

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry



journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejmech

Original article

Microwave synthesis, characterization and bio-efficacy evaluation of novel chalcone based 6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one and 2H-indazol-3-ol derivatives

N.A. Shakil^{a,*}, Manish K. Singh^{a,b}, M. Sathiyendiran^b, J. Kumar^a, Jasdeep C. Padaria^c

^a Division of Agricultural Chemicals, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Room No. 256, LBS Building, New Delhi 110012, India
 ^b Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India
 ^c National Research Centre on Plant Biotechnology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110012, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 4 April 2012 Received in revised form 17 October 2012 Accepted 20 October 2012 Available online 20 November 2012

Keywords: Chalcone Cyclohexenone Indazole Anti-fungal Anti-bacterial Anti-oxidant

1. Introduction

Due to stringent and growing environmental regulations, the chemical industry needs the development of more eco-compatible synthetic methodologies and consequently a detailed reexamination of the important synthetic processes [1]. In recent decades, microwave heating has taken an incontestable place in analytical and organic laboratories practice as a very effective and non-polluting method of activation.

Microwave irradiation leads to large reduction in reaction time, enhancement in conversion, and sometimes [2,3] in selectivity with several advantages of the environmental approach, termed green chemistry. The solvent-free Microwave assisted reactions [4] have gained popularity as they provide potentialities to work with open vessels and enhanced possibility of up-scaling the reactions on preparative scale [4–6].

ABSTRACT

Novel chalcone based 6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one and 2H-indazol-3-ol derivatives were synthesized and characterized by using spectral techniques like IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, COSY, DEPT, and GC-MS. All these compounds were screened for anti-fungal, anti-bacterial and anti-oxidant activity. Cyclohexenone derivatives, in general, showed better anti-fungal and anti-bacterial activity than parent chalcones. Whereas, all the Indazole derivatives showed very good anti-oxidant activity and some were also found to be active as anti-bacterial agent. Among the screened compounds, **15** was found to be most active as anti-fungal agent (against *Rhizoctonia solani*, $LC_{50} = 2.36 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$), **15b** was found to be most active anti-bacterial agent (against *Klebsiella pneumonia*, MIC = 24.68 $\ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) and **14b** emerged as most active anti-oxidant (IC₅₀ = 19.81 $\ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$).

© 2012 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Chalcone is a generic term for the compounds bearing the 1, 3diphenyl-prop-2-en-1-one framework [7]. Under homogeneous conditions, these compounds are usually prepared by base or acid catalyzed aldol condensation between aromatic aldehydes and ketones. Chalcones represent an important class of compounds due to their chemical flexibility, as synthons for the production of fiveand six-member ring systems [8,9] for example Pyrazoles [10], Pyrazolines [11], isoxazolines [12], aurones [13], pyrimidine [14], falvanones [15] and di-aryl cyclohexenones [16]. The biological activities of chalcones are equally wide ranging [17-20]. In fact, not many structural templates can claim association with such a diverse range of pharmacological activities, among which antimicrobial [21], anti-leishmanial [22], anti-malarial [23], antifungal [24], anti-viral [25], anti-inflammatory [26], cytotoxicity [27], anti-tumor [28], nematicidal [29] and anti-oxidant [30] are widely cited.

From a chemical point of view, an important feature of chalcones and their hetero-analogs is the ability to act as activated unsaturated systems in conjugated addition reactions of carbanions in the presence of basic catalysts [31,32]. This type of reaction is more commonly used for the preparation of 3,5-diaryl-6carbethoxycyclohexenones via Michael addition of ethyl

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 11 25843588; fax: +91 11 25843272.

E-mail addresses: iamshakil@gmail.com (N.A. Shakil), mks.rahul@gmail.com (M.K. Singh), msakhi@chemistry.du.ac.in (M. Sathiyendiran), jitendrakumar7@ yahoo.com (J. Kumar), jasdeep_kaur64@yahoo.co.in (J.C. Padaria).

^{0223-5234/\$ -} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.10.038

acetoacetate [33–35]. The mentioned cyclohexenones are efficient synthons in building spiranic compounds [36] or intermediates in the synthesis of fused heterocycles such as benzoselenadiazoles and benzothiadiazoles [37], benzopyrazoles and benzisoxazoles [38,39], carbazole derivatives [40], and 2H-indazoles [41].

The anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-cancer and anti-tubercular activities of di-arylcyclohexenone derivatives were also reported [42,43].

Wide spectrum of biological activities has been reported for indazoles and they have increasingly attracted the attention in pharmaceutical field due to various interesting bioactivity against different targets [44,45].

In the quest for biologically more potent anti-microbial and anti-oxidant agents and to increase the molecular diversity, we envisioned to design and synthesize the chalcone based 6-Carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one and 2H-Indazol-3-ol Derivatives through a simple, efficient and environment friendly method which utilizes the approach of "Green chemistry".

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Chalcones (1–16) were prepared from substituted acetophenones and benzaldehydes using aq. NaOH under microwave irradiation. The chalcones were then condensed with ethyl acetoacetate using K₂CO₃, under microwave irradiation to give cyclohexenones (1a–16a). These cyclohexenones were then condensed with hydrazine hydrate in presence of glacial acetic acid under microwave irradiation to afford 2H-indazol-3-ols (1b–16b) (Scheme 1). All the cyclohexenone and indazole derivatives were characterized by elemental analysis, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, COSY, DEPT, and GC-MS.

Claisen-Schmidt condensation method for the synthesis of chalcones is very attractive since it specifically generates the *trans* (E)-isomer [8,46,47]. Signals for two vinylic protons in the structure of chalcones appear as two doublets in aromatic region of ¹H NMR spectra, and their coupling constant (*J*) showed that all chalcones were geometrically pure and with *trans*-configuration ($J_{H\alpha-H\beta} = 15.50-16.50$ Hz). Aromatic protons appear between δ 6.9 and 8.1. In ¹³C NMR, a signal at around δ 190 confirms the presence of carbonyl group. Signal for α and β carbons appear at around δ 145

and 120 respectively. Aromatic carbons appear at around δ 120–140. The IR spectrum supported the NMR data showing the characteristic band for C]O at 1655–1660 and C]C Ar at around 1600 and 1475 cm⁻¹.

The cyclo-condensation of ethyl acetoacetate with chalcones leads to the generation of two chiral centers at C-5 and C-6 in the structure of cyclohexenones. As the explored reaction is not stereo selective, both configurations of the chiral carbon atoms are expected to be noticed in the synthesized cyclohexenones, which would result in a mixture of diastereomers. No attempt to separate the diastereomeric cyclohexenones was undertaken, and the cyclocondensation products have been characterized in the form of the mixture originated from the synthesis. The IR spectra of these compounds revealed a sharp strong absorption band above 1700 cm⁻¹ that can be correlated with the presence of the ester function in the structure of cyclohexenones. Furthermore, another sharp strong absorption band was noticed at approximately 1660 cm^{-1} and was assigned to the carbonyl group conjugated with a carbon-carbon double bond. No other absorption band could be evidenced in the region of the IR spectrum associated with the stretching vibrations of the carbonyl group, thus excluding the intermediate Michael adduct having an extra carbonyl group. The ¹H NMR spectra substantiated the results of the IR analysis. The characteristic signals of an ethyl ester moiety (a triplet at chemical shift values of about δ 1 and a quartet at around δ 4) confirmed the presence of the ester group in the structure of cyclohexenones. The characteristic signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum was however the singlet of the vinylic proton of the cyclohexenone ring, that appeared at approximately δ 6.5 and confirming that the intramolecular cyclo-condensation, subsequent to the Michael addition, actually took place. The two protons at C-4, being non-equivalent, appeared as two different signals, the axial proton appeared as double of double-doublet at around δ 2.95 showing both germinal coupling, vicinal coupling and long range ¹H–¹H coupling and the equatorial proton appeared as double-doublet at around δ 3.05, showing germinal and vicinal couplings. The signals for the protons at C-5 and C-6 appeared as a multiplet at around δ 3.7. Vinylic proton at C-2 appeared as doublet at around δ 6.5 and aromatic protons appeared between δ 6.7 and 7.8. COSY spectrum of cyclohexenones confirmed the long range ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ coupling between axial proton at C-4 and vinylic proton at C-2. ¹³C NMR also



Scheme 1. General method for the synthesis of cyclohexenone and indazole derivatives.

supported the above data showing two signals at around δ 170 and 195 due to carbonyl carbons and a signal at around δ 158 for quaternary vinylic carbon (C-3).

Treatment of cyclohexenones **1a–16a** with hydrazine hydrate in presence of ethanolic acetic acid gave the corresponding indazole derivatives **1b–16b** which can be tautomeric mixtures [48] (Fig. 1).

The absence of carbonyl bands in the IR spectra of the products ruled out lactam structures **A** and **B**. The ¹H NMR spectra exhibited three protons in the sp³ shift range (H- 5_{eq} , H- 5_{ax} and H-4). H- 5_{eq} and H-4 appeared as double-doublets at around δ 2.90 and 4.18 respectively while, the signal for H-5_{ax} appeared as double of double-doublet at around δ 3.17 showing geminal coupling with H-5_{eq}, vicinal coupling with H-4 and long range proton coupling with H-7. Protons that exchanged with D_2O at around δ 9.7 were assigned to OH and NH. Aromatic protons appeared between δ 7 and 8 and a doublet at around δ 6.7 was due to vinylic proton H-7. A cross peak in the COSY spectrum confirmed the long range ¹H-¹H coupling between H-5_{ax} and H-7. On the other hand, the 13 C NMR spectra of these compounds showed signal at around δ 156 due to C-3. Signals for other quaternary carbons and aromatic carbons appeared at around δ 125–145. The signal for vinylic carbon C-7 appeared at around δ 113. In ¹³C NMR of indazoles, a signal at δ 98– 99 can be attributed to a sp²-hybridized carbon atom (C-3a) that shifted to high field. DEPT experiments also support the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data. The tautomer C was therefore assigned as the sole component of indazole derivative formed.

2.1.1. Microwave method Vs conventional method

Chalcones (1–16), cyclohexenones (1a–16a) and indazoles (1b– 16b) were synthesized using self designed microwave method and already reported non-microwave method [43]. Table 1 summarizes the comparison of two methods in terms of reaction time and yield and emphasizes the advantages of microwave reaction in addition to solvent less conditions, increased conversion etc.

2.2. Bio-efficacy evaluation

2.2.1. Fungicidal activity

All the synthesized compounds were tested for their fungicidal activity against two phyto-pathogenic fungi *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Both are known pests on number of plants. The inhibitory effects of synthesized compounds on the growth of test fungi were expressed in terms of 50% lethal concentration (LC₅₀). None of the indazole derivative was found to be active against both *R. solani* and *S. rolfsii*. LC₅₀ values for indazoles ranged from 713–1241 mg L⁻¹ for *S. rolfsii* and 528–1034 mg L⁻¹ for *R. solani* (Table 2). Results for anti-fungal activity were summarized in Table 2 which indicate that the synthesized compounds were comparatively more active against *R. solani* than *S. rolfsii*. Also, against *S. rolfsii* the cyclohexenones were more active than the parent chalcones except for compounds **9** and **12**. However, no such generalization was possible when compounds were tested against

R. solani. The compounds i.e., 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-propenone (**15**) and 1,3-Diphenyl-propenone (**16**) were highly effective as fungicide against *R. solani* with LC₅₀ values 2.36 and 2.49 mg L⁻¹ respectively, comparable with that of commercial fungicide Hexaconazole (LC₅₀ 1.27 mg L⁻¹ and 1.12 mg L⁻¹ against *S. rolfsii* and *R. solani* respectively).

2.2.2. Anti-bacterial activity

All the synthesized compounds (100 μ g/disk) were screened for their anti-bacterial activity using disk diffusion method, against two gram positive beneficial bacteria, *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Bacillus pumilis*, two gram negative plant pathogens, *Erwinia chrysanthemi* and *Xanthomonas oryzae* and three human pathogens, *Klebsiella pneumonia* (gram negative), *Staphylococcus aureus* (gram positive) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (gram negative). Dimethyl sulfoxide was used as control and Streptomycin, Ampicillin and Kanamycin (25 μ g/disk) were used as positive control.

All the synthesized compounds were found to be inactive against beneficial bacteria, *B. thuringiensis* and *B. pumilis*, giving an idea that they were target specific and not toxic to beneficial microbes. Also, all the compounds were found to be inactive against *X. oryzae* (Table 3).

Against E. chrysanthemi, compounds 3a and 11a showed good activity (zone of inhibition = 15-20 mm) where as compounds 14, 16, 9a, 13a, 14a and 15a showed moderate activity (zone of inhibition = 10-15 mm). Against *Klebsiella pneumonia*, compound **15b** showed good anti-bacterial activity (zone of inhibition = 15-20 mm) and compounds **6a**. **15a** and **16a** showed moderate activity (zone of inhibition = 10-15 mm). All the synthesized chalcones were found to be inactive against K. pneumonia. Against S. aureus, compounds 16, 2b and 16b showed poor to moderate activity (zone of inhibition = 5-10 mm) and all the other synthesized compounds were found to be inactive. Against P. aeruginosa, compounds 16, 6a, 2b and 6b showed moderate to good activity (zone of inhibition = 10-15 mm) where as compounds **1a** and **1b** showed poor to moderate activity (zone of inhibition = 5-10 mm). Streptomycin was found to be most active antibiotic against all the test bacteria (Table 3).

Compounds **3a** and **11a** showed good activity against *E. chrysanthemi* and **15b** showed good activity against *K. pneumonia.* These compounds were subjected to the anti-bacterial screening using broth dilution technique. Streptomycin drug was used as the standard. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was noted by observing the lowest concentration of the drug at which there was more than 90% inhibition of bacteria. The results are listed in Table 4.

The investigation of anti-bacterial screening using broth dilution technique revealed that all the three compounds **3a** (55.9 μ g/mL against *E. chrysanthemi*), **11a** (73.6 μ g/mL against *E. chrysanthemi*) and **15b** (24.68 μ g/mL against *K. pneumonia*) showed moderate to good bacterial inhibition as compared to the standard drug streptomycin (4.6 μ g/mL against *E. chrysanthemi* and 3.1 μ g/mL against *K. pneumonia*).



Fig. 1. Tautomeric forms of 2H-indazol-3-ol.

Compound	Parameter				
	Reaction time		Yield (%)		
	Conventional method [43]	Microwave method	Conventional method	Microwave method	Increase
Chalcones	24 h	5–7 min	55-89	71–96	6-14
Cyclohexenones	5 h	6–8 min	60-91	62-95	3-7
Indazoles	6 h	3 min	54-82	69–93	9-16

 Table 1

 Comparison of microwave and conventional (non-microwave) methods used for the synthesis of chalcone, cyclohexenone and indazole derivatives.

2.2.3. Anti-oxidant activity

A simple method was used to determine the anti-oxidant activity of synthesized compounds. It utilizes the stable 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical. The odd electron in the DPPH free radical gave a strong absorption maximum at 517 nm and was purple in color. The color turned from purple to yellow as the molar absorptivity of the DPPH radical at 517 nm reduces when the odd electron of DPPH radical becomes paired with hydrogen from a free radical scavenging anti-oxidant to form the reduced DPPH-H. The resulting decolorization is stoichiometric with respect to number of electrons captured.

All the synthesized compounds were first screened for their free radical scavenging activity. Out of all the compounds tested, only 2H-indazol-3-ol series was found to be significantly active as antioxidants (Table 5). Anti-oxidant activity of indazoles was then tested at different concentration viz., 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 μ g mL⁻¹ (Table 6, Fig. 2). The free radical scavenging power of indazoles can be attributed to the presence of –OH and –NH groups in their structure.

It was very clear from Table 6 that with the increase in concentration, the free radical scavenging activity of compounds also increased. Also, once around ninety percent of inhibition was achieved, the increase in concentration of compounds had no significant effect on the free radical scavenging activity and it reached a plateau (Table 6). This might be because the concentration of anti-oxidant in the solution reaches a level where steric hindrance (intermolecular interactions) comes into play and anti-oxidant compound was not able to donate its electron to DPPH radical.

Free radical scavenging activity of synthesized indazoles in terms of 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) was summarized in

Table 2

Anti-fungal activity of chalcone (1–16), cyclohexenone (1a–16a) and indazole (1b–16b) derivatives; LC_{50} (mg L^{-1}).

Anti-fungal activity ^a									
Chalc	ones		Cyclo	Cyclohexenones			Indazoles		
	S.r. ^b	R.s ^b		S.r.	R.s.		S.r.	R.s.	
1	601	109	1a	323	198	1b	817	696	
2	541	662	2a	316	233	2b	922	745	
3	628	1369	3a	347	116	3b	713	718	
4	599	421	4a	365	139	4b	1024	917	
5	587	107	5a	317	189	5b	939	861	
6	549	635	6a	321	231	6b	876	814	
7	631	1275	7a	345	118	7b	1173	738	
8	605	663	8a	315	34	8b	1241	1034	
9	623	441	9a	4308	29	9b	963	931	
10	677	375	10a	380	396	10b	897	643	
11	679	451	11a	372	58	11b	941	587	
12	664	52	12a	6113	1044	12b	1031	776	
13	717	238	13a	504	-	13b	894	611	
14	757	65	14a	285	157	14b	796	528	
15	522	2.36	15a	319	35	15b	912	669	
16	674	2.49	16a	304	207	16b	873	802	
Hx ^b	1.27	1.12							

^a Anti-fungal activity of some chalcones and cyclohexenones were reproduced from our previous publication [49].

^b S.r = Sclerotium rolfsii and R.s = Rhizoctonia solani; Hx. = Hexaconazole.

Table 7. Among all the indazoles tested, 6-(4-fluorophenyl)-4,5dihydro-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-2H-indazol-3-ol (**14b**) was highly effective as anti-oxidant with IC_{50} value 19.81 µg mL⁻¹ compared to IC_{50} value 1.28 µg mL⁻¹ for gallic acid.

3. Experimental

3.1. Chemicals and instruments

All the chemicals used were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. Analytical grade reagents and solvents were locally procured and used as such. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on pre-coated Merck silica gel 60F₂₅₄, 200 µm thick aluminum sheets and the spots were visualized either under UV or by iodine vapor. Column chromatography (CC) was carried out on silica gel (Merck, 100-200 mesh). House-hold microwave, LG-MG607APR was used at full power (900 W) for carrying out chemical reactions. Melting points were determined on a JSW melting point apparatus and were reported uncorrected. The ¹H NMR, COSY, ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 MHz Spectrospin spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The chemical shift values were recorded on δ scale and the coupling constants (1) are in Hz. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Bruker alpha FT-IR spectrophotometer using Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) technique and values are expressed as v_{max} cm⁻¹. Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Fisher GC-MS, equipped with Focus GC, DSQ II mass spectrometer and Triplus auto sampler. Thermo TR-5 MS capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 $\mu m)$ was used in Focus GC. Elemental analyses for all compounds were performed on a Carlo Erba Model EA-1108 elemental analyzer. Anti-oxidant activity was done using UV-Visible spectrophotometer Specord 200 Analytik Jena GmbH, Germany. A computer based program, GWBASIC/Indostat, was used to calculate LC₅₀ and IC₅₀ values.

3.2. General procedure for the synthesis of chalcones (1–16) [49]

Selected acetophenone (10 mmol) and selected benzaldehvde (10 mmol) were added to 50 ml of 5% aqueous NaOH. The mixture was placed in the centre of microwave oven besides a beaker with ice (50 g)and irradiated for successive periods of 10 s, 5-7 min in total, with cooling in between. After irradiation, color of the mixture changed to crimson. The mixture was then poured into 200 ml of ice cold water and neutralized with cold HCl. The precipitate formed was filtered and recrystallized with ethanol to afford the chalcones namely (E)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-propenone (1), (E)-1-Phenyl-3-p-tolylpropenone (2), (E)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-propenone (3), (E)-3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxy-phenyl)-1-phenyl-propenone (4), (E)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-p-tolylprop-2-en-1-one (5), (E)-1,3-Di-p-tolylprop-2-en-1-one (6), (E)-3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-1-p-tolylprop-2-en-1-one (7), (E)-3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)propenone (8), (E)-3-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-prope-(E)-1-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)none (9),

Table 3

Anti-bacterial activit	y of chalcone	(1–16), c	vclohexenone	(1a—16a) and indazole	(1b–16b) derivatives.
------------------------	---------------	--------------------	--------------	---------	----------------	---------	----------------

Compounds ^a	Beneficial bacteria		Plant pathogen		Human pathogen		
	B.t ^a	B.p ^a	E.c ^a	X.o ^a	K.p ^a	S.a ^a	P.a ^a
Streptomycin	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
Ampicillin	-	+ + + +	+ + + +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +
Kanamycin	+ + +	-	-	-	+ + +	+ +	-
DMSO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	—	-	-	-	-	-	—
12	—	-	-	-	-	-	—
13	_	-	_	-	—	—	_
14	_	-	+ +	-	-	-	-
15	_	-	-	-	-	_	-
10	-	-	+ +	-	-	+	++
ld De	-	-	-	-	_	-	+
Zd	_	-	-	-	+	-	_
Jd 4a	—	_	+ + +	_	—	—	—
4d 50	—	_	—	_	—	—	—
Ja 62	—	_	—	_	_	—	-
0a 7a	_	_	_	_	Ŧ	_	ττ
7a 8a	_						_
9a			 				
5a 10a	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
11a	_	_	+ + +	_	_	_	_
12a	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
13a	_	_	+ +	_	_	_	_
14a	_	_	+ +	_	_	_	_
15a	_	_	+ +	_	+ +	_	_
16a	_	_	_	_	+ +	_	_
1b	_	_	_	-	_	_	+
2b	_	-	-	-	-	+	+ +
3b	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
4b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6b	_	-	-	-	-	+	+ +
7b	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
8b	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
9b	-	_	-	_	-	-	_
10b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12b	-	_	-	_	-	-	_
13b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14b	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15b	-	-	-	-	+ + +	-	-
16b	_	_	_	_	+ +	_	-

^a All the synthesized compounds and DMSO were tested at 100 μ g/disk. Streptomycin, Ampicillin and Kanamycin were tested at 25 μ g/disk. Zone of inhibition: (-) 0–5 mm, inactive; (+) 5–10 mm, poor activity; (+ +) 10–15 mm, moderate activity; (+ +) 15–20 mm, good activity and (+ + +) 20–25 mm, very good activity. *B.t. – Bacillus thuringiensis*, *B.p. – Bacillus pumilis*, *E.c. – Erwinia chrysanthemi*, *X.o. – Xanthomonas oryzae*, *K.p. – Klebsiella pneumonia*, *S.a. – Staphylococcus aureus* and *P.a. – Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

propenone (**10**), (*E*)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-propenone (**11**), (*E*)-1-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-propenone (**12**), (*E*)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-propenone (**13**), (*E*)-1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)-propenone

Table 4

Anti-bacterial screening of compounds 3a, 11a and 15b using Broth Dilution Technique.

Compound	Bacteria	MIC (µg/mL)
3a	E. chrysanthemi	55.9
11a	E. chrysanthemi	73.6
15b	K. pneumonia	24.68
Streptomycin	E. chrysanthemi	4.6
Streptomycin	K. pneumonia	3.1

(**14**), (*E*)-1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-propenone (**15**) and (*E*)-1,3-Diphenyl-propenone (**16**).

3.3. General procedure for the synthesis of cyclohexenones (**1a–16a**) [49]

To a slurry of chalcone (10 mmol) and ethyl acetoacetate (20 mmol) anhydrous K_2CO_3 (40 mmol) and silica (2 g) was added and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was air dried and subjected to microwave irradiation for 6–8 min with 30 s pulse and cooling in between. After completion of reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and extracted with ethanol. The in-organics were filtered off. On standing the

Table 5

Anti-oxidant activity of chalcone (1–16), cyclohexenone (1a–16a) and indazole (1b–16b) derivatives at 40 μ L of stock solution.

Anti-oxidant activity (%) (concentration = 40 μ L stock)					
Chalcones Cyclohexenones Ir	dazoles				
1 0.81 1a 4.23 1	6 9.87				
2 1.06 2a 4.17 2	18.21				
3 1.33 3a 2.69 3	50.25				
4 0.77 4a 1.69 4	67.1				
5 0.71 5a 7.38 5	62.60				
6 1.19 6a 3.54 6	18.15				
7 –0.65 7a 4.52 7	56.86				
8 0.56 8a 4.71 8	65.33				
9 0.68 9a 3.60 9) 19.81				
10 0.73 10a 4.26 1 0	b 56.09				
11 0.65 11a 4.74 1	b 43.16				
12 0.48 12a 2.92 1 2	2 b 48.55				
13 0.81 13a 11.30 1 3	b 12.80				
14 0.77 14a 4.23 14	b 67.86				
15 4.03 15a 3.86 1 5	ib 70.48				
16 9.11 16a 3.33 1 0	ib 40.27				
Gallic acid 21.4					

filtrate afforded crystals of cyclohexenones (**1a–16a**). All the products were characterized by IR, NMR and mass spectra.

3.3.1. 5-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (1a)

White solid. M.P.: 126 °C. Yield: 88%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1743, 1659. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.93 (3H, t, H-3^{*i*}, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.96 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.07 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.66 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.85 and 3.87 (6H, 2s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.92 (2H, q, H-2^{*i*}, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 6.56 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 6.80–6.87 (3H, m, H-2^{*i*}, H-5^{*i*} and H-6^{*i*}), 7.19–7.25 (3H, m, H-3', H-4' and H-5'), 7.44 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', *J* = 8.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.1 (C-3^{*i*}), 36.3 (C-4), 43.9 (C-5), 55.7 (–OCH₃), 59.7 (C-6), 60.3 (C-2^{*i*}), 126.1 (C-2), 157.8 (C-3), 168.7 (C-^{*i*}), 194.6 (C-1), 111.1, 111.9, 119.5, 124.1, 130.4, 133.6, 139.4, 141.2, 148.5 and 148.9 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 380. Anal. Calculated for C₂₃H₂₄O₅: C-72.61; H-6.36%. Observed: C-72.34; H-6.59%.

3.3.2. 3-Phenyl 5-(p-tolyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (2a)
White solid. M.P.: 142 °C. Yield: 91%. IR (ATR, cm ⁻¹): 1739, 1652.
¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , 400 MHz): δ 0.94 (3H, t, H-3 ^{'''} , J = 7.2 Hz), 2.31 (3H,

 Table 6

 Anti-oxidant activity of Indazole derivatives (1b-16b) at different concentrations.



Fig. 2. Anti-oxidant activity of Indazole derivatives (1b-16b).

s, Ar-CH₃), 2.87 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, J = 2.0, 4.6 and 14.6 Hz), 3.03 (1H, dd, H-4eq, J = 4.8 and 14.8 Hz), 3.51 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.96 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.57 (1H, d, H-2, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.09 (2H, d, H-3^{''} and H-5^{''}, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.19 (2H, d, H-2^{'''} and H-6^{''}, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.31–7.57 (5H, m, H-2'-6'). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.4 (C-3^{'''}), 21.1 (Ar-CH₃), 36.1 (C-4), 43.4 (C-5), 59.6 (C-6), 60.2 (C-2^{'''}), 126.7 (C-2), 159.7 (C-3), 169.8 (C-1^{''''}), 194.5 (C-1), 122.3, 127.8, 128.9, 129.8, 134.6, 136.5, 139.2 and 141.1 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 334. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂O₃: C-79.02; H-6.03%. Observed: C-79.44: H-6.00%.

3.3.3. 5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**3a**)

White solid. M.P.: 161 °C. Yield: 92%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1746, 1651. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.92 (3H, t, H-3‴, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.91 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, J = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.01 (1H, dd, H-4eq, J = 4.8 and 14.0 Hz), 3.50 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.72 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.87 (2H, q, H-2‴, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.49 (1H, d, H-2, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.86 (2H, d, H-3″ and H-5″, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, H-2″ and H-6″, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.29–7.34 (3H, m, H-3′, H-4′ and H-5′), 7.58 (2H, d, H-2′ and H-6′, J = 8.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.34 (C-3‴), 35.8 (C-4), 43.5 (C-5), 55.5 (-OCH₃), 59.5 (C-6), 60.3 (C-2‴), 126.8 (C-2), 159.7 (C-3), 169.8 (C-1‴), 194.8 (C-1), 114.2, 122.6, 129.1, 134.0, 140.9 and 158.7 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 350. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂O₄: C-75.41; H-6.33%. Observed: C-75.64; H-6.59%.

Compound	Anti-oxidant activity (%) at different concentrations (µg mL ⁻¹)									
	10	20	40	60	80	100	200	300	400	500
1b	18.1	30.2	69.9	87.6	90.9	91.8	91.9	91.9	90.5	90.8
2b	9.2	14.5	18.2	35.1	36.4	41.2	69.9	81.8	85.7	85.7
3b	16.8	30.6	50.3	79.7	88.4	89.0	91.8	89.7	88.9	90.2
4b	18.1	31.5	67.1	86.4	90.7	91.8	92.3	91.2	90.5	90.8
5b	15.0	25.9	62.6	78.5	80.3	81.8	91.4	91.6	91.7	91.0
6b	3.4	4.4	18.2	31.5	34.9	40.3	68.1	80.5	84.8	85.2
7b	15.0	26.4	56.9	79.3	89.9	90.8	91.8	90.2	90.3	90.6
8b	17.7	29.2	65.3	85.8	90.0	91.4	92.1	90.4	90.5	90.8
9b	5.3	5.8	19.8	38.4	44.5	50.7	83.4	87.9	87.3	87.3
10b	19.0	30.4	56.1	84.2	89.5	90.7	91.9	89.8	89.9	90.1
11b	13.9	21.7	43.2	68.7	86.4	89.9	91.2	89.7	89.8	90.0
12b	17.6	31.0	48.6	86.1	90.4	91.1	91.5	90.7	91.3	91.3
13b	3.5	5.3	12.8	26.3	31.1	36.5	58.8	75.3	82.6	82.6
14b	22.6	35.9	67.9	86.4	90.1	90.8	92.3	90.5	90.9	91.1
15b	23.5	30.4	70.5	86.9	89.0	91.0	92.2	90.7	90.8	90.8
16b	9.1	10.9	40.3	62.5	67.3	73.3	90.6	89.0	89.1	89.2
Gallic acid ^a	13.9 (0.1)	15.8 (0.2)	21.4 (0.4)	28.0 (0.6)	34.4 (0.8)	41.0 (1)	73.6 (2)	88.9 (3)	89.1 (4)	89.1 (5)

^a Values in parenthesis are concentration at which Gallic acid is tested.

Table	7
-------	---

IC₅₀ values for Indazole derivatives (1b-16b).

Compound	Inhibition concentration 50 percent (IC ₅₀) $\mu g \ m L^{-1}$
1b	21.98
2b	106.62
3b	29.62
4b	22.28
5b	33.12
6b	123.54
7b	29.7
8b	23.94
9b	95.82
10b	25.2
11b	42.34
12b	40.98
13b	146.68
14b	19.81
15b	23.71
16b	58.28
Gallic acid	1.28

3.3.4. 5-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**4a**)

White solid. M.P.: 119 °C. Yield: 84%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1742, 1647. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.92 (3H, t, H-3^{*i*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.95 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.01 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.61 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.84–3.89 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.91 (2H, q, H-2^{*i*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.34 (2H, s, H-2^{*i*'} and H-6^{*i*'}), 6.52 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.20–7.27 (3H, m, H-3', H-4' and H-5'), 7.45 (2H, m, H-2' and H-6'). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.3 (C-3^{*i*''}), 35.8 (C-4), 43.6 (C-5), 55.8 (–OCH₃), 56.0 (–OCH₃), 59.5 (C-6), 60.4 (C-2^{*i*''}), 126.7 (C-2), 159.6 (C-3), 169.4 (C-1^{*i*''}), 194.6 (C-1), 111.9, 112.1, 120.0, 122.6, 129.8, 134.9, 135.4, 140.8, 147.6 and 149.0 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 410. Anal. Calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₆: C-70.23; H-6.38%. Observed: C-70.44; H-6.47%.

3.3.5. 5-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**5a**)

White solid. M.P.: 152 °C. Yield: 90%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1748, 1649. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 1.05 (3H, t, H-3^{*iii*}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.36 (3H, s, Ar-CH₃), 2.90 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 2.0, 4.8 and 14.6 Hz), 3.05 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.6 Hz), 3.70 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.85 and 3.86 (6H, 2s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.02 (2H, q, H-2^{*iii*}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.53 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 6.80–6.87 (3H, m, H-2^{*iii*}, H-5^{*iii*} and H-6^{*ii*}), 7.19 (2H, d, H-3^{*iii*} and H-5^{*ii*}, *J* = 8.4), 7.43 (2H, d, H-2^{*iii*} and H-6^{*ii*}), 7.19 (2H, d, H-3^{*iii*} (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.1 (C-3^{*iii*}), 21.3 (Ar-CH₃), 36.3 (C-4), 43.7 (C-5), 55.9 (–OCH₃), 59.7 (C-6), 60.9 (C-2), 126.1 (C-2), 158.6 (C-3), 169.4 (C-1^{*iii*}), 194.2 (C-1), 110.5, 111.3, 119.2, 123.2, 129.6, 133.7, 134.7, 141.1, 148.2 and 148.9 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 394. Anal. Calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₅: C-73.08; H-6.64%. Observed: C-73.54; H-6.09%.

3.3.6. 3,5-Di-(p-tolyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (6a)

White solid. M.P.: 148 °C. Yield: 94%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1737, 1647. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.92 (3H, t, H-3^{*i*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.24 and 2.32 (6H, 2s, Ar-CH₃), 2.92 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.00 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.53 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.87 (2H, q, H-2^{*i*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.49 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, H-3^{*i*'} and H-5^{*i*'}, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, H-2^{*i*'} and H-6^{*i*'}, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.27 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5^{*i*}, *J* = 8.0 Hz) and 7.58 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6^{*i*}, *J* = 8.0 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.3 (C-3^{*i*''}), 21.1 (Ar-CH₃), 21.3 (Ar-CH₃), 35.7 (C-4), 43.9 (C-5), 59.3 (C-6), 60.4 (C-2^{*i*''}), 126.8 (C-2), 159.7 (C-3), 169.8 (C-1^{*i*''}), 194.7 (C-1), 122.5, 127.9, 129.4, 129.9, 134.8, 136.6, 139.1 and 141.0 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 348. Anal. Calculated for C₂₃H₂₄O₃: C-79.28; H-6.94%. Observed: C-79.34; H-7.07%.

3.3.7. 5-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**7a**)

White solid. M.P.: 137 °C. Yield: 86%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1742, 1657. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.95 (3H, t, H-3^{*t*''}, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.32 (3H, s, Ar-CH₃), 2.95 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.02 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.6 Hz), 3.51 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.71–3.74 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.90 (2H, q, H-2^{*t*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.49 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 6.84–7.06 (3H, m, H-2^{*t*''}, H-5^{*t*''} and H-6^{*t*'}), 7.24 (2H, d, H-3^{*t*'} and H-5^{*t*}, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.59 (2H, d, H-2^{*t*} and H-6^{*t*}), 7.24 (2H, d, H-3^{*t*} (NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.4 (C-3^{*t*''}), 21.3 (Ar-CH₃), 35.8 (C-4), 43.9 (C-5), 55.98 (–OCH₃), 56.01 (–OCH₃), 59.4 (C-6), 60.3 (C-2^{*t*''}), 126.9 (C-2), 159.7 (C-3), 169.9 (C-1^{*t*'''}), 194.8 (C-1), 112.0, 112.2, 120.0, 129.9, 134.6, 134.9, 140.9, 148.3 and 149.1 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 424. Anal. Calculated for C₂₅H₂₈O₆: C-70.74; H-6.65%. Observed: C-70.48; H-6.36%.

3.3.8. 5-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**8a**)

Brownish white solid. M.P.: 129 °C. Yield: 69%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1739, 1656. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.98 (3H, t, H-3^{*i*}), *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.96 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 2.0, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.13 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.55 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.72–3.90 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.01 (2H, q, H-2^{*i*}), *J* = 6.8 Hz), 6.52 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 6.86–6.90 (2H, m, H-5" and H-6"), 6.98 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.06 (1H, d, H-2", *J* = 1.8 Hz) and 7.69 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', *J* = 8.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.3 (C-3^{*i*}), 36.3 (C-4), 43.9 (C-5), 54.8 (–OCH₃), 55.8 (–OCH₃), 56.0 (–OCH₃), 59.4 (C-6), 60.3 (C-2^{*i*}), 127.3 (C-2), 161.7 (C-3), 170.0 (C-1^{*i*}), 194.1 (C-1), 111.9, 112.2, 114.6, 120.0, 121.1, 129.7, 134.3, 148.2, 148.9 and 159.2 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 410. Anal. Calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₆: C-70.23; H-6.38%. Observed: C-70.04; H-6.12%.

3.3.9. 5-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**9a**)

Pale yellow solid. M.P.: 162 °C. Yield: 62%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1755, 1641. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.94 (3H, t, H-3^{'''}, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 2.95 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 2.0, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.08 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.65 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 4.05 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 5.97 (2H, s, O–CH₂–O), 6.64 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 6.84–7.07 (3H, m, H-2^{''}, H-5^{''} and H-6^{''}), 7.94 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', *J* = 8.6 Hz) and 8.22 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', *J* = 8.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.3 (C-3^{'''}), 35.8 (C-4), 43.9 (C-5), 59.4 (C-6), 60.5 (C-2^{'''}), 101.3 (–O–CH₂–O–), 128.4 (C-2), 157.5 (C-3), 169.5 (C-1^{'''}), 194.8 (C-1), 108.3, 108.5, 121.4, 124.2, 125.8, 135.6, 144.3, 146.6, 147.6 and 148.6 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 409. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₁₉NO₇: C-64.54; H-4.68; N-3.42%. Observed: C-64.03; H-4.14; N-3.26%.

3.3.10. 3-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**10a**)

Electric white solid. M.P.: 153 °C. Yield: 90%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1744, 1659. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.93 (3H, t, H-3^{*m*}, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.98 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.4 and 14.6 Hz), 3.11 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.6 Hz), 3.56 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.86 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.96 (2H, q, H-2^{*m*}, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 5.97 (2H, s, O-CH₂-O), 6.52 (1H, d, H-2, 1.6 Hz), 6.81/7.04 (3H, m, H-2', H-5' and H-6'), 6.97 (2H, d, H-3^{*m*} and H-5^{*m*}, *J* = 8.8 Hz) and 7.68 (2H, d, H-2^{*m*} and H-5^{*m*}, *J* = 8.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.4 (C-3^{*m*}), 35.6 (C-4), 44.0 (C-5), 55.3 (-OCH₃), 59.3 (C-6), 60.4 (C-2^{*m*}), 101.3 (-O-CH₂-O-), 129.3 (C-2), 159.2 (C-3), 169.8 (C-1^{*m*}), 194.6 (C-1), 108.3, 108.5, 114.7, 121.40, 121.44, 123.7, 136.0, 146.5, 147.6 and 161.7 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 394. Anal. Calculated for C₂₃H₂₂O₆: C-70.04; H-5.62%. Observed: C-70.44; H-6.01%.

3.3.11. 3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**11a**)

White solid. M.P.: 138 °C. Yield: 81%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1747, 1651. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.92 (3H, t, H-3^{*i*''}, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.91 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.02 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.52 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.72 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.88 (2H, q, H-2^{*i*''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.54 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 6.87 (2H, d, H-3^{*i*'} and H-5^{*i*'}, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 7.30 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.48 (2H, d, H-2^{*i*''} and H-5^{*i*''</sub>, *J* = 6.8 Hz) and 7.72 (2H, d, H-2^{*i*''}), 35.8 (C-4), 43.5 (C-5), 55.5 (-OCH₃), 59.5 (C-6), 60.4 (C-2^{*i*''}), 128.7 (C-2), 158.7 (C-3), 169.7 (C-1^{*i*'''}), 194.8 (C-1), 114.2, 129.1, 129.3, 133.8, 135.6, 136.7 and 158.5 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 384. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₁ClO₄: C-68.66; H-5.50%. Observed: C-69.24; H-5.12%.}

3.3.12. 3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**12a**)

White solid. M.P.: 145 °C. Yield: 69%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1744, 1660. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.93 (3H, t, H-3^{'''}, J = 6.7 Hz), 2.50 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, J = 2.0, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.01 (1H, dd, H-4eq, J = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.64 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.84 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.94 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, J = 6.7 Hz), 6.67 (1H, d, H-2, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.01 (2H, d, H-3^{''} and H-5^{''}, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.24 (2H, m, H-5' and H-6') and 7.38–7.67 (3H, m, H-', H-2^{''} and H-6^{''}). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.2 (C-3^{'''}), 37.9 (C-4), 44.0 (C-5), 55.4 (–OCH₃), 59.8 (C-6), 60.3 (C-2^{'''}), 128.2 (C-2), 158.7 (C-3), 169.6 (C-1^{'''}), 194.6 (C-1), 114.3, 125.9, 128.9, 129.8, 131.0, 131.7, 133.2, 134.6, 137.5 and 160.0 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 418. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₀Cl₂O₄: C-63.02; H-4.81%. Observed: C-63.56; H-4.23%.

3.3.13. 3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl) -6carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**13a**)

White solid. M.P.: 161 °C. Yield: 91%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1745, 1663. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.95 (3H, t, H-3‴, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.79 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, J = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.01 (1H, dd, H-4eq, J = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.54 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.73–3.81 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 3.98 (2H, q, H-2‴, J = 6.9 Hz), 6.51 (1H, d, H-2, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.88–7.06 (5H, m, H-2', H-5', H-6', H-3″ and H-5″) and 7.43 (2H, d, H-2″ and H-6″, J = 8.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.2 (C-3‴), 35.6 (C-4), 43.5 (C-5), 55.4 (–OCH₃), 55.9 (–OCH₃), 59.6 (C-6), 60.6 (C-2″), 127.0 (C-2), 159.9 (C-3), 170.0 (C-1‴), 194.9 (C-1), 109.8, 111.9, 114.2, 120.4, 121.6, 129.9, 133.8, 134.5, 149.1, 151.4 and 158.6 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 410. Anal. Calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₆: C-70.23; H-6.38%. Observed: C-70.54; H-6.49%.

3.3.14. 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-(3-nitrophenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**14a**)

White solid. M.P.: 134 °C. Yield: 72%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1739, 1661. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.86 (3H, t, H-3^{'''}, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.96–3.11 (2H, m, H-4eq and H-4ax), 3.58 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 4.01 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.51 (1H, d, H-2, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.21–7.43 (4H, m, H-2', H-3', H-5' and H-6') and 7.58–7.72 (4H, m, H-2'', H-4'', H-5'' and H-6''). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.1 (C-3^{'''}), 34.4 (C-4), 42.2 (C-5), 57.9 (C-6), 60.8 (C-2^{'''}), 128.1 (C-2), 158.3 (C-3), 169.4 (C-1^{'''}), 194.7 (C-1), 116.2, 123.1, 129.3, 130.0, 133.2, 138.5, 162.6 and 165.1 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 383. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₁₈FNO₅: C-65.79; H-4.73; N-3.65%. Observed: C-65.27; H-4.23; N-3.26%.

3.3.15. 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-phenyl-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (**15a**)

Greenish white solid. M.P.: 178 °C. Yield: 74%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1733, 1659. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 0.89 (3H, t, H-3^{'''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 2.93 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, *J* = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.02 (1H, dd, H-4eq, *J* = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.53 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 3.95 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 6.49 (1H, d, H-2, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.20–7.37 (7H, m, H-2^{''}-6^{''}, H-3['] and H-5[']) and 7.69–7.73 (2H, m, H-2['] and H-6[']). ¹³C

NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 14.1 (C-3^{'''}), 35.6 (C-4), 44.2 (C-5), 59.2 (C-6), 60.7 (C-2^{'''}), 159.0 (C-3), 169.9 (C-1^{'''}), 195.0 (C-1), 127.1 (C-2), 116.1, 123.1, 127.9, 128.9, 129.1, 134.2, 143.6 and 162.6 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 338. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₁₉FO₃: C-74.54; H-5.66%. Observed: C-74.12; H-5.16%.

3.3.16. 3,5-(Diphenyl)-6-carbethoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (16a)

White solid. M.P.: 155 °C. Yield: 95%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1734, 1652. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 1.04 (3H, t, H3^{'''}, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.95 (1H, ddd, H-4ax, J = 1.6, 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.06 (1H, dd, H-4eq, J = 4.8 and 14.2 Hz), 3.80 (2H, m, H-5 and H-6), 4.04 (2H, q, H-2^{'''}, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.57 (1H, d, H-2, J = 2.0 Hz), 7.27–7.57 and (10H, m, H-2^{''}-6'' and H-2'-6'). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 13.9 (C-3^{'''}), 36.1 (C-4), 44.1 (C-5), 59.6 (C-6), 60.9 (C-2^{'''}), 124.1 (C-2), 158.5 (C-3), 169.2 (C-1^{'''}), 194.0 (C-1), 126.2, 127.3, 127.5, 128.8, 128.9, 130.5, 137.7 and 141.0 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 320. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₂₀O₃: C-78.73; H-6.29%. Observed: C-79.14; H-6.38%.

3.4. General procedure for the synthesis of 2H-indazol-3-ols (1b-16b)

To a mixture of cyclohexenone (1 mmol) and glacial acetic acid (1.5 mL) in ethanol (1.5 mL) was added hydrazine hydrate (2 mmol). The mixture was then placed in the center of a house-hold microwave oven, beside a beaker containing 50 g ice, and irradiated for 3 min in total with 30 s pulse and cooling in between. After irradiation, ice cold distilled water (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. This aq. suspension was then centrifuged and supernatant was discarded. Precipitate collected was washed thrice, using centrifugation, with distilled water (20 mL) to remove acetic acid. The wet solid was lyophilized to get 98% pure powdery solid of 2Hindazol-3-ols (**1b–16b**). Further purification, if required, was done by washing it with cold ethanol. All the products were characterized by IR, NMR and mass spectra.

3.4.1. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-phenyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**1b**)

White solid. M.P.: 168 °C. Yield: 83%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3212. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 2.81 (1H, dd, H-5eq, *J* = 3.4 and 17.2 Hz), 3.09 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, *J* = 2.4, 8.2 and 17.2 Hz), 3.85 & 3.86 (6H, 2s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.07 (1H, dd, 4-H, *J* = 3.4 and 8.2 Hz), 6.61 (1H, d, H-7, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 6.80–6.87 (3H, m, H-2", H-5" and H-6"), 7.18–7.35 (5H, m, H-2'-6') and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 33.2 (C-4), 36.8 (C-5), 55.1 (–OCH₃), 55.4 (–OCH₃), 98.6 (C-3), 113.6 (C-7), 136.1 (C-3a), 139.3 (C-7a), 156.8 (C-6), 111.4, 122.2, 125.5, 128.0, 128.9, 129.2, 133.5, 145.2, 149.3 and 150.1 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 348. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₂₀N₂O₃: C-72.40; H-5.79; N-8.04%. Observed: C-72.44; H-6.05; N-8.26%.

3.4.2. 4,5-Dihydro-6-phenyl-4-p-tolyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (2b)

White solid. M.P.: 227 °C. Yield: 73%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3318, 3204. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 2.28 (3H, s, Ar-CH₃), 2.81 (1H, dd, H-5eq, *J* = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.14 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, *J* = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 4.09 (1H, dd, 4-H, *J* = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.67 (1H, d, H-7, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 7.08 (2H, d, H-2" and H-6", *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.29–7.54 (7H, m, H-2'-6', H-3" and H-5") and 9.73 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 21.2 (Ar-CH₃), 33.4 (C-4), 36.7 (C-5), 98.5 (C-3), 114.2 (C-7), 136.3 (C-3a), 139.4 (C-7a), 156.4 (C-6), 125.8, 127.9, 128.6, 129.3, 129.7, 134.5, 138.7 and 144.3 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 302. Anal. Calculated for C₂₀H₁₈N₂O: C-79.44; H-6.00; N-9.26%. Observed: C-79.03; H-6.53; N-9.46%.

3.4.3. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-phenyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**3b**)

Brownish white solid. M.P.: 232 °C. Yield: 81%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3308, 3210. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.79 (1H, dd, H-5eq,

J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.00 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, *J* = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.64 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.10 (1H, dd, 4-H, *J* = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.68 (1H, d, H-7, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 6.72–7.38 (9H, m, Aromatic protons) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 33.8 (C-4), 36.7 (C-5), 55.3 (–OCH₃), 98.4 (C-3), 113.9 (C-7), 136.6 (C-3a), 137.8 (C-7a), 156.4 (C-6), 125.4, 126.0, 128.2, 129.60, 129.63, 129.8, 137.3 and 157.9 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 318. Anal. Calculated for C₂₀H₁₈N₂O₂: C-75.45; H-5.70; N-8.80%. Observed: C-75.07; H-6.09; N-9.16%.

3.4.4. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-6-phenyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**4b**)

White solid. M.P.: 179 °C. Yield: 86%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3319, 3216. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.81 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.4 and 16.8 Hz), 3.08 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 16.8 Hz), 3.88–3.97 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.09 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.4 and 8.6 Hz), 6.54 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.67 (2H, m, H-2" and H-6"), 7.08–7.35 (5H, m, H-2'-6') and 9.72 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 33.9 (C-4), 36.5 (C-5), 55.7 (–OCH₃), 55.9 (–OCH₃), 98.3 (C-3), 113.4 (C-7), 137.5 (C-3a), 138.2 (C-7a), 156.4 (C-6), 111.9, 118.9, 125.9, 129.7, 132.0, 134.5, 147.4 and 148.7 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 378. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₄: C-69.83; H-5.86; N-7.40%. Observed: C-70.29; H-6.15; N-7.26%.

3.4.5. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-p-tolyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**5b**)

White solid. M.P.: 193 °C. Yield: 81%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3215. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.37 (3H, s, Ar-CH₃), 2.71 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.4 and 17.2 Hz), 3.11 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.81 & 3.85 (6H, 2s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.14 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.67 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.77–6.89 (3H, m, H-2", H-5" and H-6"), 7.19–7.24 (2H, m, H-3' and H-5'), 7.31 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6, J = 8 Hz) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 21.2 (Ar-CH₃), 33.6 (C-4), 36.7 (C-5), 55.0 (–OCH₃), 55.2 (–OCH₃), 98.6 (C-3), 112.9 (C-7), 136.4 (C-3a), 148.1 (C-7a), 156.9 (C-6), 111.3, 121.2, 122.4, 125.6, 128.9, 135.2, 136.1, 137.6, 150.3 and 151.1 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 362. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₃: C-72.91; H-6.12; N-7.73%. Observed: C-72.34; H-6.28; N-7.31%.

3.4.6. 4,5-Dihydro-4,6-di-p-tolyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (6b)

White solid. M.P.: 211 °C. Yield: 79%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3210. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.26 & 2.31 (6H, 2s, Ar-CH₃), 2.60 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 2.72 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 4.01 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.71 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.96–7.38 (4H, m, H-2", H-3", H-5" and H-6"), 7.54 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', J = 8.0 Hz), 7.60 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.0 Hz) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 21.1 (Ar-CH₃), 21.2 (Ar-CH₃), 33.7 (C-4), 36.5 (C-5), 98.4 (C-3), 114.0 (C-7), 136.9 (C-3a), 140.5 (C-7a), 156.9 (C-6), 125.9, 127.3, 127.5, 129.2, 129.3, 135.6, 136.1, 136.4 and 141.2 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 316. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₂₀N₂O: C-79.72; H-6.37; N-8.85%. Observed: C-79.44; H-6.49; N-8.56%.

3.4.7. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-6-p-tolyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**7b**)

White solid. M.P.: 181 °C. Yield: 78%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3312, 3211. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.28 (3H, s, Ar-CH₃), 2.81 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.4 and 16.8 Hz), 3.09 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 16.8 Hz), 3.88–3.97 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.09 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.4 and 8.6 Hz), 6.54 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.69–6.79 (2H, m, H-2" and H-6"), 7.11 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', J = 8.0 Hz), 7.32 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.0 Hz) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 21.1 (Ar-CH₃), 33.9 (C-4), 36.5 (C-5), 55.7 (–OCH₃), 55.9 (–OCH₃), 98.3 (C-3), 111.4 (C-7), 134.5 (C-3a), 137.5 (C-7a), 156.3

(C-6), 111.9, 118.9, 125.9, 129.7, 132.0, 138.2, 147.4 and 148.7 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M^+): 392. Anal. Calculated for C₂₃H₂₄N₂O₄: C-70.39; H-6.16; N-7.14%. Observed: C-70.68; H-5.87; N-7.38%.

3.4.8. 4,5-Dihydro-4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-indazol-3-ol (**8b**)

Brownish white solid. M.P.: 186 °C. Yield: 69%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3318, 3217. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.88 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.06 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.8 and 17.6 Hz), 3.63–3.73 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.08 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.6 and 8.8 Hz), 6.54 (1H, dd, H-6″, J = 2.0 and 8.4 Hz), 6.62 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 6.72 (1H, d, H-5″, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.82 (1H, d, H-2″, J = 2.0 Hz), 6.89 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', J = 6.8 Hz), 7.40 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 6.8 Hz) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.3 (C-4), 36.6 (C-5), 55.6 (–OCH₃), 55.8 (–OCH₃), 56.0 (–OCH₃), 98.4 (C-3), 112.1 (C-7), 133.1 (C-3a), 136.6 (C-7a), 156.3 (C-6), 111.7, 114.4, 118.9, 126.8, 127.1, 127.6, 138.4, 147.5, 148.8 and 159.3 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 378. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₄: C-69.83; H-5.86; N-7.40%. Observed: C-69.43; H-6.17; N-7.29%.

3.4.9. 4-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-indazol-3-ol (**9b**)

Pale yellow solid. M.P.: 198 °C. Yield: 82%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3324, 3221. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.84 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.8 and 17.4 Hz), 3.14 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.4 Hz), 4.13 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.8 and 8.6 Hz), 5.85 (2H, s, O–CH₂–O), 6.53 (1H, dd, H-6", J = 1.6 and 8.0 Hz), 6.62 (1H, d, H-2", J = 1.6), 7.01 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.12 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.8 Hz), 8.14 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.8 Hz), 8.14 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.8 Hz) and 9.69 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.1 (C-4), 36.4 (C-5), 99.3 (C-3), 101.0 (–O–CH₂–O–), 108.3 (C-7), 136.1 (C-3a), 139.4 (C-7a), 156.8 (C-6), 107.8, 120.1, 124.3, 126.5, 134.6, 141.7, 145.8, 146.5, 147.1 and 147.4 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 377. Anal. Calculated for C₂₀H₁₅N₃O₅: C-63.66; H-4.01; N-11.14%. Observed: C-63.14; H-4.09; N-10.39%.

3.4.10. 6-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-4,5-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl) -2H-indazol-3-ol (**10b**)

White solid. M.P.: 203 °C. Yield: 89%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3307, 3198. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz): δ 2.80 (1H, dd, H-5eq, *J* = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.02 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, *J* = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.88 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.08 (1H, dd, 4-H, *J* = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 5.85 (2H, s, O-CH₂-O), 6.54 (1H, dd, H-6', *J* = 1.60 and 8.0 Hz), 6.62 (1H, d, H-2', *J* = 1.60 Hz), 6.64 (1H, d, H-7, *J* = 2.4), 6.68 (1H, d, H-5', *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.87 (2H, d, H-3″ and H-5″, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.39 (2H, d, H-2″ and H-6″, *J* = 8.8 Hz) and 9.73 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz): δ 34.1 (C-4), 36.6 (C-5), 55.6 (-OCH₃), 98.7 (C-3), 101.0 (-O-CH₂-O-), 107.8 (C-7), 136.6 (C-3a), 139.8 (C-7a), 156.2 (C-6), 108.2, 114.4, 120.0, 126.8, 132.6, 132.8, 145.7, 147.3, 148.0, and 159.3 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 362. Anal. Calculated for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O₄: C-69.60; H-5.01; N-7.73%. Observed: C-69.41; H-5.58; N-7.16%.

3.4.11. 6-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2Hindazol-3-ol (11b)

Greenish white solid. M.P.: 169 °C. Yield: 87%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3315, 3191. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.76 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 16.8 Hz), 3.06 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 16.8 Hz), 3.89 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.03 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.71 (2H, d, H-3" and H-5", J = 8.8 Hz), 6.77 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.98 (2H, d, H-2" and H-6", J = 8.8 Hz), 7.34 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', J = 8.8 Hz), 7.45 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.8 Hz) and 9.68 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 33.5 (C-4), 36.6 (C-5), 55.4 (–OCH₃), 99.1 (C-3), 113.9 (C-7), 135.5 (C-3a), 139.4 (C-7a), 156.3 (C-6), 127.3, 128.2. 128.9, 129.3, 132.4, 134.5, 144.5, and 157.9 (Aromatic carbons).

Mass (M⁺): 352. Anal. Calculated for C₂₀H₁₇ClN₂O₂: C-68.09; H-4.86; N-7.94%. Observed: C-67.92; H-4.91; N-7.26%.

3.4.12. 6-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-indazol-3-ol (**12b**)

Greenish white solid. M.P.: 173 °C. Yield: 71%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3318, 3209. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 2.54 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.07 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.78 (3H, s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.07 (1H, ddd, 4-H, J = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.44 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 6.73 (2H, d, H-3" and H-5", J = 8.8 Hz), 6.99 (2H, d, H-2" and H-6", J = 8.8 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, H-5', J = 8.4 Hz), 7.33 (1H, dd, H-6', J = 1.0 and 8.4 Hz), 7.51 (1H, d, H-2', J = 1.0 Hz) and 9.75 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.0 (C-4), 37.1 (C-5), 55.4 (-OCH₃), 98.2 (C-3), 113.8 (C-7), 137.0 (C-3a), 139.9 (C-7a), 156.7 (C-6), 127.9, 128.5, 129.6, 130.3, 131.7, 132.6, 133.1, 133.4, 145.1, and 158.0 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 386. Anal. Calculated for C₂₀H₁₆Cl₂N₂O₂: C-62.03; H-4.16; N-7.23%. Observed: C-61.76; H-5.01; N-7.21%.

3.4.13. 4,5-Dihydro-6-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-(4methoxyphenyl)-2H-indazol-3-ol (**13b**)

White solid. M.P.: 192 °C. Yield: 90%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3324, 3217. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.79 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.2 and 16.8 Hz), 3.13 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 16.8 Hz), 3.73–3.79 (9H, 3s, Ar-OCH₃), 4.09 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.2 and 8.6 Hz), 6.56 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 6.83 (1H, d, H-5', J = 8.8 Hz), 6.94 (2H, d, H-3" and H-5", J = 8.8 Hz), 7.24 (1H, d, H-2', J = 2.2 Hz), 7.29 (1H, dd, H-6', J = 2.2 and 8.8 Hz), 7.31 (2H, d, H-2" and H-6", J = 8.8 Hz) and 9.73 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.4 (C-4), 36.9 (C-5), 55.5 (-OCH₃), 55.9 (-OCH₃), 56.1 (-OCH₃), 98.6 (C-3), 112.2 (C-7), 136.5 (C-3a), 138.4 (C-7a), 156.4 (C-6), 111.4, 114.3, 119.0, 126.4, 127.3, 127.7, 133.2, 148.3, 148.8, and 158.2 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 378. Anal. Calculated for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₄: C-69.83; H-5.89; N-7.40%. Observed: C-69.64; H-6.03; N-7.82%.

3.4.14. 6-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-2Hindazol-3-ol (**14b**)

White solid. M.P.: 169 °C. Yield: 89%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3305, 3201. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.72 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.15 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.4 and 17.2 Hz), 4.21 (1H, dd, 4-H, J = 3.2 and 8.4 Hz), 6.75 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 6.79 (2H, d, H-3' and H-5', J = 7.2 Hz), 7.08–7.15 (4H, m, H-2', H-6', H-5" and H-6"), 7.37–7.41 (2H, m, H-2" and H-4") and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 31.5 (C-4), 35.3 (C-5), 97.3 (C-3), 115.7 (C-7), 136.9 (C-3a), 141.6 (C-7a), 155.7 (C-6), 115.9, 125.5, 127.3, 127.4, 128.4, 129.9, 132.4, 135.5, 160.8 and 162.4 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 351. Anal. Calculated for C₁₉H₁₄FN₃O₃: C-64.95; H-4.02; N-11.61%. Observed: C-65.17; H-4.61; N-11.03%.

3.4.15. 6-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4-phenyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (**15b**)

Pale white solid. M.P.: 220 °C. Yield: 84%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3311, 3209. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.80 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.6 and 17.2 Hz), 3.11 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.6 and 17.4 Hz), 4.17 (1H, dd, H-4, J = 3.6 and 8.6 Hz), 6.72 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 7.07–7.18 (7H, m, H-3', H-5', H-2'',-6''), 7.46 (2H, d, H-2' and H-6', J = 8.4 Hz) and 9.70 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.3 (C-4), 36.6 (C-5), 98.3 (C-3), 115.7 (C-7), 136.9 (C-3a), 140.3 (C-7a), 157.2 (C-6), 125.9, 126.5, 127.4, 128.6, 132.0, 141.7, 145.5, and 161.4 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M⁺): 306. Anal. Calculated for C₁₉H₁₅FN₂O: C-74.5; H-4.94; N-9.14%. Observed: C-74.32; H-5.35; N-9.26%.

3.4.16. 4,5-Dihydro-4,6-diphenyl-2H-indazol-3-ol (16b)

White solid. M.P.: 215 °C. Yield: 93%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3321, 3212. ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 2.86 (1H, dd, H-5eq, J = 3.2 and 16.8 Hz), 3.15 (1H, ddd, H-5ax, J = 2.4, 8.4 and 16.6 Hz), 4.16 (1H, dd,

4-H, J = 3.2 and 8.4 Hz), 6.74 (1H, d, H-7, J = 2.4), 7.10–7.46 (10H, m, Aromatic protons) and 9.71 (2H, brs, OH and NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 34.6 (C-4), 36.7 (C-5), 98.7 (C-3), 113.8 (C-7), 136.6 (C-3a), 140.6 (C-7a), 156.8 (C-6), 125.5, 126.3, 127.3, 127.9, 128.5, 129.0, 141.6 and 145.7 (Aromatic carbons). Mass (M+): 288. Anal. Calculated for C₁₉H₁₆N₂O: C-79.14; H-5.59; N-9.72%. Observed: C-79.24; H-6.01; N-9.43%.

3.5. In vitro anti-fungal activity (food poisoning method)

Phyto-pathogenic test fungi, *Rhizoctonia solani* ITCC 5563 and *Sclerotium rolfsii* ITCC 6181 were procured from Indian Type Culture Collection (ITCC) center, Division of Plant Pathology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110 012, India. Cultures of the test fungi were maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) slant at 27 °C for at least 4–7 days and were sub-cultured in Petri dishes prior to testing. The test fungi were routinely grown on fresh slant tubes of PDA and stored at 4 °C.

The above synthesized compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit soil borne pathogenic fungi against the standard fungicide hexaconazole. The fungicidal activity of synthesized compounds was evaluated at various concentrations by the poisoned food technique using PDA (potato dextrose agar) media. The readymade PDA medium (39 g) was suspended in distilled water (1000 mL) and heated to boiling until completely dissolved. The medium and petri dishes were autoclaved at 15 mm Hg for 30 min. These compounds were tested at concentrations of 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.63, 7.81 and 3.90 μ g mL⁻¹. A stock solution of 5000 μ g mL⁻¹ was prepared in Acetone or DMSO. Acetone or DMSO was used as a control. These solutions were added to the media (50 mL) in conical flasks to obtain the desired concentrations of the test compounds in the media. The medium was poured into a set of two petri dishes (90 cm in diameter) under aseptic conditions in a laminar flow hood. The plates were kept in the laminar flow chamber for solidification of the media. After solidification, a 5 mm (diameter) mycelia plug cut from the actively growing front of a 2 week old colony of the desired pathogenic fungus was then placed with the inoculums side down in the center of each treatment plate, aseptically. Treated petri dishes were then incubated at 27 °C till the fungal growth was almost complete in the control plates. All experiments were in quadruplet for each treatment against each fungus.

3.5.1. Recording of observations

The mycelia growth of fungus (mm) in both treated (T) and control (C) petri dishes was measured diametrically. The mean and standard errors were calculated from the four replicates of each treatment and the percentage inhibition of growth (*I*) was calculated using the following formula

Inhibition (% I) : (C - T) × 100/C

3.5.2. Calculation of LC₅₀ values

For calculation of LC_{50} values (lethal concentration for 50% inhibition; $\mu g m L^{-1}$), the percent inhibition was converted to corrected percent inhibition by using Abbott's formula:

Corrected inhibition (%) : $(I - CF) \times 100/(100 - CF)$

where CF is the correction factor obtained by the equation:

Correction factor (CF) : $(9 - C) \times 100/C$

where 9 is the diameter of the petri dish in cm and C is the diameter of growth of the fungus in control plates. From the concentration ($\mu g m L^{-1}$) and corresponding corrected percentage inhibition data

of each compound, the LC_{50} (µg mL⁻¹) values were calculated statistically by computer programme (Indostat Services, Hyderabad) on a personal computer.

3.6. In vitro anti-bacterial activity (disk diffusion method)

Two phytopathgenic bacteria *Xanthomonas oryzae* ITCC B-47 and *Erwinia crysanthemi* ITCC B-40 were procured from Indian Type Culture Collection (ITCC) center, Division of Plant Pathology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110 012, India. Two beneficial bacteria *Bacillus thuringiensis* MTCC 6941 and *Bacillus pumilis* MTCC 2466 and three human pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 3160, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MTCC 2581 and *Klebsiella pneumoneae* MTCC 7028 were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection and gene bank, Institute of Microbial Technology, sector-39A, Chandigarh 110 036, India. Cultures of the test bacteria were maintained on nutrient Agar (NA) slant at 37 °C for 1–3 days, depending upon the growth period of bacteria, and were subcultured in nutrient broath culture tubes prior to testing. The test bacteria were routinely grown on fresh slant tubes of NA and stored at 4 °C.

All the synthesized compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit above mentioned bacteria against the standard antibiotics Streptomycin, Ampicillin and Kanamycin. The concentrations of the latter were used as recommended manufacturer. The anti-bacterial activity of synthesized compounds was evaluated at single concentration (100 μ g/disc) by disk diffusion technique using NA (Nutrient agar) media. The readymade NA medium (37 g) was suspended in distilled water (1000 mL) and heated to boiling until completely dissolved. The medium and petri dishes were autoclaved at 15 mm Hg for 30 min. A stock solution of 20,000 μ g mL⁻¹ for all the compounds was prepared in DMSO. DMSO (5 μ L) was used as control. The medium (25 mL) was poured into petri dishes (90 cm in diameter) under aseptic conditions in a laminar flow hood. The plates were kept in the laminar flow chamber for solidification of the media. After solidification 100 μ L of fresh culture (log phase) was spread on the surface of solidified medium with the help of a spreader. The plates were then kept in laminar flow for drying. Once dried five plain sterile disks were placed in the plate and 5 μ L of stock solution was loaded on each disk. Different compound was loaded on different disk. In control plate commercially procured antibiotics (25 µg/disk) and DMSO (5 µL) was loaded. Plates were then kept at 37 °C. After 24 h plates were taken out from incubator and zone of inhibition (in mm) was recorded for all the compounds tested and commercial antibiotics. Zone of inhibition for synthesized compounds were reported relative to zone of inhibition of known antibiotics. All experiments were in quadruplet for each treatment against each bacteria.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was calculated using a method reported in literature [50].

3.7. Anti-oxidant activity (DPPH UV-Vis assay)

Anti-oxidant activity of synthesized compounds was checked using DPPH UV–Vis assay [51]. A stock solution of 10 mg mL⁻¹ for all the compounds was prepared in DMSO. First all the compounds were screened for their anti-oxidant activity with 20 μ L of stock solution. Compounds with good anti-oxidant activity were then checked at different concentrations (5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 μ L of stock solution). Gallic acid (GA) was used as standard. 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) was prepared and stored at 4 °C until used. Different compounds (5–250 μ L) and GA (100 ppm, 5–250 μ L) were added to different test tubes. Five milliliters of 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH was added to these test tubes and the

contents were shaken vigorously. The tubes were allowed to stand at room temperature for 20 min in the dark. The control was prepared as above without any compound (only DMSO), and methanol was used for the baseline correction. The absorbance of the samples was measured at 517 nm. Radical scavenging activity was calculated using the following formula:

 $\label{eq:controlOD} Percent\ radical\ scavenging\ activity = (ControlOD - sampleOD) / \\ ControlOD \times 100.$

4. Conclusion

We report the green synthesis of chalcone, cyclohexenone and indazole derivatives (sixteen each), using microwave irradiation for activation under solvent less condition, their characterization and bio-efficacy evaluation. Microwave heating, which was used for synthesis, showed several advantages *viz.*, solvent less reaction, reduced reaction time (from hours to minutes), increased yield (3– 16%) and high purity. Biological activity data showed promising results as the compounds were very selective in nature and results for some compounds are very much comparable with the control/ standard used. The microwave method, being very simple and cost effective, can be used for the synthesis of more potent analogues of mentioned compounds that too in large (gram) quantities.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Head, Division of Agricultural Chemicals, IARI, for carrying out the research work. Author (MKS) is thankful to Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India for financial support. The assistance provided by Deepika parmar and Neetika Parmar is acknowledged.

References

- [1] A. Corma, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 559–614.
- [2] P. Lidstrom, J. Tierney, B. Wathey, J. Westman, Tetrahedron 57 (2001) 9225– 9283.
- [3] A. Lew, P.O. Krutzik, M.E. Hart, A.R. Chamberlin, J. Comb. Chem. 4(2002) 95–105.
- [4] S. Deshayes, M. Liagre, A. Loupy, J.L. Luche, A. Petit, Tetrahedron 55 (1999) 10851-10870.
- [5] F. Toda, Acc. Chem. Res. 28 (1995) 480-486.
- [6] A. Loupy, Solvent free reactions, in: P. Knochel (Ed.), Modern Solvents in Organic Synthesis, vol. 206, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 154–208.
- [7] B.A. Bohm, Human uses of flavonoids, in: B.A. Bohm (Ed.), Introduction to Flavonoids, Harwood Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 365–393.
- [8] A.A.H. Abdel-Rahman, A.E.S. Abdel-Megied, M.A.M. Hawata, E.R. Kasem, M.T. Shabaan, Monatshefte fur Chemie 138 (2007) 889–897.
- [9] M. Kidwai, P. Misra, Syn. Commun. 29 (1999) 3237-3250.
- [10] S.K. Tambe, N.S. Dighe, S.R. Pattan, M.S. Kedar, D.S. Muamade, Pharmacologyonline 2 (2010) 15–19.
- [11] R. Gupta, N. Gupta, A. Jain, Ind. J. Chem. 49B (2010) 351-355.
- [12] T. Shah, V.J. Desai, Serb. Chem. Soc. 72 (2007) 443–449.
- [13] A. Detsi, M. Majdalani, C.A. Kontogiorgis, L.D. Hadjipavlou, P. Kefalas, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17 (2009) 8073-8085.
- [14] A. Solankee, G. Patel, S. Solankee, Oriental J. Chem. 24 (2008) 1035-1038.
- [15] A. Kumar, S. Sharma, V.D. Tripathi, S. Srivastava, Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 9445-
- 9449. [16] J.S. Ghomia, M. Taheria, M.A. Ghasemzadeh, Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 42 (2010) 485–489.
- [17] Z. Nowakowska, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 42 (2007) 125–137.
- [18] M.M. Kontogiorgis, D.H. Litina, Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 8 (2008) 1224–1242.
- [19] B.P. Chetana, S.K. Mahajan, A.K.J. Suvarna, Pharm. Sci. Res. 1 (2009) 11–22.
- [20] D.I. Batovska, I.T. Todorava, Curr. Clin. Pharmacol. 5 (2010) 1–29.
- [21] R.C. Kamboj, R. Arora, G. Sharma, D. Kumar, C. Sharma, R. Joshi, K.R. Aneja, Pharm. Chem. 2 (2010) 157–170.
- [22] C. Reichwald, O. Shimony, S.N. Sacerdoti, C.L. Jaffe, C. Kunick, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18 (2008) 1985–1989.
- [23] R. Kumar, D. Mohanakrishnan, A. Sharma, N.K. Kaushik, K. Kalia, A.K. Sinha, D. Sahal, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45 (2010) 5292–5301.
- [24] I. Karaman, H. Gezegen, M.B. Guerdere, A. Dingil, M. Ceylan, Chem. Biodiver. 7 (2010) 400–408.

- [25] T.T. Dao, P.H. Nguyen, H.S. Lee, E. Kim, J. Park, S.I. Lim, W.K. Oh, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 21 (2011) 294-298.
- [26] Y. Prasad, A. Rajendra Rao, R. Srinivasa Rambabu, Asian J. Chem. 21 (2009) 907-914.
- [27] J. Guzy, K.J. Vaskova, Z. Rozmer, K. Fodor, M. Marekova, M. Poskrobova, P. Perjesi, FEBS Lett. 584 (2010) 567–570.
- [28] B.P. Bandgar, S.S. Gawande, R.G. Bodade, J.V. Totre, C.N. Khobragade, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18 (2010) 1364–1370.
- [29] A. Saeed, O.B. Zachary, A. Hasan, G.L. Melissa, C.U. Alejandro, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 19 (2011) 2055–2073.
- [30] B.P. Bandgar, S.S. Gawande, R.G. Bodade, N.M. Gawande, C.N. Khobragade, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17 (2009) 8168–8173.
- [31] H.O. House, Modern Synthetic Reactions, second ed., W.A. Benjamin, Menlo Park California 1972
- [32] M.E. Jung, in: B.M. Trost, I. Fleming (Eds.), Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, vol. 4, Pergamon Press, Oxford, U. K, 1991, pp. 1–236 (chapter 1).
- [33] N.S. Rao, B. Bajia, Y.K. Srivastava, R. Kumar, Eur. J. Chem. 5 (2008) 39–42.
 [34] G. Roman, Acta Chim. Sloven. 51 (2004) 537–544.
- [35] N.M. Anil, H. Li, H.S. Yathirajan, B. Narayana, N. Suchetha, Int. J. Chem. 2 (2010)
- 114 123[36] V. Padmavathi, K. Sharmila, A.S. Reddy, D.B. Reddy, Ind. J. Chem. 40B (2001) 11 - 14
- [37] D.B. Reddy, A.S. Reddy, V. Padmavathi, J. Chem. Res. (S) (1998) 784-785.
- V. Padmavathi, B.J.M. Reddy, A. Balaiah, K.V. Reddy, D.B. Reddy, Molecules 5 [38] (2000) 1281-1286.

- [39] V. Padmavathi, K. Sharmila, A. Balaiah, A.S. Reddy, D.B. Reddy, Synth. Commun. 31 (2001) 2119-2126.
- [40] V. Padmavathi, K. Sharmila, A. Padmaja, D.B. Reddy, Heterocycl. Commun. 5 (1999) 451-456.
- [41] M. Gopalakrishnan, J. Thanusu, V. Kanagarajan, Chem. Hetero. Comp. 44 (2008) 950-955.
- [42] K.H. Popat, K.S. Nimavat, S.L. Vasoya, H.S. Joshi, Ind. J. Chem. 42B (2003) 1497-1501.
- [43] D.H. Vyas, S.D. Tala, J.D. Akbari, M.F. Dhaduk, H.S. Joshi, Ind. J. Chem. 48B (2009) 1405-1410.
- [44] A. Schmidt, A. Beutler, B. Snovydovych, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 24 (2008) 4073-4095.
- [45] H. Cerecetto, A. Gerpe, M. Gonzalez, V.J. Aran, C.O. Ocariz, Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 5 (2005) 869-878.
- S. Ducki, R. Forrest, J.A. Hadfield, A. Kendall, N.J. Lawrence, A.T. McGown, [46] D. Rennisonl, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 8 (1998) 1051-1056.
- B.A. Bhat, K.L. Dhar, S.C. Puri, A.K. Saxena, M. Shanmugavel, G.N. Qazi, Bioorg. [47]
 - Med. Chem. Lett. 15 (2005) 3177–3180.
 - I. Alkorta, J.J. Elguero, Phys. Org. Chem. 18 (2005) 719-724. [48]
 - N.A. Shakil, M.K. Singh, J. Kumar, M. Sathiyendiran, G. Kumar, M.K. Singh, [49] R.P. Pandey, A. Pandey, V.S. Parmar, J. Environ. Sci. Health 45B (2010) 524-530
 - [50] N. Aggarwal, R. Kumar, P. Dureja, J.M. Khurana, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 46 (2011) 4089-4099
 - [51] P. Marimuthu, N.A. Shakil, D.B. Saxena, Food 1 (2007) 65-67.