Ionic Liquid Supported Organotin Reagents: Green Tools for Stille Cross-Coupling Reactions with Brominated Substrates

Nicolas Louaisil,^[a] Phuoc Dien Pham,^[a] Fabien Boeda,^[a] Djibril Faye,^[a] Anne-Sophie Castanet,^[a] and Stéphanie Legoupy*^[a]

< 3 ppm).

Keywords: Ionic liquids / Palladium / Cross-coupling / Tin / Sustainable chemistry

Efficiency of ionic liquid supported organotin reagents in Stille cross-coupling reactions involving aryl bromides has been investigated. In a general manner, products were isolated with good yields by using a very simple catalytic system

Introduction

Amongst the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling methods, the Stille reaction of organostannanes with organic electrophiles represents a versatile method for carbon–carbon bond formation.^[1] Indeed, this transformation is one of the most general and selective Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions for the synthesis of complex molecules because of its broad scope and high tolerance towards many functional groups.^[2] However, the use of organotin reagents has been avoided for the synthesis of pharmacologically active substances due to their toxicity and the difficulties of removing residues from the products. As a result, ongoing efforts have been devoted to solve these problems by using solid-phase synthetic methods,^[3,4] phosphonium-grafted organotins,^[5] Stille couplings catalytic in tin,^[6] and other modified organotin reagents.^[7]

Convenient access to biaryl compounds is receiving currently a great deal of attention due to the prominence of this scaffold in biological and naturally occurring molecules.^[8] As a part of our ongoing research program dealing with potentialities of TSILs (task-specific ionic liquids), and particularly organotin reagents supported on ionic liquids,^[9] we previously reported an exploration of their scope of the Stille reaction involving aryl iodide substrates.^[9a,9d] High yields of biaryl products were obtained under low-temperature, solvent-free, ligand-free conditions, with simple purification techniques. Moreover, the products were isolated with minimal amount of tin (<6 ppm). This result prompted us to investigate the scope and limits of organotin reagents supported on ionic liquid in the Stille reaction with brominated substrates.

- [a] Université du Maine, UCO2M, UMR CNRS 6011, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans cedex 9, France Fax: +33-2-43833902
 E-mail: stephanie.legoupy@univ-lemans.fr
- Supporting information for this article is available on the
- WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201001195.

Results and Discussion

Starting from imidazole derivative 1,^[9a] new room-temperature ionic liquid (RTIL) **3** (R = Et, X = Br) was easily synthesized in a similar manner to that used for ionic liquid **2** (R = Me, X = I; Scheme 1).

without the need of solvent, ligand, or additives. The organotin compounds were recycled without loss of activity

and the contamination by tin was limited and controlled ([Sn]

Scheme 1. Synthesis of ionic liquids 2 and 3.

The reactivity of compound **3** in Stille cross-coupling reactions has been evaluated with different palladium precatalysts (5 mol-%) and by using bromopyridine **4** as a benchmark substrate. It should be noted that this heteroaromatic substrate was chosen to differentiate desired product **5** from biphenyl byproduct **6**.^[9a] Results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Precatalyst effect on Stille cross-coupling reactions.



[a] Determined by GC.

FULL PAPER

As an initial assay, conditions previously used for aryl iodide substrates {e.g., 48 h, 35 °C, **2** (2 equiv.), and $[Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3]$ as precatalyst} were applied. No conversion was observed with this catalytic system (Table 1, Entry 1). Moreover, the use of $[PdCl_2]$ (Table 1, Entry 2), $[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$ (Table 1, Entry 3), and [Pd/C] (Table 1, Entry 4) did not allow the formation of the desired compound. When using $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (Table 1, Entry 5) or $[Pd\{P (tBu)_3\}_2]^{[10]}$ (Table 1, Entry 6) the reaction proceeded with only 11–20% conversion, generating a high proportion of biphenyl product **6**. The highest conversion (93%) was observed when using $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ as the precatalyst with a 65:35 ratio of 3-phenylpyridine (**5**) and biphenyl byproduct **6** (Table 1, Entry 7).

To enhance the selectivity in favor of 3-phenylpyridine (5), we decided to examine the influence of several ligands with $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ as the precatalyst (Table 2). Addition of phosphane ligands such as $P(o-tolyl)_3$ and PPh_3 (Table 2, Entries 2 and 3), led to a loss of catalytic activity, as only 25% conversion were measured after a reaction time of 48 h. The use of ancillary ligands AsPh₃ or $P(tBu)_3$ (Table 2, Entries 4 and 5) afforded conversions of 49 and 82%, respectively. These results clearly highlight that our catalytic system does not require the addition of a ligand.

Table 2. Ligand effect on Stille cross-coupling reactions.

Et~N~N Br	$ {}_{6}\text{SnBu}_{2}\text{Ph} + $	N Pd(OAc) ₂ ligand (10	(5 mol-%) mol-%)	Ph +	Ph–Ph
3		4 35 °C,	48 h	5	6
Entry	Ligand	Conv. [%] ^[a]	5 ^[a]	(6 ^[a]
			[%]	l	[%]
1	none	93	65		35
2	$P(o-tolyl)_3$	25	21		79
3	PPh ₃	25	53		47
4	AsPh ₃	49	58		42
5	$P(tBu)_3$	82	48		52

[a] Determined by GC.

To further optimize the reaction conditions, the Stille cross-coupling transformation was performed in the presence of copper(I)^[11] (CuI, CuBr, CuCl) and a fluoride source^[12] (CsF, NaF, KF) (classical conditions for Stille cross-coupling reactions often require these types of additives).^[13] Once again, no improvement in terms of catalytic activity was noticed.

Temperature effect was also investigated by using 3-bromopyridine (4), ionic liquid 3, and $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ precatalyst (Table 3). After 24 h of reaction at 35 °C, only 69% conversion was observed with a 5/6 ratio similar to that obtained after a reaction time of 48 h at the same temperature (Table 3, Entry 1). It is noteworthy that an increase in temperature to 100 °C led to full conversion. More interestingly, the proportion of 5 increased from 68 to 93% (Table 3, Entry 2). Finally, only 21% conversion were reached when applying a temperature of 150 °C (Table 3, Entry 3). Of note, under these reaction conditions, no degradation of ionic liquid 3 was observed. However, at this temperature, formation of droplets on neck of the flask was noticed. This could be the result of the condensation of compound **4**, which, as a consequence, would not remain in the reaction mixture and would explain the decrease in the reactivity.

Table 3. Temperature effect on Stille cross-coupling reactions.

$ \underset{Br}{\overset{\oplus}{\overset{\oplus}{}}}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\oplus}{}}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\leftarrow}{}}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\leftarrow}}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\leftarrow}}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset}_{Br} N \overset{\leftarrow}{\overset}$	SnBu ₂ Ph +	$\frac{\text{Br}}{\text{N}} \frac{\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2}{T, 24 \text{ H}}$	(5 mol-%)	Ph + Ph–Ph
3		4		56
Entry	<i>T</i> [°C]	Conv. [%] ^[a]	5 [%] ^[a]	6 [%] ^[a]
1	35	69	68	32
2	100	100	93	7
3	150	21	79	21

[a] Determined by GC.

In summary, we showed after this optimization part that a very simple catalytic system ($[Pd(OAc)_2]$ at 100 °C) without need of solvent, ligand, or additives represented the most effective conditions.

To perform a thorough evaluation of the activity of our system, we decided to investigate the Stille cross-coupling reaction with various brominated substrates. Results are depicted in Table 4.

Reactivity of hetreoaryl and aryl bromide substrates diversely substituted has been evaluated in Stille cross-coupling reactions using ionic liquid 3. For instance, heteroaryl derivatives such as 3-bromopyridine (4), 5-bromo-2-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (7), and 3-bromoisoquinoline (8) turned out to be good candidates for this transformation (Table 4, Entries 1, 2 and 3). The coupling with 4-bromoacetophenone (9) proceeded in good vield (Table 4, Entry 4), whereas benzaldehyde 10 and benzonitrile 11 exhibited moderate reactivities (Table 4, Entries 5 and 6). Reactions involving naphthyl as well as styrenyl and benzothiophene derivatives afforded good results in spite of difficulties concerning their isolation (Table 4, Entries 7-11). Indeed, contrary to Wang's report,^[14] isolation of 2-phenylnaphthalene (23; Table 4, Entry 8) by flash chromatography failed because of its similar polarity with biphenyl byproduct 6.

To provide a greater versatility to our methodology, we were concerned by the transfer of other groups than phenyl. Thus, five new organotin reagents supported on ionic liquid (**28–32**) bearing, respectively, vinyl, allyl, anisole, fluorobenzene, and thiophene moieties have been straightforwardly synthesized. These compounds have been isolated with good yields after reaction of Grignard reagents with derivative **27** (Scheme 2).

To determine the best catalytic system, new methodology was employed, which required the use of vinylstannane **28** and bromoacetophenone **9** at 80 °C for 15 h (Table 5). By using catalysts such as $[Pd(OAc)_2]$, $[Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3]$, and $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (Table 5, Entries 1–3), almost no coupling products were detected. Interestingly, by using $[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$, total

Table 4. Stille cross-coupling reactions with phenyl stannane.

R-Br		Pd(OAc) ₂ (5 mol-%), 100 °C, 24 h ► Ph−Ph + R−Ph			
	4, 7–16	Et~N ⊖ Br	N ⁺⁺ 6SnBu₂Ph ^{=∕} 3	6	5, 17–26
Entry	,	RBr	RPh	RPh ^[a] /6	Yield RPh
				[%] ^[b]	[%]
1	ĺ	Br 4	Ph 5	93/7	78
2	F₃C	Br N 7	F ₃ C N 17	88/12	85 ^[c]
3		Br N 8	Ph N 18	98/2	83
4	Me y	Br 9	Me Ph 0 19	86/14	69
5	н∖	Br 10	H 20	68/32	56
6	NC	Br 11	NC Ph	72/28	70
7		Br S 12	Ph S 22	71/29	_[d]
8	\square	Br 13	Ph 23	88/12	_[d]
9	\bigcirc	Br 14	Ph 24	86/8	_[d,e]
10	Ph Ph	⊨ ⊖H Ph	Ph Ph Ph Ph 25 Ph Ph Ph	85/15	_[d,f]
11		Br 16	Ph 26	87/13	_[d]

[a] Full conversion. [b] Determined by GC. [c] 8 h. [d] Inseparable products. [e] Conversion of 94% and 6% of R–R was formed. [f] 80 °C.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of vinyl, allyl, aryl, and heteroaryl RTILs.

conversion was observed after 5 h of heating at 80 °C. These reaction conditions in hand, various brominated substrates were investigated in the Stille cross-coupling reaction.

Table 5. Precatalyst effect on Stille cross-coupling reactions.

Et∼N ⊖ Br	28 0 9	Br Pd ⁰ (5 mol-%) 80 °C, t	Me
Entry	Pd^0	<i>t</i> [h]	Conv. [%] ^[a]
1	$[Pd(OAc)_2]$	15	4
2	[Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ ·CHCl ₃]	15	6
3	$[Pd_2(dba)_3]$	15	3
4	$[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$	15	100
5	$[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$	5	100

[a] Determined by GC.

After optimization, it was found that the use of $[Pd(PPh_3)_4]$ in conjunction with only 1 equiv. of ionic liquid **28** or **29** constituted the best system for this application. Both allylation and vinylation products were isolated with good yields after 5 h of reaction. Several cross-coupling partners bearing various functionalities were examined. The results depicted in Table 6 clearly indicate a good tolerance of our catalytic system towards esters, ketones, and nitrogen-containing heteroaromatic substrates.

Table 6. Stille cross-coupling reaction with vinyl- and allylstann-anes.

Et∖∱ ⊖ Br	₽ N ^ N +	[}] ₆ SnBu₂R ¹ +	R-Br	$\frac{Pd(PPh_3)_4}{T, 5 h}$	R-R ¹	
	R ¹ = vin R ¹ = all	ıyl 28 yl 29	7–9, 34	R R	¹ = vinyl 33 , ¹ = allyl 37 -	35, 36 -39
Entry	y IL	RBr		$R-R^1$	Т	Yield
					[°C]	[%]
1 ^[a]	28	Me	, Br 9		≈ 3 80	67
2 ^[a]	28	EtO ₂ C	.Br 34 E	EtO ₂ C	5 80	80
3 ^[a]	28	Br	8	N 3	100 6	52
4 ^[b]	29	EtO ₂ C	Br 34 E	tO ₂ C 37	80	86
5 ^[b]	29	Me	,Br 9 M	e Jan	B 80	78
6 ^[b]	29	F ₃ C N	Br 7 F	-3C N 39	110	55

[a] Pd(PPh₃)₄: 2 mol-%. [b] Pd(PPh₃)₄: 5 mol-%.

FULL PAPER

Aryl–aryl or aryl–heteroaryl cross-coupling reactions using arylstannanes **30** and **31** were investigated. The results are summarized in Table 7. Reactions between anisol-containing stannane **30** bearing an electron-donating substituent (MeOC₆H₄; Table 7, Entries 1–3) and heteroaryl or aryl bromides were carried out in the presence of [Pd(OAc)₂] at 100 °C for 15 h. As a general trend, coupling products were isolated in good yields (63–82%). Fluorine-containing compounds represent versatile building blocks in medicinal chemistry.^[15] Indeed, cross-coupling reactions between fluorinated tin reagent **31** and various aryl and heteroaryl bromides were examined (Table 7, Entries 4–6) and the expected products were isolated with good yields in the range of 70%.

Table 7. Stille cross-coupling reaction with aryl stannanes 30 and 31.



The reactivity of thiophene-containing organotin reagent **32** was tested in the Stille reaction with various brominated substrates (Table 8). Products were obtained in very good yields (75–80%). Interestingly, the coupling reaction was successfully performed in the presence of bromoquinoline **8**

(Table 8, Entry 4); the heteroaryl product was obtained with a yield of 80%.

Table 8. Stille cross-coupling reaction with thiophenstannane.



Table 9. Recycling of the tin compound.





[a] Recovered tin reagent **29** from the previous cycle. [b] Yield over 2 steps. [c] ICP-MS: 2.4 ppm.

Benefiting from the intrinsic properties of ILs, we proposed to recycle our organotin-supported reagent. Indeed, halogenotin-supported ionic liquid **50**, obtained after Stille reaction, could be easily separated from product **37** or **38** by extraction with ethyl ether. After addition of allylmagne-sium bromide in THF, ionic liquid **29** can be regenerated in two steps with good yield. Thus, organotin compound **29** could be recycled five times with different bromide sub-strates **9** and **34** without loss of reactivity (Table 9).

It is noteworthy that ICP-MS analysis confirmed that contamination by tin in the coupling product was avoided ([Sn] < 3 ppm).

Conclusions

In conclusion, new diversely substituted organotin reagents supported on ionic liquid turned out to be useful tools for Stille cross-coupling reactions involving brominated substrates. We have shown that these transformations could be performed without solvents or additives by using commercially available precatalysts. Organotin compound was recycled five times with good yield and reused in Stille cross-coupling reactions without loss of reactivity. Moreover, it is noteworthy that contamination by tin was limited. Further applications of organotin reagents on ionic liquids are currently under investigation in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

1-{6-[Dibutyl(phenyl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium Bromide (3): To imidazole derivative $1^{[9d]}$ (5.35 g, 11.60 mmol) in a sealed tube was added bromoethane (9 mL, 110.60 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 17 h at 45 °C then concentrated under reduced pressure to give ionic liquid 3 (6.61 g, quant.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.34 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.68 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.47– 7.43 (m, 2 H, $H_{arom.}$), 7.42 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.35–7.25 (m, 3 H, H_{arom}), 4.43 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.28 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 2.00–1.80 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.60 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.57-1.47 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.38-1.27 (m, 8 H, 9-H, 11-H and 14-H), 1.10–0.97 (m, 6 H, 12-H and 13-H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 141.6 (17-C), 136.4 (2-C), 136.3 (*J* = 15 Hz, 18-C), 127.9 (*J* = 20 Hz, 19-C), 127.9 (J = 6 Hz, 20-C), 122.1 (3-C or 4-C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 49.9 (7-C), 45.1 (5-C), 33.6 (*J* = 28 Hz, 11-C), 30.2 (8-C), 28.9 (*J* = 10 Hz, 15-C), 27.2 (J = 28 Hz, 14-C), 26.5 (J = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.6 (9-C), 15.6 (6-C), 13.6 (16-C), 9.5 (J = 170 Hz, 12-C), 9.4 (J = 170 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -43.8$ ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{25}H_{43}N_2Sn\ [M-Br]^+$ 491.2443; found 491.2456.

1-[6-(Dibutylchlorostannyl)hexyl]-3-ethyl-1*H***-imidazol-3-ium Bromide (27):** To a solution of ionic liquid **3** (1.092 g, 1.916 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of HCl (2 M in Et₂O, 1.05 mL, 2.107 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, then treated with H₂O. The organic layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield ionic liquid 27 (1.006 g, quant.) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.05 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.59 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.59 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 4.41 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.34 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 2.05–1.85 (m, 2



H, 8-H), 1.80–1.65 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.50–1.30 (m, 14 H, 9-H, 11-H, 12-H, 13-H, and 14-H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 135.9$ (2-C), 122.4 (3-C or 4-C), 121.8 (3-C or 4-C), 49.8 (7-C), 45.2 (5-C), 32.0 (J = 34 Hz, 11-C), 29.6 (8-C), 28.2 (J = 12 Hz, 15-C), 26.8 (J = 37 Hz, 14-C), 25.2 (J = 13 Hz, 10-C), 24.9 (9-C), 21.9 (J = 194 Hz, 13-C), 21.4 (J = 190 Hz, 12-C), 15.5 (6-C), 13.7 (16-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = +54.8$ ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₁₉H₃₈ClN₂Sn [M – Br]⁺ 449.1740; found 449.1739.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Ionic Liquids 28–32: To a solution of ionic liquid 27 (3.76 g, 7.11 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at room temperature was added a solution of the Grignard reagent (30.5 mL, 21.33 mmol). After 2 h at room temperature, the solution was quenched with H₂O. The organic layer was then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography to afford ionic liquids 28–32.

1-{6-[Dibutyl(vinyl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium Bro**mide (28):** Yield: 90%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.32 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.50 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.34 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 6.42 (dd, J = 20.8, 14.0 Hz, 1 H, 17-H), 6.12 (dd, J = 3.6, 14.0 Hz, 1 H, 18-H_{cis}), 5.63 (dd, J = 3.6, 20.8 Hz, 1 H, 18-H_{trans}), 4.39 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 1.88–1.75 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.58 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.50–1.40 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.35-1.20 (m, 10 H, 9-H, 11-H, 12-H and 14-H), 1.00-0.75 (m, 4 H, 13-H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 139.0 (J = 188 Hz, 17-C), 137.3 (2-C), 133.9 (18-C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 50.2 (7-C), 45.4 (5-C), 33.7 (J = 28 Hz, 11-C), 30.4 (8-C), 29.1 (J = 10 Hz, 15-C), 27.3 (J = 26 Hz, 14-C), 26.7 (J = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.9 (9-C), 15.7 (6-C), 13.8 (16-C), 9.3 (J = 170 Hz, 12-C), 9.3 (J = 170 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -51.4$ ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{21}H_{41}N_2Sn \ [M - Br]^+$ 441.2286; found 441.2304.

1-{6-[Dibutyl(allyl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium Bro**mide (29):** Yield: 84%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.42 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.78 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.54 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 5.92 (ddt, J = 8.4, 10.0, 16.8 Hz, 1 H, 18-H), 4.77 (dd, J = 2.0, 16.8 Hz, 1 H, 19-H_{trans}), 4.63 (dd, J = 2.0, 10.0 Hz, 1 H, 19-H_{cis}), 4.47 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 1.97-1.86 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, 17-H), 1.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.55-1.42 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.40-1.22 (m, 8 H, 9-H, 11-H, and 14-H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H, 16-H), 0.92-0.77 (m, 6 H, 12-H and 13-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 137.8 (J = 22 \text{ Hz}, 18 \text{-C}), 136.3 (2 \text{-C}), 122.1 (3 \text{-C or } 4 \text{-})$ C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 109.1 (J = 22 Hz, 19-C), 49.9 (7-C), 45.0 (5-C), 33.6 (J = 27 Hz, 11-C), 30.2 (8-C), 28.9 (J = 10 Hz, 15-C), 27.0 (J = 26 Hz, 14-C), 26.5 (J = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.7 (9-C), 15.9 (J = 123 Hz, 17-C), 15.6 (6-C), 13.5 (16-C), 8.9 (J = 156 Hz, 12-C), 8.8 (J = 153 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ -18.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₂₂H₄₃N₂Sn [M - Br]⁺ 455.2443; found 455.2453.

1-{6-[Dibutyl(4-methoxyphenyl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium Bromide (30): Yield: 85%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.51 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.45 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, H_{arom}), 7.28 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, H_{arom}), 4.44 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.29 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 3.80 (s, 3 H, 21-H), 1.70–1.95 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.60 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.40–1.60 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.20–1.40 (m, 8 H, 9-H, 11-H, and 14-H), 0.95–1.10 (m, 6 H, 12-H and 13-H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.5 (20-C), 137.3 (*J* = 20 Hz, 19-C), 136.2 (2-C), 131.5 (*J* = 203 Hz, 17-C), 122.0 (3-C or 4-C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 113.7 (*J* = 22 Hz, 18-C), 57.8 (21-C), 49.8 (7-C), 45.0 (5-C), 33.5 (*J* = 28 Hz, 11-C), 30.1 (8-C), 28.9 (*J* = 10 Hz, 15-C), 27.2 (*J* = 28 Hz, 14-C), 26.5 (*J* = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.6 (9-C), 15.5 (6-C), 13.5 (16-C), 9.4 (*J* = 165 Hz, 12-C), 9.3 (*J* = 165 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -41.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₂₆H₄₅N₂OSn [M – Br]⁺ 521.2548; found 521.2582.

1-{6-[Dibutyl(4-fluorophenyl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1H-imidazol-3ium Bromide (31): Yield: 88%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.32 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.72 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.46 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, $J_{H,F} = 8.5$ Hz, 2 H, H_{arom}), 6.9 (dd, J= 8.5 Hz, $J_{H,F}$ = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{arom}), 4.38 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.24 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 1.70–1.90 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.52 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.35–1.50 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.15– 1.35 (m, 8 H, 9-H, 11-H, and 14-H), 0.85-1.10 (m, 6 H, 12-H and 13-H), 0.80 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 162.9 (d, $J_{C,F}$ = 250 Hz, 20-C), 137.6 (d, $J_{C,F}$ = 6 Hz, J = 18 Hz, C_{arom.}), 136.3 (17-C), 136.2 (2-C), 122.1 (3-C or 4-C), 121.9 (3-C or 4-C), 114.9 (d, $J_{C,F}$ = 19 Hz, J = 22 Hz, $C_{arom.}$), 49.8 (5-C), 45.0 (7-C), 33.5 (J = 28 Hz, 11-C), 30.1 (8-C), 28.8 (J = 10 Hz, 15-C), 27.1 (J = 28 Hz, 14-C), 26.4 (J = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.6 (9-C), 15.5 (6-C), 13.5 (16-C), 9.5 (J = 165 Hz, 12-C), 9.4 (J =165 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -114.7$ ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): = δ -40.5 (d, $J_{Sn,F}$ = 8.1 Hz) ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{25}H_{42}N_2FSn [M - Br]^+$ 509.2349; found 509.2386.

1-{6-[Dibutyl(thiophen-2-yl)stannyl]hexyl}-3-ethyl-1H-imidazol-3ium Bromide (32): Yield: 79%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.47 (s, 1 H, 2-H), 7.64 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, 20-H), 7.63 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.40 (s, 1 H, 3-H or 4-H), 7.26 (dd, J = 3.0, 4.7 Hz, 1 H, 19-H), 7.18 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, 18-H), 4.44 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 4.30 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, 7-H), 1.80–1.95 (m, 2 H, 8-H), 1.60 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H, 6-H), 1.50–1.60 (m, 6 H, 10-H and 15-H), 1.25-1.40 (m, 8 H, 9-H, 11-H, and 14-H), 1.00-1.15 (m, 6 H, 12-H and 13-H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H, 16-H) ppm. ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: $\delta = 136.1 (2-\text{C}), 135.5 (17-\text{C}), 134.9 (J = 13 \text{ Hz}, 135.5 \text{ Hz})$ 19-C), 130.3 (20-C), 127.6 (J = 20 Hz, 18-C), 122.1 (3-C or 4-C), 121.8 (3-C or 4-C), 49.6 (7-C), 44.8 (5-C), 33.2 (J = 28 Hz, 11-C), 29.9 (8-C), 28.6 (J = 10 Hz, 15-C), 26.9 (J = 28 Hz, 14-C), 26.1 (J = 10 Hz, 10-C), 25.4 (9-C), 15.4 (6-C), 13.3 (16-C), 10.5 (J = 165 Hz, 12-C), 10.5 (J = 165 Hz, 13-C) ppm. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -40.0 ppm. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{23}H_{41}N_2SSn [M - Br]^+ 497.2007$; found 497.2021.

General Procedure for Recycling Tin Compound 29: To a solution of ionic liquid 29 (950 mg, 1.780 mmol) was added Pd(PPh₃)₄ (102 mg, 5 mol-%) and aryl bromide 9 or 34 (290 µL, 1.780 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at 80 °C. Ionic liquid 50 (1.076 g) was separated from product 37 or 38 by extraction with ether. The organic layer was concentrated, and the crude product was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography to afford allyl compound 37 or 38 (290 mg, 86%). Ionic liquid 29 was heated (70 °C) under high vacuum. After cooling to room temperature, allylmagnesium bromide (1 M in Et₂O, 5.6 mL, 3.228 mmol) was added in THF (30 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, then treated with H₂O. The organic layer was extracted with CH2Cl2, dried with MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography to afford ionic liquid 29 (710 mg, 75% in two steps) as a yellow oil.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Experimental details and copies of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

Acknowledgments

We thank CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique), the Université du Maine, the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Grant N°: ANR-07-JCJC-0026-01), and the French MENRT (Ministère de l'Education Nationale de la Recherche et de Technologie) for a fellowship to P.D.P. We also thank Amélie Durand for technical support.

- a) J. K. Stille, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 504-519; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 508-524; b) V. Farina in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II (Eds.: E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone, G. Wilkinson), Pergamon, Oxford, 1995, vol. 12, ch. 3.4; c) T. N. Mitchell in Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions (Eds.: F. Diederich, P. J. Stang), Wiley-VCH, New York, 1998, ch. 4; d) V. Farina, V. Krishnamurthy, V. J. Scott, The Stille Reaction, Wiley, New York, 1998; e) P. Espinet, A. M. Echavarren, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 43, 4704-4734.
- [2] a). M. Dowlut, D. Mallik, M. G. Organ, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 4279–4283; b) K. C. Nicolaou, E. J. Sorensen, *Classics in Total Synthesis*, VCH, Weinheim, 1996; c) K. C. Nicolaou, S. A. Snyder, *Classics in Total Synthesis II*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003; d) J. Tsuji, *Palladium Reagents and Catalysts*, Wiley, Chichester, 1995.
- [3] a) U. Gerigk, M. Gerlach, W. P. Neumann, R. Vieler, V. Weintritt, *Synthesis* 1990, 448–452; b) M. Gerlach, F. Jördens, H. Kuhn, W. P. Neumann, *J. Org. Chem.* 1991, 56, 5971–5972; c) G. Dumartin, G. Ruel, J. Kharboutli, B. Delmond, M.-F. Connil, B. Jousseaume, M. Pereyre, *Synlett* 1994, 952–954.
- [4] a) E. J. Enholm, J. P. Schulte II, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1275–1277;
 b) J. Cossy, C. Rasamison, D. Gomez Pardo, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7195–7198; c) N. Carrera, E. Gutiérrez, R. Benavente, M. M. Villavieja, A. C. Albéniz, P. Espinet, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10141–10148; d) G. Kerric, E. Le Grognec, F. Zammattio, M. Paris, J.-P. Quintard, J. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 695, 103–110; e) J.-M. Chrétien, A. Mallinger, F. Zammattio, E. Le Grognec, M. Paris, G. Montavon, J.-P. Quintard, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1781–1785.
- [5] J.-C. Poupon, D. Marcoux, J.-M. Cloarec, A. B. Charette, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3591–3594.
- [6] a) R. E. Maleczka, W. P. Gallagher, I. Terstiege, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 384–385; b) W. P. Gallagher, I. Terstiege, R. E. Maleczka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3194–3204; c) R. E. Maleczka, W. P. Gallagher, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4173–4176.
- [7] a) E. Fouquet, M. Pereyre, T. Roulet, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2387–2388; b) E. Fouquet, M. Pereyre, A. L. Rodriguez, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5242–5243; c) A. Herve, A. L. Rodriguez, E. Fouquet, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1953– 1956; d) K. Olofsson, S.-Y. Kim, M. Larhed, D. P. Curran, A. Hallberg, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4539–4541.
- [8] a) N. Miyaura, N. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.* 1995, 95, 2457–2483; b)
 B. S. Yong, S. P. Nolan, *Chemtracts: Org. Chem.* 2003, 205–221; c) N. T. S. Phan, M. Van Der Sluys, C. W. Jones, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, 348, 609–679.
- [9] a) For the mechanism of formation of biphenyl byproduct 6 see: P. D. Pham, J. Vitz, C. Chamignon, A. Martel, S. Legoupy, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 3249–3257; b) P. D. Pham, S. Legoupy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 3780–3782; c) P. D. Pham, P. Bertus, S. Legoupy, *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 6207–6209; d) J. Vitz, D. H. Mac, S. Legoupy, *Green Chem.* 2007, 9, 431–433.
- [10] A. F. Littke, L. Schwarz, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6343–6348.
- [11] G. D. Allred, L. S. Liebeskind, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2748–2749.
- [12] W. J. Scott, J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3033– 3040.



- [13] See, for example: a) S. Mee, V. Lee, J. Baldwin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1132–1136; b) S. Mee, V. Lee, J. Baldwin, Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 3294–3308.
- [14] W.-J. Zhou, K.-H. Wang, J.-X. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5599–5602.
- [15] a) W. K. Hagmann, J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359–4369; b)
 A. M. Thayer, Chem. Eng. News 2006, 84, 15–24. A. M. Thayer, Chem. Eng. News 2006, 84, 27–32

Received: August 24, 2010 Published Online: November 24, 2010