## Amide Conformation

## Switching and Conformational Fixation of Amides Through Proximate Positive Charges\*\*

Amelie L. Bartuschat, Karina Wicht, and Markus R. Heinrich\*

Dedicated to Professor Klaus Müller (Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel)

**Abstract:** Tertiary amides, which usually occur as cis/trans mixtures, can be effectively shifted to the cis conformation by placing a positive charge in close proximity to the amide carbonyl. This effect was used to prepare cis-configured prolyl amides and to facilitate a strongly rotamer-dependent radical cyclization.

A mide bonds represent the central and most important structural motif in peptides and many other natural and synthetic products.<sup>[1]</sup> The partial double-bond character is essential to achieving a high degree of conformational stability in small molecules, as well as in large macromolecular architectures including enzymes, transmembrane receptors, or ion channels.<sup>[2]</sup> Although the precision of such complex structures is a key element in selective molecular recognition, there has always been a considerable interest in how the conformational distribution of amide bonds could be influenced.<sup>[3]</sup> From a biological point of view, such insights into the *cis-trans* isomerization of amides can enable tuning of the activation barrier of receptors or ion channels, as has been recently reported for the 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 (5-HT<sub>3</sub>) receptor.<sup>[4]</sup>

In general, and in the absence of special effects, secondary amides largely occur in the *trans* conformation, whereas *cis/ trans* mixtures are usually observed for tertiary amides, with isomeric ratios depending on the nature and size of the substituents on the nitrogen atom.<sup>[2]</sup> By placing a bulky unit, such as a *tert*-butyl group, on the nitrogen atom of a secondary amide, the activation barrier for the isomerization of **1** is lowered and the equilibrium regarding the two original substituents is shifted towards the *cis* rotamer (Scheme 1).<sup>[5]</sup>

A stronger influence on the conformational distribution was observed for the pyridinium- and triazolium-substituted amides **2** and **3**.<sup>[6,7]</sup> These effects are explained by  $n \rightarrow \pi^*_{Aryl}$  interactions between the carbonyl oxygen and an antibinding orbital of the adjacent electron-deficient aromatic ring



assistance and to Dr. Reiner Waibel (NMR) and Julia Staudenecker (micelle measurements) for helpful support.



**Scheme 1.** Conformationally switchable and non-switchable amide derivatives.

system.<sup>[8a]</sup> As initially observed by Raines,<sup>[9]</sup> a second carbonyl unit (see amide  $4^{[6b]}$ ) can also provide the required  $\pi^*$ orbital. Alternatively, hydrogen bonds may be used to influence the ratio of rotamers.<sup>[8]</sup>

An interesting extension of the principle to exploit  $n \rightarrow \pi^*_{Aryl}$  interactions was developed by Yamasaki and Kagechika<sup>[10]</sup> (Scheme 1). Since the aromatic system of **5** can be switched from an electron-rich to a comparably electron-poor state through double protonation, the preferred conformation of the amide bond becomes pH dependent.

Against this background, we asked whether a conformationally pH-dependent tertiary amide unit might not be conceivable through a more biomimetic and structurally more simple modification, whereby the latter aspect could in turn allow broad applicability. Herein, we present first insights into the pH-dependent effects of simple aminoalkyl groups and related basic moieties on the conformation of tertiary amides, along with applications of the new type **6** conformational switches.

Inspired by an ongoing study on US28 receptor ligands,<sup>[11]</sup> which revealed a surprising pH dependence for the amide conformation, we prepared the structurally simplified amide **7** to study the underlying effects in detail (Table 1). As expected, amide **7** initially occurred as a *cis/trans* mixture with no clear preference for either rotamer in all of the solvents selected for the experiments.<sup>[12a]</sup> The slightly increased  $K_{cis/trans}$  value found in methanol (entry 2) might be due to micelle formation, which was observed for this

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201502474.

**Table 1:** Conformational fixation through protonation or quaternisation: Solvent effects.



[a] For the preparation of 7 see Ref. [11] and the Supporting Information. [b] Ammonium salts obtained through the addition of TFA (trifluoroacetic acid; 5–10 equiv) or CH<sub>3</sub>I (1.0 equiv). [c] Not determined owing to low solubility. [d] Ratio of >95:5 assumed if minor isomer could not be detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

solvent and mixtures containing up to 40 % water, but not for dimethyl sulfoxide or acetonitrile (entries 3 and 5). Protonation to give **7a** (entries 1–6), or quaternization to give **7b** (entry 7), however, led to a clear predominance of the *cis* rotamers.<sup>[12b]</sup> In chloroform, benzene, and acetonitrile, the minor *trans* rotamers of **7a** and **7b** could no longer be detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (entries 1, 4, 5, and 7). Since slightly lower ratios were observed for dimethyl sulfoxide and methanol, as well as methanol in water, the overall trend is comparable to the solvent effects on the magnitude of  $n \rightarrow \pi^*_{Aryl}$  interactions in triazolium-substituted amides **3** (Scheme 1).<sup>[7]</sup>

From a very general point of view, the two ammonium groups in amides 7a and 7b appear to strongly stabilize the *cis* rotamers through a form of ionic interaction. This interaction can be rationalized by assuming a greater participation of the multiply charged mesomeric forms 7a' or 7b'. Interestingly, the conformational fixation does not require the presence of a hydrogen bond, since the quaternary ammonium ion is able to exert a similar effect (compare entries 1 and 7).<sup>[13]</sup>

To investigate whether the remarkable conformational fixation induced by the ammonium alkyl side chain can also be achieved with other protonated moieties so that conformational switches could be designed for different ranges of pH values, we prepared the tertiary amides **8** and **9** (Figure 1).<sup>[14]</sup> The imidazole derivative **8** showed a relatively strong preference for the *cis* rotamer even before protonation, which is probably due to hydrogen bonding,<sup>[9b]</sup> and full conversion to the *cis* rotamer took place upon the addition of TFA.<sup>[12b-d]</sup> By contrast, the effect of the pyridylmethyl side chain was found to be similar to that of aliphatic amines in the unprotonated state (Table 1), but turned out to be slightly weaker in the protonated state, since a  $K_{cis/trans}$  value of only 13 was reached.<sup>[12a-d]</sup> Slightly weaker effects were observed in



**Figure 1.** Influence of imidazolylmethyl, pyridinylmethyl, and N-Bocaminoethyl side chains on the conformation of amides in  $CDCl_3$ . PMB = para-Methoxybenzyl. Boc = tert-Butyloxycarbonyl.

methanol, where protonation led to a change from  $K_{cis/trans} = 1.6$  to  $K_{cis/trans} = 6.7$  for imidazole **8**, and from  $K_{cis/trans} = 1.3$  to  $K_{cis/trans} = 6.7$  for pyridine derivative **9**, each time after the addition of two equivalents of TFA (see the Supporting Information for detailed titration experiments).

*N*-Boc-protection of the aminoethyl side chain, as in urethane **10**, demonstrated that a hydrogen bond alone has only a weak effect ( $K_{cis/trans} = 2.1$ ) without support from a positive charge.<sup>[12d]</sup> After methylation of **10**, compound **11** was found to occur in the usual ratio for unprotonated species.

Since proline plays an unique role in the folding and stability of proteins and peptides,<sup>[15]</sup> this amino acid was chosen for a first application. Proline, when incorporated in peptides, represents the only proteinogenic amino acid that occurs as a tertiary amide so that the *cis* as well as the *trans* rotamer should be observed.<sup>[16]</sup> However, only 10% of the peptidyl-CO-*N*-prolyl bonds in native proteins are present as *cis* rotamers (Scheme 2),<sup>[16c]</sup> and the conformation of the pyrrolidine ring was also found to have a strong effect on the stability and folding properties of peptides and proteins (Scheme 2). In this context, a number of 3- and 4-substituted prolines were prepared,<sup>[17,18]</sup> whereby the latter represents by far the more common approach and has frequently been used to tune the properties of collagen<sup>[9,19]</sup> and other biomolecules<sup>[20]</sup> (Scheme 2).

Not many approaches have yet appeared for developing switchable proline derivatives, however. A recent report with (4*S*)-amino-proline **12** demonstrated that an ionic interaction between a protonated amine and a carbonyl unit (see amide **7**, Table 1) can effectively be used to modulate the conformation



Scheme 2. Conformational studies on proline derivatives.

3

4

5

CD<sub>3</sub>CN

CD<sub>3</sub>OD

 $D_2O$ 

of the pyrrolidine ring, but this approach leaves the cis/trans ratio of the amide more or less unchanged.<sup>[21,22]</sup> Aiming at proline derivatives with a clear preference for the cis rotamer, only the introduction of sterically demanding substituents in 5-position, as in amides  $13a^{[23]}$  and  $13b^{[24]}$  (Scheme 2), or conformational fixation through the introduction of a covalent linkage have so far been successful.<sup>[25]</sup>

To study whether the directing effect of an aminoalkyl group might also be applicable to modulating the cis/trans ratio of prolyl amides, the derivatives 14 and 15 were prepared (Table 2 and the Supporting Information). The 3phenylpropionyl unit was chosen to facilitate detection by UV

Table 2: Reference compound 14 and 5-(aminoalkyl)-substituted proline derivative 15.



18:82<sup>[d]</sup> [a] For the preparation of 14 and 15, see Supporting Information.

19:81

19:81

27:73

36:64

33:67<sup>[d]</sup>

>95:5<sup>[e]</sup>

95:5

87:13

[b] Ratio determined in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. [c] Assignment of *cis* and trans rotamers is in agreement with reported <sup>13</sup>C NMR data and NOESY experiments for compound 14. See Refs. [12e] and [16a]. [d] Addition of 10% CD<sub>3</sub>OD to increase solubility. [e] Ratio of > 95:5 assumed if minor isomer could not be detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

spectroscopy. Whereas the prolyl amide 14, which served as a reference compound, and the unprotonated amino alkyl derivative 15 showed the expected predominance of the trans rotamers with very little dependence on the solvent, protonation of the aminoalkyl group in 15 led to more or less full conversion to the *cis* rotamer.<sup>[12e]</sup> The responsible ionic interaction was again slightly weakened by the protic solvents methanol and water (entries 4 and 5), but in chloroform, benzene, or acetonitrile, the trans rotamer could not be detected by <sup>1</sup>H NMR after protonation (entries 1–3; see Supporting Information for NMR titration experiments).

To investigate the synthetic applicability of the conformational fixation, we selected a group of radical cyclization reactions that are known to be highly dependent on amide conformation (Table 3). Since radicals usually have a short lifetime, with no reversible resting state, it is favorable with regard to cyclization if amide 16 is largely present as the cis rotamer or the barrier to rotation is lowered by elevated temperatures.<sup>[26]</sup> The unfavorable trans conformation, by contrast, can be expected to lead to reduction. It was thus not surprising that the secondary amide 16a, which occurs solely as the trans isomer, was reduced to 19 a with no bicyclic amide 18a being detected (entry 1).

The attachment of an *n*-butyl or a benzyl group to the amide (entries 2 and 3) led to improved *cis/trans* ratios for the Table 3: Radical cyclization reactions.



[a] Ratio determined for starting materials by <sup>1</sup>H NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. [b] Ratio determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for crude product mixtures. [c] Yields after purification by column chromatography. [d] Yields determined by addition of an internal standard (dimethyl terephthalate) to the crude product mixture. [e] Yields determined by addition of an internal standard (maleic acid) to the crude product mixture. [f] Amide 17e prepared from 17d through treatment with TFA. AIBN = azobisisobutyronitrile. TTMSS = tris(trimethylsilyl)silane.

reactants 16b and 16c and resulted in a predominance of the cyclic amides 18b and 18c over the reduced compounds 19b and 19c.<sup>[27]</sup> A further increase in selectivity could be achieved with an N-Boc-protected aminoethyl group (entry 4), which influences the cis/trans ratio of 16d through hydrogen bonding.  $^{[9b,\,28]}$  Since the reaction of  $16\,d$  had already given a high proportion of cyclized product 18d, less favorable conditions were chosen to probe the effect of the protonated aminoethyl side chain. Specifically, the reaction temperature was lowered to room temperature<sup>[26]</sup> and the reductant tris(trimethylsilyl)silane<sup>[29]</sup> was no longer added by syringe pump but in one batch at the beginning. Under these conditions, the performance of the *n*-butyl and the NHBocethyl derivatives 17c and 17d decreased, and 1:1 mixtures of 18c/19c and 18d/19d were obtained (entries 5 and 6). The strong conformational fixation induced by the protonated aminoethyl side chain in 17e (cis/trans > 95:5; entry 7), was then able to bring about the production of cyclized amide 18e in a larger amount than the reduced product **19e**.

In summary, we have shown that the conformation of tertiary amides can be effectively modulated through a positive charge located in close proximity to the carbonyl oxygen atom. The underlying interaction of the carbonyl unit and the covalently attached ammonium ions turned out to be independent of the presence of a hydrogen bond. First applications of this effect include the preparation of prolyl amides with a strong preference for the cis conformation and the enhancement of radical cyclization reactions for converting amides into lactams. Future research is directed towards the introduction of the new and switchable amide derivatives into peptides and other biomolecules, as well as towards studies on the biological effects of this modification.<sup>[30]</sup>



**Keywords:** amide bond · ammonium ions · conformation · proline · rotamers

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10294–10298 Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 10433–10437

- a) G. Arthur, *The Amide Linkage: Selected Structural Aspects in Chemistry, Biochemistry and Materials Science*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, **2000**; b) J. M. Humphrey, A. R. Chamberlin, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2243–2266; c) J. S. Carey, D. Laffan, C. Thomson, M. T. Williams, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 2337–2347; d) C. L. Allen, J. M. J. Williams, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3405–3015.
- [2] G. Fischer, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2000, 29, 119-127.
- [3] M. Salwiczek, E. K. Nyakatura, U. I. M. Gerling, S. Ye, B. Koksch, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 2135–2171.
- [4] S. C. R. Lummis, D. R. Beene, L. W. Lee, H. A. Lester, R. W. Broadhurst, *Nature* 2005, 438, 248–252.
- [5] O. Roy, C. Caumes, Y. Esvan, C. Didierjean, S. Faure, C. Taillefumier, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2246–2249.
- [6] a) B. C. Gorske, B. L. Bastian, G. D. Geske, H. E. Blackwell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8928–8929; b) B. C. Gorske, J. R. Stringer, B. L. Bastian, S. A. Fowler, H. E. Blackwell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16555–16567.
- [7] C. Caumes, O. Roy, S. Faure, C. Taillefumier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9553–9556.
- [8] a) Review: T. Szekely, C. Caumes, O. Roya, S. Faure, C. Taillefumier, *Compt. Rend. Chim.* 2013, *16*, 318–330; b) A. Moure, G. Sanclimens, J. Bujons, I. Masip, A. Alvarez-Larena, E. Pérez-Payá, I. Alfonso, A. Messeguer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 7927–7939; c) J. R. Stringer, J. A. Crapster, I. A. Guzei, H. E. Blackwell, *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, *75*, 6068–6078.
- [9] S. K. Holmgren, K. M. Taylor, L. E. Bretscher, R. T. Raines, *Nature* 1998, 392, 666-667.
- [10] R. Yamasaki, A. Tanatani, I. Azumaya, S. Saito, K. Yamaguchi, H. Kagechika, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1265–1267.
- [11] A recent study on structurally related compounds: A. Kralj, E. Kurt, N. Tschammer, M. R. Heinrich, *ChemMedChem* 2014, 9, 151–168.
- [12] a) The rotamers of 7 were assigned on the basis of NOESY experiments, the unprotonated compound 9 on the basis of selective-NOE experiments; b) The conformations of 7a, 7b, and 8 TFA were verified through selective-NOE experiments. No micelle formation was observed for 7a in water, dimethyl-sulfoxide, acetonitrile, or methanol; c) The conformations of the unprotonated compound 8 and the NBoc-protected derivatives 10 and 11 were assigned by comparison with the data referred to in Ref. [12a]; d) Conformations of compounds 8 and 9 were derived from titration experiments; e) The conformations of 14 and 15 TFA were confirmed through NOESY experiments (see the Supporting Information).
- [13] The effect of the positive charge on the amide bond in compound 7b can be compared to that of a hydrogen bond, since a downshift of the major C=O IR absorption frequency from 1645 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1633 cm<sup>-1</sup> was observed. For the effects of hydrogen bonding on C=O frequencies, see: a) N. S. Myshakina, Z. Ahmed, S. A. Asher, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2008, *112*, 11873–11877; b) E. S. Manas, Z. Getahun, W. W. Wright, W. F. DeGrado, J. M. Vanderkooi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, *122*, 9883–9890.
- [14] The decanoyl side chain was kept to allow comparison with amide 7. For the synthesis of amides 8–11, see the Supporting Information.
- [15] Review articles on the role of proline in biochemistry: a) J. F. Brandts, H. R. Halvorson, M. Brennan, *Biochemistry* 1975, 14, 4953–4963; b) A. H. Andreotti, *Biochemistry* 2003, 42, 9515–9524; c) B. Eckert, F.-X. Schmid, *BioSpectrum* 2006, 151–153;

d) M. D. Shoulders, R. T. Raines, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2009, 78, 929–958.

- [16] a) D. E. Dorman, F. A. Bovey, J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2379–2383; b) H. N. Cheng, F. A. Bovey, Biopolymers 1977, 16, 1465–1472; c) S. Fischer, R. L. Dunbrack, Jr., M. Karplus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11931–11937.
- [17] a) R. B. Perni, L. J. Farmer, K. M. Cottrell, J. J. Court, F. L. Courtney, D. D. Deininger, C. A. Gates, S. L. Harbeson, J. L. Kim, C. Lin, K. Lin, Y.-P. Luong, J. P. Maxwell, M. A. Murcko, J. Pitlik, B. G. Rao, W. C. Schairer, R. D. Tung, J. H. Van Drie, K. Wilson, J. A. Thomson, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, 14, 1939–1942; b) E. Beausoleil, R. Sharma, S. W. Michnick, W. D. Lubell, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6572–6578.
- [18] a) A. M. P. Koskinen, J. Helaja, E. T. T. Kumpulainen, J. Koivisto, H. Mansikkamäki, K. Rissanen, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6447–6453; b) N. W. Owens, A. Lee, K. Marat, F. Schweizer, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 10649–10657; c) L.-S. Sonntag, S. Schweizer, C. Ochsenfeld, H. Wennemers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14697–14703; d) A. K. Pandey, D. Naduthambi, K. M. Thomas, N. J. Zondlo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4333–4363; e) K. M. Thomas, D. Naduthambi, N. J. Zondlo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2216–2217; f) J.-C. Horng, R. T. Raines, Protein Sci. 2006, 15, 74–83.
- [19] a) F. W. Kotch, I. A. Guzei, R. T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2952–2953; b) R. S. Erdmann, H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6835–6838; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 6967–6970; c) M. D. Shoulders, I. A. Guzei, R. T. Raines, Biopolymers 2008, 89, 443–454; d) M. D. Shoulders, J. A. Hodges, R. T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8112–8113; e) R. S. Erdmann, H. Wennemers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17117–17124; f) E. S. Eberhardt, N. Panasik, Jr., R. T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12261–12266; g) L. E. Bretscher, C. L. Jenkins, K. M. Taylor, M. L. DeRider, R. T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 777–778; h) M. L. DeRider, S. J. Wilkens, M. J. Waddell, L. E. Bretscher, F. Weinhold, R. T. Raines, J. L. Markley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2497–2505; i) C. L. Jenkins, A. I. McCloskey, I. A. Guzei, E. S. Eberhardt, R. T. Raines, Biopolymers 2005, 80, 1–8.
- [20] a) R. Golbik, C. Yu, E. Weyher-Stingl, R. Huber, L. Moroder, N. Budisa, C. Schiene-Fischer, Biochemistry 2005, 44, 16026-16034; b) C. Boulègue, A. G. Milbradt, C. Renner, L. Moroder, J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 358, 846-856; c) T. Steiner, P. Hess, J. H. Bae, B. Wiltschi, L. Moroder, N. Budisa, PloS ONE 2008, 3, e1680; d) C. Heindl, H. Hübner, P. Gmeiner, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 3153-3172; e) C. Renner, S. Alefelder, J. H. Bae, N. Budisa, R. Huber, L. Moroder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 923-925; Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 949-951; f) S. A. Cadamuro, R. Reichold, U. Kusebauch, H.-J. Musiol, C. Renner, P. Tavan, L. Moroder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2143-2146; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 2174-2177; g) M. Kuemin, Y. A. Nagel, S. Schweizer, F. W. Monnard, C. Ochsenfeld, H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6324-6327; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 6468-6471; h) D. Naduthambi, N. J. Zondlo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12430-12431.
- [21] C. Siebler, R. S. Erdmann, H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10340-10344; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 10508-10512.
- [22] Related work with 4-aminocarbonyl-substituted prolines: T. P. Curran, N. M. Chandler, R. J. Kennedy, M. T. Keaney, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 1933–1936.
- [23] E. Beausoleil, W. D. Lubell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12902– 12908.
- [24] 5,5-Dimethyl-substituted prolines: a) V. W. Magaard, R. M. Sanchez, J. W. Bean, M. I. Moon, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, *34*, 381–384; b) S. S. A. An, C. C. Lester, J.-L. Peng, Y.-J. Li, D. M. Rothwarf, E. Welker, T. W. Thannhauser, L. S. Zhang, J. P. Tam, H. A. Scheraga, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, *121*, 11558–11566;

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10294–10298

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



c) U. Arnold, M. P. Hinderaker, J. Köditz, R. Golbik, R. Ulbrich-Hofmann, R. T. Raines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7500– 7501; d) C. Melis, G. Bussi, S. C. R. Lummis, C. Molteni, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 12148–12153; e) I. Rodríguez, M. I. Calaza, C. Cativiela, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 1093–1099.

- [25] a) T. P. Curran, P. M. McEnaney, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 191–194; b) M. M. Lenman, S. L. Ingham, D. Gani, *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 85–87.
- [26] D. P. Curran, J. Tamine, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2746-2750.
- [27] A related study: O. Tamura, H. Matsukida, A. Toyao, Y. Takeda,
  H. Ishibashi, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5537-5545.
- [28] A related experiment with the xanthate analogue of 16d (*cis/trans* = 79:21 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>) gave 17d and 18d in a comparable ratio of 93:7 and yields of isolated product of 42% and 3%.
- [29] C. Chatgilialoglu, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2310–2320.
- [30] a) L. Shi, G. Liapakis, R. Xu, F. Guarnieri, J. A. Ballesteros, J. A. Javitch, J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 40989–40996; b) C. C. Zhou, K. D. Stewart, M. K. Dhaon, Magn. Reson. Chem. 2005, 43, 41–46.

Received: March 17, 2015 Published online: July 1, 2015