, 130.2, 128.5, 127.6, 126.4, 118.3, 71.6, 41.9.

*2.3.3.2. (**3b**) 1-(Furan-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.38 (dd, J = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H, H_{Het}), 6.34 (dd, J = 2.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H_{Het}), 6.26 (dd, J = 2.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H, H_{Het}), 5.81 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 6.9 Hz, 1H, C*H* = CH_2), 5.23–5.13 (m, 2H, CH = CH_2), 4.76 (dd, J = 6.6, 6.3 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.66–2.60 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.05 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 155.9; 141.9; 133.6, 118.6, 110.1, 106.1, 66.9, 40.1.

*2.3.3.3. (**3c**) 1-(2-Nitrophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.94 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.84 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.65 (td, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.43 (td, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 5.97–5.83 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.32 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 5.25–5.18 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 2.76–2.67 (m, 1H, CHCH_2), 2.48–2.37 (m, 2H, CHCH_2 and O*H*); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 147.7, 139.2, 133.9, 133.4, 128.1, 128.0, 124.3, 119.0, 68.3, 42.8.

*2.3.3.4. (**3d**) 1-(3-Nitrophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.24 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 8.13 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.1, 0,9 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.71 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.53 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 5.87–5.73 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.22–5.16 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.87 (dd, J = 8.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.63–2.43 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.19 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.1, 145.9, 133.2, 131.9, 129.3, 122.4, 120.8, 119.6, 72.0, 43.9.

*2.3.3.5. (**3e**) 1-(4-Nitrophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 7.54 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 5.86–5.72 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.22–5.16 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.87 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.62–2.40 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.07 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.1, 147.1, 133.1, 126.5, 123.5, 119.5, 72.1, 43.8.

*2.3.3.6. (**3f**) 1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.34 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.25 (td, J = 7.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 6.96 (td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 5.85 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.17–5.09 (m, 2H, CH = CH_2), 4.96 (dd, J = 8.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.64–2.44 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.41 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 156.2, 135.1, 131.7, 128.2, 126.7, 120.6, 117.4, 110.3, 69.5, 55.1, 41.8.

*2.3.3.7. (**3g**) 1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (dd, J = 8.1, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 6.86–6.84 (m, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 6.74 (ddd, J = 8.1, 2.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 5.73 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.12–5.04 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.63 (dd, J = 7.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 3.73 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.46–2.39 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 1.95 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 159.5, 145.6, 134.4, 129.3, 118.2, 118.0, 112.8, 111.2, 73.1, 55.1, 43.6.

*2.3.3.8. (**3h**) 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.28 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 6.88 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 5.79 (ddt, J = 16.8, 9.9, 6.6 Hz, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.19–5.10 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.68 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, C*H*OH), 3.80 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.52–2.47 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.01 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 158.9, 136.0, 134.6, 127.0, 118.2, 113.7, 72.9, 55.2, 43.7.

Please cite this article in press as: J.J.R. Freitas et al., Metal free synthesis of homoallylic alcohols promoted by ultrasound, Ultrason. Sonochem. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.001

*2.3.3.9. (**3i**) 1-(2-Fluorophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.41 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, H_{Aryl}), 7.22–7.15 (m, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 7.09 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 6.99–6.92 (m, 1H, H_{Aryl}), 5.83–5.69 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.14–5.08 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 5.00 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 4.4 Hz, C*H*OH), 2.57–2.37 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.03 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR δ (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 160.9, 134.0, 130.8, 128.8, 127.2, 124.2, 118.7, 115.3, 67.3, 42.6.

*2.3.3.10. (**3j**) 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 6.97 (t, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz, H_{Aryl}), 5.79–5.65 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.13–5.06 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.66 (dd, 1H, J = 7.2, 5.7 Hz, C*H*OH), 2.45–2.40 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 1.82 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR δ (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 163.4, 139.6, 134.1, 127.4, 118.7, 115.3, 72.6, 43.9.

*2.3.3.11. (**3k**) 1-(4-Chlorophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.27–7.19 (m, 4H, H_{Aryl}), 5.78–5.64 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.13–5.05 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.65 (dd, J = 7.5, 5.4 Hz, C*H*OH), 2.49–2.32 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.04 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 142.2, 133.9, 131.1, 128.5, 127.2, 118.8, 72.5, 43.8.

*2.3.3.12. (**3l**) 1-(4-Bromophenyl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.41 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 7.17 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, H_{Aryl}), 5.78–5.64 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.13–5.06 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.64 (dd, J = 7.8, 5.4 Hz, C*H*OH), 2.49–2.32 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.00 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 142.8, 133.9, 131.4, 127.5, 121.2, 118.9, 72.5, 43.8.

*2.3.3.13. (**3m**) 1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.84–7.79 (m, 4H, H_{Aryl}), 7.48–7.45 (m, 3H, H_{Aryl}), 5.82 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.20–5.12 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.88 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.1 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.56–2.61 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.08 (br s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 141.2, 134.3, 133.2, 133.0, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 126.0, 125.8, 124.2, 123.9, 118.4, 73.3, 43.6.

*2.3.3.14. (**3n**) 1-Phenyl-but-3-en-1-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.36–7.25 (m, 5H, H_{Aryl}), 5.88–5.74 (m, 1H, CH=CH_2), 5.19–5.12 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 4.73 (dd, J = 7.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H, CHOH), 2.53–2.46 (m, 2H, $CHCH_2$), 2.00 (br s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR δ (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 143.8, 134.4, 128.2, 127.3, 125.7, 118.0, 73.2, 43.6.

*2.3.3.15. (**3o**) Non-1-en-4-ol.* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 5.90–5.77 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.17–5.10 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 3.69–3.50 (m, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.35–2.26 (m, 2H, CHCH_2), 2.19–2.08 (m, 2H, C*H*OH) 1.67 (br s, 1H, OH), 1.50–1.25 (m, 6H, $CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2$), 0.88 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 6H, CH_3CH_2); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 134.9, 118.0, 70.6, 41.9, 36.7, 31.8, 25.3, 22.6, 14.0.

*2.3.4. General procedure for the crotylation of **1a** with potassium (E)-crotyltrifluoroborate, **4** promoted by ultrasound*

The same procedure described above was used for the crotylation reaction. To a solution of **1a** (132 mg, 1.0 mmol) in acetone (0.5 mL) was added potassium (*E*)-crotyltrifluoroborate **4** (194 mg, 1.20 mmol). The mixture was placed in an ultrasound bath for the time indicated on Table 2 and then diluted with EtOAc (5 mL) and washed with water (2 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried over $MgSO_4$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield **5** in 60% yield (112 mg). The NMR data obtained for 3a are in agreement with previously reported literature values [3h]. **(5) (*E*)-4-Methyl-1-phenylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol:** *anti isomer:* ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.33–7.17 (m, 5H, H_{Aryl}), 6.55 (d, J = 16.00 Hz, 1H, PhC*H*=CH), 6.16 (dd, J = 6.80 Hz, 16.00 Hz, 1H, PhCH=C*H*), 5.78–5.70 (m, 1H, C*H*=CH_2), 5.22–5.11 (m, 2H, CH=CH_2), 3.99 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, C*H*OH), 2.32–2.27 (m, 1H, C*H*(CH_3)), 1.57 (br, 1H, OH), 1.00 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H CH(CH_3). RMN ^{13}C (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 140.1, 136.7, 131.7, 130.1, 128.5, 127.6, 126.5, 116.7, 76.6, 76.1, 53.4, 44.7, 16.0.

3. Results and discussion

In the course of developing the best reaction conditions, ultrasound promotion of allylation was first investigated in different solvents. Thus, cinnamaldehyde, **1a** (1 mmol) and potassium allyltrifluoroborate, **2** (1.2 mmol) were added to a flask followed by the appropriate solvent (0.5 mL). The mixture was sonicated for 10 min and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The results are presented in Table 1, and all reported yields in this and other tables are isolated yields.

In all cases, using different solvents the corresponding 1,2-addition product was obtained exclusively, proving that the reaction is regioselective. The best result was observed when acetonitrile was used as solvent, however, due to environmental concerns and the toxicity of this solvent it was discarded [9]. When dichloromethane was used as solvent, the corresponding product was obtained in 81% yield (Table 1, entry 2).

The use of water as a (co)-solvent in the development of methods focusing on environmentally benign reaction media seems to be the best option due to its simplicity and very low cost. When a biphasic mixture of dichloromethane and water (1:1) was used in the reaction, the yield decreased drastically (Table 1, entry 3).

When water was used solely as the reaction solvent, the corresponding product was obtained in moderate yield (Table 1,

Table 1
Effect of the solvent on the allylation of cinnamaldehyde **1a** by potassium allyltrifluoroborate **2** at room temperature.



Entry	Solvent	**3a** (%)
1	Acetonitrile	92
2	Dichloromethane	81
3	Dichloromethane/water (1:1)	45
4	Acetone/water (7:3)	82
5	Acetone/water (1:1)	80
6	Water	71
7	Ethanol	68
8	Acetone	85

Please cite this article in press as: J.J.R. Freitas et al., Metal free synthesis of homoallylic alcohols promoted by ultrasound, Ultrason. Sonochem. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.001

entry 4). Conversely, the use of a mixture of acetone and water in different proportions did not increase the yield significantly (Table 1, entries 5 and 6).

Finally, when ethanol and acetone were used as the reaction solvent, the corresponding product was obtained in 68% and 85% yield, respectively, indicating that the use of a polar non-protic solvent could enhance the reaction yield (Table 1, entries 7 and 8).

The optimized reaction conditions, namely: potassium allyltrifluoroborate (1.2 mmol), aldehyde (1.0 mmol), acetone (0.5 mL); were then applied in the allylation reaction of aldehydes containing different functionalities (Table 2). The method tolerates a wide range of functional groups and aliphatic, aromatic, and heterocyclic aldehydes were efficiently allylated in moderate to high yields. In the absence of ultrasound irradiation, conversion of cinnamaldehyde **1a** into the corresponding alcohol **3a** was not observed after 10 min under the optimized reaction conditions.

From Table 2, it can be seen that several aldehydes containing electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups were efficiently allylated under the specified conditions. The allylation of aldehydes containing electron-withdrawing groups gave the

Table 2
Allylation of various aldehydes **1** by potassium allyltrifluoroborate **2** promoted by ultrasound irradiation.



1 2 3

	R=O	Product	Time (min.)	Yield (%)
1	 **1a**	 **3a**	10	85
2	 **1b**	 **3b**	5	60
3	 **1c**	 **3c**	15	95
4	 **1d**	 **3d**	15	96
5	 **1e**	 **3e**	15	95
6	 **1f**	 **3f**	30	95
7	 **1g**	 **3g**	30	94
8	 **1h**	 **3h**	30	96

Table 2 (*continued*)

	R=O	Product	Time (min.)	Yield (%)
9	 **1i**	 **3i**	30	75
10	 **1j**	 **3j**	30	75
11	 **1k**	 **3k**	15	96
12	 **1l**	 **3l**	15	74
13	 **1m**	 **3m**	15	95
14	 **1n**	 **3n**	30	70
15	 **1o**	 **3o**	30	60



Scheme 1. Crotylation of cynnamaldehyde **1a** by potassium (*E*)-crotyltrifluoroborate **4** promoted by ultrasound irradiation.

corresponding products in high yields (Table 2, entries 3–5, 9–12). In addition, when 2-, 3- and 4-NO_2 (Table 2, entries 3–5) were used, similar yields were observed, indicating that the substituent position has little influence in the reaction (Table 2, entries 1–3).

Aromatic aldehydes containing electron-donating groups (Table 2, entries 6–8) also gave the respective homoallylic alcohols in high yields, however, longer reaction times were required. Moreover, β-naphtaldehyde (Table 2, entry 13), and benzaldehyde (Table 2, entry 14) also were allylated in high yields.

When a heterocyclic aldehyde was used, the corresponding addition product was obtained in moderate yield using the described conditions (Table 2, entry 2). For an aliphatic aldehyde, the ultrasound promoted allylation with moderate efficiency, probably due to volatilization losses of the starting material during the reaction (Table 2, entry 15).

Although the available methods for the allylation of aldehydes gave the corresponding products in good yields in some cases [2,3], our method gave similar results using shorter reaction times without the need of any catalyst under milder reaction conditions.

Finally, the regio- and diastereoselectivity of the reaction were also studied. Thus, the addition of potassium *E*-crotyltrifluoroborate, **4** to cinnamaldehyde **1a** using the described conditions gave exclusively the corresponding γ-adduct **5** in a 84:16 ratio (*anti*:*syn*) (Scheme 1).

This result is close to the data described in the literature for the allylation of cinnamaldehyde using organoboranes [3j].

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that ultrasound can efficiently promote the allylation of aldehydes. The green method features the use of a small amount of solvent, avoid the preparation of unstable allyl organometallics and the products were obtained in

Please cite this article in press as: J.J.R. Freitas et al., Metal free synthesis of homoallylic alcohols promoted by ultrasound, Ultrason. Sonochem. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.001

short reaction times with moderate to high yields and purity at room temperature. The methodology is simple, fast and efficient, and is synthetically useful while it could be applied for the synthesis of more complex compounds.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge CNPq (484778/2011-0), FACEPE (PRONEX APQ-0859-1.06/08), CAPES and inct-INAMI for financial support. The authors are also thankful to CNPq for their fellowships.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.04.001.

References

[1] (a) M. Yus, J.C. González-Gómez, F. Foubelo, Catalytic enantioselective allylation of carbonyl compounds and imines, Chem. Rev. 111 (2011) 7774–7854;
(b) S.E. Denmark, J. Fu, Catalytic enantioselective addition of allylic organometallic reagents to aldehydes and ketones, Chem. Rev. 103 (2003) 2763–2794;
(c) Y. Yamamoto, N. Asao, Selective reactions using allylic metals, Chem. Rev. 93 (1993) 2207–2293.

[2] (a) Y. Gao, X. Wang, L. Sun, L. Xie, X. Xu, Zinc or indium-mediated Barbier-type allylation of aldehydes with 3-bromomethyl-5*H*-furan-2-one in aqueous media: an efficient synthesis method for α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone, Org. Biomol. Chem. 10 (2012) 3991–3998;
(b) Z. Li, B. Plancq, T. Ollevier, Bismuth triflate-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of aromatic aldehydes, Chemistry 18 (2012) 3144–3147;
(c) G. Jiang, B. List, Palladium/Brønsted acid-catalyzed α-allylation of aldehydes with allylic alcohols, Adv. Synth. Catal. 353 (2011) 1667–1670;
(d) B.K. Bhuyan, A.J. Borah, K.K. Senapati, P. Phukan, Ti-exchanged ZSM-5 as heterogeneous catalyst for allylation of aldehydes with allyltributylstannane, Tetrahedron Lett. 52 (2011) 2649–2651;
(e) M. Vasylyev, H. Alper, Rhodium-catalyzed reductive allylation of conjugated aldehydes with allyl acetate, J. Org. Chem. 75 (2010) 2710–2713;
(f) X. Zhang, R. Qiu, N. Tan, S. Yin, J. Xia, S. Luo, et al., Air-stable hypervalent organobismuth(III) tetrafluoroborate as effective and reusable catalyst for the allylation of aldehyde with tetraallyltin, Tetrahedron Lett. 51 (2010) 153–156;
(g) D. Deng, P. Liu, B. Ji, L. Wang, W. Fu, $[Cd_2(tren)_2(dl\text{-alaninato})](ClO_4)_3$: an efficient water-compatible Lewis acid catalyst for chemo-, regio-, and diastereo-selective allylation of various aldehydes, Tetrahedron Lett. 51 (2010) 5567–5570.

[3] (a) T.R. Couto, J.C.R. Freitas, I.H. Cavalcanti, R.A. Oliveira, P.H. Menezes, Allylation of aldehydes with potassium allyltrifluoroborate catalyzed by Amberlyst A-15, Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 7006–7010;
(b) J.C.R. Freitas, C.K. de Oliveira, E.C. Cunha, I. Malvestiti, S. Alves, R.L. Longo, et al., Allylation of aldehydes with potassium allyltrifluoroborate catalyzed by lanthanide-based metal-organic framework, Tetrahedron Lett. 54 (2013) 1558–1561;
(c) J.C.R. Freitas, T.R. Couto, A.a.S. Paulino, J.R. de freitas Filho, I. Malvestiti, R.a. Oliveira, et al., Stereoselective synthesis of pseudoglycosides catalyzed by TeCl4 under mild conditions, Tetrahedron 68 (2012) 8645–8654;
(d) F.C.G. Barbosa, J.C.R. Freitas, C.F. Melo, P.H. Menezes, R.A. Oliveira, Allylation of functionalized aldehydes by potassium allyltrifluoroborate catalyzed by 18-crown-6 in aqueous media, Molecules 17 (2012) 14099–14110;
(e) S. Ito, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Kubota, M. Asami, Mesoporous aluminosilicate-catalyzed allylation of aldehydes with allylsilanes, Tetrahedron Lett. 50 (2009) 2967–2969;
(f) R.L. Guimarães, D.J.P. Lima, M.E.S.B. Barros, L.N. Cavalcanti, F. Hallwass, M. Navarro, et al., Aqueous Barbier allylation of aldehydes mediated by tin, Molecules 12 (2007) 2089–2105;
(g) J.W.J. Kennedy, D.G. Hall, Recent advances in the activation of boron and silicon reagents for stereocontrolled allylation reactions, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 42 (2003) 4732–4739;
(h) A. Thadani, R. Batey, A mild protocol for allylation and highly diastereoselective syn or anti crotylation of aldehydes in biphasic and aqueous media utilizing potassium allyl-and crotyltrifluoroborates, Org. Lett. (2002) 8–11;
(i) N. Selander, K.J. Szabó, Performance of SCS palladium pincer complexes in borylation of allylic alcohols. Control of the regioselectivity in the one-pot borylation-allylation process, J. Org. Chem. 74 (2009) 5695–5698;
(j) P.V. Ramachandran, T.E. Burghardt, M.V.R. Reddy, Asymmetric allylboration of α, β-enals as a surrogate for the enantioselective synthesis of allylic amines and α-amino acids, J. Org. Chem. 70 (2005) 2329–2331.

[4] (a) R.F.M. de Souza, M.C.C. Areias, L.W. Bieber, M. Navarro, Electrochemical allylation of aldehydes in a solvent-free cavity cell with a graphite powder cathode, Green Chem. 13 (2011) 1118;
(b) J.-M. Huang, Y. Dong, Zn-mediated electrochemical allylation of aldehydes in aqueous ammonia, Chem. Commun. (2009). 3943–3445.

[5] D. Seyferth, Alkyl and Aryl derivatives of the alkali metals: useful synthetic reagents as strong bases and potent nucleophiles. Conversion of organic halides to organoalkali-metal compounds, Organometallics 25 (2006) 2–24.

[6] (a) I.T. Horváth, P.T. Anastas, Innovations and green chemistry, Chem. Rev. 107 (2007) 2169–2173;
(b) G.J. Hutchings, A golden future for green chemistry, Catal. Today 122 (2007) 196–200;
(c) M. Kidwai, Green chemistry trends toward sustainability, Pure Appl. Chem. 78 (2006) 1983–1992.

[7] (a) P. Cintas, G. Palmisano, G. Cravotto, Power ultrasound in metal-assisted synthesis: from classical Barbier-like reactions to click chemistry, Ultrason. Sonochem. 18 (2011) 836–841;
(b) B.H. Han, P. Boudjouk, Organic sonochemistry – sonic acceleration of the Reformatsky reaction, J. Org. Chem. 47 (1982) 5030–5032;
(c) C. Einhorn, J. Einhorn, J.-L. Luche, Sonochemistry – the use of ultrasonic waves in synthetic organic chemistry, Synthesis 1989 (1989) 787–813.

[8] D.D. Perrin, W.L.F. Armarego, In Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, Pergamon, Oxford, 1980.

[9] NTP Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Acetonitrile (CAS No. 75–05-8) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Inhalation Studies), Natl. Toxicol. Program Tech. Rep. Ser. 447 (1996) 1–272.