

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Carbohydrate RESEARCH

Carbohydrate Research 342 (2007) 2125-2131

Note

A facile Er(OTf)₃-catalyzed synthesis of 2,3-unsaturated O- and S-glycosides

Antonio Procopio,^{a,*} Renato Dalpozzo,^b Antonio De Nino,^b Loredana Maiuolo,^b Monica Nardi,^b Manuela Oliverio^a and Beatrice Russo^a

^aDipartimento Farmacobioiologiche, Università degli Studi della Magna Gracia Complesso Ninì Barbieri, 88021, Roccelletta di Borgia (CZ), Italy

^bDipartimento di Chimica, Università della Calabria, Ponte Bucci, 87030, Arcavacata di Rende, (CS), Italy

Received 10 March 2007; received in revised form 23 May 2007; accepted 30 May 2007 Available online 7 June 2007

Abstract— $Er(OTf)_3$ is a useful catalyst for the Ferrier rearrangement furnishing high yields of O- and S-glycosides. The transformation has wide applicability, cleaner reaction profiles, mild reaction conditions, and high stereoselectivity and the catalyst, which is also commercially available, can be recovered and reused. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: O-Glycosylation; S-Glycosylation; Lewis catalyst; Erbium(III); Triflate

2,3-Unsaturated O-glycosides (pseudoglycals) are important building-blocks in many bioactive molecules.¹ Moreover, the 2,3 double bond in the pyran ring may be easily modified by dihydroxylation, hydrogenation, epoxidation, and aminohydroxylation to achieve structural complexity and diversity.^{11,2} A direct and straightforward method for the synthesis of 2,3-unsaturated glycosides is the acid-catalyzed allyl rearrangement of glycals in the presence of alcohols, which is known as the Ferrier reaction.^{1b,3} This rearrangement is believed to involve a cyclic allylic oxocarbonium intermediate that is formed via displacement of the C-3 substituent in a glycal, followed by the preferential attack of a nucleophile from the quasi-equatorial orientation.^{1a,4}

A wide range of acid and oxidizing reagents have been reported to promote this transformation such as BF₃·Et₂O,⁵ SnCl₄,⁶ IDCP,⁷ DDQ,⁸ TMSOTf,⁹ NIS,¹⁰ LiBF₄,¹¹ trichloroacetimidate,¹² montmorillonite K-10,¹³ FeCl₃,¹⁴ InCl₃,¹⁵ InBr₃,¹⁶ Sc(OTf)₃,¹⁷ Yb(OTf)₃,¹⁸ Dy(OTf)₃,¹⁹ I₂,²⁰ CAN,²¹ BiCl₃,²² ZnCl₂,²³ ZrCl₄,²⁴ HClO₄ on silica gel,²⁵ and NiCl₅.²⁶ All of these methods offer several advantages, but some of them suffer drawbacks in terms of yields and reaction time, while others suffer from the use of harsh reaction conditions, require non-catalytic amounts of reagents or are of high cost. Among them, lanthanide triflates have been found to be quite convenient for the O-glycosylation of glycals by Ferrier reaction and, as in many other cases, they can be used in sub-stoichiometric amounts. However, these useful catalysts also present some limitations; for example, Dy(OTf)₃ is used in an ionic liquid and other promoters were proposed for glucal substrates only and/or for only C- or O-, S- or N-glycosylation.^{17–19} Therefore, the wider acceptability of these reagents has not been demonstrated so far and a need to develop a practical method yielding 2,3-dideoxyglycosides still exists.

In continuation of our interest in exploring the utility of $Er(OTf)_3$ as a Lewis acid catalyst,²⁷ we utilized glycal chemistry to achieve diverse building-blocks, developing a practical low-cost environmentally friendly procedure for their C- and N-glycosylation.²⁸ We now wish to report a mild and efficient general method for the glycosylation of tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glycals (Scheme 1).

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +39 961391270; e-mail: procopio@ unicz.it

^{0008-6215/\$ -} see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2007.05.034



Scheme 1.

First, we tested the catalytic activity of $Er(OTf)_3$ in the glycosylation of 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal 1 at room temperature in different solvents with 1.5 equiv of *n*-butanol. Preliminary results, which are shown in Table 1, revealed that catalytic activity of $Er(OTf)_3$ is very poor in non-polar solvents such as CH₂Cl₂, CH₃Cl, Et₂O, and THF (Table 1, entries 1–4), but it is still unsatisfactory in polar aprotic solvents such as CH₃CN and CH₃NO₂ (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). As could be predicted, better results were obtained when the reaction was performed under dry conditions. Thus, higher yields and shorter reaction times are reported for the glycosylation of 1 with *n*-butanol (Table 1, entries 7–10), but the best result was obtained in CH₃NO₂ where 90% of the product was obtained only after 2 h (Table 1, entry 10). Prolonged reaction times or higher amount of catalyst did not improve the reaction performance (Table 1, entries 11–13). $Er(OTf)_3$ can be almost quantitatively recovered and reused several times without significant loss of activity,²⁸ and yields over 80% were registered for four recyclings (Table 1, entry 14).

Based on the results reported in Table 1, we decided to explore the utility of erbium(III) triflate as Lewis acid catalyst as a general method for the O-glycosylation of tri-O-acetyl-D-glycals. The glycosylation of tri-O-acet-

 Table 1. Attempted O-glycosylation of 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal 1 in various solvents

Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Yield (%)
1	CH_2Cl_2	48	25
2	CHCl ₃	24	18
3	Et ₂ O	48	15
4	THF	48	10
5	CH ₃ CN	48	35
6	CH ₃ NO ₂	24	60
7	CH_2Cl_2 (dry)	12	46
8	Et ₂ O	12	25
9	CH ₃ CN (dry)	12	58
10	CH_3NO_2 (dry)	2	90
11	CH ₃ NO ₂ (dry)	2	88^{a}
12	CH_3NO_2 (dry)	2	81 ^b
13	CH ₃ NO ₂ (dry)	6	90
14	CH_3NO_2 (dry)		88
	Second recycle	2	86
	Third recycle	2	82
	Fourth recycle	2	

^a Reaction conducted at 50 °C.

^b 10 mol % of catalyst was used.

yl-D-glucal with primary, secondary, benzyl, allyl, and propargyl alcohols proceeds smoothly at room temperature to afford the corresponding alkyl 2,3-unsaturated glycosides in high yields. The α -anomer is the major product (Table 2, entries 1–8), which may arise from the thermodynamic anomeric effect; product stereochemistry was confirmed from chemical shifts of the anomeric protons in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The resonance for H-1 of the α -glycosides occupies an upfield position compared to the β -glycosides; moreover, an NOE was observed between H-1 and H-4.

The generality of the present method was shown by exposing per-O-acetylated glucal to methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside and *N*-Boc-*O-tert*-butyl-Lthreonine (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). Methyl 6-*O*-(4,6di-*O*-acetyl-2,3-dideoxy- α -D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranosyl)-2,3,4-tri-*O*-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside **1i** was obtained as anomeric mixture α/β 85/15 in 70% yield, while glycopeptide precursor **1j** was isolated only as the α -glycoside as already reported by Schmidt and co-workers.^{18b}

The Ferrier rearrangement of the 2,3,6-tri-O-acetyl-Dglucal with phenols is not as easy a reaction as that with alcohols and only traces of glycosylated product were detected when **1** was reacted with 4-methoxyphenol in dry nitromethane and 5 mol % of $\text{Er}(\text{OTf})_3$ (Table 2, entry 11). However, 35% yield was obtained when the amount of the catalyst was improved to 10 mol % and an 80% yield of the product was obtained when the reaction was conducted at 50 °C (Table 2, entries 12 and 13). Under the same experimental conditions, good results were observed for other phenols (Table 2, entries 14 and 15).

The Ferrier rearrangement of 3,4,6-tri-*O*-acetyl-Dgalactal, **2**, is not as easy a reaction as that for a glucal analog and the few synthetically viable methods are restricted by the use of microwave heating,^{13b,c,26} or strongly acidic conditions.²⁵ Thus, we deemed it pertinent to test our protocol on this substrate. Treatment of **2** with 1-octanol in the presence of 5 mol % Er(OTf)₃ in dry CH₃NO₂ resulted in the formation of the Ferrier adduct in only 15 min (Table 2, entry 16). To extend the scope of this reaction, we exposed different alcohols to **2** (Table 2, entries 17–19).

Finally, the versatility of $Er(OTf)_3$ was furthermore illustrated by synthesizing thioglycosides from ethanethiol and thiophenol (Table 2, entries 20 and 21). In all

Table 2. Er(OTf)₃ catalyzed synthesis of 2,3-unsaturated glycosides

Entry	Glycal	Substrate	Time (min)	Yield ^{a,b,c} (%)	α : β^{c} ratio
1	AcO AcOIIIIIIII AcO	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₂ -OH a	120	95	92:8
2	AcO	СН ₃ (СН ₂) ₆ СН ₂ -ОН b	15	83	100:0
3	AcO AcO	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂ -OH c	150	85	90:10
4	AcO AcO	нс≡с-сн₂он d	20	80	68:32
5	AcO AcO	СН ₃ СНСН ₃ е ОН	20	88	77:23
6	AcO AcO	Br f OH	10	75	100:0
7	AcO AcO	G OH	10	78	100:0
8	AcO AcO	PhCH ₂ -OH h	10	90 (conti	100:0 nued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Glycal	Substrate	Time (min)	Yield ^{a,b,c} (%)	α : β^{c} ratio
9	AcO AcO	HO H ₃ CO H ₃ CO CH ₃ O i OCH ₃	24 h	70	85:15
10	AcO AcO	HO j HO j	24	79	100:0
11	AcO AcOIIIIIIIII AcO 1	H ₃ CO OH	3 days	_	
12	AcO	H ₃ CO ОН	3 days	35 ^d	_
13	AcO AcOIIIIIIII	H ₃ CO OH	24 h	80°	90:10
14	1	OH	24 h	77°	80:20
15	1	O ₂ N OH	24 h	78°	85:15
16	AcO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	СН ₃ (СН ₂) ₆ СН ₂ -ОН b	15	85	82:18
17	Aco o Aco 2	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂ -OH c	15	78	88:12

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Glycal	Substrate	Time (min)	Yield ^{a,b,c} (%)	α : β^{c} ratio
18	Aco o Aco 2	СН ₃ СНСН ₃ е ОН	20	77	94:6
19	Aco O Aco Z	PhCH ₂ -OH h	20	80	98:2
20	AcO	CH ₃ CH ₂ -SH n	90	85	90:10
21	AcO	SH o	12	90	98:2

^a All products were identified by comparison of their EI-MS and ¹H NMR spectral data with those of authentic compounds and literature reported data.²⁹

^b Isolated yield by flash column chromatography on silica gel is reported.

^c The anomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.

^d 10 mol % catalyst was used.

^e 10 mol % catalyst was used at 50 °C.

reactions, the anomeric ratio of the Ferrier product was found to favor the α -anomer as the major product, as evident from the analysis of ¹H NMR spectrum where no NOE was registered between H-1 and H-4.

In summary, we describe here a highly efficient and simple procedure for the synthesis of *O*-alkyl and aryl 2,3-unsaturated glycosides as a further application of erbium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate as a Lewis acid catalyst. Compared to the efficient protocol that uses $Yb(OTf)_3$ as a promoter, the present procedure seems to be better in the glycosylation of alkyl substrates, but undoubtedly less active toward aromatic acceptors. The method offers several advantages such as mild reaction conditions, high yields of glycosides, wide range of applicability, recoverability, and reusability of the catalyst which, keeping in mind the previous reports concerning the synthesis of C- and N-glycosides, makes $Er(OTf)_3$ a very powerful Lewis acid catalyst in the Ferrier rearrangement.

1. Experimental

1.1. General methods

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM 300 instrument, at 300 MHz and 75 MHz, respectively, on samples dissolved in CDCl₃. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethyl-silane as the internal standard for ¹H NMR spectra (0.0 ppm) and the central line of CDCl₃ for ¹³C NMR spectra (77.0 ppm). Coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hertz.

1.2. Typical procedure

3,4,6-Tri-*O*-acetyl-D-glucal **1** (200 mg, 0.73 mmol) was added to 3.0 mL of a nitromethane solution of $\text{Er}(\text{OTf})_3$ (22.6 mg, 0.0367 mmol, 5.0 mol %) and stirred at room temperature for 5 h. Then, *n*-butanol (0.075 g,

1.10 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature until a nearly complete conversion of **1** was achieved (2 h) [TLC CHCl₃–MeOH 9:1 v/v]. The organic solution was washed with water. The crude product, obtained after solvent evaporation of the dried organic layers, was purified by flash chromatography [CHCl₃–MeOH 9:1 v/v] affording 194.2 mg of **1a** (95% yield). The structural identification of **1a** was confirmed by comparison of its EI-MS and ¹H NMR spectral data with those reported in the literature.²⁹

1.2.1. *n*-Butyl **4,6-di**-*O*-acetyl-**2,3-dideoxy**-α-**D**-*erythro*hex-2-enopyranoside (1a). Yellowish oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.81 (br s, 2H, H-2, H-3), 5.30–5.25 (dd, 1H, H-4, J = 9.2, 1.1 Hz), 5.02 (br s, 1H, H-1), 4.20– 4.15 (m, 2H, H-6), 4.11–4.0 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.81–3.66 (m, 1H, OCH₂), 3.53–3.49 (m, 1H, OCH₂), 2.11, 2.09 (2s, 6H, 2 COCH₃), 1.51–1.40 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.33–1.24 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.99–0.90 (t, 3H, CH₃, J = 4.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 170.8, 170.5, 129.1, 128.6, 94.3, 67.1, 65.5, 65.0, 63.1, 30.2, 21.1, 21.0, 19.4, 14.6; EI-MS *m*/*z* 227 [MH–CH₃COOH]⁺ (100); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₂O₆ (286): C, 58.73; H, 7.74. Found: C, 58.63; H, 7.68.

References

- 1. (a) Fraser-Reid, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 347-354; (b) Ferrier, R. J. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1969, 24, 199-266; (c) Williams, N. R.; Wander, J. D. The Carbohydrates. Chemistry and Biochemistry; Academic Press: New York, 1980; (d) Toshima, K.; Tatsuda, K. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1503-1531; (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Mitchell, H. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1576-1624; (f) Feher, M.; Schmidt, J. M. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 2003, 43, 218-227; (g) Dorgan, B. J.; Jackson, R. F. W. Synlett 1996, 859-861; (h) Schmidt, R. R.; Angerbauer, R. Carbohydr. Res. 1981, 89, 159-162; (i) Schmidt, R. R.; Angerbauer, R. Carbohydr. Res. 1981, 89, 193-201; (j) Schmidt, R. R.; Angerbauer, R. Carbohydr. Res. 1979, 72, 272-275; (k) Danishefsky, S. J.; Bilodeau, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 1380-1419; (1) Liu, Z. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 2119-2127.
- Kim, H.; Men, H.; Lee, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1336–1337.
- (a) Ferrier, R. J. J. Chem. Soc. C 1964, 5443–5449; (b) Ferrier, R. J.; Ciment, D. M. J. Chem. Soc. C 1966, 441– 445; (c) Ferrier, R. J.; Prasad, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1968, 476–477; (d) Ferrier, R. J.; Prasad, N. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 570–575; (e) Ferrier, R. J.; Prasad, N. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 570–581; (f) Ferrier, R. J. Topics in Current Chemistry; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, 2001; Vol. 215, pp 153–175.
- (a) Ferrier, R. J.; Sankey, G. H. J. Chem. Soc. C 1966, 2345–2349;
 (b) Wieczorek, B.; Thiem, J. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1998, 17, 785–809;
 (c) Levy, D. E.; Tang, C. The Chemistry of C-Glycosides; Pergamon Press: Tarrytown, NY, 1995;
 (d) Postema, M. H. D. C-Glycosides

Synthesis; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1995; (e) Csuk, R.; Shaade, M.; Krieger, C. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 6397–6408.

- (a) Descotes, G.; Martin, J.-C. Carbohydr. Res. 1977, 56, 168–172; (b) Klaffke, W.; Pudlo, P.; Springer, D.; Thiem, J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1991, 6, 509–512.
- (a) Grynkiewiez, G.; Priebe, W.; Zamojski, A. Carbohydr. Res. 1979, 68, 33–41; (b) Bhate, P.; Horton, D.; Priebe, W. Carbohydr. Res. 1985, 144, 331–337.
- 7. Lopez, J. C.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 94–96.
- Toshima, K.; Ishizuka, T.; Matsuo, G.; Nakata, M.; Konoshita, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 704– 705.
- (a) Dawe, R. D.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1180; (b) Toshima, K.; Ishizuka, T.; Matsuo, G.; Nakata, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5673– 5676.
- Fraser-Reid, B.; Madsen, R. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3851–3858.
- (a) Babu, B. S.; Balasubramanian, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 5777–5778; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Chandraiah, L.; Reddy, K. S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2001**, 332, 221–224.
- 12. Schmidt, R. R.; Hoffman, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 409-412.
- (a) Toshima, K.; Miyamoto, N.; Matsuo, G.; Nakata, M.; Matsumura, S. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1379–1380; (b) Shanmugasundaram, B.; Bose, A. K.; Balasubramanian, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6795–6798; (c) de Oliveira, R. N.; de Freitas Filho, J. R.; Srivastava, R. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 2141–2143.
- Masson, C.; Soto, J.; Bessodes, M. Synlett 2000, 1281– 1282.
- (a) Babu, B. S.; Balasubramanian, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 1271–1274; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Raman, J. V.; Niranjan, N.; Kumar, S. K.; Kunwar, A. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 2095–2098; (c) Das, S. K.; Reddy, K. A.; Abbineni, C.; Roy, J.; Rao, K. V. L. N.; Sachwani, R. H.; Iqbal, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 4507–4509; (d) Das, S. K.; Reddy, K. A.; Roy, J. Synlett 2003, 1607–1610.
- (a) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S. Synthesis 2002, 511–514;
 (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Raju, A. K.; Rao, C. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5437–5440.
- (a) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Murthy, C. V. S. R.; Kumar, G. M. *Synlett* **2000**, 1450–1451; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Chand, P. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4057; (c) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Geetha, V. Synth. Commun. **2003**, *33*, 717–722.
- (a) Takhi, M.; Abdel-Rahman, A. A.-H.; Schmidt, R. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 4053–4056; (b) Takhi, M.; Abdel-Rahman, A. A.-H.; Schmidt, R. R. *Synlett* 2001, 427–429.
- Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, J. S. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 2390–2394.
- (a) Koreeda, M.; Houston, T. A.; Shull, B. K.; Klemke, E.; Tuinman, R. *Synlett* **1995**, 90–92; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Rao, C. V.; Chand, P. K.; Prasad, A. R. *Synlett* **2001**, 1638–1640.
- Pachamuthu, K.; Vankar, Y. D. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7511–7513.
- 22. Swamy, R. N.; Venkateshwarlu, A. Synthesis 2002, 598–600.
- Bettadaiah, B. K.; Srinivas, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7257–7259.
- 24. Smitha, G.; Reddy, Ch. S. Synthesis 2004, 834-836.

- (a) Agarwal, A.; Rani, S.; Vankar, Y. D. J. Org. Chem.
 2004, 69, 6137–6140; (b) Misra, A. K.; Tiwari, P.; Agnihotri, G. Synthesis 2005, 260–266.
- Hotha, S.; Tripathi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 4555– 4558.
- (a) Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Nardi, M.; Tagarelli, A.; Procopio, A. *Synthesis* **2004**, *4*, 496–498; (b) De Nino, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; Nardi, M.; Procopio, A.; Sindona, G.; Tagarelli, A. *Synlett* **2004**, *14*, 2633–2635; (c) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Russo, B.; Sindona, G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 1465–1470; (d) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Nardi, M.; Russo, B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, *347*, 1447–1450; (e) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De

Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Nardi, M.; Romeo, G. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 4129–4133; (f) Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Nardi, M.; Russo, B.; Procopio, A. *Synthesis* **2006**, *2*, 1127–1129.

- (a) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Nardi, M.; Russo, B.; Tagarelli, A. Synthesis 2006, 2, 332–338;
 (b) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Nardi, M.; Oliverio, M.; Russo, B. Synthesis 2006, 15, 2608–2612.
- Compounds 1a-d, 1h, 1k-m: Ref. 19; 1g: Brown, D. S.; Ley, S. V.; Vile, S.; Thompson, M. *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 1329–1342; 1f: Kelly, D. R.; Picton, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1559–1570; 1i: Ref. 15a; 1a–1e, 1h, 1o, 2b, 2c, 2f, 2i: Ref. 25b; 1j, 1n: Ref. 18.