DOI: 10.1002/open.201900335



# Electrons and Hydroxyl Radicals Synergistically Boost the Catalytic Hydrogen Evolution from Ammonia Borane over Single Nickel Phosphides under Visible Light Irradiation

Jin Song, [a, b] Xiaojun Gu,\*[a] and Hao Zhang [a]

From the perspective of tailoring the reaction pathways of photogenerated charge carriers and intermediates to remarkably enhance the solar-to-hydrogen energy conversion efficiency, we synthesized the three low-cost semiconducting nickel phosphides Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P, which singly catalyzed the hydrogen evolution from ammonia borane (NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>) in the alkaline aqueous solution under visible light irradiation at 298 K. The systematic investigations showed that all the catalysts had higher activities under visible light irradiation than in the dark and Ni<sub>2</sub>P had the highest photocatalytic activity with the initial

turnover frequency (TOF) value of 82.7 min<sup>-1</sup>, which exceeded the values of reported metal phosphides at 298 K. The enhanced activities of nickel phosphides were attributed to the visible-light-driven synergistic effect of photogenerated electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) and hydroxyl radicals (\*OH), which came from the oxidation of hydroxide anions by photogenerated holes. This was verified by the fluorescent spectra and the capture experiments of photogenerated electrons and holes as well as hydroxyl radicals in the catalytic hydrogen evolution process.

## 1. Introduction

In consideration of the global environment problems, hydrogen energy has become a promising alternative to fossil fuels. [1,2] The efficient storage and release of hydrogen are main barriers during developing the hydrogen economy based on fuel cells. [3,4] Ammonia borane (NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>) with low toxicity and high hydrogen content (19.6 wt%) is an excellent chemical hydrogen storage material. [5-7] Up to now, a variety of catalysts based on non-noble metals such as Ni and Co have been explored for the hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>+2H<sub>2</sub>O→NH<sub>4</sub>BO<sub>2</sub>+3H<sub>2</sub>), which is a thermodynamics-controlled process. [8-18] However, their activities still remain low compared with the noble metal catalysts. [19-27] Thus, it is highly desirable to explore new catalytic systems using low-cost and efficient catalysts for hydrogen evolution.

Compared with the thermocatalysis, the visible-light-driven photocatalysis is considered as a friendly route for solar-to-

[a] J. Song, Prof. X. Gu, H. Zhang Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of Coal Chemistry School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Inner Mongolia University Hohhot 010021, Inner Mongolia, China. E-mail: xiaoiun.au@vahoo.com

[b] J. Song

Academician Expert Workstation of Ecological Governance and Green Development of Bayan Nur

Department of Ecology and Resource Engineering
College of Hetao

Bayan Nur 015000, Inner Mongolia, China.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/open.201900335

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

chemical energy conversion.<sup>[28-30]</sup> Importantly, the photogenerated charge carriers in semiconductors can promote many redox reactions including hydrogen evolution from small molecules such as water.[31,32] For photocatalytic splitting of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>, the key is to explore low-cost catalytically active semiconductors. It has been shown that non-precious metal phosphides composed of P and earth abundant metals such as Ni have been used in water splitting as cocatalysts in photocatalysis and catalysts in electrocatalysis.[33,34] However, no attention has been paid to using these metal phosphides as photocatalysts without photosensitizers or photogenerated electron acceptors such as metal nanoparticles probably due to that they have narrow band gaps and thus have no enough redox potential to directly split water.[35] Different from the water splitting with non-spontaneous characteristics, NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>, which has weak B–N ( $\sim 117 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and B–H ( $\sim 430 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) bonds, [25,36] is relatively easy to split though the catalytic reaction. In addition, photogenerated charge carriers such as electrons and highly active groups such as hydroxyl radicals, which are often used to promote chemical reactions, can benefit the cleavage of B-H and B-N bonds in the photocatalytic splitting of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>. Bearing these aspects in mind, we considered that if semiconducting metal phosphides such as Ni<sub>x</sub>P<sub>v</sub> are directly used as catalysts under visible light irradiation, the efficiency of hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> will be enhanced through the synergistic effect of photogenerated electrons and hydroxyl radicals.

Herein, we reported a series of low-cost metal phosphides Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P with different structures, which were for the first time used as single catalysts for hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the alkaline aqueous solution under visible light irradiation at 298 K. Compared with the activities of all the catalysts in the dark, their visible-light-driven activities were enhanced and Ni<sub>2</sub>P had the highest activity. Moreover, the






photocatalytic hydrogen evolution mechanism was also discussed on the basis of the related experiments.

# 2. Results and Discussion

## 2.1. Synthesis Strategy

Visible-light-responsive materials with low cost were selected to catalyze hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> since they can harvest visible light and then produce separated charge carriers including holes and electrons, which can promote the catalytic reaction.[37] Among the catalytically active species, nickel phosphides were selected as photocatalysts on the basis of three considerations. Firstly, nickel phosphides are active components for catalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>, but their activities are still low. Secondly, the photogenerated charge carriers induced by the visible light might benefit the enhanced catalytic reaction rate of nickel phosphides. Thirdly, three nickel phosphides Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P with different structures, where there are different electron transfer characteristics from Ni to P, [38,39] provided us a chance to systematically study the photocatalytic chemistry of metal phosphides. Since OH ions in the aqueous solution are easily oxidized by photogenerated holes from semiconductors to form highly reactive hydroxyl radicals.[40] The inorganic base NaOH was selected as the resource of hydroxyl radicals, which were beneficial for the cleavage of B-N bonds in NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>. Once the holes were consumed by OH<sup>-</sup> ions, the remaining photogenerated electrons could efficiently participate in the splitting of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>. In the light of the above contents, the visible-lightdriven synergistic effect of photogenerated electrons and hydroxyl radicals could lead to the efficient catalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the alkaline aqueous solution over the nickel phosphides with different structures (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of the catalytic hydrogen evolution procedure over single semiconducting nickel phosphides with different structures under visible light irradiation.

#### 2.2. Structural Characterization

The crystalline phases of as-synthesized nickel phosphides were characterized using PXRD. The results showed that the pure phases of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P were synthesized (Figure S1–S3). The surface areas of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P were 33.2, 11.8 and 20.9 m<sup>2</sup>/g (Figure S4–S6). The TEM images showed that the particles of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P were in the nanoscale (Figure 2 and S7). The lattice fringes with spacing of 0.221, 0.193 and 0.180 nm corresponded to the (111) plane of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, (240) plane of Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and (222) plane of Ni<sub>3</sub>P. The XPS investigations showed that the Ni 2p<sub>3/2</sub> edges in the three nickel phosphides were deconvolved into three peaks (Figure 3). The peaks at 853.0, 852.7 and 852.4 eV were assigned to the positive charge  $Ni^{\delta+}$ for Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P, respectively. [22,41,42] The value of  $\delta$  was  $\delta(Ni_2P) > \delta(Ni_1P_5) > \delta(Ni_3P)$ . The peaks at 855.9, 856.0 and 855.9 eV were consistent to the Ni<sup>2+</sup> for Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P, [43] respectively, due to the surface oxidation. The peaks at 861.3, 860.9 and 860.5 eV were assigned to the satellites of Ni 2p<sub>3/2</sub>. The spectra of Ni  $2p_{1/2}$  were similar to those of Ni  $2p_{3/2}$ . In the P  $2p_{3/2}$  spectra (Figure S8), the peaks at 128.9, 129.1 and 129.3 eV confirmed the presence of  $P^{\delta-}$  for  $Ni_2P$ ,  $Ni_{12}P_5$  and  $Ni_3P$ , [44] respectively, indicating that there were different characteristics of charge transfer from Ni to P in the three nickel phosphides with different structures.

In the UV-vis spectra,  $Ni_2P$ ,  $Ni_{12}P_5$  and  $Ni_3P$  had the visible light absorption (Figure S9). The steep absorption edge suggested the electron transfer from valence band to conduction band. In order to verify the electron transfer of the nickel phosphides, we studied their transient photocurrent density under visible light irradiation ( $\lambda \ge 420$  nm). The results showed that the transient photocurrent appeared in the nickel phosphides and  $Ni_2P$  had the highest photocurrent density (Figure 4a). These results also confirmed that the three nickel




Figure 2. TEM and HRTEM mages of (a, c) Ni<sub>2</sub>P and (b,d) Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub>.









Figure 3. XPS patterns of Ni 2p for (a) Ni<sub>2</sub>P, (b) Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and (c) Ni<sub>3</sub>P.



**Figure 4.** (a) Time versus transient photocurrent density and (b) Nyquist plots of the three nickel phosphides.

phosphides had semiconducting characteristics and  $Ni_2P$  had higher catalytic reduction ability. It should be noted that the photocurrent response of  $Ni_2P$  and  $Ni_3P$  were positive while the photocurrent response of  $Ni_{12}P_5$  was negative, indicating that  $Ni_2P$  and  $Ni_3P$  were n-type semiconductors and  $Ni_{12}P_5$  was p-type semiconductor. These different semiconducting characteristics might lead to generating different charge carriers in the conductive process, which might further influence the photocatalytic properties of corresponding catalysts. In addition, the EIS experiments were carried out to get further insights into the hydrogen evolution ability of nickel phosphides. It was found that  $Ni_2P$  and  $Ni_3P$  had the smallest and biggest charge transfer resistance, respectively (Figure 4b), which was consistent with the corresponding transient photocurrent density.

### 2.3. Catalytic Performance and Mechanism

In order to investigate the effect of visible light irradiation on the catalytic performance, the hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the alkaline aqueous solution over Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P was measured. As shown in Figure 5, the activities of three catalysts were enhanced under visible light irradiation compared with their activities in the dark. Specially, Ni<sub>2</sub>P exhibited the highest activity with the initial turnover frequency (TOF) value of


www.chemistryopen.org

82.7 min<sup>-1</sup>, which was the highest in the values of reported metal phosphide catalysts (Table 1). The 87.5, 78.7 and 88.2% of activity enhancement of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, Ni<sub>12</sub>P<sub>5</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>P was caused by the contribution of visible light irradiation comparable to the dark reaction, respectively. The different activity enhancement could be attributed to the different light absorption ability and the different charge separation efficiency with increasing the Ni/P ratio from 2 to 3 in these nickel phosphides, which might lead to the different usage efficiency of photogenerated charge carriers and hydroxyl radicals. In addition, the characteristic of electron transfer from Ni to P in the three nickel phosphides with different Ni/P ratios was different (Figure 3), which led to their different photocatalytic hydrogen evolution activities. It

Catalyst	TOF (min <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
Ni₂P	82.7	This work
$Vi_{08}W_{02}$	25.0	6
Ni	19.6	14
Co/(CeO <sub>x</sub> ) <sub>0.91</sub> /NGH	79.5	15
Cu <sub>0.72</sub> Co <sub>0.18</sub> Mo <sub>0.1</sub>	46	16
CoP	72.2	17
Ni <sub>0.7</sub> Co <sub>1.3</sub> P	58.4	18
Pd <sub>74</sub> Ni <sub>26</sub> /MCN	246.8	20
Cu <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>1-x</sub> O-GO	70.0	24
Co/CTF	42.3	25
Co/C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -580	93.8	37







**Figure 5.** (a) Time versus volume of hydrogen evolution from the alkaline aqueous  $NH_3BH_3$  over the three nickel phosphides under different conditions and (b) the initial TOF values.

should be noted that the crystalline phases of three nickel phosphides were maintained after the catalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (Figure S1–S3), indicating that these photocatalysts were stable in the present alkaline environment.

The formation of radical intermediates under the light irradiation of photoactive materials in aqueous environment plays an important role in the photocatalytic reactions. [46,47] It is known that hydroxyl radicals can react with TA and generate TAOH, which emits fluorescence at around 426 nm. To investigate the effect of hydroxyl radicals, which generated in a process of oxidation of OH<sup>-</sup> or H<sub>2</sub>O by photogenerated holes, on the catalytic activity, we selected TA as fluorescence probe to trace the hydroxyl radicals. The results showed that the concentration of OH- affected the amount of produced hydroxyl radicals and there was different intensity of fluorescence peaks, which appeared at about 426 nm (Figure 6). More importantly, the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution rate increased with increasing the base concentration (Figure 7). In detail, Ni<sub>2</sub>P had the highest activity in 0.5 M of NaOH. However, its activity decreased when the alkaline concentration increased to 0.7 M. The high-concentration base induced the formation of more hydroxyl radicals, which participated in the cleavage of chemical bonds in NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>, while the excessive hydroxyl radicals impeded the adsorption of catalytic substrates NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O

www.chemistryopen.org





Figure 6. Fluorescent spectra of  $Ni_2P$  in (a) 10.0, (b) 30.0 and (c) 50.0 mM of alkaline aqueous solution and TA (5 mM) under visible light irradiation.




**Figure 7.** Time versus volume of hydrogen evolution from  $NH_3BH_3$  in the aqueous solution with different alkaline concentrations over  $Ni_2P$  under visible light irradiation.

on the surface of catalyst. Besides, the base NaOH also acted as a catalyst promoter for the hydrolytic dehydrogenation of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>. This suggested that the formation of hydroxyl radicals benefited the catalytic activity enhancement under visible light irradiation. To further make sure the existence of hydroxyl radicals, we tested the photocatalytic activity of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, where 2-propanol was chosen as the scavenger of hydroxyl radicals. The results showed the activity decreased due to the decrease of hydroxyl radicals (Figure 8), indicating that the formation of hydroxyl radicals was a positive factor for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>.

In the present catalytic system, the hydroxyl radicals generated through the oxidation of OH<sup>-</sup> ions by photogenerated holes. [48,49] In order to confirm this judgment, we measured the photocatalytic activity of Ni<sub>2</sub>P, where KI was used as capture agent of holes. The results showed that the catalytic activity decreased due to the decrease of holes (Figure 8), suggesting that the formation of holes had a positive effect on the catalytic reaction rate in the alkaline aqueous solution.







**Figure 8.** Time versus volume of hydrogen evolution from the alkaline aqueous  $NH_3BH_3$  over  $Ni_2P$  without scavenger or in the presence of 2-propanol,  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  and KI under visible light irradiation.

Besides the photogenerated holes, the photogenerated electrons also played an important role in the catalytic reaction. To check this,  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  was selected as scavenger of electrons in the catalytic process. The results showed that the photocatalytic activity decreased after the introduction of  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  (Figure 8), indicating that the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution was remarkably affected by the photogenerated electrons.

In view of the above results regarding hydroxyl radicals and photogenerated charge carriers, a possible mechanism for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the alkaline aqueous solution was proposed (Figure 9). After the absorption of visible light by nickel phosphides, the photogenerated electrons and holes formed, separated and migrated to the catalyst surface. In the meantime, the hydroxyl radicals generated through the reaction between photogenerated holes and OH<sup>-</sup> ions. For the decomposition of NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> for hydrogen evolution, the cleavage of B–N bonds, which form through enjoying lone pair electrons between NH<sub>3</sub> and BH<sub>3</sub> groups, should first happen. On the basis of that the hydroxyl radicals benefited the enhancement of photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the experiment, it could be rationally deduced that the hydroxyl radicals attacked NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> to break





Figure 9. Possible mechanism for the hydrogen evolution from  $NH_3BH_3$  in the alkaline aqueous solution over nickel phosphides under visible light irradiation.

the B–N bond and the photogenerated electrons attacked the B–H bond to generate H<sub>2</sub> with the assistance of water.

To further understand the role of visible light irradiation in the activity enhancement of catalysts, the catalytic performance of Ni<sub>2</sub>P under different light intensity was investigated. It was found that increasing the light intensity resulted in an almost linear enhancement of catalytic activity (Figure 10). These phenomena could be attributed to that more electrons and hydroxyl radicals generated from Ni<sub>2</sub>P in the alkaline environment under high-intensity visible light irradiation, leading to that more photogenerated electrons and hydroxyl radicals were used to attack and break B—H and B—N bonds in NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>

In the present reaction, water molecules participate in the hydrogen evolution. [5,51] In order to confirm this judgment, we used  $D_2O$  instead of  $H_2O$  to investigate the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) in the catalytic reaction over  $Ni_2P$ ,  $Ni_{12}P_5$  and  $Ni_3P$ . The results showed that the hydrolysis of  $NH_3BH_3$  in  $D_2O$  had a low reaction rate compared to that in  $H_2O$  under light irradiation (Figure 11 and S10). The KIE constants were 2.9, 2.8 and 2.4 calculated according to the hydrogen evolution rate. This indicated that water molecules were involved in the hydrogen evolution from the aqueous  $NH_3BH_3$ , which was similar to the hydrogen evolution through the hydrolysis of  $NaBH_4$ . [52]






Figure 10. (a) Time versus volume of hydrogen evolution from the alkaline aqueous  $NH_3BH_3$  over  $Ni_2P$  under visible light irradiation with different intensities and (b) the dependence of initial TOF values on the light intensity.








Figure 11. Time versus volume of hydrogen evolution from  $NH_3BH_3$  in  $H_2O$  or  $D_3O$  over  $Ni_2P$  and  $Ni_3P$  under visible light irradiation.


It is known that the hydrogen evolution from aqueous NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> is a thermodynamics-controlled process.<sup>[53]</sup> In order to evaluate the effect of reaction temperature on the photocatalysis, we measured the photocatalytic performance of Ni<sub>2</sub>P under the photothermal condition. The results showed that compared with the photocatalytic activity of Ni<sub>2</sub>P at 298 K, its activity was significantly enhanced under photothermal condition and its initial TOF value was 138.3 min<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S11), which was much higher than the value (82.7 min<sup>-1</sup>) at room temperature.


The practical application of catalysts requires their good recycle durability. So the long-time catalytic durability test of Ni<sub>2</sub>P under visible light irradiation was carried out at 298 K. The results showed that the catalyst had good durability and 100% of H<sub>2</sub> selectivity even after 20 cycles of catalysis (Figure 12a). After the catalytic cycles, the crystalline phase of Ni<sub>2</sub>P was unchanged (Figure 12b). With increasing the number of catalytic cycles, the rate of hydrogen evolution decreased, which might be due to the agglomeration of catalyst particles after the long-time photocatalytic reaction (Figure 12c). In addition, the increase of boron species in the catalytic system might also lead to the gradual decrease of hydrogen evolution rate.<sup>[5]</sup>

# 3. Conclusions

In summary, three semiconducting nickel phosphides  $Ni_2P$ ,  $Ni_{12}P_5$  and  $Ni_3P$  with different structures were synthesized. The compounds were used as single catalysts for hydrogen evolution from  $NH_3BH_3$  under visible light irradiation. Among all the catalysts,  $Ni_2P$  had the highest room-temperature activity. In the catalytic process, the synergistic effect of photogenerated electrons and hydroxyl radicals in the alkaline aqueous condition enhanced the activities of nickel phosphides under visible light irradiation. This effect has been experimentally testified by the fluorescent spectra and the capture of photogenerated charge carriers and hydroxyl radicals as well as the

www.chemistryopen.org








Figure 12. Durability test for the hydrogen evolution from the alkaline aqueous  $NH_3BH_3$  over  $Ni_2P$ , (b) the PXRD pattern and (c) the TEM image of catalyst after 20 cycles of catalysis.

related hydrogen evolution performance of the catalysts. Based on the obtained results, a new mechanism for the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> in the alkaline aqueous solution has been presented. This work sheds light on exploring low-cost and visible-light-responsive semiconductors with well-defined structures, which is beneficial for rationally



Open Access

Chemistry OPEN

Full Papers

designing new high-performance photocatalytic systems toward a greener world using clean energy.

# **Experimental Section**

#### Chemicals

Nickel chloride hexahydrate (NiCl $_2$ -6H $_2$ O, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 99%), sodium hypophosphite (NaH $_2$ PO $_2$ , Aladdin, 99%), trisodium citrate dihydrate (Na $_3$ C $_6$ H $_5$ O $_7$ -2H $_2$ O, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 99%), phosphorus red (P, Aladdin, 99%), sodium acetate (CH $_3$ COONa, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 99%), nickel acetate tetrahydrate (Ni(CH $_3$ COO) $_2$ -4H $_2$ O, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 99%), potassium dichromate (K $_2$ Cr $_2$ O $_7$ , Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 98%), potassium hydroxide (KOH, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 85%), potassium iodide (KI, J&K Chemical, > 99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, > 96%), ammonia borane (NH $_3$ BH $_3$ , Aldrich, 97%), terephtalic acid (C $_8$ H $_6$ O $_4$ , TA, J&K Chemical, 99%), 2-propanol ((CH $_3$ ) $_2$ CHOH, J&K Chemical, 99.9%) and deuterium oxide (D $_2$ O, J&K Chemical, 99.8% atom D) were obtained without purification.

### Synthesis and Catalytic Study

For the synthesis of  $Ni_2P_r^{[22]}$  the mixture of  $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (1.00 g),  $Na_3C_6H_5O_7 \cdot 2H_2O$  (0.25 g) and NaOH (2.80 g) in water (45 mL) was stirred for 1 h to give a green solid. Then, the green solid (0.25 g) and  $NaH_2PO_2$  (1.25 g) were heated at 573 K for 2 h in an Ar atmosphere to give  $Ni_2P$ . For the synthesis of  $Ni_{12}P_{5r}^{[39]}$  the mixture of  $Ni(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (0.95 g) and phosphorus red (0.70 g) was dissolved in water (30 mL) under stirring for 20 min. Then, the mixture was hydrothermally treated at 473 K for 12 h to give  $Ni_{12}P_5$ . For the synthesis of  $Ni_3P_r^{[54]}$  the mixture of  $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (5.0 g),  $NaH_2PO_2$  (24.4 g) and  $CH_3COONa$  (2.9 g) was dissolved in water (100 mL). The KOH was used to adjust the solution pH to 8.0. Then the solution was heated at 363 K for 1 h to give a black solid. Later, the black solid was calcined at 673 K for 1 h in an Ar atmosphere. Finally, the product was washed in HCl solution, water and ethanol, resulting in  $Ni_3P$ .

The catalytic reaction was performed under visible light irradiation at 298 K or in the dark at 298 K in the aqueous solution (3.0 mL) of NH $_3$ BH $_3$  (1.71 mmol) and NaOH with different concentrations. The molar ratio of Ni $_x$ P $_y$ /NH $_3$ BH $_3$  was 0.03 in the catalytic reaction. The reaction temperature was kept at 298 K through the cooling water circulating pump.

## **Catalyst Characterization**

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2010) was applied to confirm the morphologies of samples. The UV-vis, the fluorescent and the surface area measurements were conducted on a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrometer, a FLS920 spectrometer and an AutosorbiQ2-MP adsorption equipment, respectively. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were performed using an X-ray diffractmeter (Panalytical X-Pert) and an instrument (ESCALAB250, Thermo VG Corp.). An electrochemical station (PGSTAT302N, Switzerland) was used to measure the transient photocurrent and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) in the 1.0 M of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution. An Ag/AgCl electrode, an indium tin oxide (ITO) glass covered with metal phosphides and a Pt plate were selected as the reference electrode, the working electrode and the counter electrode, respectively.

www.chemistryopen.org

## **Calculation Method**

The initial TOF value was calculated at the NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> conversion of 72%. The calculation was shown as follow:

$$TOF = \frac{3n_{\text{NH3BH3}}}{n_{\text{catalyst}}t} \times 72\%$$

In the equation,  $n_{NH3BH3}$  is the molar amount of  $NH_3BH_3$  at its conversion of 72%,  $n_{catalyst}$  is the total molar amount of nickel phosphide and t is the time at the  $NH_3BH_3$  conversion of 72%.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21761025), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University of the Ministry of Education of China (NCET-13-0846) and the Pilot Support Project for Ecological Conservation and Restoration of Landscape, Forest, Lake and Grassland in Lake Ulansuhai (2019HYYSZX).

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** synergistic effect  $\cdot$  ammonia borane  $\cdot$  hydrogen evolution  $\cdot$  catalysts

- [1] A. Boddien, D. Mellmann, F. Gärtner, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, R. Ludwig, M. Beller, *Science* **2011**, *333*, 1733–1736.
- [2] X. Gu, Z.-H. Lu, H.-L. Jiang, T. Akita, Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11822–11825.
- [3] X. Xu, Y. Chen, W. Zhou, Z. Zhu, C. Su, M. Liu, Z. Shao, Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 6442–6448.
- [4] J.-M. Yan, Z.-L. Wang, L. Gu, S.-J. Li, H.-L. Wang, W.-T. Zheng, Q. Jiang, Adv. Energy Mater. 2015, 5, 1500107.
- [5] Q.-L. Zhu, Q. Xu, Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 478–512.
- [6] K. Yang, Q. Yao, W. Huang, X. Chen, Z.-H. Lu, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2017, 42, 6840–6850.
- [7] Z. Tang, H. Chen, X. Chen, L. Wu, X. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5464–5467.
- [8] D. Sun, V. Mazumder, Ö. Metin, S. Sun, *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 6458–6464.
- [9] K. Guo, H. Li, Z. Yu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 517–525.
- [10] Q.-L. Zhu, J. Li, Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 135, 10210-10213.
- [11] F. Qiu, Y. Dai, L. Li, C. Xu, Y. Huang, C. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Jiao, H. Yuan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 436–441.
- [12] K. Aranishi, Q.-L. Zhu, Q. Xu, ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 1375–1379.
- [13] X. Feng, X.-M. Chen, P. Qiu, D. Wu, E. J. M. Hamilton, J. Zhang, X. Chen, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2018, 43, 20875–20881.
- [14] C.-Y. Cao, C.-Q. Chen, W. Li, W.-G. Song, W. Cai, ChemSusChem 2010, 3, 1241–1244.
- [15] Y. Men, J. Su, C. Huang, L. Liang, P. Cai, G. Cheng, W. Luo, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2018, 29, 1671–1674.
- [16] Q. Yao, K. Yang, X. Hong, X. Chen, Z. Lu, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2018, 8, 870–877.
- [17] Z.-C. Fu, Y. Xu, S. L.-F. Chan, W.-W. Wang, F. Li, F. Liang, Y. Chen, Z.-S. Lin, W.-F. Fu, C.-M. Che, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 705–708.
- [18] C.-C. Hou, Q. Li, S. C.-J. Wang, C.-Y. Peng, Q.-Q. Chen, H.-F. Ye, W.-F. Fu, C.-M. Che, N. López, Y. Chen, Energy Environ. Sci. 2017, 10, 1770–1776.
- [19] T. Karaca, M. Sevim, Ö. Metin, ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 4185-4190.
- [20] W. Wang, Z.-H. Lu, Y. Luo, A. Zou, Q. Yao, X. Chen, ChemCatChem 2018, 10, 1620–1626.





- [21] Q. Yao, W. Shi, G. Feng, Z.-H. Lu, X. Zhang, D. Tao, D. Kong, X. Chen, J. Power Sources 2014, 257, 293–299.
- [22] C.-Y. Peng, L. Kang, S. Cao, Y. Chen, Z.-S. Lin, W.-F. Fu, Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 15951–15955; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15725–15729.
- [23] Q. Yao, Z.-H. Lu, W. Huang, X. Chen, J. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 8579–8583.
- [24] K. Feng, J. Zhong, B. Zhao, H. Zhang, L. Xu, X. Sun, S.-T. Lee, Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 12129–12133; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11950– 11954.
- [25] Z. Li, T. He, L. Liu, W. Chen, M. Zhang, G. Wu, P. Chen, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 781–788.
- [26] L. Wen, J. Su, X. Wu, P. Cai, W. Luo, G. Cheng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 17129–17135.
- [27] L. Yang, J. Su, W. Luo, G. Cheng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy **2014**, *39*, 3360–3370
- [28] J. Ran, J. Zhang, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, S. Z. Qiao, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 7787–7812.
- [29] Y.-J. Yuan, Z.-T. Yu, D.-Q. Chen, Z.-G. Zou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 603–631.
- [30] L.-Z. Wu, B. Chen, Z.-J. Li, C.-H. Tung, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2177– 2185.
- [31] Y. He, D. Wang, Chem 2018, 4, 405-408.
- [32] R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, H. Irie, T. Ohwaki, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9824–9852.
- [33] Z. Sun, H. Zheng, J. Li, P. Du, Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 2668–2676.
- [34] C. Tang, R. Zhang, W. Lu, Z. Wang, D. Liu, S. Hao, G. Du, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun, Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 860–864; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 842–846.
- [35] M. Sharon, G. Tamizhmani, C. Levy-Clement, J. Rioux, Sol. Cells 1989, 26, 303–312.
- [36] P. R. Rablen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8350-8360.
- [37] H. Zhang, X. Gu, J. Song, N. Fan, H. Su, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 32767–32774.
- [38] Y. Pan, Y. Liu, J. Zhao, K. Yang, J. Liang, D. Liu, W. Hu, D. Liu, Y. Liu, C. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 1656–1665.
- [39] Y. Deng, Y. Zhou, Y. Yao, J. Wang, J. New. Chem. 2013, 37, 4083–4088.

- [40] T. Y. Wei, C. C. Wan, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1991, 30, 1293-1300.
- [41] Z. Sun, M. Zhu, M. Fujitsuka, A. Wang, C. Shi, T. Majima, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 30583–30590.
- [42] Y. Chen, C. Li, J. Zhou, S. Zhang, D. Rao, S. He, M. Wei, D. G. Evans, X. Duan, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 5756–5765.
- [43] Z. Huang, Z. Chen, Z. Chen, C. Lv, H. Meng, C. Zhang, ACS Nano 2014, 8, 8121–8129.
- [44] A. Indra, A. Acharjya, P. W. Menezes, C. Merschjann, D. Hollmann, M. Schwarze, M. Aktas, A. Friedrich, S. Lochbrunner, A. Thomas, M. Driess, Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 1675–1679; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1653–1657.
- [45] L. Xiong, S. Huang, X. Yang, M. Qiu, Z. Chen, Y. Yu, Electrochim. Acta 2011, 56, 2735–2739.
- [46] M. Pelaez, N. T. Nolan, S. C. Pillai, M. K. Seery, P. Falaras, A. G. Kontos, P. S. M. Dunlop, J. W. J. Hamilton, J. A. Byrne, K. O'Shea, M. H. Entezari, D. D. Dionysiou, Appl. Catal. B 2012, 125, 331–349.
- [47] F. Dong, Z. Zhao, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, S. Yan, Z. Wu, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2015, 49, 12432–12440.
- [48] I. K. Konstantinou, T. A. Albanis, Appl. Catal. B 2004, 49, 1-14.
- [49] K. Mori, P. Verma, R. Hayashi, K. Fuku, H. Yamashita, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 11885–11893.
- [50] S. Jo, P. Verma, Y. Kuwahara, K. Mori, W. Choi, H. Yamashita, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 21883–21892.
- [51] H. Ma, C. Na, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1726–1735.
- [52] G. Guella, C. Zanchetta, B. Patton, A. Miotello, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 17024–17033.
- [53] W.-W. Zhan, Q.-L. Zhu, Q. Xu, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6892-6905.
- [54] L. Jin, H. Xia, Z. Huang, C. Lv, J. Wang, M. G. Humphrey, C. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 10925–10932.

Manuscript received: November 12, 2019 Revised manuscript received: February 2, 2020