#### Y. Wang et al.

#### Paper

# A Novel Three-Step Tandem Reaction for Efficient Syntheses of Bulky Anthracenyl Esters from 2-Benzylbenzoic Acids

Α

Yabai Wang<sup>a,b</sup> Shiwei Yang<sup>b</sup> Guangling Bian<sup>\*b</sup> Ling Song<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, P. R. of China

<sup>b</sup> The Key Laboratory of Coal to Ethylene Glycol and Its Related Technology, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P. R. of China glb@fjirsm.ac.cn songling@fjirsm.ac.cn  $R^{1}$   $R^{1}$   $R^{1}$   $R^{1}$   $R^{2}$   $R^{2}$   $R^{2}$   $R^{1}$   $R^{1} = H, Me, OMe \\ R^{2} = H, Me, OMe, Cl \\ 7 examples, yield: 69~85\%$ 



Received: 21.10.2016 Accepted after revision: 06.12.2016 Published online: 08.02.2017 DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1588384; Art ID: ss-2016-h0741-op

**Abstract** Bulky anthracenyl esters could be efficiently synthesized from 2-benzylbenzoic acids via a novel three-step tandem reaction containing intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation, enolization, and esterification. A mechanism for the tandem reaction is proposed.

Key words tandem reaction, Friedel–Crafts acylation, enolization, esterification, anthracenyl esters

The preparation of complex organic molecules via multistep organic reactions is usually a tedious and laborious work owing to the necessity of protection/deprotection of functional groups and purification of intermediate products.<sup>1</sup> In comparison with the traditional approach, tandem reaction can overcome these drawbacks and is an efficient modern organic synthetic methodology.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it is of great practical significance to develop novel tandem reactions.<sup>3</sup> Anthracene derivatives are widely applied in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) as emitting and chargetransporting materials.<sup>4</sup> Besides, they are important structural units of anticancer agents such as azonafides.<sup>5</sup> So far, a large number of synthetic methods have been developed for the syntheses of functional compounds containing anthracene skeleton, most of which are modified directly from anthracene via multiple reactions.<sup>6</sup> Herein, we report a novel tandem reaction, which can be applied to prepare anthracenyl esters in one pot from 2-benzylbenzoic acids.

This novel tandem reaction was discovered in our lab during the preparation of anthrone (**2a**) from 2-benzylbenzoic acid (**1a**) in the presence of cyanuric chloride (2,4,6trichloro-1,3,5-triazine, TCT), pyridine, and aluminum chloride using Kangani's method,<sup>7</sup> which had been reported to be efficient for the Friedel–Crafts acylation<sup>8</sup> of various carboxylic acids to form ketones. Instead of **2a**, a novel anthracenyl ester **3a** was obtained as the main product (Scheme 1), whose structure was confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) as shown in Figure 1.



Scheme 1  $\mbox{ The reaction of compound 1a with cyanuric chloride, pyridine, and <math display="inline">\mbox{AlCl}_3$ 



Figure 1 Ball-and-stick representation of 3a viewed down the b-axis

Synthesis

Y. Wang et al.

Entry	Pyridine (equiv)	AlCl₃ (equiv)	TCT (equiv)	Temp (°C )	Solvent	Yield (%) <sup>a</sup>		
						3a	<b>2a</b> <sup>b</sup>	1a <sup>b</sup>
1	1	1	1.6	r.t.	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	38	<5	53
2	1.2	1	1.6	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	46	6	43
3	1.5	1	1.6	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	25	<5	65
4	1.2	1.2	1.6	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	55	8	32
5	1.2	2	1.6	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	76	<5	15
6	1.2	2.5	1.6	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	40	<5	39
7	1.2	2	2	r.t.	$CH_2CI_2$	35	10	49
8	1.2	2	1.6	reflux (40)	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	81	<5	<5
9	1.2	2	1.6	reflux (61)	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	50	<5	36
10	1.2	2	1.6	reflux (81)	MeCN	21	<5	64
11	1.2	2	1.6	reflux (84)	CICH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CI	<5	<5	83

 Table 1
 Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Tandem Reaction

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields

<sup>b</sup> Recovered yields of starting materials **1a** and **2a**.

The initial reaction gave us only 38% yield of 3a (Table 1, entry 1). To improve the yield of **3a**, the experimental conditions of the reaction were optimized. Investigation on the loadings of TCT, pyridine, and AlCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> showed that the best ratio of pyridine/AlCl<sub>3</sub>/TCT was 1.2:2:1.6 giving 76% yield of 3a (entries 2-7). Running the reaction in refluxing CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 °C) increased the yield to 81% (entry 8). By replacing CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with other solvents having higher boiling points and running the corresponding reactions at their reflux temperatures, the product yields dropped dramatically due to the instability of 2-benzylbenzoyl chloride at high temperatures (entries 9-11, see also the Supporting Information). The substrate scope of the reaction system was explored following the optimized conditions shown in entry 8 of Table 1. Products 3b. 3c. 3d. 3e. 3f. and 3g were obtained in a yield of 70, 69, 85, 80, 81, and 84% from 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, and 1g, respectively (Table 2, entries 2-7), which proved the applicability of the tandem reaction in the syntheses of bulky anthracenyl esters from various 2-benzylbenzoic acids.

On the basis of our experimental results, we propose that the formation of **3a** involved a Friedel–Crafts acylation, enolization, and esterification via three steps in one pot (Scheme 2). 2-Benzylbenzoyl chloride was quickly generated from **1a** in the presence of TCT and pyridine, and then reacted with AlCl<sub>3</sub> to form **2a** via the intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation. Afterwards, enolization of **2a** gave anthracen-9-ol, which further reacted with 2-benzylbenzoyl chloride to afford the final product **3a**. We hypothesized that the Friedel–Crafts acylation was the ratedetermining step in the tandem reaction. To verify the hypothesis, a competitive experiment was carried out by adding 1-naphthol in the reaction product of 1-naphthol was the

main product instead of **3a**, indicating that the esterification reaction was a faster reaction than the Friedel–Crafts acylation (see Supporting Information).

In summary, we have developed a three-step tandem reaction involving intramolecular Friedel–Crafts acylation, enolization, and esterification. Bulky anthracenyl esters could be simply approached by this efficient reaction from 2-benzylbenzoic acids. In addition, a reasonable mechanism is proposed. To the best of our knowledge, this is a novel three-step tandem reaction and can be used for efficient syntheses of anthracene derivatives.

#### Table 2 Scope of the Tandem Reaction



© Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York - Synthesis 2016, 48, A-E

Y. Wang et al.



All commercial reagents were used as received without further purification unless otherwise stated. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution using a 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm) using CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 7.26,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  = 77.16) as internal standards. Standard abbreviations were used to indicate multiplicities of the signals. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained by the ESI ionization sources using TOF MS technique. Melting point was obtained on a micro melting point apparatus. Substrates **1a** and **1g** are available from commercial sources. Substrates **1b**,<sup>9</sup> **1c**,<sup>10</sup> **1d**,<sup>10</sup> **1e**,<sup>11</sup> and **1f**<sup>11</sup> were prepared according to literature procedures (for details, see Supporting Information).

#### Bulky Anthracenyl Esters via Three-Step Tandem Reaction; General Procedure

To a solution of **1** (1 mmol) in anhyd  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) at r.t. was added TCT (295 mg, 1.6 mmol), followed by the dropwise addition of pyridine (95 mg, 1.2 mmol) within 5 min. After stirring the reaction mixture at reflux temperature (40 °C) for 15 min, AlCl<sub>3</sub> (267 mg, 2 mmol) was added portionwise. The resulting reaction mixture was further stirred at the reflux temperature for 1 h. Then, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched with aq 1 M HCl (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The organic layer was washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, and dried (anhyd  $Na_2SO_4$ ). The solvent was purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc = 200:1) to afford the desired product **3**.

# Paper

# 3a

Yellow solid; yield: 157 mg (81%); mp 133.1–133.9 °C.

IR (KBr): 1739.25, 1240.68, 1127.22, 1072.92, 731.83 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 8.64 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 8.43 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.84–7.77 (m, 2 H), 7.67 (m, 1 H), 7.58–7.38 (m, 6 H), 7.32–7.23 (m, 3 H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 2 H), 4.59 (s, 2 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 165.72, 144.16, 142.16, 140.84, 133.28, 132.38, 131.90, 131.85, 129.07, 128.47, 128.38, 128.26, 126.84, 126.29, 126.07, 125.55, 124.76, 124.12, 121.47, 39.78.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{28}H_{20}O_2Na$ : 411.1356; found: 411.1356.

#### X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis

Product **3a** was recrystallized from EtOH to give yellow nubby crystals stable in air. A single crystal suitable for X-ray analysis was selected by hand. For details of X-ray crystal data, see the Supporting Information.

# 3b

Yellow solid; yield: 146 mg (70%); mp 105.0-106.1 °C.

IR (KBr): 1730.85, 1236.42, 1187.81, 1101.16, 1070.56, 729.56 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.65 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.37 (s, 1 H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.70–7.62 (m, 2 H), 7.56–7.51 (m, 1 H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 2 H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 1 H), 7.34 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.13–7.06 (m, 4 H), 4.56 (s, 2 H), 2.50 (s, 3 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 165.80, 144.53, 141.40, 137.77, 136.11, 135.43, 133.23, 132.24, 131.87, 131.37, 130.67, 129.15, 128.93, 128.50, 128.39, 128.27, 128.18, 126.71, 126.10, 125.11, 124.58, 124.39, 124.24, 121.42, 119.53, 39.30, 22.36, 21.07.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na: 439.1669; found: 439.1664.

#### 3c

Yellow solid; yield: 158 mg (69%); mp 130.2-131.4 °C.

IR (KBr): 1740.00, 1240.35, 1082.23, 1066.55, 1014.15, 750.19 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 8.64 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.39 (s, 1 H), 8.02 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.85 (s, 1 H), 7.69 (dd, *J* = 17.8, 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54–7.49 (m, 1 H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 3 H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 165.38, 143.86, 141.29, 139.19, 133.66, 132.46, 132.12, 131.99, 131.92, 130.24, 129.88, 128.57, 128.47, 127.67, 127.22, 126.97, 125.95, 125.02, 124.65, 124.40, 121.30, 119.93, 39.30.

HRMS (ESI) m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na: 479.0576; found: 479.0576.

#### 3d

Yellow solid; yield: 190 mg (85%); mp 125.8-126.7 °C.

IR (KBr): 3462.34, 1745.03, 1631.77, 1508.98, 1469.06, 1227.96, 1181.68, 1066.59, 1025.76  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 8.63 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.34 (s, 1 H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.50–7.37 (m, 3 H), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.52 (s, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 6 H).

V

С

Svn thesis

Y. Wang et al.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 165.65, 157.97, 157.92, 144.74, 140.60, 133.20, 132.87, 132.21, 131.64, 130.42, 130.26, 130.03, 128.61, 128.46, 128.20, 126.72, 126.41, 125.12, 124.70, 124.64, 124.58, 120.98, 120.80, 113.81, 97.09, 55.21, 55.15, 38.81.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 449.1747; found: 449.1747.

#### 3e

Yellow solid; yield: 166.5 mg (80%); mp 150.8-151.9 °C.

IR (KBr): 3053.22, 3027.03, 2920.46, 1746.22, 1247.19, 1229.32, 1132.62, 1070.69, 1012.34, 724.75 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.54 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 8.29 (s, 1 H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (s, 2 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (dd, J = 19.7, 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.27 (m, 5 H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.56 (s, 2 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H), 2.50 (s, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 165.68, 144.18, 144.03, 142.25, 140.99, 135.14, 133.12, 132.28, 132.08, 132.05, 129.09, 129.00, 128.39, 128.31, 127.17, 126.51, 125.97, 125.75, 125.40, 125.35, 123.67, 123.56, 122.81, 121.54, 121.39, 39.72, 21.92, 21.74.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na: 439.1669; found: 439.1669.

### 3f

Yellow solid; yield: 193 mg (81%); mp 148.1-149.5 °C.

IR (KBr): 1746.15, 1247.07, 1229.31, 1132.44, 1070.59 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.53 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.22 (s, 1 H), 7.91 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.15 (dd, J = 16.2, 5.4 Hz, 3 H), 7.04 (s, 1 H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.49 (s, 2 H), 3.79 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6 H), 2.54 (s, 3 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\_3):  $\delta$  = 165.60, 157.83, 157.58, 144.82, 143.98, 140.74, 134.12, 133.06, 132.98, 131.88, 130.79, 130.11, 129.98, 129.18, 128.77, 127.46, 126.65, 125.25, 124.57, 123.57, 123.23, 120.92, 120.60, 113.23, 97.18, 55.18, 55.11, 38.77, 21.80, 21.73.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na: 499.1880; found: 499.1880.

#### 3g

Yellow solid; yield: 188 mg (84%); mp 165.2-166.6 °C.

IR (KBr): 1736.73, 1635.45, 1602.16, 1236.50, 1218.48, 1127.61, 1080.72 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.63 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.24 (s, 1 H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 1 H), 7.34–7.16 (m, 6 H), 7.11 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (s, 1 H), 4.57 (s, 2 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 3.93 (s, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 165.16, 163.35, 157.26, 147.01, 142.63, 140.60, 134.36, 133.13, 132.61, 129.03, 128.44, 127.78, 126.08, 125.67, 125.19, 123.41, 122.93, 122.44, 121.67, 121.32, 120.80, 120.16, 118.00, 111.56, 103.75, 55.50, 55.32, 40.14.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 449.1747; found: 449.1747.

# Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB2000000) and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China (No. 2016J01086), the Key Laboratory of Coal to Ethylene Glycol and Its Related Technology, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences. We thank Mingli Liang and Wenwen Wang in FJIRSM for their help with the X-ray data analysis.

# Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1588384.

# References

- (1) (a) Tatsuta, K.; Hosokawa, S. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105. 4707. (b) Shaw, J. T. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 11. (c) Roland, W. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2007, 82, 1055. (d) Hanessian, S. Chem. Med. Chem. 2006, 1, 1300. (e) Burke, M. D.; Schreiber, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 46. (f) Schreiber, S. L. Science 2000, 287, 1964
- (2) (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chen, J.-S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2993. (b) Touré, B. B.; Hall, D. G. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4439. (c) Chen, J.-R.; Yu, X.-Y.; Xiao, W.-J. Synthesis 2015, 47, 604. (d) Muschiol, J.; Peters, C.; Oberleitner, N.; Mihovilovic, M. D.; Bornscheuer, U. T.; Rudroff, F. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5798. (e) Malacria, M. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 289. (f) Kim, J. H.; Lee, S. G. Synthesis 2012, 44, 1464.
- (3) (a) Kim, J. K.; Kim, Y. H.; Nam, H. T.; Kim, B. T.; Heo, J. N. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3543. (b) Smith, J. M.; Moreno, J.; Boal, B. W.; Garg, N. K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 400. (c) Han, G.-f.; Liu, Y.-X.; Wang, Q.-M. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5334.
- (4) (a) Pope, M.; Magnance, P.; Kallmaon, H. P. J. Chem. Phys. 1963, 38, 2042. (b) Huang, J.; Su, J.-H.; Li, X.; Lam, M. K.; Fung, K. M.; Fan, H.-H.; Cheah, K. W.; Chen, C.-H.; Tian, H. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 2957. (c) Jang, K. S.; Shin, H. Y.; Chi, D. Y. Synthesis 2009, 1703.
- (5) (a) Alberts, D. S.; Dorr, R. T.; Remers, W. A.; Sami, S. M. Patent PCT Int. Appl. WO 9200281, 1992. (b) Sami, S. M.; Dorr, R. T.; Alberts, D. S.; Remers, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 765. (c) Sami, S. M.; Dorr, R. T.; Solyom, A. M.; Alberts, D. S.; Remers, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 983. (d) Sami, S. M.; Dorr, R. T.; Solyom, A. M.; Alberts, D. S.; Iyengar, B. S.; Remers, W. A. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1609. (e) Remers, W. A.; Dorr, R. T.; Sami, S. M.; Alberts, D. S.; Bear, S.; Mayr, C. A.; Solyom, A. M. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 1997, 2, 45. (f) Dorr, R. T.; Liddil, J. D.; Sami, S. M.; Remers, W.; Hersh, E. M.; Alberts, D. S. Anti-Cancer Drugs 2001, 12, 213. (g) Verma, R. P.; Hansch, C. Mol. Pharm. 2006, 3, 441.
- (6) (a) Sivasakthikumaran, R.; Nandakumar, M.; Mohanakrishnan, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9053. (b) Zou, Y.; Young, D. D.; Cruz-Montanez, A.; Deiters, A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4661. (c) Huang, W.; Zou, X.; Kanno, K.; Takahashi, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2429. (d) Takahashi, T.; Kitamura, M.; Shen, B.; Nakajima, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12876. (e) Zheng, C.-J.; Zhao, W.-M.; Wang, Z.-Q.; Huang, D.; Ye, J.; Ou, X.-M.; Zhang, X.-H.; Lee, C.-S.; Lee, S.-T. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 1560. (f) Tao, S.-L.; Xu, X.-D.; Zhang, X. H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 429, 622. (g) Sarsah, S. R. S.;

Paper

### Y. Wang et al.

Lutz, M. R. Jr.; Zeller, M.; Crumrine, D. S.; Becker, D. P. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 2051. (h) Kotha, S.; Ghosh, A. K.; Deodhar, K. D. Synthesis **2004**, 549.

- (7) Kangani, C. O.; Day, B. W. Org. Lett. 2008, 13, 2645.
- (8) (a) Falorni, M.; Porcheddu, A.; Taddei, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 4395. (b) Forbes, D. C.; Barrett, E. J.; Lewis, D. L.; Smith, M. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 9943. (c) Bandgar, B. P.; Pandit, S. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 3413. (d) Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A.; Salaris, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2715. (e) Luo, G.; Xu, L.;

Poindexter, G. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, 43, 8909. (f) Venkataraman, K.; Wagle, D. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 3037.

- (9) Barraza, S. J.; Delekta, P. C.; Sindac, J. A.; Dobry, C. J.; Xiang, J.-M.; Keep, R. F.; Miller, D. J.; Larsen, S. D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2015, 23, 1569.
- (10) Takano, Y.; Shiga, F.; Asano, J.; Hori, W.; Fukuchi, K.; Anraku, T.; Uno, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 776.
- (11) (a) Ma, F.; Lei, M.; Hu, L-h. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2708. (b) Le Gac,
   S.; Luhmer, M.; Reinaud, O.; Jabin, I. Tetrahedron. 2007, 63, 10721.