

Communication

Design of a New Bimetallic Catalyst for Asymmetric Epoxidation and Sulfoxidation

Sukalyan Bhadra, Matsujiro Akakura, and Hisashi Yamamoto J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 09 Dec 2015 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on December 10, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Design of a New Bimetallic Catalyst for Asymmetric Epoxidation and Sulfoxidation

Sukalyan Bhadra,*^{,†} Matsujiro Akakura,[‡] and Hisashi Yamamoto*^{,†}

[†] Molecular Catalyst Research Center, Chubu University, 1200, Matsumoto-cho, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan

[‡] Department of Chemistry, Aichi University of Education, Igaya-cho, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542, Japan

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: A new chiral tethered 8-quinolinol-based ligand class is developed. The binuclear titanium complex of the ligand operates through a novel mechanism allowing for the regio- and stereoselective epoxidation of primary and tertiary homoallylic alcohols (up to 98% ee), as well as first examples of 2-allylic phenols (up to 92% ee). The new catalyst system also promotes the asymmetric oxidation of γ -hydroxypropyl sulfides giving an important class of chiral sulfoxides that have been inaccessible to date (up to 95% ee).

The classical asymmetric transformations proceed in a substrate-controlled fashion, in which a new stereogenic center is created under the influence of the preexisting stereogenic centers in the molecule. Thus, the regio- and stereochemical outcomes are mostly dependent on the substrates and/or reagents.¹ In sharp contrast, multifunctional active sites of enzymes play an important role for achieving amazingly perfect regio- and stereoslectivites of biological transformations.² In this paper, we are pleased to describe the design and application of a novel binuclear catalyst with two independent metal centers – one metal center binds the substrate in the close proximity to the second metal center, which accelerates reactivity and facilitates the enantioselective process.³

The catalytic asymmetric epoxidation of olefinic alcohols is an important synthetic transformation.⁴ After the pioneering discovery by Sharpless, various systems have been developed by our research group for the asymmetric epoxidation of allylic, homoallylic and bishomoallylic alcohols using V-, Zr-, Hf-, and W-based catalysts.^{5,6} Despite the many successes of these reported catalysts, various classes of alkenols remain that cannot be epoxidized in high yields and enantioselectivities. We envisioned that the asymmetric epoxidation of a longer chain alkenol could be accomplished via the designing of a chiral binuclear metal-catalyst, in which, if the two metal centers reside at an appropriate distance, one metal center would bind with the hydroxy function of the alkenol substrate, while the other metal center supplies

the oxidant to the reactive olefin site (Fig. 1). Thus, both the metal centers would act as Lewis acid for the hydroxy-substrate as well as for the electrophilic oxidant.⁷



Figure 1. Working hypotheses of the new approach.

Herein, we report a new binuclear titanium catalyst that enables highly regio- and stereoselective oxidation processes, including epoxidation of homoallylic alcohols and 2-allylic phenols, and sulfoxidation of γ -hydroxypropyl sulfides. The new method gives a convenient entry to some important classes of optically active compounds that were otherwise inaccessible, including by our previously reported V-, Zr-, Hf- and W-based protocols (for a comparison see Supporting Information (SI)).

The required ligand⁸ 3,3'-bis(7-*tert*-butyl-8-hydroxyquinolinyl)-2,2'-binaphthol (1), was simply prepared in two steps via Suzuki cross-coupling of (*S*)-2,2'-dimethoxy-1,1'-binaphthyl-3,3'-diyldiboronic acid with 2-bromo-7-*tert*-butyl-8-methoxyquinoline and subsequent demethylation of the resultant methoxy-compound (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the Ligand



To probe the viability of the hypothesis, we initially examined the asymmetric epoxidation of (E)-4phenylbut-3-en-1-ol (2a) as the model substrate in CH_2Cl_2 in the presence of 1 and $Ti(O-iPr)_4$ using anhydrous tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) as the oxidant (for details see SI). The use of $Ti(O-iPr)_4$ proved to be particularly effective in terms of yield and enantioselectivities compared to the other group 4 and 5 metal sources (Table 1, entry 1). The use of 70% aqueous solution of TBHP (entry 4) gave the best result.⁹ This finding is in contrast to Sharpless epoxidation, which demands absolutely anhydrous TBHP to achieve higher enantiomeric excess.¹⁰ We observed that a 2:1 ratio of metal to ligand was crucial; the use of 1:1 metal to ligand gave poor results (entry 6). The formation of the 2:1 Ti-ligand complex in solution was also supported by HRMS analysis.¹¹ The two *tert*-butyl groups in the ligand were the key to achieve excellent enantioselectivity, as in its absence a virtually racemic product was obtained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

DH OH Oti								€ОН
2a (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv.) CH ₂ Cl ₂ , rt,				t, 1	6 h		* 3a	
#	oxidant	yield (%) ^ª	ee (%) ^b	_	#	oxidant	yield (%) ^ª	ee (%) ^b
1	TBHP	67	82	-	4	70% aq TBHP	87	93
2	CHP	81	80		5 [°]	70% aq TBHP	56	78
3	$30\% \text{ aq } H_2O_2$	50	30		6 ^{<i>d</i>}	70% aq TBHP	28	21

^{*a*} Isolated yield. ^{*b*} Determined by chiral HPLC . ^{*c*} With 10 mol% Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄ and 5 mol% **1**. ^{*d*} With 10 mol% Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄ and 10 mol% **1**

Cinnamyl alcohol reacts under the optimized condition in a much slower rate with moderate stereoselectivity (40% yield, 55% ee) compared to 2a, whereas the epoxidation of bis-homocinnamyl alcohol does not proceed. This suggests that the transition state of the reaction significantly differs from that previously proposed for single metal or cooperative dual metal catalysts,¹² as in such cases epoxidation only with allylic alcohols would give high stereoselectivities.

We next investigated the scope of asymmetric epoxidation with primary and tertiary homoallylic alcohols (Scheme 2). Gratifyingly, both *trans*- and *cis*-substituted epoxides were achieved with good to high enantioselectivities and satisfactory yields. The absolute configurations of **3a** and **3j** were determined as (3R, 4R-) and that of **3o** as (3R, 4S-) by comparison of their optical rotation values with those in the literature.¹³ However, the epoxidation does not proceed with terminal alkene **3s**, confirming that the hydroxy-group of the alkenol acts as an anchor to the Ti-catalyst.¹⁴

Encouraged by these promising results, we subsequently studied the regio- and enantioselective monoepoxidation of conjugated homoallylic alcohols at the proximal double bond. The resultant epoxy-alkenols and their chemically or enzymatically derived triols are the key subunits in numerous bioactive compounds.¹⁵ It soon turned out that the catalyst system was equally effective for a range of conjugated homoallylic alcohols with diverse substitution patterns (Scheme 3). To the best of our knowledge, no existing catalyst system has allowed for monoepoxidation of homoallylic alcohols in high enantioselectivities to date.

Scheme 2. Scope of the Asymmetric Epoxidation of Homoallylic Alcohols^{*a,b*}



^{*a*} Reaction Conditions: 0.15 mmol **2** (1 equiv), 2.5 equiv 70% aq TBHP, 20 mol% Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄, 10 mol% **1**, in 3 mL CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 16 h; Isolated yields. ^{*b*} Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*} NMR yield of crude product. ^{*d*} At 0 °C. ^{*e*} Ee of the benzoyl derivative. ^{*f*} With 3.5 equiv 70% aq TBHP, and for 48 h. ^{*g*} Ee was determined by chiral GC.

Scheme 3. Asymmetric Proximal-Selective Mono-Epoxidation of Conjugated Homoallylic Alcohols^{*a,b*}



^{*a*} Isolated yield. ^{*b*} Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*} Ee was determined by chiral GC. ^{*d*} At 0 °C.

With the success of the asymmetric epoxidation, we applied this approach to the kinetic resolution of secondary homoallylic alcohols (Scheme 4). Both the starting 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

homoallylic alcohol **6** and the epoxy alcohol **7** were obtained in high enantiopurity.

Scheme 4. Kinetic Resolution of Secondary Homoallylic Alcohols



Next, we tested the asymmetric epoxidation of 2allylic phenols as a new substrate class in which the double bond is situated 3-carbon away from the hydroxyl group. Although the synthesis of racemic epoxides of such substrates was accomplished via m-CPBAmediated¹⁶ or V-catalyzed¹⁷ protocols, the asymmetric version has never been documented in the literature. When 2-cinnamyl phenol 8a was subjected to epoxidation under the optimized condition, the desired epoxide 9a was formed in good yield and with significant enantioselectivity. A variety of 2-allylic phenols underwent asymmetric epoxidation with good to high enantioselectivities (Scheme 5). The presence of functional groups, such as Cl, Br, OMe, OEt, etc., in both of the phenyl rings (9c–9f) does not significantly alter the reaction rate or stereoselectivity. However, the enantioselectivity drops in the presence of an aliphatic substituent in the allylic side-chain (9g), presumably due to the flexible aliphatic chain allowing the catalyst to oxidize from both faces of the alkene. 2-Allylic phenols with terminal double bonds were also epoxidized with considerable enantioselectivity (**9h** and **9i**). Unfortunately, homocinnamyl phenol did not undergo epoxidation under the optimized condition (9j).

Scheme 5. Asymmetric Epoxidation of 2-Allylic Phenols^{a,b}



^{*a*} Isolated yields. ^{*b*} Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*} For 24 h. ^{*d*} At 0 °C.

In order to demonstrate the general synthetic applicability of the new approach, we further studied the asymmetric sulfoxidation of various hydroxy-containing sulfides.¹⁸ It soon turned out that the presence of the hydroxy-directing group at the γ -position of the sulfide is crucial to achieve excellent enantioselectivity, as the sulfoxidation of simple propyl phenyl sulfide and β -hydroxyethyl phenyl sulfide proceeded with very poor yields and enantioselectivities.¹⁹ Thus, a diverse range of γ -hydroxypropyl sulfides was subjected to sulfoxidation (**11a** – **11f**), giving high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Asymmetric Sulfoxidation of *γ*-Hydroxypropyl Sulfides^{*a,b*}



^{*a*} Isolated yield. ^{*b*} Ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*} with 5 mol% Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄ and 2.5 mol% ligand



Figure 2. B3LYP/6-311G* optimized transition-state structure of the epoxidation of **2a**.

DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-311G* level were also conducted to address the observed stereoselectivities. The most favorable transition state is in accord with our previously mentioned working hypothesis for the epoxidation reaction of **2a** catalyzed by (*S*)-**1** (Fig. 2).²⁰

In conclusion, we have developed a new optically active ligand class that can accommodate two "independent" titanium centers in the active site. The binuclear Ticomplex allows for highly regio- and enantioselective processes, e.g., epoxidation of not only homoallylic alcohols but also 2-allylic phenols and sulfoxidation of γ hydroxy sulfides. The selective binding abilities of the ligand with metal ions in the bimetallic catalyst-scaffold open up the new possibility of "catalyst-controlled chemical reactions". Hence, the ligand system offers numerous opportunities for variation in the future and is currently under further investigation in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures, spectral data and computational details are provided. This mate-

rial is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*bhadra@isc.chubu.ac.jp

*hyamamoto@isc.chubu.ac.jp

Notes

1

2

3

4

No competing financial interests have been declared.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by ACT-C, JST. We thank JSPS for a postdoctoral fellowship to SB, and the Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki, for the use of their facility in our computational studies.

REFERENCES

For an overview on Substrate controlled reactions see: (a) Mulzer,
 J. In *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*; Jacobsen, E. N.; Pfaltz,
 A.; Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 1, p 42-79. (b)
 Hoveyda, A. H.; Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 1307–1370.

(2) Wilcox, D. E. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2435-2458.

(3) For reviews on multimetallic catalysis, see: (a) Shibasaki, M.;
Yamamoto, Y. Multimetallic Catalysts in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2008, 81, 60–75. (c) Park, J.; Hong, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 6931–6943. (e) Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1044–1057. (f) Cooperative Catalysis: Designing Efficient Catalysts for Synthesis; Peters, R. Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2015.

(4) For reviews on asymmetric epoxidation: (a) Katsuki, T.; Martin,
V. S. Org. React. 1995, 48, 1. (b) Katsuki, T. In Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis; Jacobsen, E. N.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H.,
Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; Vol. 2, p 621. (c) Adam, W.; Zhang, A.
Synlett 2005, 1047–1072. (d) McGarrigle, E. M.; Gilheany, D. G.
Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1563–1602. (e) Xia, Q.-H.; Ge, H.-Q.; Ye, C.-P.; Liu, Z.-M.; Su, K.-X. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 1603–1662. (f)
Wong, O. A.; Shi, Y. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3958–3987.

(5) (a) Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974–5976.
(b) Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2024–2032.

(6) (a) Li, Z.; Yamamoto, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 506–518 and references cited therein. (b) Olivares-Romero, J. L.; Li, Z.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3411–3413. (c) Wang, C.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1222–1225.

(7) The double activation of the electrophilic substrate and the nucleophilic reagent by the cooperative catalysis of two metal centers was studied by Jacobsen, Shibasaki, our group and others. In those reactions the two separate metal centers play different roles – one center activates the electrophilic substrate while the other center activates the nucleophile, thereby facilitating the nucleophilic attack; For details on such cooperative catalysis see: (a) Jacobsen, E. N. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 421–431. (b) Yamamoto, H., Futatsugi, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1924–1942. (c) Shibasaki, M.; Kanai, M.; Matsunaga, S.; Kumagai, N. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1117–1127. (d) Kemper, S.; Hroba'rik, P.; Kaupp, M.; Schlörer, N. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, *131*, 4172–4173. (e) Zhang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, R., Ding, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6746–6750. (f) *Privileged Chiral Ligands and Catalysts*; Zhou. Q.-L. Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2011.

(8) Abell, J. P.; Yamamoto, H. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 61–69 and references cited therein.

(9) The addition of measured quantity of water with anhydrous TBHP shows comparable efficiency; see Table S1 in the SI.

(10) Hill, J. G.; Rossiter, B. E.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3607–3608.

(11) The HRMS analysis of the 2:1 complex of Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄ and 1 respectively gave a peak corresponding to $C_{58}H_{64}N_2NaO_8Ti_2$, [M + Na]⁺. In ⁴⁹Ti-NMR the peak at δ (ppt) = -0.850 for Ti(O-*i*Pr)₄ disappeared and a new peak at δ (ppt) = -0.741 was observed in a time-scan measurement; see the SI.

(12) (a) Corey, E. J. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 1693–1694. (b) Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 113–126.

(13) (a) Rossiter, B. E.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 3707–3711. (b) Wang, Z.-X.; Shi, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 3099–3104.

(14) For recent advances on Ti-catalyzed asymmetric epoxidation of terminal olefins see: (a) Matsumoto, K.; Oguma, T.; Katsuki, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7432–7435 and the references cited therein. (b) Berkessel, A.; Günther, T.; Wang, Q.; Neudörfl, J.-M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 8467–8471.

(15) (a) Reddy, Y. K.; Falck, J. R. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 969–971. (c) Xu, L.; He, Z.; Xue, J.; Chen, X.; Wei, X. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 885–889. (d) Kanoh, N.; Kawamata, A.; Itagaki, T.; Miyazaki, Y.; Yahata, K.; Kwon, E.; Iwabuchi, Y. Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 5216–5219.
(16) (a) De Bernardi, M.; Vidari, G.; Vita Finzi, P. Tetrahedron 1992,

(16) (a) De Bernardi, M.; Vidari, G.; Vita Finzi, P. *Tetranearon* **1992**, 48, 7331–7344. (b) Bouzbouz, S.; Kirschleger, B. *Synthesis* **1994**, 714–718.

(17) Lattanzi, A.; Scettri, A. Synlett 2002, 942-946.

(18) Secundo, F.; Carrea, G.; Dallavalle, S.; Franzosi, G. *Tetrahedron* Asym. **1993**, *4*, 1981–1982.

(19) For the optimization of the reaction condition and the competitive experiment see the SI.

(20) \overrightarrow{DFT} calculations for all the possible transition states are described in detail in the SI.

60





Design of a New Bimetallic Catalyst for Asymmetric Epoxidation and Sulfoxidation Sukalyan Bhadra,* Matsujiro Akakura, and Hisashi Yamamoto*

49x18mm (300 x 300 DPI)